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1. Experimental details

OH radical reactions were performed in an oxidation flow reactor (OFR) consisting of two electro-polished stainless steel cylinders, i.e., the static mixing tube (29.3 cm (length)) and the reaction chamber (7.3 cm (inner diameter) × 25 cm (length)). Before entering into the reaction chamber, gas-phase species were mixed sufficiently in the mixing tube. Fluid dynamics simulations of mixing tube confirmed that gas-phase species were well mixed with a uniform initial velocity profile. The average reaction time of OH with eugenol was 26.7 s calculated from the illuminated volume (0.89 L) and the total flow rate (2 L min⁻¹). The temperature of the reactor was maintained at 301 K by circulating water through the outer jacket of the OFR.

Liquid pure eugenol placed in a brown bubbler was gently heated and the carrier gas (zero air) brought gas-phase eugenol into the OFR. The concentrations of gas-phase eugenol in the OFR were controlled by the flow rate of carrier gas. Similarly, gas-phase reference compound (m-xylene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene) was also introduced into the OFR via a brown bubbler without heating. The concentrations of gas-phase species were determined by a commercial high-resolution proton-transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (HR-PTR-QiToF-MS) (Ionicon Analytik GmbH). The concentration of eugenol was calibrated by a commercial permeation tube (VICI AG INTERNATIONAL Valco Instruments Co., Inc.). The toolkit Squirrel 1.16H was used to analyze the mass concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and organics, measured by an Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS).
NO₂ concentration was determined by a NOₓ analyzer (Model 42i-TL, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

Before photochemical reaction, the concentration of O₃ in the OFR was in the range of 0.94–9.11 ppmv, which decreased to 0.39–6.02 ppmv due to the consumption by H₂O with 254 nm UV light. In order to evaluate the possible decay of eugenol via the reaction with O₃ and the possible SOA formation from their reaction, the control experiments were conducted in this work. The results showed that the concentration of eugenol was not affected by O₃ and no SOA formation was observed by SMPS and HR-ToF-AMS. In addition, the possible effects of O₃ on the decay of reference compounds (i.e., 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene and m-xylene) were also investigated. The results showed that the decays of reference compounds by O₃ could be ignored in this work. The variations in the concentrations of eugenol and reference compounds (i.e., 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene and m-xylene) in the presence of 9.11 ppmv O₃ are shown in Fig. S3.

These results were mainly resulted from the short reaction time in the OFR and the low rate constants of O₃ with methoxyphenols (~10⁻¹⁹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) (El Zein et al., 2015) and reference compounds (~10⁻²¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Atkinson et al., 1982; Yu et al., 2012). The concentrations of OH radicals were measured using SO₂ as the reference compound in a manner described previously (Lambe et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Approximately 200 ppbv SO₂ was introduced into the OFR by mass flow controller (MFC). Control experiments showed that SO₂ concentration was not affected individually by vapor H₂O, O₃, and 254 nm UV light. OH exposure ([OH]t) was
determined from the measured fractional loss of SO$_2$, $[\text{SO}_2]/[\text{SO}_2]_0$.

\[ [\text{OH}]_t = -\frac{1}{k_{\text{SO}_2}} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{SO}_2]}{[\text{SO}_2]_0} \right) \]  
(S1)

where \( k_{\text{SO}_2} \) is the second-order rate constant of SO$_2$ with OH radicals at 298 K (\( 9 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) (Davis et al., 1979).

Eugenol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%), and \( m \)-xylene (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.5%) were used in the experiments as received. NO$_2$ (105 ppmv) and SO$_2$ (100 ppmv) were from Beijing Huayuan Gas Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.

2. Calculation of organic nitrate fraction

The fraction of organic nitrate can be typically calculated according to the following equation (Fry et al., 2013):

\[ \text{RONO}_2,\text{frac} = \frac{(R_{\text{experiment}} - R_{\text{NH}_3\text{NO}_3})(1 + R_{\text{RONO}_2})}{(R_{\text{RONO}_2} - R_{\text{NH}_3\text{NO}_3})(1 + R_{\text{experiment}})} \]  
(S2)

where \( \text{RONO}_2,\text{frac} \) is the fraction of organic nitrate, \( R_{\text{experiment}} \) is the ratio of NO$_2^-$/NO$^+$ measured by HR-ToF-AMS in the experiments, \( R_{\text{NH}_3\text{NO}_3} \) (0.295) and \( R_{\text{RONO}_2} \) (0.13) are the NO$_2^-$/NO$^+$ ratios for ammonium nitrate and organic nitrates, respectively (Fry et al., 2013).

3. Calculation of OH suppression

The OH suppression by external OH reactivity in the OFR is estimated according to the OFR exposure estimator (v2.3) developed by Jimenez’s group based on the estimation equations reported in the previous work (Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015, 2016).
concentration of O$_3$ required by this estimator is in the range of 7–70 ppmv. Thus, O$_3$ with the concentrations of 7.8 and 9.1 ppmv in this work was used for this estimator. In addition, RH and rate constant for eugenol with OH radicals were 44% and $8.01 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ used in this estimator. The external OH reactivity in this estimator was only taken eugenol into account, due to its much higher concentration than those of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$. The external OH reactivity was calculated to be in the range of 85 s$^{-1}$ to 410 s$^{-1}$, according to the following equation (Peng et al., 2015):

$$OHR_{\text{ext}} = k_{\text{eugenol}+\text{OH}}[\text{eugenol}]$$

(S3)

where $OHR_{\text{ext}}$ is the external OH reactivity, $k_{\text{eugenol}+\text{OH}}$ is the rate constant of eugenol with OH radicals, and $[\text{eugenol}]$ is the concentration of eugenol.

According to the parameters mentioned above, the maximum reduction of OH exposure by eugenol in the OFR was approximately 90%.
**Figure S1.** Experimental system used in this work.

**Figure S2.** Detailed view of the Flow Oxidation Reactor and a photo of the reaction system.
Figure S3. Variations in the concentrations of eugenol and reference compounds (i.e., 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene and \textit{m}-xylene) in the presence of 9.11 ppmv O\textsubscript{3}.

Figure S4. Normalized mass spectra of the gas mixtures of eugenol and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene in the dark (a) and light (b), as well as eugenol and \textit{m}-xylene in the dark (c) and light (d).
Figure S5. SOA yield vs. OH exposure for SOA formed from different eugenol concentrations. The arrows indicate the maximum values (i.e., those listed in Table 2).

Figure S6. SOA mass concentration ($M_o$) vs. consumed eugenol concentration ($\Delta [\text{eugenol}]$). Each data point represents a separate experiment.
Figure S7. SOA yield as a function of SOA mass concentration ($M_0$) formed from the OH reactions at different guaiacol concentrations. The solid lines were fit to the experimental data using a one-product model. Values of $\alpha$ and $K_{om,i}$ used to generate the solid line are $(0.58 \pm 0.02)$ and $(0.014 \pm 0.001)$ in this work, and their values are $(0.83 \pm 0.04)$ and $(0.005 \pm 0.001)$ for the blue data from Lauraguais et al. (2014). The olive data are obtained from Yee et al. (2013).
Figure S8. $f_{44}$ and $f_{43}$ vs. OH exposure for SOA formed at two eugenol concentrations (272 and 1328 μg m$^{-3}$).
Figure S9. (a and d) Mass spectra of SOA at OH exposure of $12.55 \times 10^{11}$ molecules s$^{-1}$. (b and e) Mass spectra of SOA at OH exposure of $1.21 \times 10^{11}$ molecules s$^{-1}$. (c) Difference in mass spectra between (a) and (b). (f) Difference in mass spectra between (d) and (e). The data of (a-c) and (d-f) were taken from the aging processes of eugenol at two concentrations of 272 and 1328 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively.
Figure S10. Effects of SO$_2$ concentration on SOA yield and sulfate formation in the reaction of eugneol with OH radicals at OH exposure and eugenol concentration of 1.21 × 10$^{11}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s and 264 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively. The in situ particle acidity was calculated as H$^+$ concentrations ([H$^+$]) according to the AIM-II model for

H$^+$ – NH$_4^+$ – SO$_4^{2-}$ – NO$_3^-$ – H$_2$O system.
Figure S11. Evolution of SOA yield, nitrate formation, and N/C ratio as a function of NO$_2$ concentration at OH exposure and eugenol concentration of $1.21 \times 10^{11}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s and 268 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively.
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