Detection of regional scale sea-to-air oxygen emission related to spring bloom near Japan by using in-situ measurements of the atmospheric oxygen/nitrogen ratio
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Abstract. We have been carrying out in-situ monitoring of atmospheric O2/N2 ratio at Cape Ochi-ishi (COI; 43°10′N, 145°30′E) in the northern part of Japan since March 2005 by using a modified gas chromatography/thermal conductivity detector (GC/TCD). The standard deviation of the O2/N2 ratio is estimated to be about ±14 per meg (≈3 ppm) with intervals of 10 minutes. Thus, the in-situ measurement system has a 1σ precision of ±6 per meg (≈1.2 ppm) for one-hour mean O2/N2 ratio. Atmospheric potential oxygen (APO≈O2+1.1 CO2), which is conserved with respect to terrestrial photosynthesis and respiration but reflects changes in air-sea O2 and CO2 fluxes, shows large variabilities from April to early July 2005. Distribution of satellite-derived marine primary production indicates occurrences of strong bloom in the Japan Sea and the latitudinal band between 30° and 40° N in the western North Pacific in April and in the Okhotsk Sea and northeastern region near Hokkaido Island in the North Pacific in June. Back trajectory analysis of air masses indicates that high values of APO, which last for several hours or several days, can be attributed to the oxygen emission associated with the spring bloom of active primary production.

1 Introduction

Observation of atmospheric oxygen has been conducted for decades since the development of methods for measuring atmospheric O2/N2 ratios (e.g. Keeling, 1988; Bender et al., 1994). Changes in atmospheric O2 have been applied to resolve the carbon budget, exploiting the nature of the molar O2:C exchange ratios in fossil fuel combustion (Keeling, 1988) and in land biotic photosynthesis and respiration (Severinghaus, 1995). For oceanic fluxes, exchange of O2 is much faster than that of CO2. It takes only 3 weeks for dissolved oxygen to equilibrate with the atmosphere, whereas it takes about a year for CO2 because of the different equilibration time scales of various carbonate species (Broecker and Peng, 1982). Therefore, because of the apparent independence of the air-sea exchange of CO2 and O2 due to the fast response of O2 relative to CO2, seasonal variations in atmospheric oxygen have been used to estimate marine productivity (Keeling and Shertz, 1992; Bender et al., 1996; Balkanski et al., 1999).

Stephens et al. (1998) introduced Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO≈O2+1.1 CO2) as a conservative tracer for O2 and CO2 exchange related to land biotic photosynthesis and respiration. The concept of APO is similar to the oceanic component of the O2/N2 ratio, which has been discussed by Keeling and Shertz (1992). Although spatial and temporal distributions of APO have been revealed through flask sample measurements (Battle et al., 2006; Manning and Keeling, 2006; Tohjima et al., 2005b), there are short-term APO variations that cannot be detected from flask sampling. At stations such as Cold Bay, Alaska (CBA: 55° N, 162° E) (Battle et al., 2006) and Cape Ochi-ishi, Hokkaido (COI; 43°10′N, 145°30′E) (Tohjima et al., 2003), plots of APO are scattered, which may be related to variation of O2 flux from the oceans caused by active marine primary production near the stations.

In-situ measurements at stations can significantly increase the temporal resolution of atmospheric O2 measurements, leading to new insights into marine productivity and air-sea oxygen flux on even smaller time and space scales. Various
techniques have been developed to make in-situ measurements of the atmospheric O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio, such as the paramagnetic oxygen analyzer system (Lueker et al., 2003; Manning et al., 1999), the vacuum ultraviolet absorption method (Stephens, 1999; Stephens et al., 2003), and the fuel cell analyzer systems (Stephens et al., 2007 and references therein). Previous studies have revealed that a sampling line for in-situ O$_2$/N$_2$ measurements requires careful design to prevent fractionation of O$_2$ from N$_2$. Thermal fractionation between O$_2$ and N$_2$ can easily occur at various locations, such as the sampling line inlet (Blaine et al., 2006; Stephens et al., 2007; Sturm et al., 2006) and tee junctions (Keeling et al., 2004; Manning, 2001; Stephens et al., 2003).

Tohjima (2000) developed an analytical system for measuring O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio by using a gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (GC/TCD). The GC/TCD method has been used to analyze flask samples collected at monitoring stations and cargo ships (Tohjima et al., 2003; Tohjima et al., 2005b) and has the potential to be applied for field based O$_2$/N$_2$ measurements. In this study, we set up a field-base O$_2$/N$_2$ measurement system at COI, which is located at the eastern coast of Hokkaido island in Japan. APO at COI shows large variability especially during spring and summer (Tohjima et al., 2003). To examine the causes of the variability of APO at COI, we have monitored the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio since 17 March 2005. Here, we show the details of the in-situ measurement system and the quality and reliability of the obtained data. We also made analysis of short-term variations (temporal scales of several hours to days) in APO from April to early July 2005 using back trajectory analysis and found that the APO variation can be attributed to the regional-scale oxygen emission resulting from spring bloom in the Japan Sea, the Okhotsk Sea, and the western North Pacific.

2 Methods

2.1 Sampling line

An air intake, which is covered with an inverted equilateral triangular pole with a roof (a side is 40 cm and the height is ~1 m), is placed on the tower at ~51 m height above ground level (~100 m height above sea level) at COI. Sample air is drawn through 1/2 inch OD copper tubing from the tower to the inside of the station using an oil-free diaphragm pump (model MOA-P108-HB, Gast Mfg. Corp., Benton Harbor, MI, USA) at a rate of ~8 L min$^{-1}$. This fast sample flow rate was chosen to prevent thermal fractionation between O$_2$ and N$_2$, and Ar at the inlet of the sample line (Keeling et al., 1998). We examined the diurnal variations of APO to confirm that thermal fractionation does not affect the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measurement at COI significantly (see Sect. 3.1 for details).

The measurement system requires an 8 mL min$^{-1}$ flow rate, which is one thousandth of the main flow rate of the sample gas. Previous studies have found that the fractionation of O$_2$ from N$_2$ occurs at a tee junction when there is a thermal gradient in the tee junction or the flow ratio between the two separated flows is large (Keeling et al., 2004; Manning et al., 1999; Stephens et al., 2003). To separate the sample gas without fractionation at tee junctions, Stephens et al. (2007) have developed a special tee configuration, in which a thin pick-off tubing extends upstream into a larger OD tubing. In our case we inserted a 2-L spherical Pyrex$^{\text{®}}$ glass flask into the main sample flow as a buffer volume for picking up the required small sample gas flow for measurement (see Fig. 1).

After the air sample is drawn from the intake with a 1/4” tubing, it is passed through a 7-µm-pore-sized filter and a 2-µm-pore-sized filter in a stainless housing and compressed into the spherical flask at a pressure of 0.06 MPa above atmospheric pressure by the diaphragm pump (see Fig. 1 for details of the sample line). The pressure in the spherical flask is adjusted using a back pressure valve. A drain port on the spherical flask removes water condensed from vapor in the air sample manually. The air sample is then collected from the center of the spherical flask using a 1/16 inch OD, 0.80 mm ID stainless steel tubing (SUS 316) at a continuous flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$.

After sample collection, the air sample is dried further by passing through an 80-mL Pyrex$^{\text{®}}$ glass trap immersed in an alcohol bath (~80°C). We prepare four glass traps that can be changed one by one, but only one is used at any one time. Usually the glass trap does not get clogged with ice for one month running because OD of the glass trap is enlarged (4 cm) at the location where ice can form. In the summer time, however, we did on rare occasions find traps clogged with ice within a month. When that occurred, we replaced the clogged trap with the next one remotely through the computer measurement program. It takes about 1–2 h for sample gas in the replaced glass trap to stabilize. Thus, we have discarded one or two outliers from the one-hour mean O$_2$/N$_2$ ratios after replacing a glass trap. The alcohol bath is cooled using a low temperature freeze trap VA-500F (Taitec Corp., Koshigaya, Japan). Although a large temperature gradient in the glass trap leads to large gradients of O$_2$ and N$_2$ between the bottom and top of the trap, the constant flow of the sample ensures a constant O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measurement system for analysis (see Tohjima (2000) for details of the measurement line).
Briefly, the dried sample is further passed through a second cold trap (−80°C) that is ~70 cm long, 1/8 inch OD nickel tubing. Working or reference gases are also passed through a similar type of cold trap. Then, sample or working gases are induced into a sample loop (2 mL volume, 1/16 inch OD nickel tubing) alternatively by turning a Valco® 4-way 2-position valve (Valco Instruments Co., Inc., Houston, USA). The 2 mL sample or working gases are injected into a separation column that is composed of a 2 m long, 1/8 inch OD, 2.17 mm ID stainless steel tubing packed with 50/80 mesh molecular sieve 5Å (MS-5A). One measurement takes about 4.5 min; working and sample gases are injected every 5 min by a Valco® 6-way 2-position valve. Although CO₂ will be trapped in the MS-5Å column, the accumulation of CO₂ is unlikely to affect the O₂/N₂ ratio measurement within a month.

For long-term monitoring, the rotors of Valco® 4-way and 6-way valves should be replaced about once a year if the rotor material is Valcon-M (VICI, USA). When a rotor is composed of Valcon-M, scale-shaped fractions are produced by the friction of rotation and then fill the dips of the rotor, which worsen the precision. We recommend the material of Valcon-E for 4-way and 6-way valves. Fraction of this material becomes fine powder, which will not fill the dips of the rotors. The rotors can be used for more than one year.

### 2.2 Instruments and gas handling descriptions

Some components of the measurement system differ from those shown by Tohjima (2000). We use a GC-323(W) GC/TCD (GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan; hereinafter abbreviated as GC-323) as the detector instead of the Hewlett-Packard (HP) 5890 GC/TCD to improve precision. Precision of the O₂/N₂ ratio is sensitive to temperature fluctuations of the separation column and the TCD detector (see Tohjima (2000) for details of the components in the measurement system). Thus, the heaters for the TCD and oven, as well as the fan in the GC oven, are turned off to prevent temperature fluctuations. Temperature fluctuations of the sample loop and the reference volumes for differential pressure sensors are also minimized by insulating them from room temperature. During the period of 17 March 2005–21 February 2006, analog output of the TCD has been digitized using a Hewlett-Packard 3396 Series II Integrator (USA). On 22 February 2006, the HP integrator was replaced by a 24-bit A/D converter (ChromatoDAQ, Ulvac Inc., Chigasaki, Japan). Measurements, data acquisition, and calculation of peak area are...
performed using Visual Basic® (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, USA) since 22 February 2006.

In the GC/TCD, hydrogen gas (99.99999%) is used as the carrier gas, supplied by a Hydrogen Generator H2-300IA-100 (Parker Balston, Haverhill, USA). The carrier gas is introduced into the sample and reference cells of the TCD at a flow rate of 30 mL min$^{-1}$. Purified natural air in a 48-L aluminum cylinder compressed to a pressure of $\sim$8 MPa is used as a reference gas. Concentrations of O$_2$, N$_2$, Ar, and CO$_2$ of the purified air are adjusted as required by adding O$_2$, Ar, and CO$_2$ into the cylinder (Tohjima et al., 2008). Two reference gas cylinders are sent to the monitoring station once a year. These cylinders are placed horizontally in heat-insulated wooden boxes to prevent thermal fractionation. Each cylinder is used as a working gas successively at a continuous flow rate of 8 mL min$^{-1}$.

Once a month, maintenance of the measurement system is carried out. The separation column is baked at 320°C for 300 min and the vapor traps (both the 80-mL glass traps and the 1/8” OD nickel tubing traps) are dried. During maintenance, two reference gas cylinders are compared to check stability of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio. When the pressure of the cylinder being used decreases to $\sim$2 MPa, it is replaced with the second one. One cylinder lasts for more than 6 months. Working gas cylinders are used up to the fractional usage of 0.75. Although Keeling et al. (2004) found the fractionations of the O$_2$/N$_2$ and Ar/N$_2$ ratios in their working-gas cylinders, we found that the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio in our cylinders stays within 4 per meg before and after deployment at the monitoring station, indicating no significant drift. The averaged O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio is, therefore, applied to the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio of each reference gas cylinder.

2.3 Data processing

Changes of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio are expressed as relative deviations from a reference gas according to:

$$\delta(O_2/N_2) = \frac{(O_2/N_2)_S - (O_2/N_2)_R}{(O_2/N_2)_R} - 1,$$  (1)

where subscripts $S$ and $R$ denote sample and reference gases, respectively. Normally, the value of $\delta(O_2/N_2)$ is multiplied by 10$^6$ and expressed as per meg unit (Keeling and Shertz, 1992). The scale of the reference gas used in the present study is described elsewhere (Tohjima et al., 2008). The ratio of the (O$_2$+Ar) peak area to the N$_2$ peak area is directly determined from the peak areas of a (O$_2$+Ar) peak and a N$_2$ peak of the GC/TCD signal (as shown in Fig. 1 of Tohjima, 2000). To improve the reproducibility of the areas, a chromatogram is smoothed by conducting a 21-point running mean and then a 25-point Savitzky-Golay smoothing. Since the baseline between the (O$_2$+Ar) and N$_2$ peaks is not completely flat, the end point of the (O$_2$ + Ar) peak and the start point of the N$_2$ peak are made identical. The end point of the N$_2$ peak is fixed to a certain time after sample injection.

With the TCD sensitivity ratio of Ar relative to O$_2$ being expressed by $k$, the (O$_2$+Ar) peak area is related to the mole fractions of O$_2$ and Ar. One may define (Tohjima et al., 2000):

$$\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2) = \frac{[(X_{O_2} + kX_{Ar})/(N_{O_2})]_S - 1}{[(X_{O_2} + kX_{Ar})/(N_{O_2})]_R}.$$

(2)

The value of $k$ for HP5890 is slightly different from the one for GC-323 ($k_{HP}=1.13$ (Tohjima et al., 2005a) and $k_{GL}=1.08$, where the subscript symbols represent HP5890 and GC-323, respectively). Assuming that the value of $k(X_{Ar}/N_{Ar})_S - k(X_{Ar}/N_{Ar})_R$ is zero for any samples and reference gases (see Tohjima (2000) for details), $\delta((O_2/N_2)$ is related to $\delta((O_2+Ar)/N_2)$:

$$\delta(O_2/N_2) = \delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2) \times \frac{(X_{O_2} + kX_{Ar})/X_{O_2}}{(X_{O_2} + kX_{Ar})/X_{Ar}}.$$

(3)

where $\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)$ is a scaling factor (1050 for HP5890 (Tohjima et al., 2005a) and 1.048 for GC323). If the sample Ar/N$_2$ ratio increases by $\sim$20 per meg, the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measured by the GC/TCD method is overestimated by $\sim$1 per meg; this is based on the assumption of a constant Ar/N$_2$ ratio (definition of Ar/N$_2$ ratio is as follows: $\delta(\text{Ar}/\text{N}_2) = ([\text{Ar}/\text{N}_2]\text{sample}/[\text{Ar}/\text{N}_2]\text{reference} - 1) \times 10^6$ (per meg)). This is a valid assumption, since changes in the Ar/N$_2$ ratio are not likely to be significant in the present analysis of short-term variability in the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio, because short term variability in the Ar/N$_2$ ratio is less than 30 per meg (see Battle et al., 2003; Blaine et al., 2006). Since we apply a constant to the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio of each reference gas cylinder, the assumption of a constant Ar/N$_2$ ratio can also be adopted for the working gas.

We found that the value of $\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)$ measured by GC-323 ($\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)_{GL}$) is not always equal to that measured by HP5890 GC/TCD ($\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)_{HP}$) when we measure the same set of cylinders. The linearity of HP5890 GC/TCD was found to lie within ±1% based on the measurements of purified air gases that cover wide range of O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio (Tohjima et al., 2005a); the difference of $\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)$ measured by two different types of TCD could be attributed to a nonlinear behavior of GC-323 GC/TCD (see details in Sect. 2.4). The area ratio of $\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)_{GL}$ is then corrected from the following equation:

$$\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)_{HP} = \delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)_{GL}/L,$$

(4)

where $L$ is the linearity correction factor for GC-323 ($L=1.078\pm0.004$ at COI; Serial No. is GC-323-0338). Note that the factor $L$ is different for individual GC-323 GC/TCDs. Slight difference in the value of the factor $k$ (the TCD sensitivity ratio of Ar relative to O$_2$) between HP5890 and GC-323 can not explain the difference in the linearity of $\delta((O_2 + Ar)/N_2)$. 

Table 1. Results of linearity calibration experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GC/TCD</th>
<th>(\delta([O_2 + Ar]/N_2]_{S1/S2}) (per meg)(^a)</th>
<th>Linearity correction factor, (L)</th>
<th>Date of experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP5890</td>
<td>491.3±1.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-323</td>
<td>529.7±1.9</td>
<td>1.078±0.005</td>
<td>19–20/Feb/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-323</td>
<td>529.3±1.8</td>
<td>1.077±0.005</td>
<td>18–19/Mar/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC-323 (average)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.078±0.004</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Symbols of S1 and S2 represent cylinders of CPB-26855 and CPB-17279, respectively. Values of \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{HP}\) of the cylinders S1 and S2 were estimated at 63.3±1.0 and −428.0±1.0 per meg, respectively. Value of \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{S1/S2}\) represents \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]\) of the cylinder S1 relative to that of S2.

Here, APO is calculated by the following equation:

\[
\delta \text{APO}=\delta(O_2/N_2) + \alpha_B X_{CO_2}/X_{O_2} - 1850 \quad \text{(per meg)},
\]

where \(X_{CO_2}\) is the CO\(_2\) mol fraction in ppm, \(\alpha_B\) is the \(O_2\):C molar exchange ratio for the land biotic respiration and photosynthesis (\(\alpha_B=1.1\)), \(X_{O_2}\) is the atmospheric \(O_2\) mole fraction (\(X_{O_2}=0.2094\) (Tohjima et al., 2005a), and the value 1850 is the arbitrary APO reference point (Tohjima et al., 2005b). We have calculated APO using in-situ \(O_2\) data obtained from the monitoring station (Mukai et al., 2001).

2.4 Linearity correction for TCD response

To estimate the linearity correction factor for the in-situ measurement system, we selected two 10L-aluminum cylinders of the purified air that is synthesized gravimetrically by Tohjima et al. (2005a). High and low \(O_2/N_2\) ratio values of the two gravimetric standards cover the range of the variation of \(O_2/N_2\) ratio observed at COI. Before and after calibration experiments, the ratios of \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{HP}\) in gravimetric standards were estimated in our laboratory using an HP 5890 GC/TCD. After relocation to the monitoring station, the two cylinders of the gravimetric standards were heat-insulated and kept horizontal for a month. The gravimetric standards were then measured as a sample gas relative to the working gas using a GC-323 GC/TCD in February and March 2007. We calculated the values of \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{GL}\) between gravimetric standards and estimated the linearity correction factor for each experiment (see Table 1). The linearity correction factor remained relatively constant for a month between the before- and after-calibration experiments (see Table 1). In our laboratory, we also examined the linearity of another GC-323 GC/TCD by measuring cylinders and samples for more than two years. The linear correlation between \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{HP}\) and \(\delta([O_2+Ar]/N_2]_{GL}\) stays within a range of ~2000 per meg and the slope of the correlation does not change significantly during the periods. Considering these results, we have adopted a constant value of 1.078±0.004 for the linearity correction factor for the in-situ measurement system at COI (Table 1).

Although we have not yet identified the cause of the non-linearity inherent in GC-323, the difference in the linearity between these two instruments might be related to the difference in the physical structure between GC-323 and HP 5890 TCDs. Basically, TCD consists of four filaments in a Wheatstone bridge configuration. In GC-323, two filaments are exposed to the reference gas, and the other two filaments are exposed to the sample gas. In contrast, in the HP5890 detector only one TCD filament is exposed alternately to the reference and sample gases very rapidly.

3 Discussion and results

3.1 Precision and data evaluation

The in-situ \(O_2/N_2\) ratio and \(CO_2\) mole fraction measurements from March 2005 to March 2006 are shown in Fig. 2a and APO is shown in Fig. 2b. The standard deviation of the \(O_2/N_2\) ratio was estimated at ~±14 per meg (1\(\sigma\)) for half a day measurements when intra-hourly room temperature fluctuation was 0.5–1°C. Thus, standard error (SE) for 1-h mean
O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio was $\sim \pm 6$ per meg (1.2 ppm). During August 2005 and from December 2005 to May 2006, the standard deviation of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio increased to 20–30 per meg because the range of room temperature fluctuation increased to $\sim 2^\circ$C. To correct the influence of the room temperature fluctuation on the measurement precision, on 24 May 2006 the BPR was separated from the main sampling line (Fig. 1). Although we have not yet clearly identified the cause of the difference noted above, it is likely that a positive fractionation of O$_2$ relative to N$_2$ occurs in the sampling line (Fig. 1). Stephens et al. (2003) reported a fractionation of O$_2$ relative to N$_2$ by as much as 70 per meg when air sample flowing at a rate of 80 mL min$^{-1}$ was separated from the main sample flow (6 L min$^{-1}$) by the tee junction located just before the BPR. In order to reduce the fractionation, they installed a 2 m of 1/4″ tubing before the BPR and reduced the main flow rate to 2 L min$^{-1}$. In our system, air sample is allowed to flow for about 30 cm in a stainless steel tubing (1/4″ OD, 4.35 mm ID) from the spherical glass flask to the BPR at a rate of $\sim 8$ L min$^{-1}$. We have confirmed that the back pressure regulator is not the cause of the bias. We added a 6 mm OD, 4 m long Dekabon® tubing coil between the spherical glass flask and the back pressure regulator on 22 August 2007. However, the bias still remains at the same extent after the installation of the long tubing coil (data are not shown). The Teflon cock attached on the spherical glass flask may cause a small leaking to fractionate O$_2$ and N$_2$ and then accumulate O$_2$ in the spherical glass.

The in-situ O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio values were compared with those obtained from flask samples. As shown in Fig. 3a, there is a linear correlation between $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$) from the flask sampling, $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{flask}}$, and the in-situ 5-h mean O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio, $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{5h}}$. The difference between $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{5h}}$ and $\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{flask}}$ (denoted as $\Delta\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{5h}}$/flask) during March 2005–March 2006 is shown in Fig. 3b. The value of $\Delta\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{5h}}$/flask was estimated to be 7.0$\pm$9.9 per meg (1$\sigma$, n=79), whereas the difference between the in-situ 1-h mean O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio and the ratio from the flask measurements ($\Delta\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{1h}}$/flask) was found to be 8.4$\pm$12.7 per meg (1$\sigma$, n=79).

Although we have not yet clearly identified the cause of the difference noted above, it is likely that a positive fractionation of O$_2$ relative to N$_2$ occurs in the sampling line (Fig. 1). Stephens et al. (2003) reported a fractionation of O$_2$ relative to N$_2$ by as much as 70 per meg when air sample flowing at a rate of 80 mL min$^{-1}$ was separated from the main sample flow (6 L min$^{-1}$) by the tee junction located just before the BPR. In order to reduce the fractionation, they installed a 2 m of 1/4″ tubing before the BPR and reduced the main flow rate to 2 L min$^{-1}$. In our system, air sample is allowed to flow for about 30 cm in a stainless steel tubing (1/4″ OD, 4.35 mm ID) from the spherical glass flask to the BPR at a rate of $\sim 8$ L min$^{-1}$. We have confirmed that the back pressure regulator is not the cause of the bias. We added a 6 mm OD, 4 m long Dekabon® tubing coil between the spherical glass flask and the back pressure regulator on 22 August 2007. However, the bias still remains at the same extent after the installation of the long tubing coil (data are not shown). The Teflon cock attached on the spherical glass flask may cause a small leaking to fractionate O$_2$ and N$_2$ and then accumulate O$_2$ in the spherical glass.

The standard deviation of $\Delta\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{1h}}$/flask ($\pm 12.7$ per meg) is larger than the expected standard error (SE) of $\pm 8$ per meg based on the SE of individual measurements from flasks (SE=$\pm 5$ per meg) and in-situ measurements (SE=$\pm 6$ per meg for 1-h mean O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio). The reasons for this could be due to the following factors: (1) Increase in the fluctuation of the room temperature during August 2005 and from December 2005 to May 2006 may have increased the standard error of $\Delta\delta$(O$_2$/N$_2$)$_{\text{1h}}$/flask by $\sim 1$ per meg, and (2) Changes in the cold trap temperature may have contributed to the fractionation of O$_2$ from N$_2$ as the air sample passed through the 80-mL glass trap. There are other possible factors, such as the temporal variability of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio of the working gas and the difference in the sampling time for flasks and in-situ measurements.

Thermal fractionation at the intake has also been examined to evaluate the influence on the in-situ measurement of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio. If the thermal fractionation has affected the
Fig. 4. Monthly-averaged diurnal variations of Atmospheric Potential Oxygen on clear days for the months of (a) May, June, July, August, September, November, February, and April for Ochi-ishi between March 2005 and December 2007. These averaged diurnal variations were determined from deviations of hourly APO from the daily means for individual months. Averaged standard errors and numbers of data (shown in parenthesis) for each month are as follows; (a) May: ±2.1 (n=35), Jun: ±3.3 (n=31), Jul.: ±2.4 (n=28), Aug.: ±2.3 (n=30), (b) Sep.: ±1.5 (n=35), Nov.: ±1.1 (n=35), Feb.: ±1.7 (n=21), and Apr.: ±2.0 (per meg) (n=26). Half of the observational data was selected as the data for clear days based on the median values of solar radiation.

O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measurement significantly, a decline of APO will be observed when the inlet is heated by solar radiation, as reported by Blaine et al. (2006), Stephens et al. (2007), and Sturm et al. (2006). However, we hardly found such typical variations in the time series of the observed APO. To examine the diurnal variability of APO more precisely, we selected data observed on clear days (based on solar radiation measurements) and computed monthly-averaged diurnal APO variations (Fig. 4). The results show that there are no apparent diurnal cycles within a range of ±5 per meg from October to May. Although we found significant diurnal cycles from June to September, we consider these diurnal cycles to reflect the actual variability because solar radiation was almost the same between May and July. Therefore, we conclude that the thermal fractionation should not affect our O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measurement within the range of analytical precision.

3.2 Atmospheric observation

The observed O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio shows a seasonal variation characterized by many short-term variations with time scales of several hours to several days (Fig. 2a); these fluctuations are not resolved by the flask measurements since the flask sampling was done every 4 days. Negative spikes of the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio accompanied by positive CO$_2$ spikes were observed especially between August and October (Fig. 2a). Monthly averaged standard deviations of anomaly O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio are 32.1, 18.3, and 16.0 for August, September, and October, respectively (n=29, 28, 30, respectively), whereas monthly averaged standard deviations of anomaly APO are 13.2, 3.3, and 2.2, respectively (n=29, 26, 30, respectively), where anomaly O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio and APO are daily averaged residuals that were de-trended and de-seasonalized from the observational data following Tohjima et al. (2008). The higher variability in the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratios, relative to the APO variability, should be attributed to terrestrial processes such as respiration of terrestrial biosphere and fossil fuel combustion. During the period from April to July, APO showed a large variability. Especially in late May to early July 2005, APO values were about 40 per meg higher than the APO values observed during the neighboring months, whereas, APO had no significant variabilities from October 2005 to March 2006 (Fig. 2b).

In fall and winter, mixed layer depth of the ocean increases because of the enhancement of ventilation and surface waters are mixed with subsurface waters, in which O$_2$ is undersaturated. A decrease in the temperature of surface waters results in an increase in O$_2$ solubility; the extent of undersaturation is enhanced. These factors should result in an uptake of atmospheric O$_2$ into the oceans in fall and winter in the North Pacific near COI. At Trinidad Hed, California (41.05° N, 124.15° W), strong coastal upwelling events occur from March to October, which transport the low-oxygen subsurface waters to the surface layer. The observed short-term declines of APO lasting several days or weeks by tens to ~100 per meg, which should be attributed to the uptake of
atmospheric O$_2$ into the low-oxygen sea waters (Lueker et al., 2003, 2004). However, such short-term negative spikes of APO were not observed in winter time (from December to February) at COI (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the O$_2$ uptake in the western North Pacific near COI does not likely produce large heterogeneity of the atmospheric O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio in winter time.

3.3 Oxygen emission related to spring bloom

We focus on the APO variation from April to July 2005 (Fig. 5b and d) and examine the reasons for the high APO values during this period. We relate the APO variation at COI to the correlative patterns of the air mass transport (obtained through a back trajectory analysis) and the distribution of monthly-averaged marine net primary production (NPP), as shown in Fig. 6. We have computed the back trajectory-

\[
F = \frac{\Delta C h}{t \left(1 - \exp(-L/ut)\right)}^{1/2}
\]

where $F$ is the sea-to-air flux of the species, $\Delta C$ is the change in its atmospheric mole fraction, $L$ is the wind fetch, $u$ is the wind speed, and $h$ is the vertical mixing height. We applied the following values to calculate the change in the oxygen flux: 100 per meg, 1530 km, 7.3 ms$^{-1}$, 290 m, 58 h, for $\Delta C$, $L$, $u$, $h$, $t$, respectively. We estimated the wind fetch ($L$) derived from the back trajectories. The starting point of the back trajectory calculation was set at 100 m above land surface (206 m above sea surface) and the wind fetch
was summed until the air mass goes out of the boundary layer. Averaged wind speed ($u$) and vertical mixing height ($h$) were also estimated based on the CGER/METEX Trajectory Model. We assumed that the variations of APO reflect changes of $O_2$ mole fraction. With these assumptions, the increase of oxygen flux was estimated at $64 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

When we assume steady states and apply $O_2$:C exchange ratio of 1.4 (Laws, 1991) for the net community productivity (NCP; the rate of gross production minus the rate of respiration by all metabolic processes), the difference of NCP can be estimated at $1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ mgC m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. When we assume steady states and apply $O_2$:C exchange ratio of 1.4 (Laws, 1991) for the net community productivity (NCP; the rate of gross production minus the rate of respiration by all metabolic processes), the difference of NCP can be estimated at $1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ mgC m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$.

Not all of the APO variability at COI, however, can be explained by the NPP-trajectory relationship. For example, a large increase in APO was observed on 3 June 2005 and an APO fluctuation on 24–27 June 2005. We are in the process of carrying out a detailed analysis of these events.

4 Summary and implications

We have been carrying out in-situ measurements of the atmospheric $O_2/N_2$ ratio at Cape Ochi-ishi (COI; 43°10'N, 145°30'E) in the northern part of Japan since March 2005 using the GC/TCD analyzer. The standard deviation of the $O_2/N_2$ ratio is estimated to be about $\pm 14$ per meg ($\approx 3$ ppm) for the in-situ measurement system, resulting in a standard error of $\pm 6$ per meg ($\approx 1.2$ ppm) for the 1-h mean $O_2/N_2$ ratio. Although precision of the GC/TCD method is worse than that of the other in-situ measurement systems, the smaller consumption rate of working gas ($8 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$) is a benefit for long term observation at remote monitoring stations.
After linearity correction in the in-situ measurement system, there remains a systematic bias for the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio measured in situ compared with the O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio obtained from the flask measurements. The average of the differences between the in-situ and the flask measurements (5-h mean O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio-flask data) is 7.0±9.9 per meg (1σ, n=79) from March 2005 to March 2006.

During the period of our study, we have observed a clear seasonal variation in APO at COI. From late May to early July 2005, APO shows relatively high values, exceeding the values observed during the neighboring months by about 40 per meg, and is characterized by a large variability. Based on the results from trajectory analysis, it is suggested that the high values of APO at COI are associated with occurrences of strong marine primary production (spring bloom) observed in the Okhotsk Sea and the western North Pacific. This gives additional support to the idea that the variation in APO reflects variation in O$_2$ emission associated with the spring bloom of phytoplankton in the western North Pacific and marginal seas near Japan.
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