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Figure S1: Overview of the study area: a) Division into sub-regions used for the analysis of Twin Otter measurements. b) Location of a typical flight path within the defined sub-regions. The flightpath is color-coded by the flight altitude, labels show the location of the Salt Lake City International Airport (SLC) and the airports for missed approaches (OGD = Ogden-Hinckley Airport, BMC = Brigham City Municipal Airport, LGU = Logan-Cache Airport, TVY = Tooele Valley Airport, U42 = South Valley Regional Airport, PVU = Provo Municipal Airport, SPK = Spanish Fork-Springville Airport).
Section S2 Observations of NH$_3$ from Twin Otter aircraft

Section S2.1 Vertical NH$_3$ profiles during missed approaches

![Diagram showing vertical profiles of NH$_3$ mixing ratios during two missed approaches at Logan airport.](image)

Figure S2: Vertical profiles of NH$_3$ mixing ratios during two missed approaches at Logan airport. The depicted planes indicates the flight path of the Twin Otter, while the circle colour changes from black to light grey with proceeding flight time. (a) Illustration of the horizontal heterogeneity at the ground when the Twin Otter was flying of the runway. (b) The NH$_3$ mixing ratios during descents and ascents are very similar below 1450 m a.s.l., while above different air masses are sampled; the concurrent minimum mixing ratios of NO$_y$ (red line) at about 50 m above the ground hint to a stable stratified boundary layer.
Section S2.2 Surface level NH$_3$ from Twin Otter (missed approaches)

Figure S3: Mean NH$_3$ mixing ratios (+ standard deviation) during missed approaches. NH$_3$ mixing measured over the airport runway, extended by 0.01° latitude to North and South and by 0.01° longitude to West and East, were taken into account. Airports: OGD = Ogden-Hinckley Airport, BMC = Brigham City Municipal Airport, LGU = Logan-Cache Airport, TVY = Tooele Valley Airport, U42 = South Valley Regional Airport, PVU = Provo Municipal Airport, SPK = Spanish Fork-Springville Airport.
Section S2.3 Ground site and Twin Otter comparison

Figure S4: Comparison of NH$_3$ mixing ratios between Twin Otter and ground site observations. a) Comparison with the University of Utah ground site: NH$_3$ mixing ratios were averaged when the Twin Otter was overflying the ground site within a distance of 1 km before and after passing the ground site. b) Comparison with the Logan ground site: Twin Otter data shown represents the missed approaches at Logan airport, located approximately 3 km NW of the Logan ground site. Mean values (blue dots, with standard deviations) are the average NH$_3$ mixing ratios between 1 km before and 1 km after the start and end of the runway. Maximum values (red diamonds) were observed directly above the runway and are most representative for the NH$_3$ mixing ratios at ground level.
Figure S5: Monthly temporal profile of NH₃ livestock emissions used in the UDAQ emission inventory. The monthly profile redistributes the annual total NEI emissions over the year and is determined through inverse modelling as described in Gilliland et al. (2006).
**Table S1:** Utah State University (USU) NH$_3$ emission inventory for Cache Valley and separated by county (Moore, 2007). Percentages may not sum to 100.0 due to rounding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>CACHE COUNTY</th>
<th>FRANKLIN COUNTY</th>
<th>CACHE VALLEY (both counties)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy cattle</td>
<td>4,495.9</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>4,495.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef cattle</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>546.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>546.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>5,240.3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5,195.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobiles</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>196.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry/WWTP</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,455.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>5,410.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure S6:* Map overlay of NH$_3$ sources in Cache Valley used in the Utah State University (USU) NH$_3$ emission inventory (Moore, 2007).
Figure S7: Emission inventory map used in the footprint model approach, where emissions in Cache Valley are substituted by the USU NH$_3$ emissions (white are with point sources).
Section S5 Footprint model
Section S5.1 Modelled and measured NH$_x$ enhancements

5 Figure S8. Modelled (Footprint + Inventory) vs. measured (Twin Otter) NH$_x$ enhancements (dNH$_x$) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley during PCAP (red) and non-PCAP period (blue). NH$_3$ emission from gas furnace combustion and human perspiration were removed from the area sources sector of the original UDAQ NH$_3$ emission inventory.
Figure S9: Frequency distribution of measured (blue) and modelled (red) NH₃ enhancements (dNH₃) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley (x-axis is linear scale) for (a-c) non-PCAP and (d-f) PCAP conditions.
Figure S10: Frequency distribution of measured (blue) and modelled (red) NH$_3$ enhancements (dNH$_3$) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley using enhanced livestock emissions by a factor of 4.5 for (a-c) non-PCAP and (d-f) PCAP conditions.
Figure S11: Frequency distribution of measured (blue) and modelled (red) NH₃ enhancements (dNH₃) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley using enhanced livestock (factor of 4.5) and enhanced mobile (factor of 3) emissions for (a-c) non-PCAP and (d-f) PCAP conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>All original</th>
<th>$f_{ls}$</th>
<th>$f_{ls} + f_{mob}$</th>
<th>non-PCAP original</th>
<th>$f_{ls}$</th>
<th>$f_{ls} + f_{mob}$</th>
<th>PCAP original</th>
<th>$f_{ls}$</th>
<th>$f_{ls} + f_{mob}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV</td>
<td>4.2E-12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5E-09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5E-07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>9.7E-11</td>
<td>1.3E-01</td>
<td>1.1E-01</td>
<td>3.2E-07</td>
<td>2.3E-01</td>
<td>2.1E-01</td>
<td>3.7E-06</td>
<td>4.4E-01</td>
<td>4.0E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>2.2E-57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.5E-40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6E-27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1.4E-22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.2E-08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0E-17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLV</td>
<td>4.8E-25</td>
<td>1.0E-75</td>
<td>1.5E-33</td>
<td>2.9E-10</td>
<td>1.9E-37</td>
<td>3.8E-15</td>
<td>2.4E-29</td>
<td>3.4E-54</td>
<td>2.6E-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>4.3E-11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.8E-08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2E-04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>3.4E-03</td>
<td>8.9E-20</td>
<td>8.9E-20</td>
<td>4.9E-01</td>
<td>9.5E-23</td>
<td>4.2E-24</td>
<td>3.3E-11</td>
<td>1.4E-02</td>
<td>3.6E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: p-values obtained from the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test comparing modelled and measured dNH$_x$ values. Shown are the results for the regions in the study area using all data and only data during non-PCAP and PCAP conditions. In addition, the effect of increasing livestock emissions by a constant factor ($f_{ls} = 4.5$) and further increase of mobile emissions ($f_{mob} = 3$) is illustrated for Cache Valley, Salt Lake Valley and Utah Valley. If the p-value is <0.05, the null hypothesis, that both modelled and measured data are drawn from same distribution, is rejected. Values in bold indicate cases where the null hypothesis is not rejected and therefore a similarity between modelled and measured distributions is likely.
Section S5.2 Comparison with modelled and measured NO\(_y\) enhancements

Figure S12. Modelled (Footprint + Inventory) vs. measured (Twin Otter) NO\(_y\) enhancements (dNO\(_y\)) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley during PCAP (red) and non-PCAP period (blue).

Figure S13: Frequency distribution of measured (blue) and modelled (red) NO\(_y\) enhancements (dNO\(_y\)) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley (x-axis is linear scale).
Figure S14: Frequency distribution of measured (blue) and modelled (red) NO\textsubscript{y} enhancements (dNO\textsubscript{y}) for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley (x-axis is logarithmic scale).

Section S5.3 Background mixing ratio determination

Figure S15: Example altitude profile for a research flight in Cache Valley used for the calculation of background mixing ratios. The red background profile was derived by calculating the moving 1\textsuperscript{st} percentile (in 1-m altitude increments) with a layer depth of 50 m. In a second step, the 1\textsuperscript{st} percentile altitude profile was further smoothed by a moving average (again in 1-m altitude increments and with a layer depth of 50 m). The shown boxplots visualize the variation of observed NH\textsubscript{3} with altitude. Since they are defined for layers of 100 m depth, they do not necessarily match with the calculated background profile. Red numbers are the number of measurement points available in each boxplot.
Figure S16: Modelled (Footprint + Inventory) vs. measured (Twin Otter) NH₃ mixing ratios for Salt Lake Valley, Cache Valley and Utah Valley during PCAP (red) and non-PCAP period (blue). NH₃ emission from gas furnace combustion and human perspiration were removed from the area sources sector of the original UDAQ NH₃ emission inventory. In contrast to Figure S8, only those data point are shown where the back trajectories at the exit of the inventory domain met the following conditions: at least 180 out of the 200 trajectories originate in greater than 1000 m a.g.l. and/or UDAQ NH₃ emission are below $10^{-4}$ μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. As a result it is assumed that the NH₃ mixing ratio at the domain entry is zero ppb and the modelled NH₃ values can be regarded as absolute mixing ratios instead of enhancements. For that reason, the background was mixing ratios was not subtracted from the measured NH₃ values, representing absolute NH₃ mixing ratios as well.
Section 6 Inter-valley exchange

Figure S17: Inter-valley exchange of NH$_3$: Contributions from different counties to dNH$_3$ at the Twin Otter locations in all sub-regions of the study period. The inter-valley exchange was evaluated by segregating contributions from the footprint model (see contributions map in Figure 5 in main text) into counties of origin for each run of the footprint model (i.e. every 2 min of Twin Otter flight path).

Figure S18: Inter-valley exchange of NO$_y$: Contributions from different counties to dNO$_y$ at the Twin Otter locations in all sub-regions of the study period. The inter-valley exchange was evaluated by segregating contributions from the footprint model (see contributions map in Figure 5 in main text) into counties of origin for each run of the footprint model (i.e. every 2 min of Twin Otter flight path).
Figure S19: Sector contribution to $d\text{NH}_3$: Contributions from different emission sectors to $d\text{NH}_3$ at the Twin Otter locations in all sub-regions of the study period. For Salt Lake Valley, $d\text{NH}_3$ contributions from the area sector were by 10% lower during PCAP and by 15% lower during non-PCAP periods, when using the STIL footprints from the UU ground site. While point source contributions were approximately the same, contributions from area sources were higher by that value.

Figure S20: Sector contribution to $d\text{NH}_3$ (scaled emissions): Contributions from different emission sectors to $d\text{NH}_3$ at the Twin Otter locations in all sub-regions of the study period. To account for the observed underestimation of emission sources in the UDAQ inventory, area source and mobile emissions were increased by a factor 4.5 and 3, respectively.
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