Interpretation of measured aerosol mass scattering efficiency over North America using a chemical transport model
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Abstract. Aerosol mass scattering efficiency affects climate forcing calculations, atmospheric visibility, and the interpretation of satellite observations of aerosol optical depth. We evaluated the representation of aerosol mass scattering efficiency ($\alpha_{sp}$) in the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model over North America using collocated measurements of aerosol scatter and mass from IMPROVE network sites between 2000 and 2010. We found a positive bias in mass scattering efficiency given current assumptions of aerosol size distributions and particle hygroscopicity in the model. We found that overestimation of mass scattering efficiency was most significant in dry (RH < 35%) and midrange humidity (35% < RH < 65%) conditions, with biases of 82% and 40%, respectively. To address these biases, we investigated assumptions surrounding the two largest contributors to fine aerosol mass, organic (OA) and secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA). Inhibiting hygroscopic growth of SIA below 35% RH and decreasing the dry geometric mean radius, from 0.069 µm for SIA and 0.073 µm for OA to 0.058 µm for both aerosol types, significantly decreased the overall bias observed at IMPROVE sites in dry conditions from 82% to 9%. Implementation of a widely used alternative representation of hygroscopic growth following $\kappa$-Kohler theory for secondary inorganic (hygroscopicity parameter $\kappa = 0.61$) and organic ($\kappa = 0.10$) aerosols eliminated the remaining overall bias in $\alpha_{sp}$. Incorporating these changes in aerosol size and hygroscopicity into the GEOS-Chem model resulted in an increase of 16% in simulated annual average $\alpha_{sp}$ over North America, with larger increases of 25% to 45% in northern regions with high RH and hygroscopic aerosol fractions, and decreases in $\alpha_{sp}$ up to 15% in the southwestern U.S. where RH is low.

1 Introduction

The interaction of atmospheric aerosols with radiation has substantial implications for the direct radiative effects of atmospheric aerosols, atmospheric visibility, and satellite retrievals of aerosol optical properties. The direct radiative effects of aerosols remain a major source of uncertainty in radiative forcing (Myhre et al., 2013). Atmospheric visibility affects the appearance of landscape features, which is of particular concern in national parks and wilderness areas (Malm et al., 1994). Gaining insight into the concentration and composition of atmospheric aerosols via interpretation of satellite retrievals of aerosol optical depth (AOD) also relies heavily on an understanding of the interaction of aerosols with radiation (Kahn et al., 2005). Analysis of collocated measurements of aerosol scatter, mass, and composition could offer valuable insight into aerosol optical properties.

Mass scattering efficiency is a complex function of aerosol size, composition, hygroscopicity, and mixing state (Hand and Malm, 2007; Malm and Kreidenweis, 1997; White, 1986). Current chemical transport models and global circulation models often calculate atmospheric extinction due to aerosols from speciated aerosol mass concentrations using a composition- and size-dependent mass extinction efficiency ($\alpha_{ext}$, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). Many of these models use aerosol optical and physical properties defined by the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS), compiled from measurements and models from 1970 to 1995 (Koepeke et al., 1997). The subsequent expansion in long-term aerosol monitoring offers an exciting possibility to further improve model representation of aerosol physical and optical properties. The Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) network offers long-term collocated measurements since 1987 of par-
Aerosol mass scattering efficiency plays a significant role in atmospheric chemistry and climate models. However, the interpretation of measured aerosol mass scattering efficiency remains challenging, especially when considering the complexity of aerosol composition and its hygroscopic nature. Several prior studies have analyzed mass scattering efficiencies, and the IMPROVE network provides valuable collocated data for assessing the accuracy of models. Here, we interpret collocated measurements of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, $b_{sp}$, and RH from the IMPROVE network to understand factors affecting the representation of mass scattering efficiency. Section 2 provides a description of the IMPROVE network measurements, the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, and an alternative aerosol hygroscopic growth scheme. In Sect. 3, we present an analysis of the current representation of mass scattering efficiency in the GEOS-Chem model, and identify changes that improve the consistency with observations. The impacts of these changes on GEOS-Chem-simulated mass scattering efficiency, as well as on agreement between the GEOS-Chem model and observations from the IMPROVE network, are described in Sect. 4.
factor of 3, with values below 6 µg m$^{-3}$ in the west to above 14 µg m$^{-3}$ in the southeast. Measured PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations also vary by a factor of 3, with values below 3 µg m$^{-3}$ in the west to above 9 µg m$^{-3}$ in the southeast.

### 2.2 GEOS-Chem simulation

We simulate hourly PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ mass concentrations and particle scatter using the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem (version 11-02, http://geos-chem.org, last access: 7 September 2017). The GEOS-Chem model is driven by assimilated meteorology from the Goddard Earth Observation System (GEOS MERRA-2, Gelaro et al., 2017) of the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). Our simulation for North America is conducted at 2$^\circ$ × 2.5$^\circ$ resolution over 47 vertical levels.

The majority of our analysis focuses on the accuracy of the GEOS-Chem parameterization of mass scattering efficiency based on optical parameters given in Table A1. These default aerosol physical and optical properties are defined by the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS) (Koepke et al., 1997), as implemented by Martin et al. (2003), with modifications to dry size distributions (Drury et al., 2010) and dust mass partitioning (Ridley et al., 2012). After evaluating and improving this parameterization, implications are examined using the full GEOS-Chem simulation in Sect. 3.3.

GEOS-Chem simulates detailed aerosol-oxidant chemistry (Bey et al., 2001; Park et al., 2004). The aerosol simulation includes the sulfate–nitrate–ammonium system (Park et al., 2004), primary (Park et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2014) and secondary (Pye et al., 2010) carbonaceous aerosols, mineral dust (Fairlie et al., 2007, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013), and sea salt (Jaeglé et al., 2011). Organic matter (OM) is estimated from primary organic carbon (OC) using spatially and seasonally varying OM/OC ratios at 0.1$^\circ$ × 0.1$^\circ$ resolution (Philip et al., 2014b). The thermodynamic equilibrium model ISORROPIA-II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007), implemented by Pye et al. (2009), is used to calculate gas–aerosol partitioning. Total PM$_{10}$ is calculated following van Donkelaar et al. (2010), but at 40 % RH here for consistency with the IMPROVE network gravimetric analysis in the range of 30 %–50 % RH (Solomon et al., 2014). Particle scatter and aerosol optical depth are calculated at modelled ambient RH based on dry species mass concentrations and aerosol physical and optical properties. The GEOS-Chem aerosol simulation has been extensively evaluated with observations of mass (van Donkelaar et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016), composition (Achakulwisut et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2015; Marais et al., 2016; Philip et al., 2014a; Ridley et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2013), and scatter (Drury et al., 2010).

We conduct a simulation for the year 2006, to represent the period of greatest measurement density of collocated $b_{sp}$ and PM sites over North America. We archive model fields every hour over North America. We simulate PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and $b_{sp}$, allowing for the comparison of model mass scattering efficiency coincident with that measured at IMPROVE network sites over the same time period over North America.

### 2.3 Determining mass scattering efficiency ($\alpha_{sp}$)

One method of determining mass scattering efficiencies from measurements involves $b_{sp}$ measurements and particle mass concentration measurements ($M_{meas}$). Mass scattering efficiency of a given aerosol population can be defined as the

Figure 1. Map of IMPROVE sites with collocated scatter ($b_{sp}$) at 550 nm and ambient relative humidity, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$ measurements in North America between 2000 and 2010.
ratio of particle scatter to mass.

\[
\alpha_{sp, \, meas} = \frac{b_{sp, \, meas}}{M_{meas}}
\]  

(1)

Hourly mass scattering efficiencies are determined using collocated measurements of \(b_{sp}\) and mass concentrations from the IMPROVE network, treating IMPROVE mass concentrations as constant over each 24 h sampling period. Total scatter is typically dominated by fine-mode aerosols, but in certain conditions coarse dust can also make a significant contribution (White et al., 1994). Thus, measured PM\(_{10}\) mass is used in the denominator of Eq. (1).

Multiple definitions of \(\alpha_{sp}\) exist. We define \(\alpha_{sp}\) operationally here based on optical measurements at ambient RH, and PM measurements at controlled RH (treated as 40 % RH for consistency with IMPROVE protocols prior to 2011). At 40 % RH, hygroscopic components of PM\(_{10}\) will have associated water, and thus measured PM\(_{10}\) mass is not treated as dry. We compare these measured \(\alpha_{sp}\) with calculated \(\alpha_{sp}\) based on species-specific mass scattering efficiencies (\(\alpha_{GC, \, j}\)) used in GEOS-Chem, constrained with mass concentrations (\(M_j\)) and PM\(_{10}\) mass measured by IMPROVE.

\[
\alpha_{sp, \, calc} = \frac{b_{sp, \, calc}}{PM_{10, \, meas}} = \frac{\sum_j \alpha_{GC, \, j} M_j}{PM_{10, \, meas}}
\]  

(2)

To reduce the impacts of meteorological variation on the comparison of measured and calculated mass scattering efficiency, we perform averages of hourly \(b_{sp, \, calc}, b_{sp, \, meas}\), and PM\(_{10}\) over the entire sampling period at each IMPROVE site \(i\). Equation (3) is then used to obtain average calculated and measured mass scattering efficiency at each site.

\[
\alpha_{sp, \, avg, \, i} = \frac{b_{sp, \, avg, \, i}}{PM_{10, \, avg, \, i}}
\]  

(3)

Although the OPTEC open air nephelometer reduces truncation error compared with other nephelometers, truncation error can be significant for coarse particles (Hand and Malm, 2007; Lowenthal and Kumar, 2006). Thus our analysis below focuses on conditions dominated by fine-mode aerosols, and mechanisms affecting fine-mode aerosols.

Appendix A describes the calculation of mass scattering efficiency in more detail. This approach enables isolation of the mass scattering efficiencies used in GEOS-Chem from the species concentrations.

2.4 Introducing an alternate hygroscopic growth scheme

We examine for GEOS-Chem the use of a widely adopted alternate hygroscopic growth scheme, in which aerosol hygroscopic growth is defined by a single parameter, \(\kappa\) (Petters and Kreidenweis 2007, 2008, 2013). This representation of water uptake by aerosols was originally developed for supersaturated CCN conditions, but in recent years has been used extensively in subsaturated conditions (Dusek et al., 2011; Hersey et al., 2013).

The hygroscopic parameter \(\kappa\) is defined by

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha_w} = 1 + \kappa \frac{V_d}{V_w},
\]  

(4)

where \(V_d\) is dry particulate matter volume, \(V_w\) is the water volume, and \(\alpha_w\) is water activity (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2013), which is unity for secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA) and organic aerosols (OA). The diameter growth factor \(GF = D/D_d\) can be expressed (Snider et al., 2016) as

\[
GF = \left(1 + \kappa \frac{RH}{100 - RH}\right)^{1/3},
\]  

(5)

where \(D\) is the wet aerosol radius and \(D_d\) is the dry aerosol radius. Typically, \(\kappa\) is in the range of 0.5–0.7 for SIA (Hersey et al., 2013; Kreidenweis et al., 2008; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007) and 0–0.2 for OA (Duplissy et al., 2011; Kreidenweis et al., 2008; Rickards et al., 2013; Snider et al., 2016).

3 Results

3.1 Understanding the current representation of \(\alpha_{sp}\)

Figure 2 (left) shows measured vs. calculated mass scattering efficiency using GEOS-Chem default optical tables. Each point represents the average \(\alpha_{sp}\) over the entire sampling period at each IMPROVE site. A significant correlation \((r = 0.94)\) is apparent; however, a bias in \(\alpha_{sp}\) is evident. A positive correlation between average mass scattering efficiency and RH is apparent; sites with low average RH have low average \(\alpha_{sp}\) and vice versa. (Panel (b) of Fig. 2 is discussed below.)

To further investigate the RH dependence of this bias, we separate our analysis of calculated \(\alpha_{sp}\) into three relative humidity groupings: 0 %–35 % (low), 35 %–65 % (mid), and 65 %–95 % (high). The IMPROVE data are divided among the RH groupings using IMPROVE measurements of hourly RH. Within each grouping, average calculated and measured mass scattering efficiencies are obtained for each site using Eq. (3). The blue dots in Fig. 3 show average calculated vs. measured \(\alpha_{sp}\) for each RH range. In the low RH case, a significant overestimation of mass scattering efficiency is apparent at most sites, with a bias of 82 % indicated by the slope. In the mid RH case, overestimation of \(\alpha_{sp}\) is less significant but still apparent, with a bias of 40 % indicated by the slope. At high RH, bias is weak.

To further understand the source of the bias in calculated mass scattering efficiency, we now examine calculated \(\alpha_{sp}\) in conditions dominated by different aerosol types. Using IMPROVE measurements of 24 hr PM\(_{2.5}\) mass and speciation and PM\(_{10}\) mass, the IMPROVE data are grouped based
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**Figure 2.** Average measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ at 550 nm at IMPROVE sites between 2000 and 2010 using GEOS-Chem default optical tables and revised optical tables. The colour of each point corresponds to the average relative humidity at the site. The 1:1 line is black. Slope, offset, and correlation coefficient are inset.

**Figure 3.** Average measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ at 550 nm at IMPROVE sites between 2000 and 2010 using GEOS-Chem default and revised optical tables (Table A1) for measurements taken in 0 %–35 %, 35 %–65 %, and 65 %–95 % RH conditions. The 1:1 line is black. Slope, offset, and correlation coefficient are inset.

on dominant aerosol type. Within each group, average calculated and measured mass scattering efficiency is obtained for each site using Eq. (3). Figure 4 shows in blue average measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ using default optical tables for conditions where measured PM$_{2.5}$ is dominated (>60 %) by secondary inorganic aerosol, organic aerosol, and fine dust, as well as conditions where PM$_{10}$ is dominated (>60 %) by PM$_{coarse}$ (PM$_{10}$–PM$_{2.5}$). The scatterplot in the SIA-dominant case resembles the overall relationship shown in Fig. 2. $\alpha_{sp}$ is overestimated at most sites, with significant correlation ($r = 0.89$) and a bias evident in the offset of 0.70. Where OA is the dominant component of PM$_{2.5}$, the slope is close to unity (1.02), but the large offset of 0.80 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ results in $\alpha_{sp}$ being largely overestimated. Where dust is the dominant fine aerosol, correlation is significant ($r = 0.89$) and mass scattering efficiency is accurately calculated at the vast majority of sites, despite a prominent outlier at a site in the Columbia River Gorge, Washington. The PM$_{coarse}$-dominant case shows significant correlation ($r = 0.88$) and a slight tendency for overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$. As this case is not independent of the other cases, this overestimation is likely linked to the overestimation in the OA- and SIA-dominant cases as demonstrated below.

These results indicate that the bias in calculated mass scattering efficiency arises mostly due to the representation of the physical and optical properties of secondary inorganic and organic aerosols. The following will focus on improving the
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representation of physical and optical properties of these two aerosol types.

3.2 Changing the physical properties of SIA and OA

Figure 5 shows mass scattering efficiency as a function of aerosol size for secondary inorganic (orange) and organic (blue) aerosols for dry aerosols (solid) and aerosols at 80 % RH (dashed lines) as calculated using a Mie algorithm (Mishchenko et al., 1999). Water uptake at 80 % RH for OA and SIA is calculated using default hygroscopic growth factors from GEOS-Chem. The uptake of water increases aerosol scatter, decreases aerosol density, and decreases the refractive index. The increase in aerosol scatter with increasing ambient RH drives the increase in $\alpha_{sp}$.

The points in Fig. 5 represent the current mass scattering efficiency values of OA and SIA in GEOS-Chem. For dry aerosols, $\alpha_{sp} = 4.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for OA and $\alpha_{sp} = 3.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for SIA. In a review of ground-based estimates of aerosol mass scattering efficiencies, Hand et al. (2007) found dry $\alpha_{sp}$ values of $2.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for ammonium sulfate, $2.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for ammonium nitrate, and $3.9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for particulate organic matter. These values suggest that the default optical tables in GEOS-Chem currently overestimate mass scattering efficiency of SIA and OA in dry conditions. This reaffirms the overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$ in dry conditions evident in panel (a) of Fig. 3. As aerosol size is the strongest determinant of dry mass scattering efficiency, we begin by examining the dry sizes of SIA and OA in GEOS-Chem.

The current dry sizes of SIA and OA in GEOS-Chem were informed by measurements from several aircraft campaigns over eastern North America during the summer of 2004 (Drury et al., 2010) as part of the International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation (ICARTT) (Fejesenfeld et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2006). Aerosol surface area and volume distributions fluctuate seasonally in the northeastern U.S., with summer maxima and winter minima (Stanier et al., 2004). We divide our analysis at low RH by season, in an effort to discern a seasonal pattern in the overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$.

Figure 6 (blue) shows seasonal measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ at 550 nm as a function of aerosol wet effective radius for organic aerosol and secondary inorganic aerosol. Solid lines show $\alpha_{sp}$ for dry aerosol (RH = 0 %); dashed lines show $\alpha_{sp}$ for aqueous aerosols (RH = 80 %). Points represent the default size in GEOS-Chem.
Figure 6. Average measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ (550 nm) at IMPROVE sites between 2000 and 2010 using GEOS-Chem default and revised optical tables for measurements taken in dry conditions (RH < 35%) in winter, spring, summer, and fall. The 1:1 line is black. Slope, offset, and correlation coefficient are inset.

3.2.1 Efflorescence relative humidity

To address the overestimation of mass scattering efficiency in dry conditions illustrated in Figs. 3 and 6, we begin by accounting for efflorescence transitions in secondary inorganic aerosols. Efflorescence phase transitions are characterized by nucleation of the crystalline phase followed by rapid evaporation of water. Field measurements have found evidence for these transitions (Martin et al., 2008). The efflorescence relative humidity (ERH) of ammonium sulfate reported in several experimental studies ranges from 35% to 40% (Ciobanu et al., 2010). Laboratory tests have shown that mixtures of sulfate–nitrate–ammonium particles will undergo efflorescence when the ammonium sulfate fraction is high (Dougle et al., 1998; Martin et al., 2003). This condition is true at most global measurement sites, with the possible exception of Europe, where particles are nitrate rich (Martin et al., 2003).

We therefore define the hygroscopic growth factor for SIA as unity for RH ≤ 35%, linearly increasing between 35% and 40% RH from unity to GF$_{40\%}$ (calculated by Eq. 5), and following the default (or $\kappa$-Kohler) growth curve for RH ≥ 40%.

Incorporating an ERH for SIA and consequently inhibiting hygroscopic growth of SIA below 35% RH significantly reduce the overestimation of mass scattering efficiency in dry conditions. In the case of default hygroscopic growth in GEOS-Chem, the overall dry bias in $\alpha_{sp}$ is reduced from 82% to 48%.

3.2.2 Aerosol dry size

To address the remaining overestimation of mass scattering efficiency in dry conditions, we explore different dry sizes of secondary inorganic and organic aerosols. Effective variance may also be important (Chin et al., 2002), but given insufficient information to simultaneously constrain size and variance, we focus on size. Figure 7 shows the slope of the average measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ plot for RH < 35% for dry radii ranging from 0.048 to 0.074 µm at intervals of 0.001 µm, assuming SIA and OA have the same dry size. The slope of the best fit line acts as an indicator of the appropriate dry size for each season. Sensitivity tests exploring alternative error metrics (RMSE, MSE) yielded similar results. The slope decreases steadily as dry radius is decreased in all seasons. Using the dry radius which gives a slope of unity, we find that aerosols are largest in summer ($r = 0.067$ µm), smallest in winter ($r = 0.051$ µm), and in between in spring and fall (0.059 and 0.054 µm, respectively). The spring and summer radii are consistent with accumulation-mode size distribution measurements performed by Levin et al. (2009) in the spring and summer of 2006. Averaging the sizes from all four seasons results in an annual representative dry radius of 0.058 µm. This annual radius is smaller than the GEOS-Chem default sizes of SIA and OA that were informed by summertime measurements alone (Drury et al., 2010).

Figure 6 (red) shows seasonal measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ in dry conditions using a new representative annual geometric mean radius of 0.058 µm for SIA and OA. This change in geometric mean radius reduces the overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$ in all seasons, with the largest improvements in fall (slope decreases from 1.84 to 1.17) and winter (slope decreases from 1.94 to 1.20). Changes in correlation are minor. For the remainder of the analysis, this new dry radius of 0.058 µm is implemented for SIA and OA.

3.2.3 Aerosol hygroscopicity

We now examine the implementation of the widely adopted $\kappa$-Kohler hygroscopic growth scheme described in Sect. 2.4.
A range of measured $\kappa$ values for SIA ($\kappa_s$) and OA ($\kappa_o$) exist in the literature. We explore the range of possible $\kappa$ values, using the slope of the measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ plot as an indicator of the appropriate values.

Figure 8 shows the slope of the measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ plot for $\kappa$ values for SIA ($\kappa_s$) ranging from 0.5 to 0.7 and for OA ($\kappa_o$) ranging from 0.08 to 0.20. Slope increases steadily as $\kappa_s$ and $\kappa_o$ increase. A slope of unity identifies representative values of $\kappa_s = 0.61$ and $\kappa_o = 0.10$. These values are in the middle of the range of measured $\kappa$ values (Duaplissy et al., 2011; Hersey et al., 2013; Kreidenweis et al., 2008; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Rickards et al., 2013).

Figure 9 shows the diameter growth factor as a function of relative humidity following $\kappa$-Kohler theory, as well as GADS hygroscopic growth for both SIA and OA used in the default GEOS-Chem model. Hygroscopic growth from the Aerosol Inorganic Model (AIM) at $T = 298$ K (Wexler and Clegg, 2002) and laboratory measurements (Wise et al., 2003) are also shown for ammonium sulfate (Snider et al., 2016). The GADS hygroscopic growth schemes used in the default GEOS-Chem simulation are characterized by larger growth at low RH and smaller growth at high RH for both secondary inorganic and organic aerosols. The $\kappa$-Kohler scheme exhibits greater consistency with both AIM and laboratory hygroscopic growth for SIA.

Using the revised dry size of 0.058 $\mu$m and the $\kappa$-Kohler theory of hygroscopic growth, we calculate revised physical and optical properties for SIA and OA over a range of RH values. Table A1 contains geometric mean radius, extinction efficiency, and single scattering albedo for the revised optical tables at eight relative humidity values.

Figure 2 (right) shows the measured vs. calculated mass scattering efficiency using these revised optical tables for SIA and OA. The overestimation of mass scattering efficiency has been eliminated with these revised aerosol properties, with a slope of 1.00 and an offset of 0.09. Correlation remains significant at $r = 0.96$.

Figure 4 (red) shows measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ in conditions dominated by different aerosol types using the revised optical tables. The overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$ in SIA-dominant conditions using the default optical tables has been eliminated, with a slope of 1.03 and a decreased offset (0.70 to 0.1). The large overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$ that was apparent in OA-dominant conditions has been reduced by a factor of 2. $\alpha_{sp}$ remains accurately estimated at the majority of dust-dominant sites, with the outlier at the Columbia River Gorge site in Washington still skewing the best fit line. The slight overestimation of $\alpha_{sp}$ that was present in the PM$_{coarse}$-dominant case using default optical tables has been eliminated using the revised tables (offset 0.33 to 0.03). Slight increases in correlation coefficients are apparent in all cases except for the SIA-dominant case, where it decreased by 0.02.

Figure 3 (red) shows measured vs. calculated $\alpha_{sp}$ using revised optical tables. The overestimation in $\alpha_{sp}$ has been significantly reduced in the low RH case (slope = 1.82 to slope = 1.09) and in the mid RH case (slope = 1.40 to slope = 1.01) compared to when default optical tables were used. The slight overestimation in high RH conditions present in the default case has also been reduced, as shown by the decreased offset (0.90 to 0.71).

3.3 Changes in GEOS-Chem-simulated $\alpha_{sp}$

Here, we examine how these changes to aerosol properties impact both GEOS-Chem simulation of mass scattering efficiency over North America and the fit between modelled and measured $\alpha_{sp}$ at IMPROVE sites. These simulations rely on GEOS-Chem simulations of aerosol composition using GEOS RH fields.
Figure 9. Hygroscopic growth factor curves for secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA, a) and organic aerosols (OA, b). GADS (Global Aerosol Data Set) hygroscopic growth from empirical data and κ-Kohler hygroscopic growth are shown for both SIA and OA. For ammonium sulfate, AIM (Aerosol Inorganic Model) hygroscopic growth at \( T = 298 \) K (Wexler and Clegg, 2002) and laboratory hygroscopic growth with a deliquescent point of \( \text{RH} = 80\% \) (Wise et al., 2003) are also shown.

Figure 10 shows the relative and absolute change in mass scattering efficiency when switching from the default to revised optical tables. Continental mean \( \alpha_{sp} \) increased by 16%. Increases in \( \alpha_{sp} \) range from 25% to 45% in northeastern regions of North America, corresponding to an increase of 1.5–3.5 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \). These larger changes reflect the higher RH and SIA fractions. Decreases in \( \alpha_{sp} \) of up to 15% or \( -0.5 \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \) are found in the southwest where RH is low and mineral dust dominates.

Figure 11 shows GEOS-Chem annual average mass scattering efficiency using default (top) and revised (bottom) optical tables over North America for the year 2006. The overlaying circles represent average measured \( \alpha_{sp} \) at IMPROVE network sites for the year 2006, and the outer rings show the coincident simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) for each site. We exclude sites within 1° of the coast, where sea salt affects \( \alpha_{sp} \), as well as sites where elevation differs from average gridbox elevation by more than 1500 m. These criteria result in a decrease from 24 to 19 in the number of sites available for the analysis in 2006.

Using default optical tables, simulated continental mean \( \alpha_{sp} \) is 5.4 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \). A maximum \( \alpha_{sp} \) of 10 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \) occurs in British Columbia, and a minimum \( \alpha_{sp} \) of 1.7 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \) occurs in the southwestern United States. Using revised optical tables, simulated continental mean \( \alpha_{sp} \) is 6.3 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \), with a maximum of 12.5 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \) in the northwest and a minimum of 1.5 \( \text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \) in the southwest. The elevated mass scattering efficiencies in the northwest can be attributed in part to the high average RH in this region of 83%.

Figure 12 (left) shows coincident measured vs. simulated mass scattering efficiency at the 19 IMPROVE sites, using default optical tables. Correlation is significant (\( r = 0.88 \)), but a bias in simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) is apparent (slope = 0.83). Simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) is notably biased low at sites in the southeastern United States where average \( \alpha_{sp} \) is largest, and simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) is notably biased high at sites in the southwestern United States where average mass scattering efficiency is lowest. Sites with the lowest average RH correspond to those with the lowest average mass scattering efficiency and vice versa. The tendency of mass scattering efficiency to be overestimated at low RH reflects the tendency that was originally seen in Fig. 4.

Figure 12 (right) shows coincident measured vs. simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) using revised optical tables. Correlation remains significant (\( r = 0.89 \)), and a decrease in bias is evident from the increase in slope (0.83 to 0.93) and decrease in offset (0.47 to 0.08). Most sites now lie closer to the 1 : 1 line. The overestimation of simulated \( \alpha_{sp} \) in the southwest, where RH is low, has been reduced or eliminated at all sites.

3.4 Comparison with AERONET measurements

Appendix B investigates changes to simulated AOD, and compares measured and simulated AOD at AERONET sites. Although large relative increases upwards of 60% in average AOD are evident in large parts of northern high latitudes where absolute AOD is small, absolute AOD generally changes by less than 0.1 (Fig. B1). Comparisons with AERONET AOD reveal that the revised optical properties...
slightly improve the simulation of AOD worldwide (slope decreases from 1.08 to 1.00) despite the large influence of other factors (e.g. ambient aerosol concentrations) upon AOD.

4 Conclusions

The current representation of mass scattering efficiency in the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model was evaluated using collocated ground-based measurements of particle mass, speciation, scatter, and relative humidity from the IMPROVE network.

Calculated mass scattering efficiency had a positive bias using default physical and optical properties used in the GEOS-Chem model. This bias was most significant when PM$_{2.5}$ mass was dominated by secondary inorganic (SIA) or organic aerosols (OA). Mass scattering efficiency in PM$_{2.5}$ dust and coarse particulate matter dominant conditions was accurately represented at the majority of IMPROVE sites.

Relative humidity played an important role in the severity of the bias in mass scattering efficiency. Mean $\alpha_{sp}$ was overestimated by 82% in dry conditions (RH <35%). This bias was largest in the winter (94%) and smallest in the summer (27%). Implementing an efflorescence relative humidity for SIA and thus inhibiting hygroscopic growth below 35% RH decreased the dry bias by 34%. An annual representative dry geometric mean radius of 0.058 µm for SIA and OA decreased the dry mass scattering efficiency of these aerosols, and subsequently further reduced the bias in dry conditions to 9%.

$\kappa$-Kohler theory was implemented for the hygroscopic growth of SIA and OA, which is characterized by smaller growth factors at low RH and larger growth factors at high RH compared to default growth factors in GEOS-Chem. $\kappa$ values of 0.61 for SIA and 0.10 for OA eliminated the overall bias in calculated mass scattering efficiency.
These changes to SIA and OA optical tables resulted in a continental mean increase in GEOS-Chem-simulated mass scattering efficiency of 16%. Northeastern regions of North America exhibited the largest increases (25%–45%) due to high RH and SIA fractions, while southwestern regions of the continent exhibited decreases in $\alpha_{sp}$ of up to 15% due to low RH and high dust fractions. These changes to the GEOS-Chem optical tables improved the fit between measured and simulated mass scattering efficiency at IMPROVE sites, reflected in the changes to the slope (0.83 to 0.93) and the offset (0.47 to 0.08).

Future work should examine the implications of these changes for satellite-derived estimates of fine particulate matter that depend on the relationship of AOD with PM$_{2.5}$. Future work should also expand analysis of the representation of mass scattering efficiency for other years, and by incorporating measurements from other ground-based measurement networks such as the Surface PARTiculate MAter network (SPARTAN), which provides measurements of particulate mass, speciation, and scatter in populated regions worldwide (Snider et al., 2015, 2016). Such comparisons may also be useful to evaluate and improve prognostic simulations of aerosol size (Mann et al., 2010; Spracklen et al., 2005; Trivitayanurak et al., 2008; Yu and Luo, 2009). Representation of particle RH history may also be important (Wang et al., 2008).

Data availability. IMPROVE network data for 2000–2010 can be accessed at http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/Improve/improve-data/ (last access: 3 October 2018). The GEOS-Chem chemical transport model used here is available at http://www.geos-chem.org (last access: 7 September 2017).
Appendix A

A1 \( b_{sp} \) and \( \alpha_{sp} \) calculations in GEOS-Chem

In GEOS-Chem, surface-level \( b_{sp} \) is calculated using model particle mass concentrations and local relative humidity, as well as predefined mass densities and aerosol optical properties for each aerosol component following

\[
b_{sp} = \sum_{\text{species}, i} \frac{\frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{R_{w,i}}{R_{d,i}} \right)^2 \cdot M_{d,i} \cdot Q_{w,i} \cdot \mathrm{SSA}_{w,i}}{\rho_{d,i} \cdot R_{d,i}}, \tag{A1}
\]

where \( \rho_{d} \) is the dry particle mass density, \( R_w \) is the effective radius (defined as the ratio of the third to second moments of an aerosol size distribution), \( R_d \) is the dry effective radius, \( M_q \) is the dry surface-level mass concentration, \( Q_w \) is the extinction efficiency, and \( \mathrm{SSA}_w \) is the single scattering albedo. Parameters with subscript \( w \) indicate values at ambient RH. Species included in this calculation are \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NO}_3^- \), \( \text{BC} \), \( \text{OM} \), and fine and coarse dust and sea salt.

Dividing Eq. (A1) by total surface-level \( \text{PM}_{10} \) results in the following equation for mass scattering efficiency:

\[
\alpha_{sp} = \frac{b_{sp}}{\text{PM}_{10}} = \frac{\sum_{\text{species}, i} \frac{\frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{R_{w,i}}{R_{d,i}} \right)^2 \cdot M_{d,i} \cdot Q_{w,i} \cdot \mathrm{SSA}_{w,i}}{\rho_{d,i} \cdot R_{d,i}}}{\text{PM}_{10}}. \tag{A2}
\]

The effective radius, extinction efficiency, and single scattering albedo in Eqs. (A1) and (A2) are obtained from GEOS-Chem optical tables for the ambient RH values measured by IMPROVE. Dry mass density \( \rho_d \) is specified for each aerosol species in GEOS-Chem (Table A2). \( M_{d,i} \) and \( \text{PM}_{10} \) are obtained from IMPROVE network measurements of aerosol mass and composition. \( \alpha_{sp} \) calculated by Eq. (A2) is compared to \( \alpha_{sp} \) directly measured by the IMPROVE network.

Mass scattering efficiency is dependent on particle density, refractive index, and particle size. Mass scattering efficiency is typically most dependent on aerosol size, which is dictated by both the dry size distribution chosen to represent a given aerosol species and the hygroscopic growth scheme used to represent aerosol water uptake for hydrophilic species.

A2 Incorporating IMPROVE network measurements

The IMPROVE network measures every 3 days \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) mass and speciation and \( \text{PM}_{10} \) mass. The IMPROVE particle sampler consists of four independent modules with separate inlets and pumps. The first three modules (A, B, and C) collect only fine particulate matter (\( \text{PM}_{2.5} \)), while the fourth module (D) collects both fine and coarse particles (\( \text{PM}_{10} \)). Module A collects \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) on a Teflon filter, which undergoes gravimetric analysis for total \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) mass and X-ray florescence for elemental concentrations (including Al, Si, Ca, Fe, and Ti). Module C contains a quartz filter that is analysed for organic and elemental carbon via thermal optical reflectance. The Teflon filter in module D undergoes gravimetric analysis for \( \text{PM}_{10} \) mass (Malm et al., 1994, 2004). Prior to gravimetric analysis, filters A and D undergo equilibration at 30%–50% RH and 20–25 °C for several minutes (Solomon et al., 2014).

The GEOS-Chem model partitions OM into hydrophilic and hydrophobic fractions, so the same is done for OM measured by IMPROVE to enable isolation of mass scattering efficiency in our comparisons. OM in remote regions tends to be highly oxidized, and oxidation level of organics has been shown to positively correlate with hygroscopicity (Duplissy et al., 2011; Jimenez et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2010). We treat measured OM as 90% hydrophilic, due to the rural nature of IMPROVE sites. EC is treated as 50% hydrophilic. As speciation of coarse material is unavailable, we treat all coarse material as crustal in origin, an assumption that may break down at coastal sites. We partition fine and coarse dust measured by the IMPROVE network into the GEOS-Chem size bins using the dust particle size distribution (PSD) described by Zhang et al. (2013).
Table A1. Default and revised aerosol size and optical properties for secondary inorganic aerosols (SIA) and organic aerosols (OA) at 550 nm at eight relative humidity values. Columns indicate geometric mean radius ($r_g$), effective radius ($r_{eff}$), extinction efficiency ($Q$), and single scattering albedo (SSA). $\kappa_s$ and $\kappa_o$ represent the hygroscopic growth parameters for SIA and OA, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol</th>
<th>RH (°C)</th>
<th>$r_g$ (µm)</th>
<th>$r_{eff}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>SSA</th>
<th>$r_g$ (µm)</th>
<th>$r_{eff}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>SSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>1.2725</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>2.570</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>1.463</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2. Current microphysical properties of each aerosol species in GEOS-Chem. $r_g$ represents the dry geometric mean radius (µm) and $\sigma$ the geometric standard deviation of the lognormal size distributions assumed for each species. $\rho_d$ represents the dry mass densities of each species (g cm$^{-3}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>$r_g$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\rho_d$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate–nitrate–ammonium</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black carbon</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea salt (fine)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea salt (coarse)</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown carbon</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust 1 a–d</td>
<td>0.030–0.170</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust 2</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust 3</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust 4</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

The Aerosol Robotics Network (AERONET) is a long-term network of ground-based sun photometers that provides continuous, cloud-screened measurements of aerosol optical depth (AOD) at several fixed wavelengths in the visible and near infrared (Holben et al., 1998). The calculation of AOD in GEOS-Chem is performed using simulated mass concentrations of aerosol species and mass extinction efficiencies, summed over all vertical layers. Our analysis of mass scattering efficiency can therefore be extended globally by comparing GEOS-Chem-calculated AOD to AOD measured at AERONET sites. During our simulation year of 2006, AERONET consisted of 231 sites across the globe.

Here we examine how the changes to SIA and OA properties impact GEOS-Chem simulated AOD globally. Figure B1 shows the relative (top) and absolute (bottom) changes in AOD. Global mean AOD increases by 19%. Relative changes in AOD are most pronounced in northern regions where mean relative humidity is high, with increases in simulated AOD ranging from 50% to 90%. Decreases in AOD between 0% and 20% are present in most of the Southern Hemisphere, in part due to the lower average RH. Absolute changes in AOD show a similar tendency, with slight increases in AOD of up to 0.2 in northern regions, and slight decreases of up of -0.09 in southern regions. An exception to this is seen over parts of China, where AOD increases by 0.5 due to the elevated SIA and OA concentrations.

Figure B2 shows coincident measured (inner circles) and simulated (outer rings) AOD for the year 2006 using default optical tables (top) and revised optical tables (bottom). We exclude sites within 1° of the coast, as well as sites where elevation differs from average gridbox elevation by more than 1500 m. We also exclude sites where average PM$_{2.5}$ is dominated by dust (dust / PM$_{2.5}$ > 0.6), to focus on the representation of the optical properties of SIA and OA. Across the globe, we see that AOD is both overestimated and underestimated. AOD is overestimated at most sites in Africa, with the most notable overestimation at the site in Nigeria. AOD is moderately overestimated at sites in Australia. Underestimation of AOD occurs at most sites in South America, as well as at sites in southern North America and southern Asia.

Figure B3 shows coincident measured vs. simulated AOD at AERONET sites for default (left) and revised (right) optical tables. The correlation coefficient ($r = 0.80$ to $r = 0.78$) changes insignificantly, while the slope decreases from 1.08 to 1.00 when switching to the revised optical tables. In summary, the revised optical properties developed for North America slightly improve the representation of AOD at the global scale, despite the large influence of other factors (e.g. ambient aerosol concentrations and composition) upon AOD.
Figure B2. Global comparison for the year 2006 of AERONET AOD (inner circles) and GEOS-Chem coincident simulated AOD (outer rings) using default optical tables.

Figure B3. Coincident simulated vs. measured AOD at 550 nm at AERONET sites for the year 2006, using default and revised sizes and hygroscopicity. Slope, offset, and correlation coefficient are inset. The 1:1 line is shown in black.
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