Seasonal source variability of carbonaceous aerosols at the Rwanda Climate Observatory
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Abstract. Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is a global hot spot for aerosol emissions, which affect the regional climate and air quality. In this paper, we use ground-based observations to address the large uncertainties in the source-resolved emission estimation of carbonaceous aerosols. Ambient fine fraction aerosol was collected on filters at the high-altitude (2590 m a.s.l.) Rwanda Climate Observatory (RCO), a SSA background site, during the dry and wet seasons in 2014 and 2015. The concentrations of both the carbonaceous and inorganic ion components show a strong seasonal cycle, with highly elevated concentrations during the dry season. Source marker ratios, including carbon isotopes, show that the wet and dry seasons have distinct aerosol compositions. The dry season is characterized by elevated amounts of biomass burning products, which approach ~95% for carbonaceous aerosols. An isotopic mass-balance estimate shows that the amount of the carbonaceous aerosol stemming from savanna fires may increase from 0.2 µg m⁻³ in the wet season up to 10 µg m⁻³ during the dry season. Based on these results, we quantitatively show that savanna fire is the key modulator of the seasonal aerosol composition variability at the RCO.

1 Introduction

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) currently faces major challenges with respect to sustainable development, including industrial development, agriculture, fresh water supply, climate change, energy resources, and air pollution (IPCC, 2014; UNDP, 2018). These challenges are linked, either directly or indirectly, to aerosol emissions. Aerosols offset the ongoing regional climate warming in SSA, shift monsoon and precipitation patterns, and are detrimental to the air quality (IPCC, 2013; WHO, 2016). Ambient air pollution in SSA is estimated to cause 563,000 premature deaths annually, making it one of the main causes of mortality in the region (Bauer et al., 2019). However, the level of scientific understanding of the overall health and climate impact of aerosols is still low, owing to the complex aerosol life cycle during which emissions, transformations, and sinks are associated with large uncertainties, in particular given their vast physical and chemical complexity. A major limiting factor regarding improving our understanding of these effects in SSA is the limited number of in situ observations (Williams et al., 2007; Cais et al., 2011; Kulmala, 2018; López-Ballesteros et al., 2018). A major source of aerosol emissions in SSA is dry season regional fires, which are clearly visible from space (Fig. 1). These are occasionally ignited naturally by lightning strikes,
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gest that the TC emissions from SSA are expected to increase
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of the global burden by 2030 (Lioussse et al., 2014). To quantify
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Figure 1. Fire counts and air mass back-trajectories for the Oc-
tober 2014 to September 2015 campaign at the Rwanda Climate Ob-
servatory (RCO, white circle with black outline). The fire counts
are from the Fire Information for Resource Management Sys-
tem (FIRMS) derived from the NASA Moderate Resolution Imag-
ing Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite product for June–July–
August (JJA), 2015. The thin lines represent (04:00 CAT, cen-
tral African time) 5d air mass back-trajectories arriving at RCO
100 m above ground level (a.g.l.; 2690 m above sea level, a.s.l.). The
blue lines correspond to what we refer to as the “wet” seasons
(October–November 2014 and April–May 2015), whereas the green
lines represent the dry JJA season.

but they are mainly lit by humans. There is evidence that
slash-and-burn agriculture in SSA has been a common prac-
tice for thousands of years (Bird and Cali, 1998; Archibald
et al., 2012). This long-term anthropogenic perturbation is
a significant modulator of current ecosystem structure. A
number of studies have specifically focused on character-
izing emissions of aerosols and gases from African fires,
e.g., the Southern African Regional Science Initiative Project
(SAFARI 2000) that was conducted between 1999 and 2001
(Swap et al., 2003). Ground- and airborne chemical charac-

terization from this and other campaigns suggest a rather dis-
tinct aerosol chemical composition, including elevated BC,
K\(^+\), and NO\(_3^-\) concentrations (Table 1).

Carbonaceous aerosols, which are often quantified as to-
tal carbon (TC), are generally divided into two main com-
ponents: black carbon (BC; here we use elemental carbon,
EC, to quantify the amount of BC) and organic carbon (OC).
In this paper, we present dual carbon isotope constraints
of TC at background

2 Methods and materials

2.1 Field site and regional meteorology

The sampling site, the Rwanda Climate Observatory (RCO),
is located on the top of Mt. Mugogo, in mountainous western
Rwanda. (1.586° S, 29.566° E; 2590 m a.s.l. and 5 m a.g.l.).
The station was established as a collaboration between the
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT; USA) and the
Rwandan Government in 2013. The station is described in
more detail by DeWitt et al. (2019). RCO is an Advanced
Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) network
site (for full list of instruments see http://agage.mit.edu, last
access: 17 April 2020).

The meteorology of Rwanda is governed by the East
African monsoon, with peak rainfalls in April and Novem-
ber. Thus, there are two dry seasons: December–January–
Table 1. Concentrations of carbonaceous aerosol (µgC m⁻³) and inorganic ions (µg m⁻³) in fine aerosol from ground-based and airborne measurements over sub-Saharan Africa (“bkg” represents background).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>BC/EC</th>
<th>WSOCE</th>
<th>NO³⁻</th>
<th>SO²⁻</th>
<th>NH⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCO, drya</td>
<td>9.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>8.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCO, wetb</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Tanzania, dryb</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Tanzania, wetb</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa, smokec</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa, bkgc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.00</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa freshd</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20 ± 18</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa agedd</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.04</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa, plumee</td>
<td>106 ± 86</td>
<td>91 ± 74</td>
<td>15 ± 12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africa hazee</td>
<td>10.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>9.5 ± 6.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, southern Africaf</td>
<td>8.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National park, South Africae</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.2–2.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.22–0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna, South Africaeb</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, western Africa, bkgf</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.33–0.35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.11–0.12</td>
<td>1.64–1.70</td>
<td>0.63–0.68</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft, western Africa, urban plume</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.64–0.72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.49–0.53</td>
<td>2.70–3.03</td>
<td>1.20–1.38</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland, South Africa, dryg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland, South Africa, wetj</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna, South Africa, springk</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savanna, South Africa, summerk</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


February (DJF) and the main dry season in June–July–August (JJA). The dry seasons in SSA are characterized by extensive biomass burning. During JJA the fires mainly occur to the south of Rwanda (Fig. 1). Savannas are the main biomes in SSA, covering ~ 65 % of the landmass, and are the main source of fire emissions (Cahoon et al., 1992). Located in a highly elevated region, Rwanda is, broadly speaking, surrounded by savanna regions, except to the west, where the tropical rainforests of Africa are located.

2.2 Filter sampling

Quartz filter samples (Millipore, 150 mm diameter) were collected with a high-volume sampler operating at 30 m³ h⁻¹ using a PM₂.₅ inlet (DH-77, DIGITEL Elektronik AG, Switzerland). Nighttime only (01:00 to 06:00 CAT) sampling was conducted to minimize the effects of local emissions and daytime local atmospheric chemistry and to increase the likelihood of capturing the regional, free troposphere, signals. This strategy is supported by high temporal resolution investigations of the diurnal cycle of, e.g., BC (DeWitt et al., 2019). Each sample was collected over a period of 7 d. The samples were pre-combusted in aluminum foil envelopes (400 °C for 5 h) and were treated with special attention to minimize contamination. The samples were subsequently shipped to Stockholm University for chemical analysis and isolation for carbon isotope analysis. The samples were stored in freezers both on site and at Stockholm University. Field blanks were collected on a monthly basis. The present campaign covers the period from October 2014 to September 2015. However, the period from December 2014 to April 2015 is missing due to a lightning strike that damaged the instrument. Thus, this study presents results from the analysis of filter samples (in total 25) collected for the periods that cover the beginning of the 2014 fall rainy season (October–November), the end of the spring 2015 rainy season (April–May), and the dry 2015 summer season (June–September). We jointly refer the October–November 2014 and the April–May 2015 periods as the wet seasons.

2.3 Concentration analysis

The concentrations of elemental carbon (EC – mass-based tracer for black carbon) and organic carbon (OC) were determined with a thermal–optical instrument (Sunset Laboratory Inc., Tigard, Oregon, USA) using the NIOSH 4050 protocol (Birch and Cary, 1996; Table S1 in the Supplement). Pretreatment using acid fumigation with 1M HCl ensured the efficient removal of carbonates. A glucose solution was used to calibrate the flame ionization detector (FID) response of the instrument, and the long-term performance of the instrument was checked by running National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standard Reference Materials (SRM) standards. All of the concentrations were blank corrected, and the field blank input was 2 % for OC and 0 % for EC on average. The average relative standard deviation of the triplicate analysis was 5 % for OC and 7 % for EC.

Water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) was extracted from filter subsamples in ultrapure Milli-Q water by shaking for 1.5 h. The extracts were filtered using 0.45 µm cutoff polyte
The concentration of WSOC was determined by ion chromatography using a Dionex ICS-2000 system. Anions were separated using an IonPac AG11 2 mm × 50 mm Dionex guard column, an IonPac AS11 2 mm × 250 mm Dionex separation column, and an ASRS 300 self-regenerating suppressor. A solution of KOH was used as eluent. Cations were separated using an IonPac CG16 3 mm × 50 mm Dionex guard column, an IonPac CS11 3 mm × 250 mm Dionex separation column, and a CSRS 300 self-regenerating suppressor. The analysis of cations was performed using 30 mM solution of methanesulfonic acid (MSA) as eluent. Field blanks constituted 3% of NO$_3^-$, 2% of SO$_4^{2-}$, and 1% of NH$_4^+$ and K$^+$ ion concentrations on average. The triplicate analysis showed the average relative standard deviation of 2% for NO$_3^-$ and K$^+$, 5% for SO$_4^{2-}$, and 6% for NH$_4^+$.

2.4 Isotope analysis

Approximately every second sample ($n = 12$) was selected for carbon isotope ($\Delta^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$) analysis of total carbon (TC = OC + EC; Table S1). The filter samples were combusted using the Sunset analyzer (total carbon protocol), and the evolved CO$_2$ was collected in glass vials using a liquid nitrogen cryo-trap (Andersson et al., 2015). The vials were subsequently shipped to the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) facility at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute (Falmouth, Massachusetts, USA) for analysis of the dual carbon isotope signatures. The $\Delta^{14}C$ signature was measured using accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS), while the $\delta^{13}C$ signature was measured using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS).

2.5 Source Apportionment

The $\Delta^{14}C$ signature allows for differentiation between the relative contributions of biogenic / biomass burning and fossil sources. The biogenic / biomass burning fraction ($f_{bio}$) may be calculated using isotopic mass-balance ($f_{fossil} = 1 - f_{bio}$):

$$f_{bio} = \frac{\Delta^{14}C_{sample} - \Delta^{14}C_{fossil}}{\Delta^{14}C_{bio} - \Delta^{14}C_{fossil}}$$

The fossil endmember is $-1000 \%^e$, as it is completely depleted in $^{14}C$. The biomass endmember is more complex. For annual plants it is fairly straightforward: the biomass $\Delta^{14}C$ signature equals the $\Delta^{14}C$ value of CO$_2$ for that year ($\sim +20 \%^e$ for 2014/2015, Graven, 2015; Turnbull et al., 2017). For more long-lived species (e.g., trees), the $\Delta^{14}C$ signature is the average of the atmospheric CO$_2$ values (weighted by yearly carbon accumulation) over the plants’ lifetime. Therefore, bottom-up estimation of $\Delta^{14}C_{bio}$ requires information regarding the plant distribution in the area of interest and the annual bioaccumulation of carbon for the different plants. As an alternative, we use the combined $\Delta^{14}C$ signature of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in three of the regions’ major rivers, the Congo, the Zambezi, and the Tana, to obtain a regional $\Delta^{14}C_{bio}$ of $+57 \pm 52 \%^e$, which is well within the expected range of a mixture of annual and multiyear plants (Marwick et al., 2015; Wild et al., 2019; Winiger et al., 2019).

The vegetation in SSA may be divided into two main photosynthetic classes: C$_3$ plants and C$_4$ plants (see discussion in Sect. 3.5). These two groups have distinct $\delta^{13}C$ signatures, which allow for isotope-based separation. We may then resolve three source classes by combining $\Delta^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$ – C$_3$ plants, C$_4$ plants, and fossil – via isotopic mass-balance (Andersson et al., 2015):

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta^{14}C(i) \\
\delta^{13}C(i)
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta^{14}C_{C_3} \\
\delta^{13}C_{C_3} \\
\Delta^{14}C_{fossil} \\
\delta^{13}C_{fossil}
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
f_{C_3}(i) \\
f_{fossil}(i) \\
f_{C_4}(i)
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta^{14}C_{C_3} \\
\delta^{13}C_{C_3} \\
\Delta^{14}C_{fossil} \\
\delta^{13}C_{fossil}
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot \begin{pmatrix}
f_{C_3} \\
f_{fossil} \\
f_{C_4}
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta^{14}C_{C_3} \\
\delta^{13}C_{C_3} \\
\Delta^{14}C_{fossil} \\
\delta^{13}C_{fossil}
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot \begin{pmatrix}
f_{C_3} \\
f_{fossil} \\
f_{C_4}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Endmember variability may significantly influence the calculated source fractional contributions (Andersson, 2011). For a discussion on the specific endmember ranges used here, see Sect. 3.5.

In Eq. (2), the isotopic data are treated as independent. However, here we find that there is a dependence between the isotope ratios and the TC concentrations; thus, $\Delta^{14}C(i) \sim A/TC(i) + B$, where $A$ and $B$ are constants, and $i$ is the sample index (Fig. 5). This is known as a Keeling relation, and it is discussed in more detail in Sect. 3.4. The relation holds for both $\Delta^{14}C$ ($R^2 = 0.85, p < 0.01$) and $\delta^{13}C$, whereas the correlation is weaker for $\delta^{13}C$ ($R^2 = 0.55, p < 0.1$). A method for using correlations within the framework of Bayesian source apportionment has recently been developed (Martens et al., 2019). The rationale is based on both statistical concepts and the averaging expected from atmospheric mixing. The endmember ranges used in the calculations are from isolated sources, but during long-range transport the variability within a given source, e.g., savanna fires,
will be reduced. Using correlations between data points, a means for accounting for the mixing is obtained, and more realistic source fraction estimates are derived. When using the estimated source fractions to back-calculate the isotope signatures, the agreement is good compared with direct fits (Figs. 5, S2). A sensitivity analysis is discussed in Sect. 3.5 (Fig. S3).

Thus, to account for the correlations in the dataset, we add a second constraint in the source apportionment calculations that is based on the relation to the TC concentrations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
  f_{C_3}(i) \\
  f_{fossil}(i) \\
  f_{C_4}(i)
\end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix}
  1 \\
  [TC(i)] \cdot \left( f_{C_3, \text{slope}}/f_{fossil, \text{slope}} \right) \\
  f_{C_3, \text{intercept}}/f_{fossil, \text{intercept}}
\end{pmatrix} 
\]

Here, instead of fitting a source vector \((f_{C_3}, f_{fossil}, f_{C_4})\) for each individual data pair, we fit two vectors – a slope and an intercept of the line – to all data points. This clearly offers the advantage of having fewer fitting parameters. We emphasize that the strength of the correlation of the isotope signatures relative to \(1/TC\) is naturally incorporated into this relation; hence, the lower correlation of \(\delta^{13}C\) with respect to \(1/TC\) imposes weaker constraints on the calculated source fractions compared with \(\Delta^{14}C\).

The source fractions were computed using numerical Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations, implemented in MATLAB, version 2015b, using 1 000 000 iterations with a burn-in (initial search phase) of 10 000 and a data thinning of 10 (removing stepwise correlations). The stochastic perturbation parameter was adjusted in order to obtain an acceptance ratio of 0.23, which has been suggested to be optimal for Metropolis–Hastings algorithms (Roberts et al., 1997). For this setup, the variability in the numerically estimated parameters, e.g., the standard deviation of the relative source fraction, is lower than 1 % of the mean value, suggesting good convergence (Winiger et al., 2019).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Back-trajectory analysis

Air mass back-trajectory analysis shows that the air masses arriving at the Rwanda Climate Observatory (RCO) during the filter collection periods are generally from the east/southeast (Figs. 1, S1). There is some overlap between the wet and boreal summer dry seasons, but there is a seasonal switch overall: the wet seasons air masses are from a more easterly origin (e.g., Uganda, Kenya, and Tanzania), whereas the dry season air masses are from more southeasterly directions (e.g., Burundi, Tanzania, and the Democratic Republic of Congo). During the dry season there are extensive fires to the south of RCO, mainly to the southwest (Democratic Republic of Congo and Angola). However, the air masses also pass over regions with comparably high fire activities in the southeast, mainly in Tanzania. Nevertheless, we emphasize that back-trajectory analysis is challenging in mountainous regions (e.g., Winiger et al., 2019), and the actual geographical footprints are expected to be broader, e.g., due to the propagating effects of turbulence. Here, we interpret the back-trajectories qualitatively to visualize overall air mass transport patterns.

### 3.2 Concentrations of fine aerosol components

During the present campaign, the PM\(_{2.5}\) carbonaceous and inorganic ion components show a strong seasonal variability, with elevated levels during the dry JJA season (Fig. 2, Table S1). The dry / wet season ratios for TC, EC, WSOC, \(\text{NO}_3^-\), \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\), \(\text{NH}_4^+\), and \(\text{K}^+\) were 4.2, 7.0, 4.1, 12.6, 3.0, 3.2, and 8.8, respectively (Table 1). The sea-salt contributions to the ions are generally estimated to be less than 1 %, using corrections with sodium ions (Blanchard and Woodcock, 1980). Here, we report the actual concentrations to facilitate direct comparisons with previous studies (Table 1). Overall, these differences reflect differences in the aerosol atmospheric lifetime, air mass transport pathways, and emission seasonality (e.g., fires). Elevated ratios of EC and \(\text{K}^+\) suggests an increased influence from biomass burning during the dry season. \(\text{NO}_3^-\), which displays the largest seasonal shift, is often associated with oxidized \(\text{NO}_x\) from traffic emissions or lightning strikes; however, it is also typically elevated in emissions from savanna fires (Table 1; e.g., Gao et al., 2003; Formenti et al., 2003).

The dry season concentrations of carbonaceous aerosol components and inorganic ions reported here show good overall agreement with the concentrations observed in dry season rural and aged savanna fire air masses (Table 1). The BC values are in the same range as those observed at Mt. Kenya \((0.72\pm0.06 \mu\text{gC m}^{-3}\), Gatari and Boman, 2003). During the atmospheric aging of a biomass plume, the values of OC, EC, and \(\text{K}^+\) decrease by a factor of 2–3, whereas other components are relatively unaffected (Table 1). However, the
Figure 2. The time dependence of the concentrations of carbonaceous aerosols (TC denotes total carbon; EC denotes elemental carbon; OC denotes organic carbon; WSOC denotes water-soluble organic carbon) and inorganic ions in PM$_{2.5}$ from October 2014 to September 2015 at the Rwanda Climate Observatory. Panel (a) shows TC and EC, panel (b) shows OC and WSOC, panel (c) shows NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$, and panel (d) shows K$^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$. Instruments were hit by lightning, resulting in a data gap from November 2014 to April 2015. For visual clarity, the concentrations of EC were multiplied by 5, and the concentrations of K$^+$ were multiplied by 2.

Figure 3. The time dependence of ratios of carbonaceous aerosols (EC denotes elemental carbon; OC denotes organic carbon; WSOC denotes water-soluble organic carbon) and inorganic ions relative to total carbon (TC) in PM$_{2.5}$ from October 2014 to September 2015 at the Rwanda Climate Observatory. Panel (a) shows the EC/TC ratio, panel (b) shows the WSOC/OC ratio, panel (c) shows the NO$_3^-$/TC and K$^+$/TC ratios, and panel (d) shows the NH$_4^+$/TC and SO$_4^{2-}$/TC ratios. Instruments were hit by lightning, resulting in a data gap from November 2014 to April 2015. The concentrations of the K$^+$/TC and NH$_4^+$/TC ratios were multiplied by 2 for visual clarity.

effects appear variable compared with savanna fires in South Africa (Gao et al., 2003).

The RCO is situated not far from the Nyiragongo and Nyamuragira volcanoes in the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. High spatial resolution (13$^\times$ 24 km$^2$) satellite monitoring of the SO$_2$ levels shows near-constant emissions from these volcanoes over the time period covering the present campaign, which likely affected the observed sulfate levels (Barrière et al., 2017). Here we observe a spike in sulfate levels ($\sim$ 5 µg m$^{-3}$) during the week starting on the 13 June 2015 (Fig. 2) but with no clear linkage to an increase in volcanic SO$_2$ emissions.

3.3 Source marker ratios and correlations

Overall, the ratios of different aerosol components provide insights into sources or atmospheric processes. Here, the EC/TC ratio shows a distinct seasonality (Fig. 3, Table S1). More commonly analyzed, however, is the OC/EC ratio (calculated as (TC – EC)/EC), which shows elevated levels during the wet season (11 ± 3) compared with the dry season (7 ± 3; Table S1). The OC/EC ratio is sometimes used as a marker for biomass burning, but it is highly influenced by burning conditions such as flaming or smoldering fires. In addition, it is highly impacted by atmospheric processes such as secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation or photochemical aging (e.g., Dasari et al., 2019). The dry season values observed here are similar to those that have been observed in background air at other dry season sub-Saharan African sites (Table 1).

Similarly, the NH$_4^+$/TC and SO$_4^{2-}$/TC ratios are also elevated during the wet seasons (Fig. 3), whereas they decrease during the dry seasons, suggesting a different source profile compared with EC, K$^+$, and NO$_3^-$, including potential volcanic input from SO$_2$. In contrast, the WSOC/OC ratio shows no clear seasonality, indicating small differences in sources and atmospheric processing of water-soluble and water-insoluble organic components during the year. TC correlates with K$^+$ (an $R^2$ of 0.95 and $p < 0.01$) and NO$_3^-$ (an $R^2$ of 0.95 and $p < 0.01$), suggesting that the incomplete combustion regime during the present campaign is governed by biomass emissions, e.g., savanna burning. Based on these results, these ratios qualitatively suggest that the aerosol regime at RCO is strongly influenced by the occasional input of biomass burning products during the boreal dry season.
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Figure 4. Dual carbon isotope data for TC vs. time. Panel (a) shows

\[ \Delta^{14}C \] and panel (b) shows \( \delta^{13}C \). The uncertainties for \( \Delta^{14}C \) are below 50 %, and the uncertainties for \( \delta^{13}C \) are below \( \sim 0.2 \% \). Instruments were hit by lightning, resulting in a data gap from November 2014 to April 2015.

3.4 Carbon isotopes

Radiocarbon (\( \Delta^{14}C \)) and stable carbon (\( \delta^{13}C \)) provide detailed information regarding the sources and atmospheric processing of carbonaceous aerosols. Here, we investigated the signatures of TC for roughly every second sample during the campaign. The \( \Delta^{14}C \) marker is not influenced by atmospheric processing and may be used to directly compute the relative contributions of fossil and biomass / biogenic sources with high precision, Eq. (1). The \( \Delta^{14}C \) signature shows an oscillation over the seasons, ranging between \( -84 \% \) in November 2014 and \( +30 \% \) in July 2015 (Fig. 4, Table S1). Thus, during the JJA season, the \( \Delta^{14}C \) signature exceeds the signature for atmospheric CO\(_2\) (+20 \%, Graven, 2015; Turnbull et al., 2017).

Using Eq. (1), the percentage biomass / biogenic TC for the \( \Delta^{14}C = +30 \% \) sample is 97 %. During the wet season, the percentage derived from fossil sources reaches 13 %, which possibly points to a more local derivation. \( \Delta^{14}C \) correlates with 1/TC (an \( R^2 \) of 0.85 and \( p < 0.01 \)), which suggests that the variability in concentrations can be explained as a two-component mixture: a stable background and a temporally fluctuating source (Keeling, 1958; Fig. 5a). This inverse relation gives a \( \Delta^{14}C \) of \( +37 \pm 6 \% \) as TC approaches infinity, showing that the non-background component is of biogenic / biomass burning origins. The \( \Delta^{14}C \) signatures for TC reported here are generally higher than for monitoring sites in South and East Asia (Sheesley et al., 2012; Kirillova et al., 2014; Bikkina et al., 2016).

In contrast to \( \Delta^{14}C \), the \( \delta^{13}C \) value is influenced by both atmospheric processes (i.e., kinetic isotope effects, KIEs) and source signatures. Here, the \( \delta^{13}C \) value shows a similar pattern relative to the \( \Delta^{14}C \) value: it is depleted in \( ^{13}C \) (min \( \delta^{13}C \) of \( -27 \% \)) during wet seasons and higher during the dry season (max \( \delta^{13}C \) of \( -21 \% \)) (Fig. 4b). The correlation with respect to 1/TC (an \( R^2 \) of 0.55 and \( p < 0.1 \)) is weaker than that for \( \Delta^{14}C \) (Fig. 5b). The direct fossil vs. biomass source correlation from the \( \Delta^{14}C \) Keeling curve is also driving the \( \delta^{13}C \) signatures, but the higher variability is explained by larger endmember variability and the potential influence of KIEs (see Sect. 3.5). An overall enrichment in \( ^{13}C \) has been found in aged air masses in South Asia, especially for WSOC (Kirillova et al., 2013; Dasari et al., 2019), although less so for TC. In fact, the enrichment of \( ^{13}C \) in WSOC often appears to be counteracted by a decrease in water-insoluble OC (e.g., Yan et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2017).

The TC \( \delta^{13}C \) values, and their seasonal trend, are similar to those previously observed in fine aerosols at a rural site in Tanzania (May–August 2011; Mkoma et al., 2014). However, the temporal trend appears shifted in the RCO samples from values of around \( -25 \% \) to around \( 22 \% \) in mid-May. At the Tanzanian site, a similar shift occurs in mid-June. In addition to the complications of comparing measurements conducted at different sites during different years, there is good agreement in the \( \delta^{13}C \) values, and the tempo-
eral offset may be explained by variability in the position of the intertropical convergence zone. Similarly, the $\delta^{13}$C value for TC at a savanna woodland site in Zambia, observed during August–September 2000, was $-21.8 \pm 0.8 \%$ (Billmark et al., 2005), while values between $-19.3 \%$ and $-23.6 \%$ were observed at sites in Côte d’Ivoire (Cachier et al., 1985).

3.5 Carbon isotope-based source apportionment

By combining the $\Delta^{14}$C and the $\delta^{13}$C values, we can resolve three major sources of TC at the RCO using isotopic mass-balance, Eq. (2). However, there are some important considerations to this approach: first, the $\delta^{13}$C value is not an exclusive source marker and is also affected by atmospheric processing (e.g., photochemical oxidation and secondary formation); second, the main source categories must be defined and distinguishable using carbon isotopes; and third, the source values of the isotope signatures, the endmembers, and their natural variability need to be established.

As mentioned, the $\delta^{13}$C value of bulk TC appears to be considerably less affected by atmospheric processing compared with subcomponents, such as WSOC. Here, the temporal variation of the $\delta^{13}$C value is qualitatively similar to that of the $\Delta^{14}$C value (Fig. 4). As $\Delta^{14}$C is not affected by atmospheric reactions, this suggests that source variability is a key driver of the $\delta^{13}$C variability. Furthermore, the WSOC/OC ratio is virtually constant throughout the year (Fig. 3); the WSOC/OC ratio has been found to be highly affected by atmospheric processing and related to shifting $\delta^{13}$C (Kirillova et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2017; Dasari et al., 2019). Here, we therefore assume that the $\delta^{13}$C ratio of TC is not strongly perturbed by atmospheric processing during long-range transport and may, thus, be used as a source marker. Nevertheless, we explore the potential influence of the KIEs, as well as endmember variability, on the source apportionment results in a sensitivity analysis.

Turning to potential sources, there is a multitude of potential source categories for TC in SSA. However, many of these falls into broad categories with similar carbon isotope signatures. Around the world, the applications of dual carbon isotope source apportionment techniques in ambient TC has been considered/six broad source categories: C$_3$ plants, C$_4$ plants, liquid fossil fuels (e.g., traffic), coal combustion (solid fossil), gas flaring (gaseous fossil), and marine emissions (Winiger et al., 2019; Andersson et al., 2015; Kirillova et al., 2013). Overall, the practice of coal combustion in SSA is expected to be much less frequent than in, for example, South and East Asia; therefore, we do not consider this source further. In addition, marine emissions are not expected to have a large influence at RCO, which is supported by the low estimates of marine contributions to the inorganic ions ($<1\%$). For gas flaring, there are potential distant sources around the Arabian Peninsula and off the west coast of Africa, in the Gulf of Guinea. However, given the distances to the RCO station and the prevailing wind directions, emissions from flaring are not expected to affect the site, while the $\delta^{13}$C signatures for gas flaring are strongly depleted in $^{13}$C ($\delta^{13}$C $<-38\%$; Winiger et al., 2017) and even a small contribution would shift the observed values significantly.

The remaining three main source categories are the two biomass sources of C$_3$ (e.g., trees) and C$_4$ plants (e.g., sugarcane and certain grasses) and liquid fossil fuels (Fig. 6). Aerosols from liquid fossil fuel sources have a $\Delta^{14}$C$_{\text{fossil}}$ of $-1000\%$ (completely depleted in $^{14}$C) and a $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{fossil}}$ of $-25.5 \pm 1.3\%$ (Widory, 2006; Andersson et al., 2015). The $\Delta^{14}$C of biomass was established in Sect. 2.4 as $\Delta^{14}$C$_{\text{C}_3} = \Delta^{14}$C$_{\text{C}_4} = +57 \pm 52\%$. The $\delta^{13}$C of C$_3$ plants in general is $-27.1 \pm 2\%$ (Bender, 1971; O’Leary, 1988). However, for aerosols generated from C$_3$ plants, this value may be either enriched (e.g., $\sim 0.5\%$ biomass burning) or depleted (e.g., $\sim 0\%$ to $4\%$ during SOA formation) (Turekian, 1998; Das et al., 2010; Mkoma et al., 2014; Aguilera and Whigham, 2018). In any case, the numerical spread in the $\delta^{13}$C value of these different sources is largely overlapping with that of the raw materials; therefore, we use this value here. The $\delta^{13}$C of C$_4$-plant materials is $-13.1 \pm 1.2\%$ (Bender, 1971; O’Leary, 1988; Turekian et al., 1998). However, during incomplete combustion, the $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{C}_4}$ may be reduced by a factor ranging from 0$\%$ to 7$\%$, which is largely dependent on burning conditions and species (Martineili, 2002; Das et al., 2010; Aguilera and Whigham, 2018). Accounting for such effects in source apportionment is a challenge, especially as the reported values are ranges and not mean and variability; thus, they are highly influenced by potential outliers. Here, we use a method discussed in Andersson et al. (2015) to address the issue of the statistical analysis of ranges by assuming that the total range corresponds to the 95$\%$ confidence intervals of a normal distribution. This corresponds to the range of 4 times the standard deviation, yielding $\sigma = 7/4\%$, while the mean is $-7/2\%$. Combining this with the variability of the of pure C$_4$ plants we obtain the following: $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{C}_4}$: $-16.6 \pm 2.2\%$, where $\sigma^2 = 1.2^2 + (7/4)^2/2$. These values are also what is obtained by numerical estimation of the convolution of a normal distribution ($\mu = -16.6\%$, $\sigma = 1.2\%$) with a uniform distribution ($1-7, 0\%$), adding to the strength of the statistical representation.

The fractional source contributions of fossil fuel, C$_3$, and C$_4$ to TC are computed using Eqs. (2) and (3) (Fig. 7). It is well established that the accurate estimation of the fractional source contributions requires explicit incorporation of the endmember variability, and we use a Bayesian framework driven by Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations for this purpose (Andersson, 2011; Andersson et al., 2015). To estimate the influence of the intra-endmember mixing during atmospheric transport, we use the correlations of the isotopes with TC within the Bayesian framework (see Sect. 2.5) to account for the endmember averaging during atmospheric transport (Martens et al., 2019; Fig. 5). The resulting fractional contributions display a large variability when compari-
Figure 6. Dual carbon ($\Delta^{14}C$ vs. $\delta^{13}C$) isotope plot of TC. Blue circles represent October–November 2014 (wet), yellow circles represent April–May 2015 (wet), and red circles represent June–September 2015 (dry). The boxes represent the endmember ranges (mean ± SD; see Sect. 3.5 for details) of the three main sources: $C_3$ plants (green), $C_4$ plants (orange), and fossil (black).

As the $\delta^{13}C$ endmembers are not well constrained (in particular for $C_4$ plants), we also employed a sensitivity analysis with respect to endmembers and the potential influence of the KIEs (Tables S2, S3, S4, S5; Figs. S4, S5, S6). In addition to the abovementioned best estimate scenario, we tested two $\delta^{13}C_{C_4}$ scenarios: a “minimum KIE scenario” with zero KIE ($\delta^{13}C_{C_4}$ of $-13.1 \pm 1.2 \%e$) and a “maximum KIE scenario” with a depletion of $5.9 \%e$ ($\delta^{13}C_{C_4}$ of $-19.0 \pm 2.2 \%e$). The maximum KIE scenario was established such that the $f_{C_4}/(f_{C_4} + f_{C_3})$ ratio would be 62% as TC approach infinity, which amounts to 100% savanna contributions, see Eq. (4). As expected, these scenarios significantly shift the estimated relative $C_4$ contributions, resulting in a total range of the sample period averages of 24% (min KIE; min 6%, max 32%) to 42% (max KIE; min 10%, max 58%), thereby providing lower and upper bounds (Figs. S4, S5; Tables S3, S4). The corresponding value for our best estimate is 32% (max 44%, min 8%). In addition, we investigated a scenario with a 3% depletion of the fossil endmember ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{fossil}}$ of $-28.5 \pm 1.3 \%e$). As the fossil contribution is low overall, as determined by $\Delta^{14}C$, and as $\Delta^{14}C$ constrains the fossil contribution independently of the $\delta^{13}C$ data, this shift has no significant influence on the computed source fractions of 6% (max 11%, min 3%; Fig. S6; Table S5). Overall, we stress that these three sensitivity test scenarios represent extreme limits, and the a priori least biased scenario is the initially outlined best scenario.

By combining the estimated fractional source contributions with the TC concentrations, we can estimate the concentrations from the different sources (Fig. 7b), revealing a more accentuated source variability. The average dry-to-wet ratios of the TC to $C_3$ plants, $C_4$ plants, and fossil fuels are 3, 5, and 2, respectively.
Savannas are the main biome supporting C$_4$ plants in SSA. For East African savannas, $\delta^{13}$C data suggest that $\sim$62% ($f_{C_4,\text{NPP}}$) of the net primary production (NPP) is from C$_4$ plants (the rest mainly stems from C$_3$ plants; Lloyd et al., 2008). Thus, one may assume that the source characteristics of TC emitted from savanna burning should represent this plant-signature distribution. However, the aerosol emissions modulate the NPP activity through emission factors (EF). The uncertainties of EFs from different biomass burning activities are generally large and overlapping (Andreae, 2019). As a first approximation, we use $f_{C_4,\text{NPP}}$ to estimate the fractional contribution of savanna emissions to TC ($f_{\text{savanna}}$) as follows:

$$f_{\text{savanna}}(i) = \frac{f_{C_4}(i)}{f_{C_4,\text{NPP}}}$$

where $i$ is the sample index.

This analysis shows that the dry season carbonaceous aerosol regime is dominated by savanna fire emissions reaching up to 71% (Fig. 7, Table S2). These results agree with the elevated levels of EC, K$^+$, and NO$_3^-$ during the dry season (Table S1).

4 Outlook

In this paper, we find that the aerosol composition of the emissions affecting the Rwanda Climate Observatory (RCO) may be described as a two-state source mixture: a regional/local background signal modulated by savanna fire emissions. Multiple studies have shown that savanna fires strongly influence the aerosol regime in SSA. Here, we estimate the savanna fire contributions for carbonaceous aerosols to range from 13% (wet season; TC$_{\text{savanna}}$ of 0.2 µg m$^{-3}$) to 71% (dry season; TC$_{\text{savanna}}$ of 9.7 µg m$^{-3}$) at RCO (Fig. 7). The savanna fires are believed to be mainly lit by humans and, although these activities have possibly been ongoing throughout the Holocene, they strongly perturb the regional ecosystems, climate, and air quality (e.g., Bird and Cali, 1998; Archibald et al., 2012). The annual SSA savanna carbon budget represents a net CO$_2$ source to the atmosphere (Williams, 2007; Cais et al., 2011; Valentini et al., 2014; Palmer et al., 2019). Therefore, finding more sustainable alternatives to the slash-and-burn practices in SSA could possibly turn the region into a carbon sink. For instance, the implementation of early dry season burning may be a possible strategy (Lipset-Moore et al., 2018). Savanna fire mitigation would also improve the regional air quality and stabilize precipitation patterns (Hodnebrog et al., 2015; Heft-Neal et al., 2018; Bauer et al., 2019).

Nevertheless, the current level of scientific understanding of the impact of savanna burning on the environmental system is poor, as are the couplings/responses to climate change, population growth, urbanization, and other key socioeconomics and environmental challenges for sustainable development in SSA (e.g., IPCC, 2014; Liouss et al., 2014; Brandt et al., 2017; UNDP, 2018). Savanna burning mitigation, or shifts in fire regime due to climate change, may change the present steady state in unpredictable ways (e.g., Abreu et al., 2017). To better constrain the multiple environmental impacts of savanna burning in SSA, the comparatively few ongoing ground-based in situ observations should be expanded and solidified (Williams et al., 2007; Cais et al., 2011; Kulmala, 2018; López-Ballesteros et al., 2018). For instance, observations of source-segregated aerosol concentrations provide multiple opportunities for advancing our knowledge of environmental processes relevant to SSA, including providing means for testing chemical-transport models; examining the relative importance of cooling vs. warming (e.g., BC) aerosols; ground-truthing remote sensing products; and detailed monitoring of the expected rapid change over the coming decades, including the effects of climate warming, population growth, and urbanization.
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