In situ aerosol optics in Reno, NV, USA during and after the summer 2008 California wildfires and the influence of absorbing and non-absorbing organic coatings on spectral light absorption
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Abstract. Hundreds of wildfires in Northern California were sparked by lightning during the summer of 2008, resulting in downwind smoke for the months of June and July. Comparisons are reported for aerosol optics measurements in Reno, Nevada made during the very smoky month of July and the relatively clean month of August. Photoacoustic instruments equipped with integrating nephelometers were used to measure aerosol light scattering and absorption coefficients at wavelengths of 405 nm and 870 nm, revealing a strong variation of aerosol light absorption with wavelength. Insight on fuels burned is gleaned from comparison of Ångström exponents of absorption (AEA) versus single scattering albedo (SSA) of the ambient measurements with laboratory biomass smoke measurements for many fuels. Measurements during the month of August, which were largely unaffected by fire smoke, exhibit surprisingly low AEA for aerosol light absorption when the SSA is highest, again likely as a consequence of the underappreciated wavelength dependence of aerosol light absorption by particles coated with non-absorbing organic and inorganic matter. Coated sphere calculations were used to show that AEA as large as 1.6 are possible for wood smoke even with non-absorbing organic coatings on black carbon cores, suggesting care be exercised when diagnosing AEA.

1 Introduction

Biomass burning is a significant global source of trace gases and particles in the atmosphere. Optical properties of aerosols emitted from biomass burning are highly uncertain due to the uncertainty in combustion factors, burned areas, and the types of fuels the flaming and smoldering phase of burning (Ito and Penner, 2004), as well as rapidly changing atmospheric conditions (Chen et al., 2007; Hudson et al., 2004). Biomass burning aerosols grow upon aging due to coagulation and condensation and exhibit increased scattering coefficients (Johnson et al., 2008). Biomass burning aerosols significantly alter the Earth’s radiation by scattering and absorbing solar radiation (Chen et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2008). Biomass burning has short- and long-term consequences on the radiation budget (Naik et al., 2007), as increased light scattering by particles cools the Earth’s surface but increased CO2 warms the surface in the long-term. Accelerating death rates of old forest trees may soon strongly impact short- and long-term atmospheric composition.

Wildfire activity in the western US has increased in recent decades mainly due to severe droughts and abundant fuels (Westerling et al., 2006). California is among the states that have the highest wildfire activity, generally starting mid-May and ending in October (Pfister et al., 2008). In summer 2008, Northern California lightning triggered most of 2780 individual fires observed, burning 4686 km² (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Summer_2008_California_wildfires). At times, smoke from California wildfires can be observed moving from the west to east coast (Fig. 1, upper panel; Hoff et al., 2005).
In this study, in situ optical characteristics of summer 2008 California wildfire aerosols are reported and compared with those from urban pollution. A dual-wavelength photoacoustic instrument operating simultaneously at 405 nm and 870 nm was used for measurement of aerosol light absorption and scattering (Lewis et al., 2008). Simultaneous measurement at these two wavelengths provides insight into the optical characteristics of black carbon (BC) or “soot” potentially modified by non-absorbing organic carbon (OC) coatings and light absorbing organic carbon (LAOC) or “brown carbon” (BrC), the two main light-absorbing carbonaceous substances in the atmosphere (Moosmüller et al., 2009). The photoacoustic instrument draws sample air continuously through its acoustical resonator and illuminates it with power-modulated laser radiation at the acoustic resonance frequency of the resonator. Heating of the particles takes place due to the absorption of laser radiation, and the heat rapidly transfers to the surrounding air. The resulting periodic pressure fluctuations are measured by a microphone (Arnott et al., 1999). Scattering measurements are carried out in the photoacoustic instrument with the technique of reciprocal nephelometry (Lewis et al., 2008; Mulholland and Bryner, 1994; Rahmah et al., 2006). The instrument is calibrated by using a high concentration of absorbing gas or kerosene-flame soot or laboratory-generated aerosol with extinction dominated by scattering (Arnott et al., 2000; Lewis et al., 2008). Generally, absorption by BC in the region from 370 nm to 950 nm is inversely proportional to the wavelength, whereas absorption due to BrC is stronger toward UV regions (Moosmüller et al., 2009). Hence multi-wavelength measurements are needed to adequately address absorption characteristics of carbonaceous aerosols in the atmosphere (Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006). Photoacoustic absorption measurements are not affected by filter matrix artifacts that can be complicated by organic coatings (Lack et al., 2008; Subramanian et al., 2007).

In addition to truly light-absorbing organic carbon (LAOC), light absorption of BC can be enhanced by non-absorbing OC that we will refer to as “apparently light absorbing carbon” (ALAOC), independent of whether the coating absorbs light or not. Our focus is the total affect of the coating on the fractal soot core, part of which may be to collapse the core, thereby changing its absorption. It is easy to confuse LAOC and ALAOC. In LAOC, black carbon cores are coated with organic matter that typically absorbs more strongly at shorter wavelengths than at longer wavelengths. However, for ALAOC, we acknowledge in this definition that there may be strong wavelength dependence in the light-absorption amplification of a black carbon core by organic coatings that do not absorb light at all. Later work will refine the definition of the Ångström exponent of absorption to clearly distinguish between ALAOC and LAOC, and it will be shown that filter-based methods do not diagnose ALAOC well because particle-bound OC can surround the filter media. Here, we performed an investigation to elucidate the likely error involved in naively interpreting the Ångström exponent of absorption measurements solely in terms of LAOC without considering ALAOC.

2 Measurements and analysis

Figure 1, upper panel, shows a satellite image of smoke extending from the Northern California east, beyond Reno, Nevada on 10 July, which was the smokiest day of summer 2008 in Reno. The image shows that Reno is about 100 miles from the center of the major wildfire sources of July 2008. This smoke source and wind trajectory were similar for much of July. The dual-wavelength photoacoustic instrument was operated continuously from the starting of July to the end of August 2008, where measurements were carried out for 22 days in July and 27 days in August (interrupted for instrument calibration). The measurements were obtained at the Physics building of the University of Nevada, Reno, USA. Besides having a couple of minor roads circling the University, two freeways are nearly one mile away from the campus which is in the center of the city.
Detailed examinations of BC particles show that they consist of agglomerations of small spherical spherules (Liu et al., 2008). The spherules bind together due to the electrostatic force (Bruce et al., 1991). During the aging process, coating BC particles with water soluble compounds like ammonium sulfate makes them hydrophilic due to condensation, coagulation, and photochemical oxidation (Oshima et al., 2009).

Figure 1, beneath panel, shows the conceptual model of aging of urban aerosol and biomass burning aerosol (especially for primary emission of ponderosa pine-like burning aerosol) in which small BC cores are heavily coated with organics (Lewis et al., 2009). Open-chain BC particles from biomass burning become closely packed spheres due to water uptake and condensation of inorganic and organic compounds (Hallett et al., 1989). Immediately after emission from the source the BC particles might have internal and external mixing states. With the aging process in the atmosphere, however, the simple shell-core model (described later) is plausible for biomass burning aerosols (Martins et al., 1998) and mid-day urban aerosol coated with generated particulate matter.

2.1 Aerosol extinction variation

The extinction (sum of absorption and scattering) variation presented here was the daily average of half-hour measurements for the period of July and August 2009 respectively. The photoacoustic measurements of absorption and scattering coefficients have 5% and 15% relative uncertainty respectively (Lewis et al., 2008). The time series of scattering and absorption (half-hour average) measurements reached maximum values of 1230 Mm$^{-1}$ and 106 Mm$^{-1}$ at 405 nm and 476 Mm$^{-1}$ and 30 Mm$^{-1}$ at 870 nm on 10 July 2008 and of 154 Mm$^{-1}$ and 18 Mm$^{-1}$ at 405 nm and 52 Mm$^{-1}$ and 7 Mm$^{-1}$ at 870 nm on 30 July 2008 respectively. Daily maximum scattering and absorption were decreasing between these days. Between 5 July to 10 July, scattering and absorption coefficients were higher for both wavelengths but less than the maximum values mentioned above. Similarly, the time series of scattering and absorption coefficients maximized at 129 Mm$^{-1}$ and 28 Mm$^{-1}$ at 405 nm and 64 Mm$^{-1}$ and 11 Mm$^{-1}$ at 870 nm on 5 August 2008. These higher values in early August might be due to some sporadic smoke in the local area, but of much lower magnitude than in July. Slightly lower values of scattering and absorption were found from 11 to 15 August. For the rest of the month these values were significantly less. In Mexico City, due to the diurnal change in the primary aerosol sources and photochemically-generated secondary aerosol, the scattering was maximum several hours later in the day than absorption (Paredes-Miranda et al., 2009). In our study, scattering contributed most of the extinction at both 405 nm and 870 nm for both months. Evidence of vehicular emission dominance for the extinction in August was apparent in the aerosol extinction variation (Fig. 2) with the maximum in extinction around the morning rush hour (from 6 a.m. to 11 a.m.) and nearly symmetrical low values on either side of the maximum for both 405 nm and 870 nm in August. In contrast, morning rush hour made only a small contribution to extinction in July. Extinction starts to decrease from 8 a.m. to a minimum around 1 p.m. and starts to increase continuously. These variations of extinction at both 405 nm and 870 nm in July exhibited the delaying effect of smoke on daytime boundary layer development (Rissler et al., 2006).

The monthly averaged diurnal aerosol extinction as a function of time of day (Fig. 2) indicates strong impacts of biomass aerosol in July compared to August at both 405 nm and 870 nm. The extinction for July shows a clear diurnal pattern, with aerosol extinction decreasing gradually after 8 a.m. due to expansion of the boundary layer and increased wind speed diluting the plume. For August, a morning rush hour peak was observed from 6 a.m. to 11 a.m. coinciding with increased traffic volume at a time when boundary layer dilution is near its minimum and wind speeds are still low.

2.2 Naive estimate of LAOC: ALAOC

The apparent light absorption coefficient $\beta_{\text{ALAOC}}$ due to organic carbon aerosol at 405 nm is written as

$$\beta_{\text{ALAOC}} = \beta_{\text{abs}}(405\text{ nm}) - \beta_{\text{abs}}(870\text{ nm}) \times \frac{870}{405} \tag{1}$$

where $\beta_{\text{abs}}(405\text{ nm})$ and $\beta_{\text{abs}}(870\text{ nm})$ are the aerosol absorption coefficients at 405 and 870 nm, respectively. Here, the assumption is that BC absorbs both at 405 nm and 870 nm whereas LAOC absorbs strongly at 405 nm and negligibly at 870 nm. The second term is the equivalent BC absorption at 870 nm assuming inverse wavelength dependence. Equation (1) acknowledges that some of the relative enhancement of absorption at 405 nm may be due to wavelength selective
amplification by a non-absorbing organic coating, in addition to any contribution by organic carbon that may absorb strongly at 405 nm and not at 870 nm. Figure 3 shows the calculated monthly averaged ALAOC for the months of July and August. ALAOC was higher for July and almost nonexistent for August, which is consistent with the notion that organic matter emission from motor vehicles does not contain strong chromophores nor is abundant enough to cause strong coating effects. In addition, the BC core size for wood smoke is much smaller than for vehicular emissions. In July, the absorption due to ALAOC peaked at 10 Mm\(^{-1}\), suggesting that biomass burning was the main source of ALAOC during our measurements.

2.3 Single Scattering Albedo (SSA)

Single scattering albedo (SSA) is defined as the ratio of scattering coefficient to extinction coefficient. Figure 4 illustrates monthly averaged SSA for 405 nm and 870 nm. It depicts the contrasts between SSA for the months of July and August, during which aerosol absorption was dominated by wildfire aerosols and vehicular emissions, respectively, and highlights the different optical properties of the aerosols measured during this time period. It is noteworthy that calculated SSA was consistently higher at 870 nm than at 405 nm during July where SSA at 870 nm varies from 0.88 to 0.96 and SSA at 405 nm varies from 0.88 to 0.93. This can be attributed to the large proportion of ALAOC in wildfire aerosol transport from California that preferentially absorbs radiation in the UV region (Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006) thereby lowering SSA at 405 nm. Contrary to this observation, during August SSA at 405 nm (0.71–0.97) was in general higher than SSA at 870 nm (0.68–0.95) suggesting the dominance of the BC emission from vehicles with absorption coefficients inversely proportional to the wavelength. Also apparent from Fig. 4 is the strong diurnal cycle on SSA, with minima in the morning rush hour (6–10 a.m.) and maxima on either side. This can be explained in terms of local conditions associated with rush hour vehicular emissions and mixing of the aerosol with development of the boundary layer. Photochemical activity during daytime and the growth of particle size might have increased scattering activity of the aerosol that causes the higher value of SSA at both wavelengths in the later part of day.

2.4 Ångström Exponent of Absorption (AEA)

The wavelength dependence of absorption is conventionally quantified using the AEA (\(\alpha_{abs}\)), which for the wavelengths of 405 and 870 nm can be written as

\[
\alpha_{abs} = -\ln \left[ \frac{\beta_{abs}(405\text{ nm})}{\beta_{abs}(870\text{ nm})} \right] / \ln \left[ \frac{405}{870} \right],
\]

where \(\beta_{abs}(405)\) and \(\beta_{abs}(870)\) are the absorption coefficients at 405 and 870 nm, respectively.

A plot of the monthly averaged, diurnal Ångström exponent of absorption (AEA) for 405–870 nm is shown in Fig. (5). Strong diurnal cycles of AEA during July and August are apparent in these observations. This clearly distinguishes the dependence of AEA for the months of July and August. During July, AEA varies from 1.42 to 2.07, and is consistent with the measurements reported elsewhere for biomass burning aerosols (Bergstrom et al., 2007; Clarke et al., 2007; Kirchstetter et al., 2004). The diurnal pattern of AEA for July shows its minimum around morning rush hour which can be attributed to local dominance of vehicular emission of black carbon. During the “normal” month of August AEA varies from 0.88 to 1.28. Most of the AEA values are
closer to 1.0 during the morning rush hour and until after-
noon as observed by the same authors and are described as
the characteristics of black carbon or “soot” (Moosmüller et
al., 2009). AEA starts to decrease in the afternoon and is at
a minimum (0.88) in the later part of the day. At this time of
day, the concentration of BC decreases with expansion of the
boundary layer; but mixing, coating, and coagulation of BC
with organic and inorganic aerosols affects its absorption in
the diluted state. The enhancement might be slightly greater
for 870 nm than for 405 nm at this time, consistent with low
AEA values. Further, our simulations of AEA show the possi-
bility of getting AEA values less than one for uncoated as
well as coated carbon spheres.

Bergstrom et al. (2007) pointed out that the observation
of AEA less than one is interesting and might be due to
measurement uncertainties or to slightly larger values of
the imaginary part of the refractive index at longer wave-
lengths for certain particles. Very low values of AEA have
been reported without explanation for different situations
(Bergstrom et al., 2007; Clarke et al., 2007; Roden et al.,
2006; Subramanian et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2009). Clark et
al. (AGU poster, 2005) has mentioned an AEA from 0.3 to
1.3 for urban air.

2.5 Comparison with laboratory observations

A comparison of optical properties of California wildfire
smoke aerosol of summer 2008 was made with the optical
properties of emissions from laboratory combustion of
wildland and agricultural fuels in the Fire Science Labora-
tory of the USFS in Missoula, Montana (Lewis et al., 2008)
as shown in Fig. 6. This comparison reveals that the opti-
cal properties of the California wildfire smoke aerosol of
summer 2008 are closest to those of emissions from the
combustion of different pine fuels. The observed SSA at
Reno, Nevada of the California wildfire of summer 2008 was
slightly greater than observed at the laboratory, possibly due
to the increase of SSA during about six hours of aging since
emission (Abel et al., 2003) and also possibly influenced by
differences in fuel moisture and combustion conditions.

2.6 Simulations and discussion

The optical model of a highly absorbing BC core surrounded
by a nonabsorbing shell is suggested for biomass burning
aerosols (Martins et al., 1998) and for aged atmospheric soot
(Bond and Bergstrom, 2006). However, this model may be
unreliable for freshly emitted aerosols and long chain ag-
gregates of BC particles near the source in urban locations.
Shell-core model may overestimate aerosol light absorption
by less than 15% in comparison to the random location of
soot agglomerates (Fuller et al., 1999). This overestimate is
due to the focusing of electromagnetic energy at the BC core
due to lensing (Redemann et al., 2001). Laboratory investi-
gations have been conducted to confirm the atmospheric BC
aerosol coating effect on absorption (Schnaiter et al., 2005)
in which soot particles were coated with secondary organic
matter. Schwarz et al. (2008) discussed use of the shell-core
approach for in situ measurements of light absorption in the
tropical atmosphere. The coating on BC particles increases
with altitude to the lower stratosphere (Schwarz et al., 2008).

Ponderosa pine and other pine species were typical fuels
for the Northern California wildfires in 2008. In primary
emissions of smoke from burning ponderosa pine, a mass
fraction of less than 1% black carbon and around 99% or-
ganic matter was observed, and the black carbon core diam-
eter was typically 50 nm (Lewis et al., 2009). The fractal
structure of biomass burning aerosols becomes compacted
during atmospheric aging (Chakrabarty et al., 2007) and in-
creasingly spherical due to a coating of secondary species
like organic matter, and nitrate or sulfate species from gas to
particle conversion (Martins et al., 1998).

In August 2008, local-traffic-related emissions were the
dominant black carbon source. Aerosol absorption mea-
surements in the early morning are dominated by freshly
emitted soot particles having low fractal dimension whereas
within three hours after sunrise, absorption is due to photochemically-aged soot that is typically more hygro-
scopic than fresh soot (Moffet and Prather, 2009). The soot
core size after coating is typically around 200 nm (Moffet
and Prather, 2009).

The shell-core model for aerosol light absorption and scat-
tering was used to explore the parameter space of AEA rel-
vant to observations. The aim of the simulations presented
here was to investigate theoretically possible variations on
AEA for uncoated and coated carbonaceous spheres. The
core refractive index was taken to be wavelength indepen-
dent. For uncoated BC spheres with a complex refractive

Fig. 5. Monthly averaged, diurnal aerosol AEA (405–870 nm) for
the months of July and August vs. time of day. Uncertainty on AEA
was determined by assuming 5% relative uncertainty in photoacous-
tic absorption coefficient measurements.
Fig. 6. A comparison of monthly averaged, diurnal Ångström exponent of absorption (AEA) versus single scattering albedo (SSA) at 405 nm for the months of July and August 2008 with the AEA and SSA of laboratory-burned fuels. The comparison illustrates that the optical properties of California wildfire aerosols of 2008 are similar to pine smoke aerosols.

The effects of non-absorbing (refractive index 1.5, 0.0 i), and absorbing coatings (refractive index 1.5, 0.012 i at 405 nm and 1.5, 0.0 i at 870 nm) on AEA are shown in Figs. 8 and 9 respectively. The regions denoted by “C” in these figures represent the aerosol conditions in Reno, and likely other cities, in the afternoon when secondary aerosol mass has condensed on soot cores and compacted them by collapse to a more spherical shape. “F” in these figures represents the core sizes and coatings typical of ponderosa pine fire aerosol. “F” and “C” are representative of afternoon aerosol conditions in July (smoky) and August (urban aerosol only), respectively. For an identical core surrounded by a spherical coating with refractive index of (1.5, 0.0 i), AEA becomes as large as 1.6, even though the coating is non-absorbing, for example, in the parameter space near point “F” in Fig. 8. It is noteworthy that point “F” in Fig. 8 coincides with typical BC core and coating diameters observed in ponderosa pine smoke (Lewis et al., 2009). To reiterate, the coating need not be absorbing to give an AEA between 405 nm and 870 nm significantly larger than unity. By contrast, point “C” in Figs. 8 and 9, representative of the parameter space commonly observed for urban soot when coated and collapsed at mid-day due to secondary aerosol formation on the soot.
Fig. 8. Simulation of the Ångström exponent of absorption from values at 405 nm and 870 nm as a function of coating thickness and core diameter for a core with refractive index (1.55, 0.8 i) and a coating with refractive index (1.5, 0.0 i). In this case, the coating does not absorb light. The regions denoted by “C” represent aerosol conditions likely on cities in the afternoon when secondary aerosol has condensed on soot cores and compacted them to a more spherical shape. The regions denoted by “F” represent the core size and coatings typical of ponderosa pine smoke aerosol. (Schnaiter et al., 2003), corresponds to AEA significantly less than unity for both absorbing and non absorbing coatings. However, freshly emitted soot in the predawn hours before collapse to a more compact shape is likely to have an AEA greater than or equal to unity (Bergstrom et al., 2007) as observed in Fig. 5. These calculations were performed with monodisperse particles. Features, such as the black islands (Fig. 8) and the spiky structures (Fig. 9), are caused by the “Mie wiggles” and will disappear for polydisperse model calculations. The power law enhancement caused by nonabsorbing coatings is also clearly seen with calculations using polydisperse aerosols.

The relative minimum in AEA for typical urban conditions in the afternoon as shown in Fig. 5 is associated with point “C” in either Figs. 8 or 9. In other words, relatively large carbon cores typical of collapsed primary emissions of soot from motor vehicles in cities when coated with either absorbing or non-absorbing shells have AEA significantly less than unity for wavelengths 405 nm and 870 nm. By contrast, the smoky month of July, as shown in Fig. 5, has AEA as large as 2, suggesting that the model point “F” in Fig. 9 for the core with an absorbing coating is the correct interpretation for the cause of these observed values of AEA.

Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 8, though for a core with refractive index (1.55, 0.8 i) and a coating with a refractive index of (1.5, 0.012 i) at 405 nm and (1.5, 0.0 i) at 870 nm. This coating selectively absorbs light at 405 nm. The regions denoted by “C” represent aerosol conditions for cities in the afternoon when secondary aerosol has condensed on soot cores and compacted them to a more spherical shape. The regions denoted by “F” represent the core size and coatings typical of ponderosa pine smoke aerosol.

3 Conclusions

Our simulations confirm that large AEA values are possible even when coatings do not absorb light, especially when the BC core is much smaller than the wavelength of the laser used for aerosol optical measurements. The organic coating need not be intrinsically brown to observe effects commonly referred to as those caused by brown carbon light absorption. For relatively small cores, increasing coating thickness increases the AEA while for large cores, AEA does not change dramatically as a function of coating thickness.

On average, during the smoky month of July 2008 aerosol light extinction coefficients observed in Reno, Nevada were about an order of magnitude larger than those observed during the comparably smoke-free month of August 2008. Diurnal variation of aerosol extinction suggests that vertical development of the boundary layer is delayed under smoky conditions, likely due to reduction of the solar forcing at the surface. Comparison with laboratory-generated wood smoke suggests that aerosol optical properties in July are consistent with a predominant fuel source of pine needles and litter.

Measurements in August were typical of urban plumes. Midday values of the AEA were found to be significantly less than unity, as has been noted before. A likely explanation for this effect is that the wavelength range 405 nm to 870 nm
was large, and absorbing particle size is likely larger coincident with low AEA values. Particle absorption could be in the surface area regime at 405 nm, whereas it could be in the volume or resonance regime for 870 nm for sufficiently large particles. This work illustrates that aerosol optical characteristics can be quite different for vehicular-related and biomass burning aerosol.
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