Aircraft and ground-based measurements of hydroperoxides during the 2006 MILAGRO field campaign
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Abstract. Mixing ratios of hydrogen peroxide and hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide were determined aboard the US Department of Energy G-1 Research Aircraft during the March, 2006 MILAGRO field campaign in Mexico. Ground measurements of total hydroperoxide were made at Tecámac University, about 35 km NW of Mexico City. In the air and on the ground, peroxide mixing ratios near the source region were generally near 1 ppbv. Strong southerly flow resulted in transport of pollutants from Mexico City to two downwind surface sites on several flight days. On these days, it was observed that peroxide concentrations slightly decreased as the G-1 flew progressively downwind. This observation is consistent with low or negative net peroxide production rates calculated for the source region and is due to the very high NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations in the Mexico City plateau. However, relatively high values of peroxide were observed at takeoff and landing near Veracruz, a site with much higher humidity and lower NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations.

1 Introduction

In March 2006, MILAGRO (Megacity Initiative: Local and Global Research Observations), an international and multi-agency field experiment, took place with the primary goal of learning how a megacity affects air quality. Air pollution generated by megacities (i.e. population >10 million) is an important environmental, health, and financial issue (Molina and Molina, 2002). In addition to local effects, there is a potential for the growing number of megacities to have global impacts on air quality as well as climate change. Mexico City is uniquely situated on an elevated basin (2240 m m.s.l.) surrounded by mountains with openings to the north and south-southwest. This large city has diverse sources of fossil fuel combustion, including automotive (nearly 4 million vehicles), residential cooking and heating, and various industries providing ample amounts of hydrocarbons and oxides of nitrogen.

The Department of Energy (DOE) portion of MILAGRO, the Megacity Aerosol eXperiment – MEXico City (MAX-Mex) focused on the chemical, physical, and optical characterization of aerosols, as well as trace gas precursors of aerosols, and photochemistry. The DOE G-1 aircraft flew in and around the city source region (MCMA=Mexico City Metropolitan Area) and into the outflow from the city in an effort to study the effects of the megacity plume. The field program was designed so that investigators could follow the outflow of the source region (T0 site – Mexican Petroleum Institute, an urban site in the northwestern part of the MCMA) as it moved over two downwind sites (T1 – Tecámac University ~35 km from T0, and T2 – Rancho la Bisnaga ~70 km from T0) (Doran et al., 2007).

Peroxides are important termination products of the freeradical chemistry responsible for ozone formation in the troposphere. Under low NO\textsubscript{x} (nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide; [NO+NO\textsubscript{2}]) conditions, combination reactions of peroxy radicals (HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2}) leading to hydroperoxides (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and ROOH) are the primary termination pathway for...
the ozone (O_3) forming chain reaction. Under high NO_x conditions, concentrations of HO_2 and RO_2 are suppressed by reactions with NO. The primary termination pathway is then by reaction of free radicals with NO_x, leading to compounds collectively designated as NO_2, which include nitric acid (HNO_3), organic nitrates, and peroxyacetyl nitrates. Photochemical model calculations show that ozone production is NO_x- or VOC-limited according to whether it occurs under low or high NO_x conditions, or equivalently according to whether hydrogen peroxide or HNO_3 (sometimes approximated by NO_2) is the primary termination product (Stillman, 1995; Kleinman, 2001, 2005a). The ratio of H_2O_2 to HNO_3 therefore indicates whether O_3 was formed in a NO_x- or VOC-limited environment and can be used to develop O_3 mitigation strategies (Stillman, 1995, 1999; Watkins et al., 1990, 1994). G-1 flights were directed primarily at continuous flow derivatization and fluorescence detection (Lee et al., 1990, 1994). G-1 flights were directed primarily at measurements over Mexico City and downwind areas on the Mexico City plateau. Ferry segments to and from Veracruz, located in a more humid, less polluted environment 300 km to the east on the Gulf of Mexico, provide an interesting contrast to the observations taken over the plateau.

In comparison to other cities in which the G-1 has been used for urban sampling, NO_x concentrations over downtown Mexico City are extremely high (Kleinman et al., 2005b). Concentrations at 500 m altitude (a.g.l.) approach 100 ppbv, a value usually seen only in power plant plumes. Under these conditions it is expected that peroxide formation will be suppressed and O_3 production will be strongly VOC limited. Peak O_3 levels, however, occur in the afternoon under lower NO_x conditions in areas that are downwind of the City. The usual sequence of events is for photochemistry to start out VOC limited and become NO_x limited as an air mass ages (Kleinman et al., 2001). There is little observational evidence as to where and when this transition occurs in Mexico City and how it affects peak O_3 levels.

In place of direct observational evidence, models have been used to determine whether peak O_3 concentrations in Mexico City can be more effectively controlled by reducing NO_x or VOC emissions (Lei et al., 2007; Tie et al., 2007). Models typically are validated by their performance in predicting concentrations of O_3 and a few other commonly measured species. Often, such models correctly predict ozone, but fail to correctly predict concentrations of the peroxide and HNO_3 radical termination products. From the standpoint of developing O_3 control strategies, it is important that models properly represent the chemical pathways associated with NO_x and VOC limited conditions. Accurate H_2O_2 observations and model predictions of H_2O_2 are important in distinguishing between these pathways.

The MILAGRO campaign was the first instance in which gaseous hydroperoxides were measured in Mexico City. This study presents measurements from the T1 surface site and the G-1 aircraft using a glass coil inlet scrubber with continuous flow derivatization and fluorescence detection (Lee et al., 1990, 1994). G-1 flights were directed primarily at measurements over Mexico City and downwind areas on the Mexico City plateau. Ferry segments to and from Veracruz, located in a more humid, less polluted environment 300 km to the east on the Gulf of Mexico, provide an interesting contrast to the observations taken over the plateau.

Because we sample using aqueous solution, only soluble hydroperoxides are collected. Previous studies have shown that hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), methyl hydroperoxide (CH_3OOH or MHP) and hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide (HOCH_2OOH or HMHP) are the principal species observed under these conditions (Lee et al., 2000). H_2O_2 is the product of self-reaction of HO_2 radicals. CH_3OOH is also a radical termination product, arising from the reaction between HO_2 and CH_3O_2 radicals, the latter formed from oxidation of methane by OH radical. While HMHP may be formed from radical-radical reactions (Qi et al., 1999), it is also formed when ozone reacts with terminal alkenes in the atmosphere (Lee et al., 2000, and references therein). The particular hydroperoxides determined during this study are described in the experimental section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight</th>
<th>Start time (LST)</th>
<th>Stop time (LST)</th>
<th>General description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>060315b</td>
<td>15:00:41</td>
<td>18:15:36</td>
<td>Weak Southeasterly flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060318a</td>
<td>13:28:32</td>
<td>17:09:50</td>
<td>Southerly flow No a.m. flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060319a</td>
<td>09:58:40</td>
<td>12:52:41</td>
<td>Strong South-Southwesterly flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060319b</td>
<td>14:55:38</td>
<td>18:05:04</td>
<td>Strong Southwesterly flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060320a</td>
<td>09:32:50</td>
<td>12:17:22</td>
<td>Strong South-Southwesterly flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060320b</td>
<td>14:01:49</td>
<td>16:58:15</td>
<td>Strong Southwesterly flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060322a</td>
<td>09:30:46</td>
<td>12:23:30</td>
<td>Moderate Southwesterly flow No p.m. flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060326a</td>
<td>09:46:34</td>
<td>12:58:30</td>
<td>Weak Westerly to Southwesterly flow No p.m. flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060327a</td>
<td>10:57:37</td>
<td>14:09:50</td>
<td>Weak Southerly flow No p.m. flight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Experimental

2.1 Meteorological conditions and G-1 flights

A trajectory analysis by Doran et al. (2007) indicates the days when pollutants from Mexico City were likely to impact the T1 and T2 ground sites (Table 1). The G-1 flew nine flights with the peroxide instrument aboard, five of which were on 18, 19, 20 March with transport from Mexico City to T1 and T2. Several other days had briefer periods of flow from the urban region to these surface sites (i.e. 26 and 27 March). In some cases, the air traveling over the surface sites did not originate in the urban basin (i.e. 15 March). Also observed was a distinct change in the relative humidity on 21st March thus separating the field experiment into a dry period (1–20 March) and a wet period (21–28 March). The rate of growth of the boundary layer (BL) appeared to be similar at T1 and T2, with BL depth increasing at both sites from about 1000 m at 11:00 to about 3500 m at 15:00 LST. These depths were slightly lower than observed in a previous campaign in Mexico City (Doran et al., 1998, 2007).

The DOE G-1 Research Aircraft was based at sea level at the General Heriberto Jara International Airport in Veracruz, Mexico. Starting on 3 March 2006 through the end of the month, the DOE G-1 flew 15 research flights, and peroxide measurements were made on every flight starting on the afternoon of 15 March through 27 March. Results reported in this paper use only the data subset for this period of time. Typically, there was a morning flight track around the source region (L3, L4, L5), over the source region (L0) and sometimes downwind (L1 and L2). L0, L1 and L2 designate flight legs that passed within 3 km of the ground sites T0, T1 and T2, respectively (Fig. 1). Afternoon flight tracks usually included L0, L1 and L2. For a description of trace gas and particle instrumentation aboard the G-1, the reader is directed to Springston, 2006.

2.2 G-1 peroxide measurements

Hydroperoxides were captured by passing sampled air over an aqueous surface film in a glass coil scrubber, followed by continuous-flow derivatization, and fluorescence detection, similar to earlier measurements (Lee et al., 1990, 1994).
Three independent channels, using different reagents, were used to allow detection of the dissolved hydroperoxides, as summarized in Table 2. Details of the collection and analysis system can be found in the references. Due to the high altitude required for flights over Mexico City, we reconfigured the peroxide analyzer for operation in a pressurized cabin. The inlet was designed to minimize contact of sampled air with dry surfaces prior to scrubbing. Ram air was directed through a 45° forward-facing 1/2” ID ID bypass, and drawn through 4.2” of 1/4” OD tubing prior to meeting scrub solution. A diaphragm pump was used to draw air at 1.5 SLPM through each channel using individual mass flow controllers. Surfaces exposed to the air sample stream were either glass or Teflon® PFA tubing. Baselines were established prior to and during flight using zero air.

Two-point calibrations were conducted before or after each flight using aqueous peroxide standards, nominally 2.0 and 4.0 or 4.0 and 8.0 µM, prepared from unstabilized 3% peroxide stock, with scrubbing solution used for the final dilution. Stock peroxide was titrated against standardized permanganate before and after the 30-day measurement period, and no decrease in concentration was observed. Liquid and air flow rates, nominally 0.6 mL/min and 1.5 L/min, respectively, were calibrated regularly.

A 4-channel filter fluorimeter system with dual cadmium lamps and 24 µL flow-through fluorescence cells (McPher-son, Inc., Chelmsford, MA) was used for the first time in this study. The 90%–90% response time of the instrument was 42 s. The detection limit, based on 2 × the baseline noise, was 0.27 ppbv for H₂O₂ and 0.38 ppbv for hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide (HMHP). A leak in channel 1 prevented us from acquiring a reliable baseline for the total soluble peroxide concentration, which is needed to make the difference measurement for methyl hydroperoxide. Thus, for this study, only measurements of HMHP and H₂O₂, obtained from channels 2 and 3, are reported for the G-1 flights.

Aircraft data for the MAX-Mex field program may be obtained at the following URL: ftp://ftp.asd.bnl.gov/pub/ASP%20Field%20Programs/2006MAXMex/. Unless otherwise noted, all G1 data used in this paper were 10-s averages. Times are local standard times (LST) and altitudes are mean sea level (m.s.l.) unless otherwise noted.

2.3 T1 Ground measurements

Hydroperoxide measurements were conducted at Tecámac University, a surface site about 35 km NW of Mexico City at an elevation of 2.3 km. Because the site abutted a 4-lane highway, and was located less than 1 km from a farm, it was impacted by motor vehicle and NH₃ emissions on a regular basis. Trajectory analyses show that this site was downwind of Mexico City for approximately half of the days between 15th March and 30th March (Doran et al., 2007).

Free radicals (OH, and the sum of peroxy radicals, HO₂+RO₂) were determined at this site by Chemical Ionization Mass Spectroscopy. Details of the technique are given in the reference by Sjostedt et al. (2007). Broadband ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation measurements were taken with Robertson-Berger radiometers (Solar Light Co. Model 501) and rain intensity was measured using a multi-sensor weather package (Vaisala, WX150) with the RAINCAP sensor. Ozone was measured with a commercial instrument (ThermoEnvironmental Model 49).

A continuous peroxide analyzer was deployed in the Georgia Tech trailer at the surface site. We measured only total soluble hydroperoxide, using pH 9 scrubbing solution and POHPAA-derivatizing reagent, as described above for the aircraft measurements. It is important to note that although H₂O₂ and HMHP are completely soluble under the measuring conditions, MHP is not. Since we did not determine specified hydroperoxides at the T1 site, we could not correct for the lower collection efficiency of any MHP that was collected. Thus, our reported values for “total hydroperoxide” at this site should be viewed as a lower limit.

Earlier studies have shown that there is potential for substantial loss of peroxide in inlet lines during surface sampling (Jackson et al., 1996; Lee et al., 1991; Watkins et al., 1995). To avoid inlet losses, we mounted the coil scrubbers on the trailer roof approximately 5 m above ground, and drew air through a pinhole directly into the stripping solution. The resulting aqueous peroxide solution was pumped to the instrument through 2 m of 0.8 mm ID PFA tubing. Previous laboratory tests showed no peroxide decomposition in the aqueous solution under these conditions. However, this arrangement creates significant lag time between collecting and observing sample (12 min), and a somewhat broadened response (10–90% rise time of 2.0 min). Data reported here were corrected for the lag time, and ten-minute averages were used for all data analysis. The liquid flow rate was maintained nominally at 0.3 mL/min using a peristaltic pump, and the air flow at 1 LPM using a critical orifice. Liquid and air flow rate calibrations were conducted three times during the measurement period. The local pressure at this site (0.77 a.t.m.) was used to compute the equivalent gas-phase concentration. Two-point calibrations were conducted daily using aqueous peroxide standards, nominally 2.0 and 4.0 µM, prepared from unstabilized 3% peroxide stock, with scrubbing solution used for the final dilution. The detection limit for this configuration was 0.20 ppbv, based on twice the baseline noise. All ground data may be obtained on the NCAR data portal at the following URL: http://cdp.ucar.edu/home/home.htm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th></th>
<th>L4</th>
<th></th>
<th>L5</th>
<th></th>
<th>L0</th>
<th></th>
<th>L1</th>
<th></th>
<th>L2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (ppbv)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMHP</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}_3$ (ppbv)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (ppbv)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_y$ (ppbv)</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$/NO$_y$</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppmv)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ (ppbv)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCASP (10$^3$ cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (g kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude (km m.s.l.)</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Observations

3.1 G-1

3.1.1 General flight statistics

In this section, we present peroxide observations from 15–27 March 2006. Shown in Fig. 1 is a composite of all the flight tracks around the source region (L3, L4, L5), over the source region (L0 over T0) and the outflow transects (L1 over T1 and L2 over T2). Listed in Table 3, according to transect, are the means and maximums for the G-1 flights. The average peroxide and HMHP concentrations, for the entire period over all the regions, were low (i.e. $\leq$ 1.6 and $\leq$ 0.37 ppbv, respectively) with no significant increase even over T2. On the other hand, mean NO$_x$ concentrations were quite high in the source region (i.e. $\geq$ 23 ppbv) and then decreased as the air flowed over T1 and T2. From these data, it is apparent that transects L3 and L4 were actually part of the source region with average values for O$_3$, NO$_y$ and CO similar to those of T0. Mean values of these species were significantly lower on transect L5. HMHP was observed above the detection limit in only 18% of the measurements. There was no observable trend in HMHP with altitude or geographical location. These low values are expected if the principal source of HMHP is reaction of ozone with biogenic alkenes, since abundances of the latter were rather low during the study. In Mexico City, fewer than 20% of the G-1 hydrocarbon samples showed isoprene mixing ratios higher than 0.2 ppbv, in contrast to 36% in the Texas 2000 study and 94% in the Nashville SOS study.

3.1.2 Vertical profiles

Composite vertical profiles for several species of interest are shown in Fig. 2. Measurements were made upon take-off from and descent into the Veracruz Airport as well as over the Mexico City basin. Altitudes below 500 m are not shown due to the fact that some instruments were not turned on until the G-1 was airborne and there were concentration extremes at ground level in the airport. Altitudes lower than 2500 m are limited to periods when the G-1 had taken off from or was on approach to the airport at Veracruz. The 2500–3000 m altitude bin primarily contains data from traverses over Mexico City on L0 and surrounding areas (L3, L4, and L5). At 3000 and 3500 m there is a mixture of contribution from all legs except L2. At higher altitudes, above 3500 m, most of the data are from L1 and L2. Mean CO and NO$_y$ concentrations were the largest between the altitudes of 2500 and 3000 m (i.e. over the source region). Mean O$_3$ concentrations slightly increased between the altitudes of 2500 to 4000 m indicating that ozone is produced as air masses move downwind over the L1 and L2 regions. Sulfur dioxide, SO$_2$, concentrations (not shown in the figure) were dominated by the large excursions observed while flying over the Tula power plant ($20^\circ06'13.23''$, $99^\circ17'07.16''$). After removing SO$_2$ plume data (peak concentrations $>100$ ppbv), we observed the highest SO$_2$ concentrations in the MCMA region.
3.1.3 Production of peroxide

Under conditions where NO\textsubscript{x} is low and there are no depositional losses, we expect peroxide concentration to depend on the production rate of radicals which is proportional to the product of O\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2}O if, as is often the case, O\textsubscript{3} photolysis is the dominant source of radicals (Daum et al., 1990, Tremmel et al., 1993, and Weinstein-Lloyd et al., 1996). Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3} and water vapor using all data obtained for altitudes >3500 m and [NO\textsubscript{y}] < 5 ppbv (with the exception of ferry transects to and from Veracruz). The slope of this line (0.0056 ppbv/ppmv\textsuperscript{2}) is similar to that observed in Nova Scotia (0.0054 ppbv/ppmv\textsuperscript{2}, Weinstein-Lloyd et al., 1996) and over the Northeastern United States (0.0050 ppbv/ppmv\textsuperscript{2}, Tremmel et al., 1993).

In a more polluted boundary layer, peroxide production is more complex, and depends on precursors, altitude and meteorology. We examined the trend in peroxide abundance when winds carried the urban plume over the L1 and L2 regions (Table 1). The best days for transport from T0 to T1 and T2 occurred on 18, 19, and 20th March. Figure 4

Fig. 2. Altitude profiles (m.s.l.) showing the median (thin black line in box) concentrations of important trace gases during MILAGRO. Boxes enclose 50% of the data, whiskers indicate the 10–90th percentile, and upper and lower limits (filled circles) are the 5th and 95th percentile of the data: (a)=NO\textsubscript{y}, (b)=O\textsubscript{3}, (c)=CO, (d)=Accumulation Mode Particles, (e)=Water Vapor, (f)=H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.

Although our primary goal was to study emissions and transformations in and around the Mexico City region, we note here some interesting features from measurements conducted in and around Veracruz at altitudes <2500 m. The mean water vapor concentration peaked between 500 and 1000 m and decreased with increasing altitude (Fig. 2). Concentrations of NO\textsubscript{y} were relatively low (mean value less than 4 ppbv) and consisted of less than 20% NO\textsubscript{x}. The highest mean and maximum peroxide concentrations for the entire campaign were observed in this region. These observations are consistent with our understanding of the mechanism of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} formation in a high-humidity and low-NO\textsubscript{x} environment.
shows the mean peroxide concentration as a function of location for flights on these days. During this period, the L5 transect was upwind of Mexico City and had the “cleanest” air (see Table 3). Moving downwind from L5, a decreasing trend in peroxide concentration is observed. This range is small (0.3 ppbv) and the change in peroxide concentration is within the uncertainty of the measurement. Overall, the concentration of peroxide was low upwind of the city, and its production suppressed over the entire Mexico City basin.

3.1.4 Peroxide in urban and power plant plumes

During this study, we identified 63 plume traverses characterized by an ozone increase of at least 20 ppbv. Figure 5a shows a typical plume traverse in the Mexico City basin on 20th March. There is a NO$_2$ plume (i.e. NO$_2$=NO$_3$−NO$_x$) coincident with the O$_3$ plume, but no indication of an increase in H$_2$O$_2$ above background levels. Absence of peroxide production in ozone plumes was the norm, occurring even when we encountered the highest ozone concentrations during G-1 flights – a plume containing 179 ppbv O$_3$ and 27 ppbv NO$_x$ at 22:36 on 15th March and one containing 116 ppbv O$_3$ and 41 ppbv NO$_x$ at 23.37 on 19th March. Peroxide formation in these plumes is inhibited by high NO$_x$ concentrations that effectively scavenge HO$_2$ radicals. Formation of NO$_2$ but not peroxides is characteristic of O$_3$ plumes that are formed under VOC limited conditions (Sillman, 1995; Kleinman et al., 2005b).

There were only four ozone plumes where we observed a peroxide increase above the detection limit of 0.27 ppbv. Three of these cases were observed at high (>4 km m.s.l.) altitude just east of the T1 site. An example for 19th March is shown in Fig. 5b. These plumes were characterized by low NO$_x$ (in this case <1 ppbv) and high NO$_2$ concentrations. These few air masses had thus aged enough to allow for production of peroxide.

The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ during a typical traverse of the Tula power/chemical processing facility is shown in Fig. 6. High SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ concentrations were always associated with flyovers in this region. Plumes were also characterized by dips in concentration for O$_3$ and H$_2$O$_2$ at least five separate flights (i.e. ΔH$_2$O$_2$=−0.6±0.1 ppbv and ΔO$_3$=−14 ±8 ppbv) when the G-1 passed over the Tula facility. Ozone dips result from loss via reaction with NO. Cross-plume dips in peroxide were observed previously for power plants (Jobson et al., 1998; Weinstein-Lloyd et al., 1998). The net destruction of peroxide near plume center was attributed to the high NO$_x$ concentration, which inhibits peroxide production, coupled with peroxide loss by dry deposition, photolysis, and reaction with OH. The loss observed in the Tula traverses, while partly offset by production outside of the plume, is larger than can be explained by these mechanisms. There are two possibilities for the observations: loss of H$_2$O$_2$ on aerosols and artifact losses due to reaction of H$_2$O$_2$ with SO$_2$ in the inlet. Hydrogen peroxide decomposition on aerosol surfaces may be efficient if transition metals are present. We have conducted laboratory studies that rule out artifact loss of peroxide up to an SO$_2$ concentration of 200 ppb. However, instantaneous concentrations of SO$_2$ may have exceeded this value in some passages through the Tula plume.

3.1.5 Peroxide as a radical sink (i.e. O$_3$ vs. 2 H$_2$O$_2$+NO$_x$)

Sillman has noted that the concentration of ozone, as a source of free radicals, should be related to the sum of peroxide and nitric acid, as a radical sink, independent of whether ozone formation is limited by VOC or NO$_x$ (Sillman, 1995;
Fig. 5. Peroxide in plumes: (a) 20 March 2006, this is typical of the observations in the MCMA. Over fifty transects of ozone plumes showed no concomitant formation of peroxide, (b) 19 March 2006, 2 plumes show production of peroxide at an altitude of 4000 m. Color scheme is the same for a and b: red=O₃, blue=H₂O₂, Black=NOₓ, Green=NO₃.

Sillman et al., 1998). We examined the relationship between O₃ and the sum (NOₓ+2 H₂O₂) for each transect described in Sect. 2.1. We include in Table 4 only those data for which $r^2 \geq 0.5$, indicative of a single air mass. Sillman et al. (1998) noted that there is little variation in this slope when observations are compared between rural locations and urban locations, where O₃ concentrations varied from 80 to 140 ppbv. The data in Table 4 confirm this observation, as there is no observable trend in slope between the source region and downwind regions. However, we did observe a distinct difference between the dry and wet period. On 21st March, the relative humidity changed abruptly from an average 30% to over 50%. After that date, the average O₃ versus (NOₓ+2 H₂O₂) slope (dry vs. wet) drops by 33% from 4.8 ± 1.4 ($n=12$) to 2.9 ± 0.8 ($n=7$). The direction of change is in agreement with the assumption in Sillman’s work, that the correlation arises from a relation between radical production represented by O₃ and radical loss represented by NOₓ+2 H₂O₂. But radical production from O₃ is proportional to the product of O₃ and H₂O, and increasing H₂O will result in a lower slope if the balance between production and loss of radicals is to be maintained. It is interesting that the relations predicted by Sillman occur in Mexico City, even though O₃ photolysis is not expected to be the dominant source of radicals (Volkamer et al., 2007).

Fig. 6. Loss of ozone and hydrogen peroxide in the Tula facility stack plumes.
cause peroxide concentrations were suppressed throughout the Mexico City basin, it seemed unlikely that aqueous-phase oxidation of SO$_2$ by peroxide contributed significantly to sulfate aerosol formation during the measurement period. Depending on concentration, either SO$_2$ or H$_2$O$_2$ can be the limiting reagent to aerosol formation. In the MCMA, peroxide concentration varied from the detection limit to 3 ppbv, while SO$_2$, leaving aside plumes from Tula, varied from the detection limit to 24 ppbv. Taken as a whole, the mean value of SO$_2$ (3.0 ppbv) exceeded that of H$_2$O$_2$ (1.2 ppbv) making peroxide the limiting reagent for sulfate formation (i.e. if liquid were present, peroxide would have been the limiting reagent to sulfate production). More specifically, when pairs of data points are examined we find that 60% of the observations were characterized by SO$_2$ greater than H$_2$O$_2$. In Fig. 7, we show a histogram of this observation with the highlighted area indicating the fraction of events when the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration was less than SO$_2$. Overall, H$_2$O$_2$ is the limiting reagent (LR) for 60% of the measurements when matched with SO$_2$ in the MCMA.

3.1.6 Peroxides and particles

Hydrogen peroxide is largely responsible for oxidizing sulfur dioxide in clouds (Penkett et al., 1979; Calvert and Stockwell, 1983; Lind et al., 1987; Kleinman and Daum, 1991; Husain et al., 2000). Model calculations predict that a large fraction of the resulting sulfate is returned to the atmosphere as aerosol when clouds evaporate (Langner and Rodhe, 1991; Benkovitz et al., 2004). Observations of aerosol growth in a boundary layer with cloud coverage also indicate the importance of sulfur dioxide and hydrogen peroxide in generating a distribution of aerosol sizes (Wang et al., 2007). Unfortunately while in Mexico, the G-1 rarely flew in or near stable clouds, so this aspect of peroxide chemistry could not be investigated. However, we have examined the potential for aerosol formation based on the stoichiometry of the one-to-one reaction of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the aqueous phase.

An evaluation of the aerosol mass spectrometer measurements aboard the G-1 showed that organic and nitrate aerosols dominate in the Mexico City basin with sulfate aerosol accounting for less than 20% (Kleinman et al., 2007); in sharp contrast to the eastern United States where sulfate dominates aerosol composition (Malm et al., 2004). Before peroxide is formed, a distribution of aerosol sizes (Wang et al., 2007). Unfortunately while in Mexico, the G-1 rarely flew in or near stable clouds, so this aspect of peroxide chemistry could not be investigated. However, we have examined the potential for aerosol formation based on the stoichiometry of the one-to-one reaction of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the aqueous phase.

An evaluation of the aerosol mass spectrometer measurements aboard the G-1 showed that organic and nitrate aerosols dominate in the Mexico City basin with sulfate aerosol accounting for less than 20% (Kleinman et al., 2007); in sharp contrast to the eastern United States where sulfate dominates aerosol composition (Malm et al., 2004). Before peroxide is formed, one reaction of SO$_2$ aerosol formation based on the stoichiometry of the one-to-one reaction of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the aqueous phase.

An evaluation of the aerosol mass spectrometer measurements aboard the G-1 showed that organic and nitrate aerosols dominate in the Mexico City basin with sulfate aerosol accounting for less than 20% (Kleinman et al., 2007); in sharp contrast to the eastern United States where sulfate dominates aerosol composition (Malm et al., 2004). Before peroxide is formed, one reaction of SO$_2$ aerosol formation based on the stoichiometry of the one-to-one reaction of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the aqueous phase.

An evaluation of the aerosol mass spectrometer measurements aboard the G-1 showed that organic and nitrate aerosols dominate in the Mexico City basin with sulfate aerosol accounting for less than 20% (Kleinman et al., 2007); in sharp contrast to the eastern United States where sulfate dominates aerosol composition (Malm et al., 2004). Before peroxide is formed, one reaction of SO$_2$ aerosol formation based on the stoichiometry of the one-to-one reaction of SO$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the aqueous phase.
Fig. 8. Time series of total hydroperoxide (in black) for the period 13–30 March 2006 at Tecámac University. See text for peroxide species measured. Also shown are solar radiation (gray), ozone (red) and periods of measurable rainfall (blue) at this site.

Fig. 9. Composite diurnal profile of total hydroperoxide determined at Tecámac University. Data have been binned into 1-h averages. The gray boxes enclose the central 50% of measurements; solid line is the median and dashed line is the mean; bars enclose all data in the 10th–90th percentile and symbols show the 5–95th percentile outliers.

Fig. 10. Diurnal patterns for solar intensity, concentrations of total peroxide, ozone, NO, and peroxy radicals. Note that the concentrations have been scaled to illustrate the relationship between species. These are mean hourly averages, which have been normalized to better compare the diurnal variations. Average daily maximum values are 12 pptv for the sum of HO$_2$ and RO$_2$, 80 ppbv for O$_3$, 1.2 ppbv for total hydroperoxide, and 80 ppbv for NO.
midnight (see the nights of 23rd, 24th, 25th and 27th March, in Fig. 8). The timing suggests that these are due to advection events and/or boundary layer dynamics rather than local production.

3.2.2 Peroxides and peroxide precursors

In the absence of deposition and other losses, we would expect the abundance of peroxide to be related to solar intensity and the amount of its chemical precursors, peroxy radicals, ozone and water. Diurnal variations of these species are shown in Fig. 10. Mean ozone at this site peaks near 80 ppbv at 15:00 LST. Ozone, UVB and peroxy radicals rise at similar rates just after 07:00 LST, with the onset of peroxide growth lagging by 20 to 40 min. This period coincides with maximum NOx concentrations that are likely produced from local traffic emission into the shallow boundary layer. The very high concentrations of NO (the composite mean reaches 80 ppbv at 07:00 LST) should scavenge peroxy radicals and inhibit peroxide formation. The daytime peroxide profile is consistent with local photochemical production, peaking 1–2 h after solar noon, as seen in other locations (Lee et al., 2000 and references therein). Ozone and total hydroperoxide persist longer than peroxy radicals once photochemistry shuts off, as expected. Peak peroxide concentrations are low: the composite mean peaks at 1.2 ppbv at 14:00 LST. We observed low but nonzero peroxides at night, as discussed earlier. Peroxy radicals peaked near 12 pptv at around 13:30 LST, and remained measurable throughout most of the night at ~2 pptv.

It was not uncommon to observe NO as high as hundreds of ppbv at this site, so we did not expect to see a simple correlation between peroxide and peroxy radicals. However, there were a few occasions when these species were well correlated during daytime production hours (Fig. 11). As expected, these were periods when NOx was low.

3.2.3 Dry vs. moist regime

As we have discussed in the aircraft observations, the measurement period was characterized by dry conditions until a rainy period began on 21st March. Rain events, indicated by
Fig. 12. Comparison of observed peroxides at the T1 surface site with the average obtained during flights over the site. The dotted line had the following regression: \( y = 0.94[Peroxide] + 0.23 \) with a correlation coefficient \( r^2 = 0.68 \).

Fig. 13. Time series of trace gas observations on 20 March at T1.

3.2.4 T0-T1-T2 transport periods

Fast et al. (2007) and Doran et al. (2007) have identified several days in March that were most favorable for transport of pollutants from T0 to the T1 and T2 sampling sites. We have examined the abundance of ozone and peroxide during the days judged to be likely for transport (18–22, 24, and 30 March) and unlikely for transport (13–16 March). Mean peak ozone is significantly higher on transport days (100 vs. 75 ppbv) but mean peak peroxide is essentially the same (1.7 vs. 1.6 ppbv). This is consistent with the discussion of the G-1 results, as the T1 site should experience excess ozone from high concentrations of precursors on transport days. Peroxide production does not accompany ozone formation on these days because the ozone is produced under VOC-limited conditions.

3.3 Comparison of ground and aircraft observations

The G-1 aircraft flew over the surface site numerous times, enabling us to compare peroxides at the T1 site with those observed on the aircraft. As noted in the experimental section, we were not able to measure speciated peroxides, so the comparison of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) observations from the G-1 with total soluble hydroperoxide at the surface site is only semi-quantitative. Peroxide concentrations were compared when the G-1 was within roughly 3 km of T1 and all of these data points were taken at altitudes <4.5 km. There is a reasonable correlation between the concentration of total peroxide at T1 and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) on G-1 over flights, as seen in Fig. 12. Concentrations observed on the G-1 were most likely higher than those observed at the surface due to deposition losses.

4 Discussion

In this section, we present details of the G-1 flights on 20th March as a case study. This day was selected because the standard G-1 flight tracks were optimal for observing the progression of gas-phase reactions and aerosol formation as the air moved from the source region over the surface sites. We also compare the observations with model calculations, and with hydroperoxide observations in Phoenix, AZ.
4.1 20 March 2006 – a case study

On 20 March 2006, the winds were flowing from the southwest and had been since the previous day (shifting from the south to southwest on the previous day, 19 March). Winds were strong and steady at \( \sim 6.5 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) ensuring that air over T1 and T2 originated in the source region earlier in the day (i.e. not a stagnation event). The G-1 morning flight consisted of a flight track over L3, L4, and L5, and the surface sites of T0, T1 and T2. The afternoon flight track was slightly different than previous days, only going over T1 and T2 (i.e. no over flight of T0). Although this is not a true Lagrangian study, air sampled at T1 would have originated at the T0 site 1.5 h earlier in the day, as calculated with an average wind speed of 6.5 m s\(^{-1}\) and the 35 km distance between T0 and T1; the transit time from T0 to T2 was 3 h.

Shown in Fig. 13 are the time series plots for the trace gases (NO, \( \text{SO}_2 \), CO, and \( \text{O}_3 \)) at the T1 surface site. At approximately 05:00 a.m. LST, concentrations of CO and NO increase due to local emissions into the shallow boundary layer while \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations are near zero. Later in the morning, between 10 and 11 a.m., the boundary layer begins to rise and \( \text{O}_3 \) photochemistry proceeds, with \( \text{O}_3 \) reaching a maximum of 100 ppbv later in the day.

On 20th March, the G-1 flew over the T1 site 3 times, twice in the morning and once in the afternoon. That morning the average \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations observed on the G-1 as it flew over T1 were approximately 42 and 46 ppbv, in good agreement with the surface observation of 41 and 48 ppbv, respectively. By the afternoon, \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations at T1 had risen to 98 ppbv and observations on the G-1 were in the same range (i.e. \(~90\) ppbv). Although there was no formal comparison of the ground and aircraft instruments, the flyovers provide confidence in the validity of the measurements.

During the morning flight, concentrations of CO and \( \text{NO}_x \) were high for the L3, L4 and L0 transects, characteristic of the source region (Fig. 14). Ozone concentrations were still low, below 50 ppbv, on transects L3, L4, L5 and L0, partly due to titration with NO. The atmosphere was quite dry in the Mexico City source region with \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) concentrations <5 g/kg and peroxide concentrations were also low (\(~1\) ppbv). On the afternoon flight, shown in Fig. 15, ozone concentrations had doubled due to photochemistry and transport from the city. The peroxide concentrations had not changed significantly by the time the afternoon flight took place, indicating that there was no enhanced production in the outflow of the MCMA. However, near Veracruz, water vapor concentrations were significantly higher and \( \text{NO}_x \) concentrations were low, as shown in the altitude profiles (Fig. 2), allowing for more production of peroxide with concentrations \( \geq 3 \) ppbv.

Peroxide production rates were calculated from a constrained steady state (CSS) box model similar to that used in a study of \( \text{O}_3 \) production in five US cities (Kleinman et al., 2005b). The CSS model was also used to calculate production rates of radicals and to determine the fraction of radicals that are removed by reaction with \( \text{NO}_x \), \( \text{L}_n/Q \). For a description of the model see Kleinman et al. (2005b). In brief, observed concentrations of stable species are used to constrain fast radical chemistry. Chemical inputs consist of NO, CO, \( \text{CH}_4 \) (nominal value), \( \text{O}_3 \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), organic peroxides (set equal to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)), \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{HCHO} \), \( \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} \), and \(~50\) hydrocarbons measured using canister samples and by PTR-MS. Because of the difficulty in calibrating PTR-MS HCHO measurements, results were normalized using the HCHO-CO-\( \text{O}_3 \) relations reported by Garcia et al. (2006). Photolysis rate constants were determined from an Eppley radiometer by setting up a correspondence between those measurements made in clear sky, in 3 altitude ranges as a function of time of day, and output from the TUV model (S. Madronich http://cprm.acd.ucar.edu/Models/TUV/index.shtml). The difference between actual and clear-sky Eppley radiance measurements were used to scale the TUV photolysis rate constants, thereby providing an estimate of the effects of cloud cover and aerosols.

The observation that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations do not increase downwind of the MCMA on 20th March is consistent with the calculations shown in Fig. 16. The calculations indicate that there was no net production (i.e. production minus loss) in the MCMA region in the morning, and thus no significant change in concentration would be observed by the time transects were flown over L1 and L2. For example, if the net production over L0 was in the range of \(~0.1 \) to \( 0 \) ppbv \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) per hour and the travel time to L2 was 3 h (at the average wind speed of 6.5 m s\(^{-1}\)) then there would be no observable change in peroxide. By the afternoon, several instances of net production of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \geq 0.2 \) ppbv h\(^{-1}\) were calculated for the L2 region, indicating that \( \text{NO}_x \) levels had decreased and more significant formation of peroxide was beginning.

In contrast to the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production, significant \( \text{O}_3 \) production took place between the morning flight and the afternoon flight as evidenced by the increased concentrations observed on transects L1 and L2 (i.e. \( >80 \) ppbv) in Fig. 15.

### Table 5. Morning and afternoon ozone production efficiencies on 20 March 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight transect</th>
<th>OPE</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( \text{NO}_y/\text{NO}_x )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Afternoon flights are listed after the single horizontal line; 2) For each transect, OPE is determined as the slope of a linear least squares regression of \( \text{O}_3+\text{NO}_2 \) vs. \( \text{NO}_x/\text{NO}_y \) as the slope of \( \text{NO}_x \). \( r^2 \) is the square of the correlation coefficient.
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Fig. 14. Morning flight tracks on 20 March 2006. Trace gas concentrations are indicated by the color-coding on each flight track.

Fig. 15. Afternoon flight tracks on 20 March 2006. Trace gas concentrations are indicated by the color-coding on each flight track.
As the air mass moved from the MCMA region to the T1 and T2 sites, there was a significant increase in ozone production efficiency (OPE, i.e. the number of molecules of odd oxygen=O₃+NO₂ produced per molecule of NOₓ) as well as improvement in correlation between Oₓ and NOₓ from the morning to afternoon flights. Ozone production efficiencies for this day and the NOₓ/NOᵧ ratios for the corresponding transects are shown in Table 5. For the morning flight, all three transects had high NOₓ to NOᵧ ratios, indicative of fresh emissions due to local sources. By the afternoon flight, significant aging had taken place as the NOₓ to NOᵧ ratio had decreased to 0.25. At this point, NOₓ concentrations in the area had been reduced sufficiently for more peroxide production to take place (i.e. see Figs. 14, 15 and 16).

4.2 H₂O₂ production rates by transect

All flights were segregated into regions as described in Sect. 2.1. Median CSS values are given in Table 6. No hydrocarbon samples were taken on L5 and therefore it is not included in the table. We note that the instantaneous production rate for peroxide is low over the first 3 regions of interest and increases slightly by the time the urban air mass has moved over the L2 region. The net production of peroxide is negative on the first three legs, with positive net production on L1 and L2. For the conditions encountered, the net rate of peroxide formation was generally negative for NOₓ > 3 ppbv. Negative and low peroxide production rates have been observed previously in Phoenix, AZ (Nunnermacker et al., 2004) where they were attributed to the high NOₓ of the region.
source region coupled with a low rate of radical production due to the extremely dry climate. An interesting feature of our data, in agreement with Volkamer et al. (2007), is that most of the radical production is not from O₃, but from photolysis of HCHO. Overall, the total radical production rate, Q, is much higher for Mexico City than Phoenix. Therefore, the extremely high NOₓ concentrations effectively remove any radicals from peroxide forming pathways and inhibit peroxide formation in the Mexico City basin.

4.3 Comparisons with calculations

Ozone production in Mexico City has been studied using box and Eulerian chemical transport (CTM) models (e.g. Madronich, 2006; Lei et al., 2007; Tie et al., 2007). Madronich initiated a box model with an urban mixture of pollutants and followed its time evolution, finding that after one day 5 ppbv of H₂O₂ was formed along with several hundred ppbv of O₃. In a comment to this study, Madronich (2008) attributed the higher than observed oxidant levels to initializing the calculations with a NOₓ concentration significantly lower than observed at monitoring sites. Production of more O₃ (and H₂O₂) at a lower NOₓ concentration is in agreement with an analysis of the weekday-weekend effect by Stephens et al. (2008).

Lei et al. (2007) have analyzed CTM results from 2003 in terms of radical removal pathways finding that Lₙ/Q is close to unity, indicating VOC limited conditions and low peroxide concentrations. VOC limited conditions were substantiated by calculations with perturbed emissions rates. H₂O₂ concentrations calculated by Lei et al. (2007, and personal communication, 2008) were 1 ppbv in the Mexico City urban area; urban ozone plumes were usually associated with holes in the H₂O₂ concentration field (W. Lei, personal communication). Tie et al. (2007) also find that O₃ production in the Mexico City metropolitan area is VOC limited. A concentration map for 18 March 2006 (X. Tie, personal communication) shows urban H₂O₂ concentrations of ~1 ppbv, consistent with G-1 observations. On this day the G-1 went further west than usual, transitioning into cleaner air near 20° N, 100° W at which point H₂O₂ increased by 0.5 ppbv, duplicating the gradient calculated by Tie et al. (2007).

5 Conclusions

We have presented measurements of gas-phase hydroperoxides in and around Mexico City and Veracruz during March 2006, a region where to date there have been few field observations. Measured concentrations of hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide were at or near the detection limit for most of the program. This finding is not surprising given the near absence of biogenic alkenes (Hewitt et al., 1990). Measured concentrations of hydrogen peroxide in the Mexico City basin were generally near 1 ppbv. The high humidity and low NOₓ concentrations near Veracruz consistently gave rise to the highest observed peroxide concentrations during the campaign.

The G-1 data set, in and downwind of Mexico City, contained 63 transects of plumes in which O₃ concentration increased by at least 20 ppbv. In only 4 of these plumes was there a significant increase in H₂O₂. The absence of H₂O₂ production indicates that O₃ generally is formed under VOC-limited conditions in this region.

The high NOₓ conditions in the Mexico City Basin resulted in a calculated low or negative net production of hydrogen peroxide, with some evidence of production on the L2 transect farthest downwind from the source region. Because peroxides can persist in the atmosphere for several days, and may serve as a reservoir for free radicals, the production of high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide in the Mexico City basin would have important regional consequences. Although we did not observe the high peroxide concentrations predicted by some models (Madronich, 2006), processed air...
at the T2 site displays significant ozone-forming potential, reflected by NO$_3$/NO$_2$ ratios near 0.3 and CO near 230 ppbv. Additional peroxide production in this air mass as it travels further downwind is expected. However, it would be difficult to predict its magnitude without a detailed model that includes dilution and additional precursors.
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