High-time-resolution source apportionment of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing with multiple models
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Abstract. Beijing has suffered from heavy local emissions as well as regional transport of air pollutants, resulting in severe atmospheric fine-particle (PM$_{2.5}$) pollution. This study developed a combined method to investigate source types of PM$_{2.5}$ and its source regions during winter 2016 in Beijing, which include the receptor model (positive matrix factorization, PMF), footprint and an air quality model. The PMF model was performed with high-time-resolution measurements of trace elements, water soluble ions, organic carbon and elemental carbon using online instruments during the wintertime campaign of the Air Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese Megacity – Beijing (APHH-Beijing) program in 2016. Source types and their contributions estimated by PMF model using online measurements were linked with source regions identified by the footprint model, and the regional transport contribution was estimated by an air quality model (the Nested Air Quality Prediction Model System, NAQPMS) to analyze the specific sources and source regions during haze episodes. Our results show that secondary and biomass-burning sources were dominated by regional transport, while the coal combustion source increased with local contribution, suggesting that strict control strategies for local coal combustion in Beijing and a reduction of biomass-burning and gaseous precursor emissions in surrounding areas were essential to improve air quality in Beijing. The combination of PMF with footprint results revealed that secondary sources were mainly associated with southern footprints (53 %). The northern footprint was characterized by a high dust source contribution (11 %), while industrial sources increased with the eastern footprint (10 %). The results demonstrated the power of combining receptor model-based source apportionment with other models in understanding the formation of haze episodes and identifying specific sources from different source regions affecting air quality in Beijing.

1 Introduction

Presently, haze in China has the characteristics of high frequency and long duration on a regional scale and has influenced public life and human health (Xie et al., 2016). High
concentrations of fine particulates, which can significantly reduce atmospheric visibility, are one of the main factors in the formation of haze episodes (Y. Sun et al., 2016; Watson et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2015). Previous studies have found that PM$_{2.5}$ can be emitted from various sources, including residential coal combustion, biomass burning, traffic-related sources, industrial sources and dust (Gao et al., 2016; Kotchenruther et al., 2016; Taghvaei et al., 2018; Watson et al., 2001; Zong et al., 2016). Therefore, it is important to have a better understanding of the major source types and their contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ in order to formulate effective science-based policies and regulations.

As the capital of China, Beijing has suffered from heavy emissions from various sources, resulting in severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (D. Li et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2016). The source apportionment of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing has received great attention in recent years, which is mostly based on receptor models (Gao et al., 2016; Y. Li et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2016; Song et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2016). Receptor models, including the chemical mass balance model (CMB) and positive matrix factorization model (PMF), are the most commonly used methods of source apportionment in China and are implemented by application of mathematical methods to measurements of chemical composition of fine particles at receptor sites (Cooper et al., 1980; Gao et al., 2016; Lv et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2005). The receptor model can identify and quantify the contribution of multiple source types based on in situ measurements and specific source tracers. Gao et al. (2016) employed two receptor models, PMF and Multilinear Engine 2 (ME2), to conduct a high-time-resolution source apportionment of PM$_{2.5}$ in summer in Beijing. The results showed that PMF and ME2 corresponded well with each other, and a secondary sources were predominant in Beijing (38 %–39 %). Similar source apportionment results were reported by in Peng et al. (2016) with secondary sources contributing 35 %–40 %. Y. Sun et al. (2016) used online instruments and PMF to investigate the rapid evolution of a severe haze episode in winter in Beijing and showed the variation of chemical components during four stages of haze. By conducting receptor models based on high-time-resolution online measurements, the source types and source contributions in Beijing have been analyzed in previous studies (Gao et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016; Song et al., 2006). However, these studies still have limitations in that source apportionment based on receptor models are only restricted to one or several receptor sites without information about detailed source regions or the local and regional source contributions. Previous studies have indicated that PM$_{2.5}$ pollution in Beijing has been significantly influenced by regional transport and meteorological conditions (Han et al., 2015; D. Li et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2013). With the development of the function of source apportionment in air quality models, source regions and relative contributions to the receptor site can be qualitatively estimated, based on emission inventory of pollution sources and meteorological fields (Burr et al., 2011; Kwok et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). Li et al. (2016) found that regional transport highly contributed to the rapid increase stage of PM$_{2.5}$, with the transport height ranging from 200 to 700 m above ground level with application of the Nested Air Quality Prediction Model System (NAQPMS). Han and Zhang (2018) used a regional air quality modeling system coupling with ISAM (integrated source apportionment method) and found that air pollutants derived from Hebei and Shandong provinces were major contributors to PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing, with contributions up to 25 % and 10 %, respectively. The air quality model has advantages of analyzing spatial distribution and regional transport of pollutants, but it also has large uncertainties due to the emission inventory, boundary layer meteorological processes and complex atmospheric chemical processes.

Due to the importance of the regional transport contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing, the limitations of receptor models cannot be ignored. The source types and source contribution derived from receptor models can be combined with the contribution and direction of regional transport derived from chemical transport models. In this study, we employed the receptor model (PMF), the air quality model (NAQPMS) and a footprint model simultaneously based on high-time-resolution online measurement data to investigate sources and regional transport of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing during November to December in 2016, as part of the Air Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese Megacity (APHH) campaign. The goal of the study is to link the contribution of different sources by PMF with the source regions by footprint and the regional transport contribution by NAQPMS. The combination of multiple models gives greater power to identify specific sources and source regions.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Online measurements of PM$_{2.5}$

Online sampling of PM$_{2.5}$ was conducted from November to December 2016 in winter, which was within the heating period of Beijing. The sampler was operated at the Peking University monitoring site (PKU, 39°59′21″N, 116°18′25″E) in the northwestern part of Beijing city. There are no obvious emission sources locally, except two major roads (150 m to the east and 200 m to the south). Situated in a mixed district of teaching, residential and commercial areas, the sampling site is representative of the Beijing urban area (Liu et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2015). The sampling site is located on the sixth floor of a teaching building within PKU. The inlet of the instrument is about 20 m above the ground.

Online PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations were continuously measured using a tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM 1405F, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) were simultaneously monitored by a semi-continuous OCEC carbon aerosol an-
alyzer (Sunset Laboratory Inc.) with 1 h time resolution. The Sunset OC/EC analyzer uses a modified NIOSH 5040 thermal–optical protocol as its default protocol, which produces a relatively reliable determination of OC, EC and the OCEC split (Bauer et al., 2009). More detailed information can be found in Bauer et al. (2009).

An in situ Gas and Aerosol Compositions monitor (IGAC, Model S-611, Fortelice International Co. Ltd.), which collects both gases and particles simultaneously, was applied to measure water-soluble ions online with 1 h time resolution in this study. A detailed description of IGAC can be found in Young et al. (2016). Briefly, IGAC was composed of three major units, including a wet annular denuder (WAD), to collect gases into aqueous solution, a scrub and impact aerosol collector (SCl) to collect particles into solution and a sample analysis unit comprised of two ion chromatographs (Dionex ICS-1000) for analyzing anions and cations (IC). Ambient air was drawn through a PM$_{10}$ inlet followed by a PM$_{2.5}$ cyclone at a flow rate of 16.7 L min$^{-1}$, and then gases and PM$_{2.5}$ were separately collected by WAD and SCl. Both gaseous and aerosol samples were injected into 10 mL glass syringes which were connected to the IC for analysis (30 min time resolution for each sample). The concentrations of eight water-soluble inorganic ions (e.g., NH$_4^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and Cl$^-$) in the fine particles were measured.

Twenty-three trace elements in PM$_{2.5}$ were measured by an Xact 625 Ambient Metal Monitor (Cooper Environmental Services LLC, USA) with a 1 h time resolution. Among them 12 elements (e.g., K, Ca, Ba, Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Se, Pb) were selected for further analysis, while other trace elements (such as V, Co, Ti) were not used here due to the low concentrations (below the method detection limit). The ambient air was sampled on a Teflon filter tape inside the instrument through a PM$_{2.5}$ cyclone inlet at a constant flow rate of 16.7 L min$^{-1}$, and then the sample was automatically analyzed by nondestructive energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) to determine the mass of the species. This instrument has been documented with Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) and certified by the US Environment Protection Agency (EPA, 2012). The detection limit of each species measured by the online instruments can be seen in Table S1 in the Supplement.

Strict quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) protocols for online instruments were performed during the whole sampling period. For IGAC, the internal standard (LiBr) was added continuously to each sample and analyzed by the IC system during the analysis to check the stability of the IGAC instrument. During the sampling period, the mean concentrations of Li$^+$ and Br$^-$ were within the range of 3 standard deviations, suggesting a stable condition of the IGAC (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement). As shown in Fig. S2, the slope of the linear fitting between the anions and cations was 0.93, and $R^2$ was 0.96. As for the OC/EC analyzer, external standard calibration using the stock sucrrose solution was conducted before operation to calibrate carbon analysis. For the Xact, a Pd rod was used as an automatic internal quality control to check the performance of the instrument on a daily basis (see Fig. S3). Additionally, a QA energy calibration test and QA energy level test were performed for half hour after midnight every day to monitor any possible shift and instability of the XRF. During our field campaign, the Xact remained stable and reliable.

Chemical closure has been done between the measured and reconstructed PM$_{2.5}$. Organic matter (OM) was calculated as OM = 1.6 × OC (Turpin and Lim, 2001). Mineral species was calculated as mineral = 1.89 Al + 2.14 Si + 1.4 Ca + 1.43 Fe + 1.66 Mg (Zhang et al., 2003). The concentrations of Al, Si, Fe and Mg were calculated by the concentration of Ca and the composition of urban soils of Beijing: Al = 1.7 Ca, Si = 7.3 Ca, Fe = 0.7 Ca and Mg = 0.3 Ca (An et al., 2016). “Others” were calculated by subtracting OM, EC, mineral and secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA, including SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$) concentration from total PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. The correlation of measured and reconstructed PM$_{2.5}$ mass can be seen in Fig. S6 with $R^2$ = 0.915.

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 Positive matrix factorization (PMF)

To qualitatively and quantitatively identify sources of PM$_{2.5}$ and estimate the associated contributions, the USEPA PMF 5.0 model was adopted in this study. The principle and detailed information of this model can be found in Paterson et al. (1999) and the EPA 5.0 Fundamentals and User Guide. Factor contributions and profiles were derived by minimizing the objective function $Q$ in the PMF model, which was determined as follows (Norris et al., 2014; Paatero and Tapper, 2010; Paatero et al., 2014; Paatero, 1997):

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \frac{x_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{p} f_{ik} g_{kj}}{h_{ij}} \right]^2. \tag{1}$$

Data values below the MDL were substituted with MDL/2. Missing data values were substituted with median concentrations. If the concentration was less than or equal to the MDL, the uncertainty (Unc) was calculated using a fixed fraction of the MDL:

$$Unc = \frac{5}{6} \times MDL. \tag{2}$$

If the concentration was greater than the MDL provided, the calculation was based on the following equation:

$$Unc = \sqrt{(error\ fraction \times \ concentration)^2 + (0.5 \times MDL)^2}. \tag{3}$$

In total, 19 chemical components were used in the PMF model, including OC, EC, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, Na$^+$, NH$_4^+$, K, Ca, Fe, Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Se, Pb, V, Co, Ti.
Ca, Ba, Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Se and Pb. To determine the optimal number of source factors, a string of effective tests, in which the number of factors was from four to nine, was carried out. The resulting \( Q \) parameters are shown in Fig. S4. Obviously, the lowest \( Q_{\text{Robust}} \) value was (13087) at six factors when moving from four to nine factors. Although \( Q_{\text{expected}} \) has been decreasing in the process, \( Q / Q_{\text{expected}} \) shared similar variation with \( Q_{\text{Robust}} \) showing the lowest value at six factors (see Fig. S4). Bootstrapping (BS), displacement (DISP) and bootstrapping with displacement (BS-DISP) were conducted to analyze the uncertainty of the PMF model at six factors. The results were stable with all factors mapped in BS in 100 % and no swaps with DISP and all BS-DISP runs, indicating a convincing source apportionment result (see Table S2).

### 2.2.2 Footprint analysis model

The footprint model developed by Peking University was used to simulate the potential source region of air pollution. The footprint is a transfer function in a diffusion problem linking the source and the measurement result at a point (receptor) (Pasquill and Smith, 1977). That is,

\[
c(r) = \int_{R} Q(r' + r') f(r', r') \, dr',
\]

where \( c \) is the measured concentration at a spatial location \( r \), \( Q \) is the source strength with spatial location \( r' + r' \), \( f \) is the footprint or the transfer function and \( R \) is the integration domain. The footprint links point measurements (receivers) in the atmosphere to upstream forcings, in which turbulent dispersion plays a central role. The Lagrangian stochastic (LS) particle models was used to calculate the footprint function (Cai et al., 2007; Leclerc and Thurtell, 1990; Kurbannuradov and Sabelfeld, 2000).

The meteorological data used to drive the footprint model were provided by the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF-ARWv3.6.1) (http://www.wrf-model.org/, last access: 15 January 2018), initialized using the Final Analysis (FNL) data from the United States National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP). Two nested domains were used in this study with horizontal resolutions of 15 and 5 km and 28 vertical levels. The simulation period was from 1 November to 31 December 2016, with a 12 h spinup time before the start for each 48 h simulation. The domain of the footprint model is the same as the domain 2 in WRF which covers the North China Plain (500 × 600 km), and the horizontal resolution is 2.5 × 2.5 km. Every hour, 5000 particles were released 10 m above the ground at the center of Beijing, and then each particle was tracked backward in time for 48 h. The residence time of all particles 0–100 m above the ground was recorded to obtain the footprint. This model has undergone rigorous theoretical discussion and verification and more detailed principle and calculation methods of the model can be found in Cai et al. (2007).

### 2.2.3 The Nested Air Quality Prediction Model System (NAQPMS)

In this study, the NAQPMS model was applied to analyze the contribution of local emissions and regional transport to PM2.5 in winter in Beijing. NAQPMS is a 3-D Eulerian chemical transport model with terrain-following coordinates, developed by the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IAP/CAS) and has been validated by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China (CMEP, 2013). The main modules in the model include horizontal and vertical advection and diffusion, dry and wet deposition, and gaseous, aqueous, aerosol and heterogeneous chemistry (Li et al., 2007; J. Li et al., 2017). A more detailed description of the model can be found in Li et al. (2008, 2014, 2016; J. Li et al., 2017).

Three nested model domains were used in this study. The coarsest domain (D1) covered most of China and East Asia with a 27 km resolution. The second domain (D2) included most anthropogenic emissions within the North China Plain with a 9 km resolution. The innermost domain (D3) covered the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region at a 3 km resolution. The first level of model above the surface is 30 m in height, and the average vertical layer spacing between 30 m and 1 km is around 100 m. The MIX (http://www.meicmodel.org/dataset-mix.html, last access: 12 November 2018) anthropogenic emission inventory was used (M. Li et al., 2017), with the original resolution of 0.25° (about 25 km at midlatitudes) and the year of 2010. The NAQPMS meteorological fields were provided by the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF-ARWv3.6.1) (http://www.wrf-model.org/) driven by the NCEP FNL data. The simulation was conducted from 10 November to 15 December 2016.

### 2.2.4 The combination of multiple models

The footprint model was used to provide the direction of source regions, while the NAQPMS model was run to calculate the contribution of local emission and regional transport. To verify the consistency between the two models, the footprint with a time resolution of 6 h was divided into four types (local, south, north and east) according to the direction of potential source regions, and average local contributions of different types obtained from NAQPMS were calculated (See Table S3). Based on the input data availability, the footprint simulation was performed from 1 to 31 December, while the NAQPMS model analysis was carried out from 10 November to 15 December. Therefore, we use the data from 1 to 15 December for the consistency test of NAQPMS and the footprint model. A typical example of different types of footprint can be seen in Fig. S5. The average local contribution estimated by NAQPMS was highest for the local footprint (85 %) and lower for southern (68 %), northern (63 %) and eastern footprints (66 %). The results of the two models correlated well with each other.
Based on online measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ species including specific source tracers, the receptor model (PMF) can be used to obtain precise source apportionment results but with no information on regional transport. Therefore, the footprint and NAQPMs model were simultaneously conducted and combined with the PMF model to link the source type and contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing by receptor models with different source regions.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Mass concentration and chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$

Temporal variation in the chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$ during the field campaign is shown in Fig. 1. The statistical summary of identified species of PM$_{2.5}$ in the entire sampling period was summarized in Table S1. Figure 1 shows that SIA and OM were the predominant PM$_{2.5}$ components in winter in Beijing, accounting for 57% and 24% of total PM$_{2.5}$ mass, respectively. The average concentration of OC was 20.8 ± 17.0 µg m$^{-3}$, and the average concentration of EC was 5.6 ± 4.4 µg m$^{-3}$. The OC/EC ratio is often used to indicate the contribution of primary emission sources and secondary organic aerosols (SOAs) (Lim and Turpin, 2002; Zheng et al., 2014). In this study, the OC/EC ratio ranged from 1.36 to 7.92 with an average ratio of 3.91 ± 0.91, which was lower than that in the winter of Beijing in 2013 (5.73 ± 2.47) (Yan et al., 2015). SO$_4^{2-}$ is the predominant ion in SIA with an average concentration of 23.5 ± 20.8 µg m$^{-3}$, which was similar to that of NO$_3^-$ (22.0 ± 23.3 µg m$^{-3}$). The concentration of elemental components ranked from high to low as K > Fe > Ca > Zn > Pb > Mn > Ba > Cu > As > Cr > Se > Ni, with K contributing 2% to PM$_{2.5}$. In general, the large contribution of SIA and OM, as well as the high OC/EC ratio, indicated the importance of secondary formation in winter in Beijing (Y. Sun et al., 2016), while the high concentration of species like SO$_4^{2-}$ and K suggested a significant contribution of combustion sources including coal combustion and biomass burning to PM$_{2.5}$ (Achad et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2017; H. Li et al., 2017).

Figure 2 shows the large differences in chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration between nonhaze and haze episodes. The average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ and identified species in different haze and nonhaze periods were summarized in Table S4. Under low PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (< 50 µg m$^{-3}$), SO$_4^{2-}$ was one of the major components of PM$_{2.5}$ with a contribution of around 24%. When PM$_{2.5}$ was 50–150 µg m$^{-3}$, OM was the dominant composition (about 38%). When PM$_{2.5}$ was greater than 150 µg m$^{-3}$, the contribution of SIA increased with concentration level (up to 55%). The contribution of mineral components decreased from 8% to 2% when PM$_{2.5}$ concentration increased from below 50 to over 250 µg m$^{-3}$. The proportion of K, Pb, As and Se, which were tracers of biomass burning and coal combustion (Achad et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2017; Vejahati et al., 2010), increased with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. However, the contributions of Ca, Ba, Fe and tracers of dust sources (Amato et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2016) decreased with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. Taken together, all these variations of source-specific PM$_{2.5}$ compositions suggested a more significant influence of combustion sources to PM$_{2.5}$ in haze episodes and relatively higher contributions of dust sources in nonhaze periods.

3.2 Source apportionment during haze and nonhaze periods

To conduct high-time-resolution source apportionment in Beijing, a PMF model was applied to 1 h online measurement data. The six-factor solution gave the best performance. The profile for each factor is shown in Fig. S7. Contribution of different factors to PM$_{2.5}$ were estimated after considering major sources in Beijing, the similarity of the PMF source profiles with relevant source emission profiles, and distinctively different marker species for different sources. Factor 1 was heavily weighted by secondary inorganic ions (SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$) and moderately weighted by OC, which was typical of the secondary source profiles (Gao et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2017). Factor 2 was highly loaded on metal species including Mn, Fe, Cu and Zn, which were mostly used as indicators for industrial sources (Hu et al., 2015; Y. Li et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2013). Factor 3 presented high loading of Ca, Ba and Fe, and the two crustal elements were mainly emitted from dust sources (Amato et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2016). Factor 4 was mostly loaded by EC, OC and moderately loaded by Cu and Zn, which were mainly emitted from lubricant additive of vehicles (Kim et al., 2003; Tao et al., 2014) and wear of brake and tyre (Pant and Harrison, 2013). High loading of As and Se and moderate loading of OC and EC were observed in Factor 5, indicating a typical source profile of coal combustion (Vejahati et al., 2010). Factor 6 was characterized by high loading of K, SO$_4^{2-}$ and OC, which were identified as indicators of biomass burning (Duan et al., 2004). In previous studies, cooking was one of the important sources of PM$_{2.5}$, contributing to about 10% on average in East Asia (Chafe et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2013), but in this study, cooking sources were not identified by PMF due to the lack of organic tracers. The relationships between the tracers of identified sources and sources mass concentrations are shown in Fig. S8.

The source apportionment result of PMF in winter in Beijing is shown in Fig. 3. During the campaign, the source contribution in Beijing ranked as secondary sources (44%) > traffic sources (18%) > coal combustion (16%) > biomass burning (9%) > industrial sources (8%) > dust (5%). The high contribution of secondary sources in winter was similar to previous studies (Gao et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013), which might be
attributed to regional transport and heterogeneous reactions (Ma et al., 2017).

Considering data integrity and representativeness, four typical pollution episodes (EP1-4) and two nonhaze periods (NH1 and NH2) were selected. The average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in four haze episodes were all above 97 µg m$^{-3}$ (see Table S4). EP1 (14–19 November) and EP2 (24–27 November) represented the pollution episodes in November, and EP3 (1–5 December) and EP4 (16–21 December) were two severe pollution processes in December. The four pollution episodes were characterized by low wind speed around 2 m s$^{-1}$ and high relative humidity (RH) compared to nonhaze periods (see Table 1). The chemical composition and sources of the four pollution episodes varied from each other, but relatively high contributions of secondary sources were observed in all episodes (32 %–57 %), and the contribution increased with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (see Fig. 2). EP4 was characterized by the highest contribution of secondary sources (57 %). The contribution of coal combustion and industrial sources in EP1 was the most significant compared with other episodes, which were 22 % and 17 %. The traffic source contributions in EP2 and EP3 were higher than other pollution episodes, accounting for about 22 %. The source contribution in nonhaze periods was significantly different from that in pollution episodes. The contribution of secondary sources in the two nonhaze periods, NH1 (22–23 November) and NH2 (13–15 December), decreased to 18 % and 25 %, while traffic and dust source contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ increased to about 30 % and 10 %, which could be influenced by local emission and regional transport from northern areas to Beijing.

Generally, secondary sources were predominant (∼50 %) to PM$_{2.5}$ in pollution episodes, while traffic sources (∼30 %) became more important in nonhaze periods. However, source contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ could vary from episode to episode. EP1 was more influenced by primary sources, while EP4 was characterized by high secondary source contributions (57 %).

### 3.3 Evolution of different types of haze episodes

The high-time-resolution source apportionment result by PMF was combined with the NAQPMS and footprint modeling outcomes to investigate the variation in source types and contributions with source regions in different haze episodes in Beijing. EP1 and EP4, with the longest duration and signif-
Table 1. Meteorological conditions during pollution episodes and nonhaze periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ((^\circ))</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>-2.05</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (hPa)</td>
<td>1012.5</td>
<td>1016.5</td>
<td>1016.3</td>
<td>1016.1</td>
<td>1027.9</td>
<td>1017.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.1 A haze episode dominated by local emission

Figure 4 shows the variation in sources and local contribution and Fig. 5 shows the footprint regions and daily source apportionment results by PMF in EP1. The spatial mass concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\), wind speed and wind direction during EP1 by NAQPMS can be found in Fig. S9. It can be seen that EP1 was characterized with high local contributions (69\%—89\%) and primary source contributions to PM\(_{2.5}\). On November 14, the footprint located in the northeastern part of Beijing (mainly Inner Mongolia) with a low PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration, while the contribution of dust sources was significant (52\%). On November 16 when the formation stage of EP1 started, the footprint concentrated in local areas of Beijing and the local contribution by NAQPMS (82\%) increased simultaneously. The daily average source contribution ranked as traffic sources (29\%) > coal combustion (28\%) > industrial sources (15\%) > dust and secondary sources (12\%) > biomass burning (6\%). The contribution of primary sources, especially for traffic sources, increased when footprints were primarily located in the local area.

The relationship between source apportionment and the footprint model results can also be found in the daily variation of 17 November (see Fig. 5). From 01:00 to 12:00 of the day, the footprint remained in local areas, while primary sources were predominant. However, when the footprint changed to southwestern areas to Beijing from 13:00 to 18:00, the contribution of secondary sources increased significantly to 42\%. After the footprint changed back to a local type from 19:00 to 24:00, the secondary source contribution decreased to the previous level (19\%).
3.3.2 A haze episode dominated by regional transport

Figure 6 shows the variation of sources and Fig. 7 shows the footprint regions and daily source apportionment results by PMF in EP4. In contrast to EP1, the footprint in EP4 was mostly located in the southwestern area of Beijing, where there were heavily polluted cities including Baoding and Shijiazhuang (see Fig. 7). The daily local and regional contribution by NAQPMS of this episode was not provided due to lack of data. From the formation stage (16–17 December) to the peak (20 December) of EP4, the contribution of secondary sources increased from 34% to 58%, while the contributions of coal combustion and biomass burning were also significant among primary sources (see Fig. 6). Figure 7 shows that the footprint on December 17 was more concentrated in the local and eastern areas of Beijing, while it gradually moved to southwestern areas along with the increase of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and the secondary source contribution.

The above results confirmed that high-time-resolution source apportionment result can be integrated with footprint and NAQPMS model to identify the rapid evolution of different episodes – EP1 was mainly caused by local emission from transportation and coal combustion, while EP4 was typical of regional transport from southwestern areas of Beijing with increasing contributions of secondary sources.

3.4 Relationship of PM$_{2.5}$ sources by PMF with regional transport estimated by NAQPMS

3.4.1 Sources dominated by local emission and regional transport

Receptor models which are used for source apportionment have the limitation that they cannot quantify the local or regional transport contribution. Therefore, the receptor model was combined with the chemical transport model NAQPMS to investigate the correlation of source contribution with local or regional transport. As shown in Sect. 3.2, secondary and combustion sources were predominant in haze episodes in Beijing. To better control those major sources in winter, it is essential to determine the correlation of source contribution with the contribution of local emission or regional transport. Figure 8 shows the correlations of relative contribution of secondary sources, coal combustion and biomass-burning sources by PMF with local contribution by NAQPMS during the sampling period. The results showed that, for PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing, the secondary source contribution decreased when the local emission was more significant ($p<0.05$, $r=0.4$), while coal combustion, as a primary combustion source, showed an increasing trend along with local contribution estimated by NAQPMS ($p<0.05$, $r=0.3$). Comparing with Fig. 8b and c, the two primary combustion sources showed the opposite relationship to the local contribution, indicat-
ing that the pollutants from biomass burning were mainly transported from the surrounding areas of Beijing, while those from coal combustion were more influenced by local emission. According to previous studies, biomass burning was an important source in provinces around Beijing including Shandong, Hebei and Inner Mongolia (Khuzestani et al., 2018; J. Sun et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2012; Zong et al., 2016). The surrounding provinces and cities of Beijing are shown in Fig. S10. The results suggested that locally emitted coal combustion contributed sig-

Figure 5. (a) Source regions by the footprint model and (b) daily source apportionment results by PMF in EP1.

Figure 6. Source contribution in EP4. The pie charts show the daily source type and contribution. Dates are mm/dd.
nificantly to PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing in winter 2016 and the strict control strategies for coal combustion were essential to improving air quality in Beijing. In the meantime, more control of biomass burning and precursors of secondary sources in surrounding areas are also needed to mitigate air pollution in Beijing.

3.4.2 Sources dominated in different potential source regions

The combination of the PMF result with the footprint model was used to further identify specific source type and contribution in different source regions. As mentioned in Sect. 2.2.4, the footprint with the time resolution of 6 h was divided into four types (local, south, north, and east) according to the direction of potential source regions. The typical examples of different types of footprint are shown in Fig. S5. The local footprint referred to the cases with source region located within Beijing. The southern footprint mainly covered southwestern areas in Hebei province including Baoding, Shijiazhuang and Xingtai. The northern footprint included Zhangjiakou and Inner Mongolia. The eastern footprint covered the northern part of Hebei, such as Tangshan and Qinhuangdao, and the southern part of the Liaoning province. The local footprint was predominant in winter in Beijing ($N = 79$) with the contribution of 38%, followed by northern and southern footprint ($N = 51, 45$). The amount of eastern footprint was the lowest in winter. The average value and box chart of source contribution in four types of footprint during the whole sampling period are shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen that local footprint was characterized by traffic (23%) and coal combustion sources (25%), while the contribution of secondary sources (26%) was the lowest among the four types. On the contrary, secondary sources were predominant in southern footprint cases with the contribution of 53%, while the contribution of traffic sources decreased to 15%. The results corresponded well with the analysis of two typical episodes in Sect. 3.3. The northern footprint was characterized by the highest contribution of dust sources (11%), which could be influenced by dust transported from Inner Mongolia (Hoffmann et al., 2008; Park and Park, 2014). Eastern footprint, which mainly covered heavy industrial areas such as Tangshan and Shenyang, showed higher contribution of industrial sources (10%) and coal combustion sources (18%). Figure 9b shows that the variation in source contribution had the smallest local footprint, indicating a relatively stable local emission of Beijing, while the source contribution varied more significantly in the eastern footprint.
Figure 8. Correlations of local contribution by NAQPMS with the relative contribution by PMF of (a) secondary sources, (b) coal combustion sources and (c) biomass-burning sources.

Figure 9. (a) The average source contribution (in percentage) for each type of footprint, and (b) a box chart of source contribution for four types of footprint during the whole sampling period. *N* in (a) represents the number of cases. The capital letters in (b) stand for the type of footprint (L for local, S for south, N for north, E for east) and the lowercase letters stand for different sources (s for secondary source, c for coal combustion, t for traffic source, i for industrial source, d for dust and b for biomass burning).

The results of PMF and the footprint model showed that the source contribution in winter in Beijing was influenced by the potential source regions, and the predominant source could change specifically for different footprint types, which might suggest that source apportionment and footprint analysis need to be combined to better control specific sources from different source regions.

3.5 Future prospects

In this study, the high-time-resolution online measurements were conducted by Xact, IGAC and the Sunset OCEC analyzer, which could measure inorganic species including water-soluble ions, elemental components, OC and EC. As a result, most of the tracers selected for PMF source apportionment were inorganic species. In previous studies based on online measurements, organic tracers are also not commonly used due to current technical difficulties in carrying out on-
line and quantitative measurements of organic species with high-time resolution (Gao et al., 2016; Y. Li et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2016). However, some organic tracers are believed to be more specific for certain sources, such as levoglucosan for biomass burning, hopane and sterane for traffic sources and cholesterol for cooking sources (Fraser et al., 2000; Yin et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2015). Therefore, future online measurements of organic species could be conducted, which will be very helpful in identifying sources. Besides, vertical measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ are important for a better understanding of sources and regional transport of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing. Li et al. (2017) found that the height of regional transport ranged from 200 to 700 m above ground level using the NAQPMS model. In the future, the integration of ambient measurements with the air quality model should be considered at a vertical level as well.

4 Conclusions

High-time-resolution online measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ were conducted during the APHH winter campaign in Beijing. Considering the limitation of receptor models, which could not identify and quantify regional transport, the receptor model PMF was combined with multiple models, including NAQPMS and the footprint model, to analyze the specific sources from different source regions during haze episodes in Beijing. The source apportionment results by PMF during our sampling period showed that secondary sources were predominant (∼50 %) to PM$_{2.5}$ in pollution episodes, while traffic sources (∼30 %) became more important in nonhaze periods. Source contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ can vary from episode to episode.

The multiple models were combined to analyze the evolution of two typical pollution episodes in Beijing. The high-time-resolution results indicated that source contribution can vary rapidly and significantly with source regions within different types of haze episodes. EP1, with a locally concentrated footprint and high local emission, was characterized by coal combustion and traffic sources, while EP4 with a more southwestern footprint was typical of a high secondary source contribution. The relationship of PM$_{2.5}$ sources by PMF with regional transport during the whole sampling period was further investigated. As the predominant sources of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing, secondary and biomass burning sources were more influenced by regional transport, while coal combustion sources increased with local contribution. The source regions of PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing were classified into four types and source contribution varied significantly with potential source regions, with traffic sources dominating in the local footprint, secondary sources dominating in southern footprint and dust and industrial sources increasing in northern and eastern footprints. The results suggested that source contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ in winter in Beijing could change significantly, along with the contribution and direction of regional transport. Therefore, the combined use of the receptor model, meteorological model and chemical transport model was important in identifying specific sources from different source regions.
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