Direct estimates of emissions from the megacity of Lagos
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Abstract. We report here top-down emissions estimates for an African megacity. A boundary layer circumnavigation of Lagos, Nigeria was completed using the FAAM BAe146 aircraft as part of the AMMA project. These observations together with an inferred boundary layer height allow the flux of pollutants to be calculated. Extrapolation gives annual emissions for CO, NOₓ, and VOCs of 1.44 Tg yr⁻¹, 0.03 Tg yr⁻¹ and 0.37 Tg yr⁻¹ respectively with uncertainties of 250/⁻60%. These inferred emissions are consistent with bottom-up estimates for other developing megacities and are attributed to the evaporation of fuels, mobile combustion and natural gas emissions.

1 Introduction

The world’s “megacities” (populations over 10 million) emit a large fraction of global pollutants (Lawrence et al., 2007). The continued growth of urban populations (2.7% yr⁻¹ over the last fifty years (Gurjar and Lelieveld, 2005)) has led to an increase in their importance as a pollutant source. Previous projects have investigated Asian (e.g. Guttikunda et al., 2005) and Central American (e.g. Jobson et al., 2004) megacities, but there is little information on African megacities. Here we use observations within the boundary layer of Lagos, Nigeria taken during 2006 as part of the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA, Redelsperger et al., 2006) to infer emissions from the city.

Lagos is Nigeria’s commercial capital with ~75% of the country’s industries. It is the second most populated and fastest growing city in Africa. Its population in 2005 was 10.8 million (to rise to 16 million by 2015) making it the world’s 17th most populated city (UN, 2005). As with the majority of cities, road transport is thought to be the most significant source of anthropogenic emissions (Baumbach et al., 1995). Often dated technologies and poor emission control strategies lead to substantial uncertainties in emission estimates calculated from vehicle number density statistics. The unreliable electrical supply in Lagos has led to an increased reliance on small-scale diesel powered generators and these potentially present a significant source of emissions in Lagos. The uncontrolled open incineration of waste adds a further very poorly constrained emission source within the city. One of the major thermal power stations in Africa and substantial petrochemical activity is within the city limits.

The African Monsoon Multi-disciplinary Analysis (AMMA) was a 5 year programme to understand the impact of the West African monsoon on both the atmosphere and society of Western Africa (Redelsperger et al., 2006). A major intensive field program occurred in the summer of 2006 with the UK FAAM BAe146 research aircraft being based in the Nigerien capital Niamey.

On the 8 August the FAAM BAe146 flew to the city of Cotonou in Benin. From here the aircraft headed north-eastward to arrive over the centre of Lagos. It then descending southward to make a missed approach at Lagos International Airport (with minimum altitude of 20 m) and arrived south of the city at ~350 m. The aircraft then made a clockwise circumnavigation of the city at this altitude before climbing over the city and returning to Niamey (see Fig. 1a).
Fig. 1. Observations of altitude (a), CO (b), Benzene (c), Acetylene (d) and TECO NO$_x$ (e) made during the flight around Lagos.
2 Observations

Measurements of carbon monoxide (CO) were performed using a fast response fluorescence instrument, Aero-Laser 5002 fast CO monitor Gerbig et al. (1999). Oxides of nitrogen (NOx) were measured by two instruments. The first instrument (TECO) measured using a model 42 C trace level NOx, chemiluminescence analyser (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Hemel Hempstead, UK). The instrument sequentially measured nitric oxide (NO) and total NOx (NO+NO2) using a molybdenum catalyst to convert NO2 to NO. Oxides of nitrogen were also measured by the University of East Anglia NOxy. This measures NO by its chemiluminescence reaction with O3 and NO2 by photolytic conversion of NO2 to NO with subsequent measurement of NO. The instrument is described in detail in Brough et al. (2003). Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) were measured using silica coated stainless steel canisters (Thames Restek, UK) at approximately 2 min time intervals around the city. Air samples were analysed using a dual channel gas chromatograph with flame ionisation detectors (Hopkins et al., 2003).

Figure 1b–f show the mixing ratio of CO, benzene, acetylene, NOx (TECO) and O3 around Lagos. The boundary layer wind originated from the south west (see Fig. 2.) The highest mixing ratios can be observed to the north east of the city. Typical in-plume mixing ratios of CO, O3, benzene and acetylene were ~400 ppbv, 6 ppbv, 1 ppbv and 1 ppbv respectively.

3 Calculation of emission fluxes from Lagos

Given the approximately closed-loop flight track of the aircraft, a uniform boundary layer and no vertical exchange of compound, the horizontal flux of compound out of the Lagos area can be calculated by adding up the components of the observed pollutant mass fluxes perpendicular to the path of the aircraft i.e. by calculating the flux out of the closed loop at each stage around that loop.

\[
F = \int C(x) \left( \psi(x) \cdot \hat{V}(x) \right) Z(x) dx
\]

Where \( F \) is the flux in kg s\(^{-1}\), \( C(x) \) is the concentration of the compound in kg m\(^{-3}\) at position \( x \) (m) along the loop, \( \psi \) is the horizontal wind vector (m s\(^{-1}\)) at position \( x \) along the loop, \( V \) is the aircraft horizontal flight vector (m s\(^{-1}\)) at position \( x \) along the loop, \( Z \) is the boundary layer height (m) at position \( x \) along the loop, \( \cdot \) represents the unit normal operator, \( \cdot \) is the dot product operator.

As \( \hat{V}(x) \) represents a unit vector in the horizontal, perpendicular to the path of the aircraft (i.e. a vector pointing out of the loop around Lagos), \( \psi(x) \cdot \hat{V}(x) \) represents the magnitude of the component of the horizontal wind out of that loop. By multiplying this flow out of the loop by the concentration and the boundary layer height, and integrating over the closed loop, the total flux out of the loop is derived. Other than the boundary layer height all these parameters are directly observed.

The vertical mixing within the boundary layer is not instantaneous, leading to the mixing ratio at 350 m not being wholly indicative of the concentrations throughout the boundary layer. Plumes from large point sources such as power-plants etc may be missed if they pass under or over the aircraft, and if plumes are intercepted they will bias the dataset.

Figure 3 shows the measured profile of potential temperature (\( \theta \)) during the descent, circuit and ascent. The profile consists of a marine boundary layer up to 400 m and a continental residual layer ending at 1900 m. \( \theta \) was found to increase away from the coast. The boundary layer height can therefore be expected to have increased away from the coast.
due to the surface heat fluxes and the resultant entrainment of residual-layer air. The naively estimated boundary layer height at $x$ ($z(x)$ in m) depends only the height of the boundary layer top derived from the profiles (400 m respectively, with a potential temperature of 298 K, Fig. 3), the potential temperature observed on the constant altitude loop around the city ($\theta_{350m}(x)$) and the increase in $\theta$ with height in the residual layer (214 m K$^{-1}$, the dotted line in Fig. 3). This gives,

$$z(x) = 400 + (\theta_{350m}(x) - 298) \times 214 \quad (2)$$

However, Carson (1973) shows that the warming of the boundary layer by entrainment of air from the residual layer above will increase the boundary layer height from $z(x)$ (the naively calculated value) to $Z(x)$,

$$Z(x) = \frac{1 + 2A}{1 + A} z(x) \quad (3)$$

where $A$ is the entrainment constant, which has a value of roughly 0.3.

Figure 4 shows the calculated boundary layer height around the Lagos circuit. The boundary layer height has a minimum of 309 m over the ocean and a maximum of 1100 m over land furthest from the coast. Over the ocean the aircraft was therefore slightly above (350 m) the calculated boundary layer. However, due to the lack of sources in this region this does not introduce great uncertainty into the calculation. The variation in boundary layer height over land leads to significant entrainment of air from above. We address this by considering the flux of air into a column around the city with a uniform height of the maximum calculated boundary layer height ($Z_{\text{max}}$). We anticipate much lower vertical fluxes out of the top of this volume compared with the fluxes from the top of the volume described by the spatially varying boundary layer height. The horizontal fluxes into this column around the loop are calculated as being a flow within the boundary layer with the observed concentration of compound and a flow from above the boundary layer with a “background” concentration ($C_{\text{back}}$) calculated as the value of the 10th percentile of the concentrations around the loop. We assume that there is no difference in the wind vectors in these components. Thus the flux is now calculated to be,

$$F = \int C(x) (\hat{v}(x) \cdot \hat{V}(x)) Z(x) dx$$

$$+ \int C_{\text{back}} (\hat{v}(x) \cdot \hat{V}(x)) (Z_{\text{max}} - Z(x)) dx$$

(4)

If the emitted species has no loss within the loop, the flux of species from the loop is equivalent to the emission from the city. For some species this is a good assumption. CO has a lifetime of the order of a month. Thus for the ~1 h transit across the city, it can be considered an inert tracer. VOC lifetimes range from minutes to months. A large fraction is emitted as NMHC which degrade to produce oxygenated species. Thus by including the oxygenated species in our calculation we mitigate the impact of this VOC loss. Based on calculations from the GEOS-Chem global model of composition and transport (Bey et al., 2001), the inclusions of the observed oxygenates mean that around 92% of the total carbon emitted should be observed. This is considered in the uncertainty calculation below. NO$_x$ has a short lifetime in the atmosphere (hours) thus NO$_x$ exported out of the loop does not reflect all the NO$_x$ emitted. Observations of speciated NO$_y$ from other cities suggest that the non NO$_x$ components of the NO$_y$ flux are likely to be small (~20%) on the timescales important here (e.g. Neuman et al., 2006). Thus the NO$_x$ observations provide a lower estimate of the emissions of NO$_x$ and need to be considered in our uncertainty calculation.

No correction for diurnal or hebdomadal variability is made as these parameters are not known for a city of this type. Studies in countries such as the UK, show that the ratio between maximum and minimum hourly emission are of the order 5, 3.5 and 4 for CO, VOCs and NO$_x$ respectively, variations between weekday and weekend are of the order 30%, and annual variation of the order of 30% (Jenkin et al., 2000). Given the observations were made in the mid-afternoon (3 p.m. local time) on a Tuesday in the tropics (where annual temperature variations are smaller) we probably overestimate the actual annual emission by a factor of around 2–3 depending upon the species. Given no comparable information for Lagos, we do not adjust our value for these variations.
Table 1. Annualised emissions fluxes from Lagos calculated from this and other studies together with emissions from other mega-cities on both a total and per capita basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Emissions</th>
<th>Lagos(^a)</th>
<th>Lagos(^b)</th>
<th>Beijing(^c)</th>
<th>Calcutta(^c)</th>
<th>Tokyo(^c)</th>
<th>London(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkanes</td>
<td>0.1356</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkenes</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkynes</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatics</td>
<td>0.0723</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygenates</td>
<td>0.1196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VOC</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NO}_x)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City Population (2005)\(^f\) 10 886 000 10 886 000 14 227 000 35 197 000 8 505 000 8 567 000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal emissions</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
<th>kg per(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NO}_x)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{CO}\) \(\text{NO}_x\) emissions (0.03 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) derived are more than an order of magnitude smaller than those quoted by Oketola et al. (2007) (0.97 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). Oketola et al. (2007) suggest a per capita \(\text{NO}_x\) emission an order of magnitude higher than highly developed cities such as London and Tokyo. Large \(\text{NO}_x\) emissions occur in high temperature combustion processes typical of heavily trafficked developed economies, thus the high value of Oketola et al. (2007) appears inconsistent with previous analyses of the sources of urban \(\text{NO}_x\). Our emissions are consistent with other studies, especially when considered per capita.

4 Results

Table 1 presents the calculated values annual emissions together with literature values for the emissions from Lagos (Oketola et al., 2007), two developing Asian mega-cities (Guttikunda et al., 2000) and two developed mega-cities (Guttikunda et al., 2005; Mattai et al., 2003).

Our \(\text{CO}\) estimate (1.44 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) is higher than that calculated by Oketola et al. (2007) (0.81 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) and the summary of other estimations given for Lagos by Butler et al. (2008) (0.771 to 1.006 Tg yr\(^{1}\)). Given the uncertainties in our estimates (see next section) and in the bottom up estimates (quotes as being for \(\text{CO}\) \(
\sim\)68% for 95% confidence by Streets et al. (2006) for Asia) the differences are within the combined uncertainties. A major source of the low bias in the bottom up estimates may be the population of Lagos. The local government contests the results of the national census claiming a significant undercount (17 rather than 9 million) of its population (Makama, 2007). This would be consistent with our higher top-down estimate, however there may be economic activity related reasons (for example the petrochemical works and power stations within the loop) to explain this difference as well.

Our VOC estimate (0.37 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) is lower than that calculated by Oketola et al. (2007) (0.73 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), however compared to the other cities the value appears high. Although these bottom-up assessments do not quote suitable uncertainties for VOC emissions, those calculated from Asian cities are \(~\sim\)130% (Streets et al., 2003). Although significant differences exist between the bottom-up and top-down approaches they are within the range of uncertainties especially given the uncertainty in the population. The higher per person values calculated here compared to other mega-cities may reflect the role of the petrochemical industry for the economy of Lagos.

In general our top-down emissions are consistent with the state of understanding of emissions as represented by the bottom up estimates. Significant differences exist, however, given the uncertainties in approaches they are not in tension (other than for the \(\text{NO}_x\) estimate of Oketola et al. (2007)).
5 Uncertainties

The calculation of emissions in this paper has many uncertainties and assumptions. If we assume the basic assumptions (as manifest by the equation used to derive the emissions) as valid we can investigate the known uncertainties through Monte-Carlo methods. The emissions calculation was performed 10,000 times varying the input parameters (concentrations, temperatures, and wind velocities) within the range given by the instrument scientists for both bias and noise of the instrument. This led to uncertainties of 7%, 20% and 10% for the CO, NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions respectively. The impact of chemical processing within the loop is discussed earlier and adds an uncertainty of 0, 20% and 8% to the CO, NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions estimates. Uncertainties about the seasonal, diurnal and hebdomadal variation leads to variations of order $\pm 200 / -50\%$ on the emissions.

During the profile over the airport the CO concentration at 350 m was 94 ppbv, however, the mean CO concentration within the profile was 131 ppbv due to a surface enhancement. This enhancement may reflect enhanced economic activity at the airport but may also reflect a lack of efficient mixing within the boundary layer. Based on the observed profiles over the airport we add uncertainties of 30%, 150% and 45% to the uncertainties of the CO, NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions. The combined impact of these uncertainties leads to over-all uncertainties of 200%, 250% and 205% for the CO, NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions respectively. We select the largest (250%) of these to describe all of our emissions – thus our emissions lay between 40% to 350% of the stated value ($\pm 250 / -60\%$). Most of this uncertainty lies in the uncertainty of converting a semi-instantaneous flux to an annual average.

6 Sources of emission within Lagos

The range of sources within the city is reflected in the complex relationships between species. For example the maxima in CO, benzene, acetylene and NO\textsubscript{x} all lie in different locations around the loop (see Fig. 1b–e). The VOC composition reveals a dominant signature from mono-aromatic compounds and linear and branched chain alkanes; such species are associated primarily with evaporative sources from gasoline and solvent use. The covariance in 1,3 butadiene, CO and NO\textsubscript{x} is strongly indicative of a major vehicle combustion emission within the city. Finally substantial downwind enhancement in ethane suggests fugitive natural gas leakage. In the absence of a domestic distribution network within Lagos, this may be associated with city electricity production.

7 Conclusions

The combination of a coastal location and an on-shore wind makes Lagos an ideal candidate city for emissions estimates via “closed-loop” observations. Annual emissions fluxes for NO\textsubscript{x} were found to be comparable to those calculated for selected other megacities, whereas VOC and CO were amongst the highest. Given these observations the predicted future population growth within Lagos will no doubt serve to position Lagos as one of the most polluting cities in the world unless adequate policy measures are implemented.
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