A new physically-based quantification of marine isoprene and primary organic aerosol emissions
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Abstract. The global marine sources of organic carbon (OC) are estimated here using a physically-based parameterization for the emission of marine isoprene and primary organic matter. The marine isoprene emission model incorporates new physical parameters such as light sensitivity of phytoplankton isoprene production and dynamic euphotic depth to simulate hourly marine isoprene emissions totaling 0.92 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\). Sensitivity studies using different schemes for the euphotic zone depth and ocean phytoplankton speciation produce the upper and the lower range of marine-isoprene emissions of 0.31 to 1.09 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Established relationships between sea spray fractionation of water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) and chlorophyll-\(a\) concentration are used to estimate the total primary sources of marine sub- and super-micron OC of 2.9 and 19.4 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. The consistent spatial and temporal resolution of the two emission types allow us, for the first time, to explore the relative contributions of sub- and super-micron organic matter and marine isoprene-derived secondary organic aerosol (SOA) to the total OC fraction of marine aerosol. Using a fixed 3\% mass yield for the conversion of isoprene to SOA, our emission simulations show minor (<0.2\%) contribution of marine isoprene to the total marine source of OC on a global scale. However, our model calculations also indicate that over the tropical oceanic regions (30\(^{\circ}\)S to 30\(^{\circ}\)N), marine isoprene SOA may contribute over 30\% of the total monthly-averaged sub-micron OC fraction of marine aerosol. The estimated contribution of marine isoprene SOA to hourly-averaged sub-micron marine OC emission is even higher, approaching 50\% over the vast regions of the oceans during the midday hours when isoprene emissions are highest. As it is widely believed that sub-micron OC has the potential to influence the cloud droplet activation of marine aerosols, our findings suggest that marine isoprene SOA could play critical role in modulating properties of shallow marine clouds and influencing the climate.

1 Introduction

Marine aerosols strongly affect properties and lifetime of stratiform clouds, influencing Earth’s radiation budget and climate. Established sources of marine aerosol include primary emission of sea-salt particles and dimethyl sulfide (DMS), the atmospheric oxidation of which is a major source of non-sea-salt (nss) sulfate in remote marine air (Shaw, 1983; Andreae et al., 1986; Charlson et al., 1987; O’Dowd et al., 1997). It has also been proposed that primary emissions of biogenic organic matter, bacterial and viral debris from wave breaking (Middlebrook et al., 1998; O’Dowd et al., 2004), and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from phytoplankton-emitted biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) (O’Dowd et al., 2002; Meskhidze and Nenes, 2006; O’Dowd and de Leeuw, 2007) can act synergistically with the established mechanisms, leading to changes in marine aerosol chemical composition and number concentration. Significant abundances of organic carbon (OC) aerosols have been observed in marine environments (Novakov et al., 1997; Putaud et al., 2000; Cavalli et al., 2004; Yoon et al., 2007; Pio et al., 2007), particularly over the regions of enhanced oceanic biological activity (O’Dowd et al., 2004). Despite the significant progress in the recent decade, the mechanism and the magnitude of the marine OC sources are still highly uncertain, and the role of oceanic biota in modifying chemical composition and size distribution of marine cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) remains one of the most intriguing questions in the climate studies.

Marine organic aerosols appear to have two distinctly different sources which can be broadly classified as primary or secondary. Primary marine organic aerosols of biogenic
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origin are emitted from the ocean by the bubble bursting process, forming internally mixed aerosol of sea-salt and organics (Middlebrook et al., 1998; Cavalli et al., 2004). Primary marine organic aerosols, especially in the sub-micron diameter size range, are typically comprised of insoluble surface active organic colloids and aggregates (Blanchard, 1964; Gershey, 1983; Leck and Bigg, 2005; Facchini et al., 2008). Analyses of marine aerosol chemical composition show that during the periods of high ocean productivity, concentration of water insoluble organic aerosols in the accumulation mode increase by almost a factor of 10 (from 0.07 to 0.62 µg m⁻³) and comprise up to 45% of the total sub-micron aerosol mass in the marine air (O’Dowd et al., 2004).

Secondary organic aerosols of marine origin can be formed by the oxidation of phytoplankton-emitted BVOC. Out of multiple BVOC emitted by phytoplankton, including DMS, halocarbons, isoprene, and monoterpenes (Shaw et al., 1983; Tokarczyk et al., 1994; Bonsang et al., 1992; Yassee et al., 2008), in this study we focus on marine emissions of isoprene. Photooxidation of isoprene has been shown to lead to the formation of SOA (Claeys et al., 2004). Recent laboratory chamber studies show that SOA yields (defined as the ratio of the mass of SOA formed to the mass of isoprene reacted) are 1–2% at high NOₓ levels (Kroll et al., 2005), ~3% at low NOₓ levels (Kroll et al., 2006) and up to 24% from the reaction of isoprene with the nitrate radicals (Ng et al., 2008). Aqueous phase chemical processes offer additional SOA production pathways increasing the SOA yields up to 42% (Ervens et al., 2008). Further uncertainties in the assessments of global marine SOA sources arise from the fact that marine isoprene emissions and isoprene concentrations in the marine boundary layer have been shown to be related to sunlight (Lewis et al., 2001; Shaw et al., 2003; Liakakou et al., 2007; Sinha et al., 2007), temperature (Shaw et al., 2003) and species of phytoplankton (Shaw et al., 2003). The current estimates for global marine isoprene emissions range between 0.10–1.68 Tg C yr⁻¹ (0.11–1.9 Tg yr⁻¹) based on global extrapolations of in-situ measurements (Bonsang et al., 1992; Sinha et al., 2007), satellite observations (Palmer and Shaw, 2005; Arnold et al., 2009), and modeling studies (Arnold et al., 2009). These estimates are summarized in Table 1.

There have been some recent advances in the modeling of marine organic aerosol emissions and their impact on clouds. Roelofs (2008) demonstrated that the inclusion of marine organic aerosols in a climate model decreases the predicted cloud droplet effective radii, increases droplet number concentration and results in better agreement with satellite measurements over marine areas. Spracklen et al. (2008) used back trajectory-weighted satellite-derived chlorophyll-a concentrations ([Chl-a]) and two global chemical transport models to create marine OC emissions, concluding that the marine source is a globally significant source of OC, comparable to that of fossil fuels. O’Dowd et al. (2008) produced a combined organic/inorganic sea spray function to estimate marine primary organic aerosol emissions and found better model-predicted aerosol mass concentration over coastal areas. Langmann et al. (2008) extended these marine primary organic emissions to the global scale. Arnold et al. (2009) used new laboratory measurements of isoprene production, satellite-derived phytoplankton speciation, and top-down modeling to constrain global marine isoprene emissions. They found that marine isoprene does not make up a significant portion of the global OC budget at several marine sites and concluded that marine isoprene does not have a large role in affecting global marine aerosol abundance. Table 1 summarizes reported global OC emissions estimates. In this study, we use new laboratory

### Table 1. Global annual total marine emissions of isoprene and organic carbon aerosols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global marine emissions</th>
<th>Average estimate</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene (Tg C yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>In-situ</td>
<td>Bonsang et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>Palmer and Shaw (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>In-situ</td>
<td>Sinha et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>Arnold et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>Arnold et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-micron Primary Organic Carbon (Tg C yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>Spracklen et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>Langmann et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-micron Primary Organic Carbon (Tg C yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Carbon (Tg C yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>Spracklen et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Modeling</td>
<td>Roelofs (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>Remote Sensing</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measurements of isoprene production by phytoplankton under a range of light conditions, as well as surface wind and [Chl-a]-dependent emissions of marine primary organic aerosols, to create high temporal resolution global emission maps of marine isoprene and primary OC aerosols.

2 Methods

2.1 Phytoplankton cultures and chlorophyll analysis

Three diatom strains, *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (CCMP 1336), *Thalassiosira pseudonana* (CCMP 1335), and *Chaetoceros neogracile* (CCMP 1318), and a coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* (CCMP 375) were grown on L1-based (Sigma-Aldrich) medium in a climate-controlled room with constant temperature and light conditions of 22°C and ~90 µE m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively, to replicate optimal growing conditions. These well-studied species were primarily chosen for their abundance; however, *C. neogracile* and *E. huxleyi* were also selected due to the availability of reported laboratory measurements (Shaw et al., 2003; Colomb et al., 2008), that were used for intercomparison with our data. The seawater and nutrients were prepared for culturing by autoclaving overnight to remove bacterial contamination. Cultures were grown in 11 Erlenmeyer flasks covered with aluminum foil allowing air transfer to prevent carbon dioxide limitation. Prior to the experiment, 20 ml samples were transferred onto Whatman GF/F filters under vacuum and stored in freezer for later analysis of [Chl-a]. Chlorophyll-α was extracted with 90% acetone and concentration determined following the method of Holm-Hansen and Riemann (1978) using a Turner fluorometer model #450.

2.2 Isoprene measurements

After an incubation period ranging from 7–14 days, 30 ml aliquots of the dense phytoplankton cultures were transferred to Wheaton 40 ml EPA glass vials and sealed using open-top screw caps with 10 mm PTFE and 90 mm silicone septa. The 10 ml of headspace remaining above the sample was measured by QSL-100 Laboratory Quantinum Scalar Irradiance Meter (Biospherical Instruments, San Diego, CA). The intensity of light reaching the samples was controlled by lining the bottom of the water bath with several layers of semi-translucent fiberglass screen. Prior calibration testing revealed that each layer of the screen absorbed approximately half of the incoming light.

Laboratory measurements of isoprene concentrations were taken using headspace gas chromatography with a Photovac Voyager (Photovac, Inc., Waltham, MA) portable GC with a photoionization detector (PID) at the North Carolina State University campus in Raleigh, NC. Ultra high-purity nitrogen was used as a carrier gas. The system was calibrated against a standard containing 103.2±10.3 ppb (v/v) isoprene in ultrapure nitrogen (Scott-Marr Inc., Riverside, CA). The detector output is linear from 0 to 460 ppb v/v isoprene concentration with an intercept through zero (Gerone et al., 2006). We estimate that both precision and accuracy were within 5% as determined by repeated measurements of the standard gas and inter-calibration against PTR-MS (Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry) during intensive field study at the University of Virginia at Charlottesville. According to the instrument calibration records carried out at Photovac, Inc., the lower detection limit of our analytical system is 3 ppbv. Using a Hamilton Gastight #1750 syringe, 500 µL of the headspace from each sample were injected in the gas sample loop with 0.5 to 1.5 h intervals to monitor the changes in isoprene concentrations inside the sealed vials. Column temperature was isothermal at 60°C and pressure was constant at 6 psi. During each experiment, we kept several phytoplankton samples in the dark and observed isoprene concentration levels that were below the detection limit. This indicates that like *Prochlorococcus* (Shaw et al., 2003), isoprene production from selected diatom and coccolithophore species were negligible under nighttime conditions. The isoprene concentrations from the headspace of blank seawater samples were measured and used as the background air that was subtracted from the phytoplankton sample measurements. Background isoprene concentrations were below the detection limit (<3 ppb) in the laboratory measurements, while the measured isoprene mixing ratio ranged between 5 and 20 ppb.

Comparison of [Chl-a]-normalized isoprene production rates and PAR showed that for all types of phytoplankton tested in this work, isoprene production rates increase under increasing light intensity with a rapid increase at low levels and gradual increases at high levels of irradiance. This pattern of isoprene production is similar to that of terrestrial vegetation (Guenther et al., 1991; Guenther et al., 1993) and previous phytoplankton measurements (Shaw et al., 2003). The diamonds on Fig. 1 show the mean of all measurements for a given light intensity for the diatoms species and *E. huxleyi*, which represents coccolithophores. The error bars indicate one standard deviation of the measurements for each phytoplankton group. There are several possible reasons for the wide range in production rates not quantified in this study, including phytoplankton senescence or photoinhibition of marine phytoplankton photosynthesis (Shaw et al., 2009).
2.3 Phytoplankton speciation

Global phytoplankton speciation is estimated by using two distinct methods: the PHYSAT (Alvain et al., 2005, 2008), and the nutrient depleting temperature (NDT) (Kamykowski et al., 2002). In the PHYSAT method, satellite-derived normalized water-leaving radiance (nLw) from 5 different wavelengths are compared to the lookup tables of average nLw (nLw*) for each wavelength based on [Chl-a]. Speciation is determined based on the unique effects on satellite-observed nLw by the pigments of each phytoplankton class. The global monthly maps of nLw data at 1° latitude × 1° longitude (1° × 1°) resolution were created by re-gridding the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) monthly-averaged ~9 km resolution nLw data for the year 2001. By comparing the obtained nLw data with the lookup tables of nLw*, the following dominant phytoplankton classes were identified: nanoeukaryotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, diatoms, and Phaeocystis-like plankton/coccolithophores. In the NDT method, seasonal and inter-annual nutrient (i.e., nitrate, phosphate, silicate and iron) variability and remotely sensed [Chl-a] data is used to infer the likely phytoplankton cell size and taxonomic composition (Kamykowski et al., 2002). SeaWiFS monthly-averaged ~9 km resolution sea surface temperature (SST) was regridded to 1° × 1° and compared to NDT tables of nutrient categories (Kamykowski et al., 2002) to create global monthly maps of phytoplankton classes for year 2001. The two methods (PHYSAT and NDT) show general agreement in the spatial and temporal distribution of phytoplankton speciation (see Figs. S2, S3: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/4915/2009/acp-9-4915-2009-supplement.pdf). In tropical waters (30° S to 30° N) both methods show small-celled phytoplankton (e.g., Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and nanoeukaryotes) dominate. However, in mid-latitude waters (60° S to 30° S and 30° N to 60° N) each method predicts higher abundance of diatoms. In this study, the PHYSAT model is used as a default for the assessments of the global marine-isoprene emissions because it directly represents the dominant phytoplankton groups rather than using a proxy as in the NDT method. In addition, the PHYSAT method differentiates between Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and nanoeukaryotes, while the NDT method does not. Recently, PHYSAT has been proven successful in characterizing changes in the dominant phytoplankton group during the crossing of oceanic fronts in the Southern Indian Ocean (Colomb et al., 2009). In Sect. 4.1 we present sensitivity calculations using NDT method to estimate how distinct methods and the uncertainties in phytoplankton community composition can affect our results for the total global oceanic emissions of isoprene.
2.4 Marine isoprene emissions

Estimated isoprene production rates for some of the major phytoplankton species under variable light intensity described in Sect. 2.2 were used to create global maps of oceanic isoprene emission. The amount of solar radiation received by phytoplankton at different depths of the water column is calculated using hourly \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\) all-sky surface incoming solar radiation \(I_o\) (W m\(^{-2}\)) from the Global Weather Research and Forecasting Model (G-WRF) v. 3.0 (Richardson et al., 2007). Total solar radiation in units of W m\(^{-2}\) was converted to PAR measurements in units of \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) by using the following approximate conversion: 1 W m\(^{-2}\) \(\approx\) 2 \(\mu\)E m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Jacovides et al., 2004). The values of downwelling irradiance within a water column were characterized using the diffuse attenuation coefficient values at 490 nm \(k_{490}\) (m\(^{-1}\)) obtained from the SeaWiFS satellite (O’Reilly et al., 1998) and regridded to \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\). The light propagation throughout the water column with depth \(h\) (meters) is estimated by applying Beer-Lambert’s Law, given by: \(I=I_o e^{-k h}\). The total water depth \(H_{\text{max}}\), through which isoprene production can occur in our model was assumed to extend from the surface to the point at which the light levels are reduced to 2.5 W m\(^{-2}\), which is the level at which the photosynthesis ceases in Prochlorococcus (Shaw et al., 2003). This depth, which represents the maximum possible extent of the planktonic euphotic zone, is determined using the following equation:

\[
H_{\text{max}} = \left( -\ln \left( \frac{2.5}{I_o} \right) \right) k_{490}^{-1}
\]  

Because \(H_{\text{max}}\) is dependent on incoming solar radiation, it is dynamic throughout the day with a midday maxima and a depth of zero at night. Typical midday values for \(H_{\text{max}}\) range from 5 m in near coastal waters to 300 m in remote oligotrophic waters. This is the first time when dynamic euphotic depth is used for modeling marine isoprene production. Calculations documenting the sensitivity of our global marine-isoprene emissions to selection of the euphotic zone depth are presented in Sect. 4.2.

The total column isoprene emission \(E_{\text{iso}}\) (\(\mu\)mole h\(^{-1}\)) is found by integrating Eq. (1) for the euphotic zone of \(H_{\text{max}}\):

\[
E_{\text{iso}} = SA \cdot H_{\text{max}} \cdot [\text{Chl-a}] \cdot F_{\text{iso}} \cdot \int_{0}^{H_{\text{max}}} \text{pdh}
\]  

Here \(SA\) is the surface area of the \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\) grid cell (m\(^2\)) and \(F_{\text{iso}}\) is the emission fraction (i.e., fraction of water column produced isoprene that is emitted to the atmosphere) and \([\text{Chl-a}]\) (mg m\(^{-3}\)) was derived from monthly-average, \(\sim 9\) km, Level 3 SeaWiFS data (O’Reilly et al., 1998). SeaWiFS was shown to have accurate retrieval of surface \([\text{Chl-a}]\) in coastal as well as remote oceanic sites (Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2004). The emission fraction is calculated as the ratio of sea-air emissions of isoprene to total isoprene loss in the water column from chemical, biological, and ocean-air exchange following the method of Palmer and Shaw (2005). In this formulation, it is assumed that the light at depth will continue to diffuse at a rate identical to the surface diffuse attenuation retrieved by SeaWiFS and that the \([\text{Chl-a}]\) is constant throughout \(H_{\text{max}}\). Extrapolating these satellite-derived surface water properties to waters below one optical depth is unrealistic, and some overestimation of \([\text{Chl-a}]\) and \(k_{490}\) is likely.

Overall, there are two main differences between our \(E_{\text{iso}}\) formulation and that of previously published studies (e.g., Palmer and Shaw, 2005; Arnold et al., 2009): 1) the use of a dynamic \(H_{\text{max}}\) based on hourly modeled \(I_o\) and satellite-derived \(k_{490}\) as opposed to taking a mixed layer depth based on climatology, and 2) the integration of light-sensitive species-dependent isoprene production rates through \(H_{\text{max}}\) as opposed to a constant (or species-dependent but fixed) isoprene production rate.

2.5 Marine primary organic aerosol emissions

Chemical analyses of marine aerosols show that OC residing in the sub- and super-micron modes of sea-spray particles has considerably different water solubility (Facchini et al., 2008). While water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) comprises the major portion (94±4%) of sub-micron OC particles, watersoluble organic carbon (WSOC) contributes more than one-third (33±3%) of total carbon in the coarse size fraction of marine aerosols (Facchini et al., 2008). Here the global marine primary OC emissions are estimated separately in sub- and super-micron range. Over the productive regions of the oceans, the sub-micron marine aerosol mass was shown to be dominated by insoluble organics, while the super-micron aerosols were dominated by sea-salt (Facchini et al., 2008). The sub-micron mass emission rate \(E_{\text{sub}}\) (ng m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) of marine primary organic aerosols is obtained by multiplying the mass emission rate of marine particles less than 1 \(\mu\)m in diameter from Cebernis et al. (2008) (determined using 22 meter wind speed) by \(F_{\text{sub}}\), the chlorophyll-dependent mass contribution of WIOC in sub-micron sea-spray aerosols from O’Dowd et al. (2008):

\[
E_{\text{sub}} = 9.6 \times 10^{-5} \left( U_{10} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{0.0012^{0.5}}{0.4} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{22}{10} \right) \right)^{4.23} \cdot F_{\text{sub}},
\]  

when \(U_{10} \leq 11\) ms\(^{-1}\)

\[
E_{\text{sub}} = 9.6 \times 10^{-5} \left( U_{10} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{(0.49 + 0.065 \cdot U_{10}) \cdot 10^{-3}}{0.4} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{22}{10} \right) \right)^{4.23} \cdot F_{\text{sub}},
\]  

\(\ln(22/10)\)
when $U_{10}>11$ m s$^{-1}$, where $U_{10}$ is the wind speed at 10 m above the water surface (m s$^{-1}$) and $F_{\text{sub}}=0.63$ [Chl-$a$]+0.1 (O’Dowd et al., 2008). In this formulation, we convert the 22 m wind speed used in Ceburnis et al. (2008) to $U_{10}$ by applying the correction factor from Andreas (1998) which assumes neutral stability and uses a logarithmic wind profile with height. The $U_{10}$ was obtained using 4-times daily 10 m wind velocity from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Reanalysis (http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/cdc/reanalysis/) for the year 2001 and spatially interpolated the data to $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$. The organic matter (OM) emission rate was converted to an OC emission rate by using the OC to OM conversion factor of 1.4 (Dechesari et al., 2007; Facchini et al., 2008).

The super-micron primary organic mass emission rate is calculated in a way similar to the sub-micron emission rate, though using a different sea-spray function and an organic mass fraction of the sea-spray, reflecting the minor contribution of organics to the coarse aerosol mode. The Gong-Monahan function (Gong, 2003) for sea-spray number emission rate ($N$) was integrated with aerosol radius at 80% relative humidity ($r_{80}$) (Gong et al., 1997) and converted into the super-micron mass emission rate $E_{\text{sup}}$ (ng m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of marine primary organic aerosols as:

$$E_{\text{sup}} = \rho_{ap} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi \times 10^{-3} \times F_{\text{sup}} \times \int_{5}^{4} N(r_{80}) \times r^3 dr$$

where $F_{\text{sup}}$ is the chlorophyll-dependent mass contribution of OC in super-micron sea-spray aerosols, $\rho_{ap}$ is the bulk density of dry sea-spray particle: $\rho_{ap}=\frac{\rho_{ls}}{1-F_{\text{sup}}(1-\frac{\rho_{ls}}{\rho_{org}})}$, $r$ is the dry aerosol radius (in $\mu$m), $\rho_{ss}$ is the sea-salt density (2.165 g cm$^{-3}$), and $\rho_{org}$ is the WIOC density (1 g cm$^{-3}$) (Cavalli et al., 2004). Integration limits with radii from 0.5–4 $\mu$m correspond to the size range of super-micron particles measured in several marine organic aerosol studies (O’Dowd et al., 2004; Facchini et al., 2008). Despite the dominance of sea-salt in super-micron marine aerosols, it was shown that over the productive region of the North Atlantic Ocean, organic mass contributed $\sim3\%$ of 2–4 $\mu$m radius sea-spray particle mass (Facchini et al., 2008). Using this percent as an estimate of the total super-micron organics, we applied a similar weighting function from O’Dowd et al. (2008) with $F_{\text{sup}}=3\% \times F_{\text{sub}}$. The super-micron mass emission rate of marine primary OC was calculated using an estimated OC to OM conversion factor of 1.52 reflecting the higher WSOC content of coarse marine organic aerosols (Dechesari et al., 2007; Facchini et al., 2008). It is important to note that the calculation of the global super-micron primary OC emission uses an extrapolation of the organic fraction from O’Dowd et al. (2008), and thus should be considered as preliminary.

### Results

Recent studies suggest that physico-chemical properties of marine aerosols can be linked to the observed seasonal cycle of [Chl-$a$] in ocean surface waters (Yoon et al., 2007). Based on the vertical concentration gradient measurements of marine aerosols at the coastal research station in Mace Head, Ireland, two distinct production mechanisms for WSOC and WIOC organic carbon were proposed (Ceburnis et al., 2008). It was shown that WIOC had a net production at the surface (i.e., primary production), while WSOC was predominantly of secondary origin. In order to derive global oceanic source of OC, we consider separately primary emissions and SOA production.

#### 3.1 Global marine isoprene emissions

Global marine isoprene emissions are calculated hourly for 2001 using Eq. (3) for each $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid cell and converted to units of molecules cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to compare with reported in-situ measurements. Figure 2 shows marine isoprene emission rates in different seasons for the year 2001, calculated by taking a monthly-mean of the hourly data for January and July. The emissions range from $\sim5 \times 10^5$ to $>6 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and are comparable to published in-situ emission measurements and modeling results (Bonsang et al., 1992; Milne et al., 1995; Broadgate et al., 1997; Baker et al., 2000; Matsunaga et al., 2002; Broadgate et al., 2004; Greenburg et al., 2005; Palmer and Shaw, 2005; Liakakou et al., 2007; Sinha et al., 2007). The highest emission values are generally estimated during the spring and summer seasons in the mid-latitudes (September–March in the Southern Ocean and northern winter–spring in the North Pacific).
April–August in the North Atlantic/Pacific Ocean); coastal waters affected by upwelling and river runoffs also demonstrate high emission rates. Overall, the emission pattern of Fig. 2 is similar to that of Palmer and Shaw (2005), with the highest rates located in areas of the highest [Chl-\(\alpha\)] (see Fig. S1: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/4915/2009/acp-9-4915-2009-supplement.pdf), though comparison of Figs. 2 and S1 reveals some differences between the surface [Chl-\(\alpha\)] and isoprene emission rates. Compared to mid-latitude regions, widespread areas in tropical waters have relatively low chlorophyll-\(\alpha\) abundance, yet these tropical waters show high isoprene emission rates often reaching more than \(6 \times 10^7\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Such high emission rates throughout the year are likely to be attributed to the high solar radiation levels. Elevated rates of emissions and water concentrations of isoprene and other BVOC have been observed in several locations in tropical waters, presumably because of the high solar radiation (Bonsang et al., 1988). Comparison of Figs. 2 and S1 shows that marine isoprene production may resemble that of DMS (Toole and Siegel, 2004) having two distinct regimes, stress-forced (where emission rates are mainly driven by high levels of solar radiation) and bloom-forced (where emission rates are mostly related to high phytoplankton abundance).

In order to correctly simulate global marine isoprene SOA in addition to monthly mean emission values, it is very important for the models to correctly capture the diurnal variations of isoprene emissions. Due to the light dependence of isoprene production, it was shown that maximum mid-day emissions of marine isoprene are roughly an order of magnitude higher compared to the nighttime emissions (Sinha et al., 2007). Furthermore, the effects of marine isoprene SOA on properties of shallow marine clouds and the resulting changes in short-wave radiative flux at the top of the atmosphere are likely to be the most pronounced during the daylight conditions (Meskhiidze and Nenes, 2006). Therefore, calculating isoprene emissions on a frequency of an hour or less will provide better estimates for the possible contribution of marine OC aerosols to CCN chemical composition and size distribution. Currently there are few in-situ studies for the diurnal variations of marine isoprene emissions. In Fig. 3, the simulated isoprene emissions between 19 May and 26 May 2001 are compared to in-situ measurements from Matsunaga et al. (2002) from the same period and location. Figure 3 shows that in general, modeled emission rates are comparable to the observations in both magnitude and diurnal variation. However, the figure also shows the small overestimation of oceanic isoprene emissions during the day and strong underestimations at night. Such differences between the simulated and observed emissions are likely to be attributed to the absence of isoprene accumulation in the modeled water column.

In this study we estimate the total global marine isoprene emissions to be \(~0.92\) Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), within the wide range of values shown in Table 1. Such wide range is likely due to the highly variable physical and biological characteristics of the oceans. Parameters like the mixed layer depth, diffuse attenuation, [Chl-\(\alpha\)], and phytoplankton speciation can greatly vary both spatially and temporally, contributing to large differences in model estimates. Sensitivity calculations for different plankton speciation and water column depths presented in Sect. 4 show an estimated range of global isoprene emissions between 0.31 and 1.09 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\).

### 3.2 Global marine primary organic aerosol emissions

The sub-micron primary OC emission rates for January and July 2001 are shown in Fig. 4a, b. These figures show that the areas in the mid-latitudes (30° to 60°) have high emission rates throughout the year. In these regions, primary OC emissions are mainly controlled by high surface ocean winds; emission rates in excess of \(10^{10}\) molecules C cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (\(~2\) ng C cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) are common. The lowest primary OC emission rates occur in tropical waters, resulting from the relatively low surface wind speed and [Chl-\(\alpha\)]. The global distribution of super-micron primary organic aerosol emission rates, shown in Fig. 4c, d, are similar to the sub-micron emissions but with a higher magnitude, owing to the higher mass of larger particles.

In this study, we estimate global annual ocean emissions for sub- and super-micron primary OC to be about 2.9 and 19.4 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Different assessments for global annual marine OC emissions are summarized in Table 1. The reasons for the large variation in estimates include the limited number of in-situ measurements, the use of different sea-spray functions, and the implementation of distinct methods (modeling vs. remote sensing; bottom-up vs. top-down).
3.3 Relative importance of primary and secondary organic aerosols of marine origin

The relative contribution of SOA from marine isoprene to the total marine organic aerosol burden has been a topic of discussion in several recent papers (Vattovaara et al., 2006; Arnold et al., 2009). Dynamic, physically-based emission mechanisms for both primary OC and marine isoprene used in this study allow us to evaluate spatial and temporal distribution of the percentage contribution of marine isoprene SOA to the total simulated marine organic aerosol emissions. Here we focus on total sub-micron OC aerosols (sub-micron primary OC + marine isoprene SOA) because of their potential influence on CCN activation of marine aerosols (Nenes et al., 2002; O’Dowd et al., 2004). In order to compare SOA production rates to that of sub-micron primary OC, we assume a 3% mass yield of isoprene to SOA (Henze and Seinfeld, 2006) and an OC to SOA conversion factor of 1.6 (Turpin et al., 2001). Figure 5a, b shows widespread areas of the tropical oceanic regions where marine isoprene SOA contributes over 30% of total monthly averaged sub-micron OC emissions. This figure also shows that despite larger ocean emissions of isoprene in productive regions of the North Atlantic, North Pacific and the Southern Oceans (see Fig. 2), monthly-averaged marine isoprene SOA contributes a minor fraction of total marine sub-micron OC in these mid-latitude oceanic regions. Figure 6 shows that the zonally-averaged production rates of marine isoprene SOA are comparable to sub-micron primary OC aerosols in tropical regions, while in most other locations, marine sources of sub-micron OC are dominated by primary aerosols. Such high emission rates of sub-micron primary OC (more than an order of magnitude higher than the production rates for marine isoprene SOA) is primarily due to the year round high wind speed in the mid-latitude regions. Overall, despite marine isoprene SOA being a minor contributor to the global sub-micron marine aerosol OC fraction (see Table 1), Figures 5 and 6 show that marine isoprene SOA may potentially affect CCN chemical composition and size distribution over large regions of the global ocean.

In addition to spatial differences, ocean emissions of BVOCs are also characterized by strong diurnal variations
Fig. 6. Zonally averaged monthly-mean production rates of marine isoprene SOA (red) and emission rates of sub-micron primary organic aerosols (blue) for (a) January and (b) July 2001.

(Bonsang et al., 1988; Sinha et al., 2007). Therefore, the monthly-averaged values for marine isoprene emissions may underestimate the contribution of marine isoprene SOA to the total marine OC emissions during the day and overestimate at night. The global maps of Fig. 7 can be interpreted as a "snapshot" for the potential impact of midday marine isoprene SOA production on total sub-micron marine OC emissions. Figure 7 shows that the localized percentage contributions of marine isoprene SOA to the total sub-micron marine OC emissions approach 50%, even in areas with low monthly-averaged values (i.e., mid-latitude regions). Because emissions of marine isoprene and primary OC aerosols are primarily driven by solar radiation and surface wind speed respectively, the local meteorology plays a large role in determining the percentage contribution of marine isoprene SOA to total OC. Therefore, Fig. 7 shows that marine isoprene emissions at much higher temporal resolution (with time step on the order of an hour) may be required in order for the global models to correctly simulate radiative effect of marine OC aerosols.

Fig. 7. Percentage contribution of daily maximum marine isoprene SOA to corresponding total (primary and secondary) sub-micron marine OC emissions for (a) 1 January and (b) 1 July 2001.

3.4 Sensitivity analyses

In this section, sensitivity calculations are presented to illustrate how reasonable variations in model parameters affect the total global emissions of marine isoprene. Specifically we address model sensitivities to phytoplankton speciation and the extent of water column through which the isoprene production occurs. Phytoplankton speciation was chosen for the analysis because of the substantial differences in isoprene production rates for different groups of phytoplankton reported in Sect. 2.2, and the water column depth was tested due the uncertainty in phytoplankton abundance beyond the one optical depth viewable by satellites.

3.5 Phytoplankton speciation

Two different phytoplankton speciation schemes implemented in this study allow us to examine the impact on marine-source isoprene emission estimates to the uncertainties in the plankton speciation. The primary difference between the two examined methods (i.e., PHYSAT and NDT) is the diatom abundance in the mid-latitude and polar (>60°N/S) oceanic regions; the NDT method has a large area of diatom abundance, while the PHYSAT method has comparable ratios of nanoeukaryotes, diatoms, coccolithophores, and Phaeocystis-like phytoplankton. Because diatoms produce isoprene at a rate that is roughly 30% higher compared to other phytoplankton classes (see Fig. 1), the NDT speciation method gives total global annual isoprene emission of 1.09 Tg C yr⁻¹, i.e., ~20% higher than the PHYSAT
estimate. This finding suggests that the differences in phytoplankton speciation (deduced using two distinct methods) are likely to contribute about 20% uncertainty in global marine isoprene emissions. These results highlight the need for supplementary in-situ measurements of phytoplankton speciation in different parts of the global ocean.

3.6 Variable euphotic depth

For a sensitivity analysis of the effect of euphotic zone estimate on marine-isoprene production, we set \( H_{\text{max}} \) to one optically-sensed depth given as: \( H_{\text{max}} = k_a \). When limiting isoprene production to only the first optical depth (\( \sim 1 \) m to \( \sim 50 \) m based on the surface ocean [Chl-\( a \)]), the total global annual ocean isoprene emission are reduced to 0.31 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\). This estimate should be considered as the low limit of isoprene emissions, since it is common for the seawater [Chl-\( a \)] and dissolved isoprene maximum to occur in the mixed layer well below the first optical depth viewable by satellites (Milne et al., 1994).

4 Conclusion

A physically-based parameterization for the emission of marine isoprene and primary organic matter was used to estimate and compare the global oceanic sources of OC. In this study, new laboratory measurements of isoprene production by different phytoplankton species under a range of light conditions were performed. The obtained relationship was used in conjunction with remotely sensed data of [Chl-\( a \)], phytoplankton speciation and water attenuation of solar downwelling irradiance to simulate, for the first time, the diurnal variation of marine isoprene production in different parts of the global ocean. We estimate the total mean global marine-source isoprene emission to be 0.92 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\). Based on the sensitivity studies using different schemes for the euphotic zone depth and ocean phytoplankton speciation, we propose the upper and the lower range of marine-isoprene emissions to be between 0.31 and 1.09 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Our simulations reveal, that in addition to [Chl-\( a \)] and mixed layer depth, marine isoprene emission rates strongly depend on plankton speciation and the amount of solar incoming radiation. Such dependence of emission rates on environmental parameters may partially reconcile considerable discrepancies in past global marine isoprene production estimates. Despite comparable results, however, differences remain between observed and our model-predicted marine isoprene emissions, mainly under nighttime conditions. Underprediction of nighttime emissions of marine isoprene in our model is likely to be attributed to the absence of isoprene accumulation mechanism in the water column.

The emission model developed in this study also allowed us to explore the relative contribution of sub- and supermicron organic matter and marine isoprene SOA to the total OC fraction of marine aerosol. Our simulations show that marine isoprene is a minor source of a total global annually emitted marine OC aerosol which we estimate to be 22.3 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\). However, the importance of marine isoprene SOA becomes apparent when compared to sub-micron primary marine organic aerosol emissions. Sub-micron fraction was chosen for the comparison since it is believed that sub-micron OC potentially influences the CCN activation of marine aerosols. Our model simulations show that monthly-averaged marine sub-micron primary OC emissions are considerably higher than that of marine isoprene SOA in most oceanic regions, except in the tropics, where marine isoprene SOA contributes up to 30% of the total sub-micron OC fraction of marine aerosol. When midday hourly emission of marine isoprene SOA and sub-micron primary OC are compared, simulations show much larger (approaching 50%) contribution from marine isoprene SOA over large regions of the oceans. As the effect of marine isoprene SOA is particularly pronounced during the short interval of daylight conditions, an isoprene emission time step on the order of an hour may be required in order for global models to correctly capture its climatic implications. Uncertainties in isoprene production rates, SOA yields, wind fields and the limited sets of observational data are all likely to affect our model-predicted fluxes of primary and secondary marine OC. Despite these limitations, however, we believe that modeling results presented in this study demonstrate that marine isoprene SOA can significantly influence the sub-micron OC burden and potentially lead to changes in CCN activation properties of marine aerosol.

Cooperative efforts of researchers for in-situ emission measurements of isoprene and other BVOCs over biologically active regions of remote oceans combined with the extensive laboratory-based experiments are needed to gain further insight into the production of isoprene by marine biota and improve global estimates of marine isoprene emissions. Work is also needed to better constrain speciation and column abundances of phytoplankton in estuaries and near-coastal regions for the detailed, finer scale modeling of the near-coastal emission rates. Recent observations of monoterpene concentrations over the remote oceans (Yassaa et al., 2008; Columb et al., 2009) and the reported production of monoterpenes from phytoplankton monocultures (Yassaa et al., 2008) give additional support for the importance of marine sources of SOA. Future studies should also focus on elucidation of the potential effects of nutrient limitation, bacterial population, and grazing pressure on the rates of marine BVOC production.
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