Integration of airborne and ground observations of nitryl chloride in the Seoul metropolitan area and the implications on regional oxidation capacity during KORUS-AQ 2016
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Abstract. Nitryl chloride (ClNO₂) is a radical reservoir species that releases chlorine radicals upon photolysis. An integrated analysis of the impact of ClNO₂ on regional photochemistry in the Seoul metropolitan area (SMA) during the Korea–United States Air Quality Study (KORUS-AQ) 2016 field campaign is presented. Comprehensive multiplatform observations were conducted aboard the NASA DC-8 and at two ground sites (Olympic Park, OP; Taehwa Research Forest, TRF), representing an urbanized area and a forested suburban region, respectively. Positive correlations between daytime Cl₂ and ClNO₂ were observed at both sites, the slope of which was dependent on O₃ levels. The possible mechanisms are explored through box model simulations constrained with observations. The overall diurnal variations in ClNO₂ at both sites appeared similar but the nighttime variations were systematically different. For about half of the observation days at the OP site the level of ClNO₂ increased at sunset but rapidly decreased at around midnight. On the other hand, high levels were observed throughout the night at the TRF site. Significant levels of ClNO₂ were observed at both sites for 4–5 h after sunrise. Airborne observations, box model calculations, and back-trajectory analysis consistently show that these high levels of ClNO₂ in the morning are likely from vertical or horizontal transport of air masses from the west. Box model results show that chlorine-radical-initiated chemistry can impact the regional photochemistry by elevating net chemical production rates of ozone by ~25% in the morning.
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1 Introduction

Nitryl chloride (CINO₂) is a nighttime radical reservoir that generates chlorine radicals (Cl') upon sunrise Reaction (R1), with a lifetime (τ_{CINO₂}) of around 30 min at midday in the Northern Hemisphere midlatitude summer, under clear-sky conditions (J_{CINO₂} ≈ 5.47 × 10^{-4} s^{-1}; Madronich and Flocke, 1998). It is produced through heterogeneous reaction of chloride-containing aerosols and dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅(g)), which is generated from an equilibrium reaction with gas-phase nitrate radical (NO₃⁻) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (Reactions R2–R4; Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989). In acidic aerosols (∼pH 1.8), uptake of N₂O₅ (g) can also produce gas-phase chloride (Cl₂, Reaction R5), resulting from an enhanced ClNO₂ uptake coefficient of up to 3–4 orders of magnitude higher than neutral pH (Roberts et al., 2008). However, this reaction has yet to be proven in ambient conditions. During the day, N₂O₅ exists at low levels due to its thermal instability (Malko and Troe, 1982) and the short lifetime of NO₃ (τ_{NO₃} < 5 s) from photolysis and reaction with NO (Wayne et al., 1991). Particulate Cl⁻ and chlorine-containing gas species can come from both natural sources such as sea salt and biomass burning (Blanchard, 1985; Woodcock, 1953) and anthropogenic sources such as steel making, incineration, bleaching processes, and coal-fired power plants (Hov, 1985; Reff et al., 2009; Tanaka et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2018). The efficiency of ClNO₂ production depends on heterogeneous loss of N₂O₅, which is a function of the N₂O₅ aerosol uptake coefficient (γ_{N₂O₅}), aerosol surface area, and N₂O₅ mean molecular speed, as well as the yield of ClNO₂ (ϕ_{ClNO₂}) (e.g., Thornton et al., 2003; Schweitzer et al., 1998; Behnke et al., 1997; Hu and Abbatt, 1997; Bertram and Thornton, 2009). Many recent studies have reported discrepancies between field-derived and laboratory-parameterized γ_{N₂O₅} (e.g., Brown et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2016; Morgan et al., 2015; Phillips et al., 2016; McDuffie et al., 2018b; Tham et al., 2016; X. Wang et al., 2017; Z. Wang et al., 2017) and ϕ_{ClNO₂} (e.g., McDuffie et al., 2018a; Riedel et al., 2013; Ryder et al., 2015; Tham et al., 2018; Thornton et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2013; X. Wang et al., 2017; Z. Wang et al., 2017). In a nocturnal boundary layer, ClNO₂ can accumulate to significant levels due to its long lifetime (τ_{ClNO₂} > 30 h) with slow loss mechanisms through heterogeneous uptake (Behnke et al., 1997; Frenzel et al., 1998; George et al., 1995). At sunrise, ClNO₂ rapidly photolyzes to generate chlorine radicals (Cl'), which can react with most volatile organic compounds (VOCs). For alkanes, Cl' has up to 1–2 orders of magnitude larger rate constants than hydroxyl radicals (e.g., k_{OH•+n-C₄H₁₀} = 2.4 × 10^{-12}, k_{Cl'+n-C₄H₁₀} = 2.2 × 10^{-10} at 298 K) (Atkinson, 1997; Atkinson and Arey, 2003). Therefore, Cl' can potentially influence the radical pool (HO•, RO•, and ozone (O₃)) level, which can also affect the formation of secondary aerosols. This influence can be most prominent in the morning when concentrations of other oxidants are low (i.e., NO₃ and 'OH) (Finlayson-Pitts, 1993; Hov, 1985; Young et al., 2014).

\[ \text{ClNO}_2(g) + hv \rightarrow \text{Cl}'(g) + \text{NO}_2(g) \quad (R1) \]

\[ \text{NO}_2(g) + \text{O}_3(g) \rightarrow \text{NO}_3(g) + \text{O}_2(g) \quad (R2) \]

\[ \text{NO}_3(g) + \text{NO}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5(g) \quad (R3) \]

\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_5(g) \rightarrow (2-\phi) \cdot \text{HNO}_3(g) + \phi \cdot \text{ClNO}_2(g) \quad (R4) \]

\[ \text{ClNO}_2(g) + \text{Cl}^{-}(aq) + \text{H}^+(aq) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2(g) + \text{HNO}_3(aq) \quad (R5) \]

The first ambient measurements of ClNO₂ were carried out by Osthoff et al. (2008), from a ship sampling along the southeastern US coast in 2006. In that study, ClNO₂ was observed up to ∼1 ppbv at nighttime, particularly during the time period influenced by urban pollution and ship plumes of the Houston ship channel. Since then, a growing number of measurements reported significant levels of ClNO₂, especially in polluted coastal regions with sources from natural and anthropogenic chloride and nitrogen oxides. Riedel et al. (2012) measured up to ∼2 ppbv of ClNO₂ off the coast of Santa Monica Bay, on board the research vessel Atlantis. Recent studies show that high levels of ClNO₂ are also present in mid-continent regions. Thornton et al. (2010) measured up to ∼400 pptv in Boulder, Colorado, which is ∼1400 km away from the coastline. Mielke et al. (2011) reported up to ∼250 pptv in Calgary, Alberta, Canada, during spring, which is ∼800 km from the coastline. Back-trajectory analysis results showed that the observations were most likely not influenced by marine air masses. More recently (in the past 5 years), an increasing number of ClNO₂ observations have been conducted in Asia, consistently showing significant levels of ClNO₂ present in the boundary layer (e.g., Tham et al., 2016, 2018; Wang et al., 2016; Z. Wang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2014; Yun et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2017). ClNO₂ observations at semirural (Wangdu of Hebei Province) and urban (Hong Kong, Jinan) regions in China have measured up to 2 ppbv and 776 pptv, respectively (Tham et al., 2016; X. Wang et al., 2017). At the mountaintop (957 m above sea level) in Hong Kong, up to 4.7 ppbv of ClNO₂ was reported (Wang et al., 2016). The high levels of ClNO₂ in these studies were mostly correlated with continental pollution in the vicinity (e.g., power plant plumes, biomass burning). A recent study by Yun et al. (2018) reported the highest-recorded mixing ratio of ClNO₂ (8.3 ppb), during a severe haze event at a semirural site downwind of the Pearl River Delta in the winter. Overall, observations have shown that ClNO₂ is ubiquitous in the tropospheric boundary layer. However, measurements are still limited, as discrepancies remain between global chemical transport models and observations. Uncertainties in model-simulated ClNO₂ can arise from limited emission inventories, low resolution of the grid, uncertainties in γ_{N₂O₅} and ϕ_{ClNO₂} parameterization, complexity of the terrain, and meteorological conditions, and these have been explored in previous studies (e.g., Zhang et al., 2017; McDuffie et al., 2018a, b; Lowe et al., 2015; Sarwar et al., 2016).
2 Methods

2.1 KORUS-AQ 2016 field campaign and observation sites

We present observations carried out at Olympic Park, (OP; lat: 37°30′32.904″ N, long: 127°7′20.136″ E), Taehwa Research Forest (TRF; lat: 37°19′14.484″ N, long: 127°18′32.58″ E), and on the NASA DC-8. The two ground sites were within the SMA region, which is the second largest metropolitan area in the world with a population of ~24 million (Park et al., 2017). As shown in Fig. 1a, the OP site is located in the southern part of Seoul, surrounded by high-rise residence buildings and close to major freeways. The TRF site is in the middle of a forested area, ~26 km southeast from the city and fresh biogenic emissions from the forest (Kim et al., 2015, 2016). Both sites were ~50 km to the east of the nearest coastline. Figure 1b shows the flight tracks of the NASA DC-8, during the KORUS-AQ campaign. Spiral patterns were conducted near the TRF site to measure a vertical profile of the troposphere. Airborne observations were carried out during the daytime, between 08:00 and 17:00 local time. A summary of the analytical techniques of the measurements presented in this study are shown in Table 1. Meteorology during the observation period can be classified into dynamic (4–16 May), stagnation (17–22 May), transport (25–31 May), and blocking periods. During the stagnant period, a high-pressure system was persistent over the Korean peninsula, resulting in local air masses being more dominant within the SMA compared to the dynamic and transport (25–31 May) periods. Rex block patterns were observed during the blocking period (1–6 June). During this period, a high-pressure system was adjacent to a low-pressure system over the Korean peninsula, resulting in more local influence with occasional stagnation.
Table 1. Summary of the measurements carried out during the KORUS-AQ 2016 field campaign, used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>TRF</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>DC-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>CL&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FRM&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CL&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CRDS&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CAPS&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CL&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>DIAL&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>SL-UV&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CL&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>PF&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>UV fluorescence&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>IR&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NDIR&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;,Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CIMS&lt;sup&lt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CIMS&lt;sup&lt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CIMS&lt;sup&lt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>PTR-ToF-MS&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>QCL&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PTR-ToF-MS&lt;sup&gt;p&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TILDAS&lt;sup&gt;n&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>WAS&lt;sup&gt;q&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chloride (< 1 µm) x
Nitrate (< 1 µm) x
Sulfate (< 1 µm) x
Surface area (< 200 nm) x
Surface area (200 nm–5 µm) x
J values x

<sup>a</sup> Chemiluminescence with a molybdenum converter (Thermo Scientific 42i - TL).
<sup>b</sup> Chemiluminescence detector (Federal Reference Method, Teledyne T200U).
<sup>c</sup> NCAR four-channel chemiluminescence (Weinheimer et al., 1994).
<sup>d</sup> Cavity ring-down spectroscopy (Los Gatos Research NO<sub>2</sub> analyzer).
<sup>e</sup> Cavity attenuated phase shift spectroscopy (Teledyne T500U CAPS analyzer).
<sup>f</sup> NASA TROPospheric OZone Differential Absorption Lidar (Sullivan et al., 2014).
<sup>g</sup> UV photometric method (2B 211).
<sup>h</sup> Pulsed fluorescence method (Thermo Scientific 43i-HL).
<sup>i</sup> UV fluorescence method (KENTEK).
<sup>j</sup> Infrared CO analyzer (Thermo Scientific 48i-HL).
<sup>k</sup> Nondispersive infrared CO analyzer (KENTEK).
<sup>l</sup> Chemical ionization mass spectrometer (Slusher et al., 2004).
<sup>m</sup> Proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (IONICON Analytik).
<sup>n</sup> Tunable infrared laser direct absorption spectroscopy (Aerodyne).
<sup>o</sup> Quantum cascade laser spectrometer (Aerodyne).
<sup>p</sup> University of Oslo and University of Innsbruck proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Müller et al., 2014).
<sup>q</sup> Whole-air sampler (Colman et al., 2001).
<sup>r</sup> Aerosol mass spectrometer (Aerodyne).
<sup>s</sup> University of Colorado, Boulder, aerosol mass spectrometer (Nault et al., 2018).
<sup>t</sup> NASA, scanning mobility particle sizer.
<sup>u</sup> NASA, laser aerosol spectrometer.
<sup>v</sup> NCAR, charge-coupled device actinic flux spectroradiometer (Shetter and Müller, 1999).

Figure 1. (a) Location of two ground sites (Taehwa Research Forest and Olympic Park) where the chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) was installed during the KORUS-AQ 2016 field campaign. (b) Airborne measurements of CINO<sub>2</sub> and DC-8 flight tracks during the whole campaign. The CINO<sub>2</sub> data points are 60 s averaged and color coded by time of day of the measurement. The marker size is proportional to the mixing ratio of CINO<sub>2</sub>. 
2.2 Chemical ionization mass spectrometry and calibration

A THS Instruments LLC chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS), using iodide (I⁻) as the reagent ion, was used for measuring Cl₂ and CINO₂ at the two ground supersites and on the NASA DC-8. The system was similar to what is described in Slusher et al. (2004) and Liao et al. (2011), and the inlet configuration during the campaign is shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement. Ambient air was sampled through a stainless-steel donut-shaped inlet at TRF and a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube inlet at OP. The stainless-steel donut inlet has been shown to effectively avoid wall loss of reactive halogens during previous campaigns (Liao et al., 2012). Therefore, the use of different types of inlets (e.g., the use of the donut), described above, at the two ground sites and on the DC-8 is not expected to be an issue for the quantitative comparisons in this study. The sampled air went through the first three-way valves to be delivered to an ambient or charcoal scrubber mode for background, alternating every 5 min. The second three-way valve was for heated (150°C) and unheated cycles. CINO₂ and Cl₂ were only quantified during the unheated cycles to avoid any potential artifacts as described in Liu et al. (2017). A total of 3000 standard liters per minute (slpm) was drawn in with a blower with an additional flow of 4 slpm drawn at the end of the inlet to reduce the residence time and 1 slpm was sampled into the CIMS. All the inlet parts, after the blower, including the fittings and tubings, were made of PTFE. In the flow tube, the target compounds form clusters with I⁻ (Reactions R5–R6; Huey, 2007; Huey et al., 1995; McNeill et al., 2006), which were generated by flowing 1 slpm N₂ through a methyl iodide (CH₃I) permute oven maintained at 50°C. Polonium (NRD LLC, Static Master; model: 2U500; activity: 20 mCi) was used as the radioactive source for ionization. Clusters of Cl₂ isotopes were detected at the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 197 and 199, and CINO₂ was measured at 208 and 210. The natural abundance of Cl₂ and CINO₂ isotopes is approximately 9 : 6 : 1 (³⁵Cl³⁵Cl : ³⁵Cl³⁷Cl : ³⁷Cl³⁷Cl) and 3 : 1 (³⁵CINO₂ : ³⁷CINO₂), respectively. Mass 201 (³⁷Cl³⁷Cl) was not considered in the data processing due to artifacts.

\[
\text{ClNO}_2(g) + I^- \rightarrow ICINO^- (g) \quad (R6)
\]

\[
\text{Cl}_2(g) + I^- \rightarrow ICl^- (g) \quad (R7)
\]

Calibrations of Cl₂ and CINO₂ were carried out during and after the campaign. Cl₂ in a cylinder (Airgas, 10 ppm in N₂) was diluted with zero air to be sampled in either ambient or scrubber (charcoal) mode (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The Cl₂ in the cylinder was quantified through the method described by Liao et al. (2012) and was 8.84 ± 0.43 ppm. CINO₂ was synthesized, based on Thaler et al. (2011). Briefly, Cl₂ gas in N₂ was passed through a pyrex reservoir (diameter = 1.3 cm, length = 5.5 cm) containing a bed of NaCl (MACRON) and NaNO₂ (Sigma Aldrich) with a molar ratio of 10 to 1. This slurry mixture contains NO₂⁻ that reacts with the flowing Cl₂ to generate CINO₂. The output flow was further diluted with 4 L min⁻¹ of zero air in order to sufficiently provide gas flow. The flow containing synthesized CINO₂ was then analyzed at m/z of 208 and 210 with the CIMS. NO₂ and NO-NO₂ were simultaneously measured with a cavity ring-down spectrometer (CRDS, Los Gatos Research, detection limit: 10 pptv, precision: 50 pptv at 1σ, model: 907-0009-0002) and chemiluminescence (CL, Thermo Scientific, detection limit: 50 pptv, model: 42 i), respectively. CINO₂ is detected as NO₂⁻ in the CL through conversion to NO on the heated (325 °C) molybdenum catalytic converter (Williams et al., 1998). The efficiency of the conversion was assumed to be unity. Therefore, CINO₂ could be determined by comparing the three instruments and subtracting the byproducts (HONO and NO₂) from the total NO₂⁻. The averaged sensitivity of Cl₂ was 31.5 ± 11.2 Hz ppt⁻¹ and CINO₂ was 19.7 ± 1.5 Hz ppt⁻¹. The two sigma detection limits of Cl₂ and CINO₂ were 2.9 and 1.5 ppt, respectively, over 30 min.

2.3 Modeling

We used Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling (FOAM v3.1) for simulating three types of simulations: (1) daytime Cl₂ production (Fig. 5), (2) in situ CINO₂ production in the morning (Fig. 8), and (3) the impact of measured CINO₂ on the regional tropospheric chemistry (Fig. 10). FOAM is a MATLAB-based open-source box model. Detailed descriptions of the model can be found in Wolfe et al. (2016). Each step of the model was constrained with the averaged meteorology parameters (e.g., pressure, temperature, relative humidity) and trace gases observed at the two ground sites during the campaign. The constrained trace gases include CINO₂, Cl₂, O₃, NO, NO₂, CO, CH₄, and 20 non-methane hydrocarbons including eight alkanes (i.e., ethane, propane, iso-butane, n-butane, isopentane, n-pentane, n-hexane, and n-heptane), that have relatively high reaction rate constants with Cl⁻. A constant meteorology and trace gas observation set, collected at the corresponding time period, was constrained throughout the 72 h model simulation presented in Fig. 5. Then, the Cl₂ concentrations at the end of the 72 h simulation are compared to simultaneously observed mixing ratios of CINO₂ in Fig. 5. Simulations in Fig. 8 were constrained similarly to those in Fig. 5 but allow CINO₂ concentrations to vary with time in order to assess CINO₂ production predicted by the model. The model simulation pre-
presented in Fig. 10 was constrained with a diurnal cycle in the parameters. A full diurnal cycle of the model was for 24 h consisting of a total of 864 steps and each step was integrated for 100 s. Each step of the model was constrained with observations measured at that time of day. To assess the impact of CINO2 chemistry on net O3 production, all species were constrained except for NO2 and O3, which were initialized with observed values and allowed to vary in time. Photolysis rate constants were derived through the hybrid method (Wolfe et al., 2016) in the F0AM box model. This method uses clear-sky solar spectra from the tropospheric ultraviolet and visible radiation model (TUV v 5.2) and cross sections and quantum yields suggested by IUPAC. To capture the effects of pollution on photolysis rates, the ratio of the measured JNO2 to the F0AM-modeled JNO2 was calculated. This ratio was then applied to other photolysis rate constants calculated in the model. Measured JNO2 was taken from the DC-8 actinic flux measurements (charge-coupled device actinic flux spectroradiometer; CAFS) when flying near the SMA at altitudes under 1 km. A diurnal cycle was applied to the DC-8 measurements to determine j values at other times of day. Photolysis rate constants of CINO2, Cl2, and ClONO2 were not present in the FOAM model and were therefore taken directly from the DC-8 measurements to be used in the model runs in this study. The Master Chemical Mechanism v3.3.1 (MCM) was taken from http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM (last access: 10 July 2019) and embedded in the box model. MCM v3.3.1 has a detailed gas photochemistry (i.e., 5832 species and 17224 reactions), including the oxidation of CH4 and 142 non-methane primary emitted VOCs (Jenkin et al., 2015). Since MCM v3.3.1 only includes Cl' reactions with alkane species, additional chlorine chemistry was embedded in the model, similar to what Riedel et al. (2014) reported. This was done by including multiple Cl' precursors (e.g., Cl2, CINO2, HCl, ClONO2, HOCl) and Cl' reactions with non-alkane VOCs, such as alkene, alcohol, aromatics, alkyynes, ketones, organic acids, and nitrates. All the reactions embedded in the model can be found in the supplementary material of Riedel et al. (2014) and Wolfe et al. (2016). Boundary layer height, emissions, and depositions were not considered in the model. More details on the setup of the box model are in the Supplement (S3). The FLEXible PARticle dispersion model (FLEXPART v9.1, https://www.flexpart.eu, last access: 10 July 2019) was used for the air mass source contribution (Fig. 3) and backward trajectory analysis (Fig. 9). The backward trajectories reported in our study were initialized at 09:00 LST at TRF, going 24 h back in time. Only the center of the mass-weighted particles is shown in Fig. 9 and clusters are included in the supporting information. These clusters represent fractional contributions of air masses (Fig. S10). The trajectories were driven by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Global Forecast System (GFS) with a 0.25° resolution. Influence of air mass originating from the ocean at TRF and OP was calculated every 6 h following an air mass 5 d back in time. Meteorology was driven by WRF with a 5 km horizontal resolution. Since emissions of CO are very low in the ocean, and assumed to be inert in the model, it was used as a tracer for contribution of air originating from the ocean within a given air mass at each ground site.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 CINO2 observations

Figure 2 shows the temporal variation in trace gases measured during the campaign at (a) the OP site (17 May–11 June) and (b) the TRF site (5 May–11 June). The OP site, which was located near heavy traffic, showed high levels of NOx throughout the campaign. During most nights (except for 24–26, 30–31 May, and 6–7 June), O3 was completely titrated by NO. On the other hand, at the TRF site, which is a forested region downwind of the urban area, O3 remained at ∼ 30 ppbv throughout the night. During the measurement period, measurable amounts of CINO2 were observed at both ground sites (Fig. 3). The maximum observed CINO2 was ∼ 800 pptv (5 min averaged) and ∼ 2.5 ppbv (5 min averaged) at the OP and TRF sites, respectively. At both sites, CINO2 started accumulating at sunset and rapidly photolyzed upon sunrise, which was ∼ 05:30 local standard time (LST) during the campaign. The nighttime relationship between CINO2 and Cl2 varied day by day and did not show a clear correlation. This implies that the sources or loss processes of Cl2 and CINO2 were not consistent at night. This is similar to Riedel et al. (2012), where they reported a wide range of correlation between Cl2 and CINO2 off the coast of Los Angeles.

Daytime (11:00–18:00, LST) CINO2 was up to ∼ 100 pptv at OP and ∼ 250 pptv at TRF (Fig. 4). The level showed a positive correlation with Cl2, especially in relatively high O3 conditions (> 50 ppbv). When O3 was relatively low (< 50 ppbv), Cl2 production was suppressed, while CINO2 was not necessarily limited. Excluding the days with low O3 (i.e., 26 and 29 May for OP and 6, 29 May and 4 June for TRF), the relationship between daytime CINO2 and Cl2 showed positive correlation with $R^2$ of 0.49 and 0.80 for OP and TRF, respectively. This positive correlation is consistent with the results reported by Liu et al. (2017) in the North China Plain. In their study, up to ∼ 450 ppbv of both Cl2 and CINO2 was measured during the daytime (10:00–20:00, LST), with strong correlation of $R^2 = 0.83$. Cl2 levels were also suppressed in low O3 and OH conditions during low solar radiation periods. Therefore, the authors suggested that daytime Cl2 levels could be positively related to photochemical activities. Considering the short lifetime of Cl2 and CINO2 during the day (i.e., 11:00–18:00 LST in our study), the levels we observed are likely affected through local production. According to Liu et al. (2017), the air mass showed moderate correlation to SO2 with possible influences from
power plants. However, in this study, the ClNO₂ measured at both the OP and TRF sites was weakly correlated with SO₂ ($R^2 = 0.02$), which implies that the air masses that we sampled are not fresh emissions from coal combustion activities such as power plants.

The first possibility we explored is the direct generation of Cl₂ from reactions in acidic particles. ClNO₂ is very insoluble ($\gamma_{\text{ClNO}_2} \approx 10^{-6}$ Rossi, 2003) in near-neutral pH. However, according to Roberts et al. (2008), $\gamma_{\text{ClNO}_2}$ can increase up to 3 orders of magnitude on acidic surfaces ($\sim$ pH 1.8), leading to direct production of gas-phase Cl₂.

Aerosol acidity was mostly below pH 2 during the campaign, based on thermodynamic calculations, constrained with airborne observations (Fig. S3). Therefore, the efficiency of this reaction in ambient conditions requires further investigation. Another possibility is the autocatalytic production of Cl₂ from heterogeneous reactions of gas-phase ClONO₂ (i.e., ClONO₂(g) + Cl⁻(aq) + H⁺(aq) $\rightarrow$ Cl₂(g) + HNO₃, Gebel and Finlayson-Pitts, 2001; Deiber et al., 2004) and HOCl (i.e., HOCl(g) + Cl⁻(aq) + H⁺(aq) $\rightarrow$ Cl₂(g) + H₂O; Vogt et al., 1996) on particles. These reactions are also favored as particle acidity increases. In order to further investigate its possibility, daytime Cl₂ was simulated by constraining the box model with measurements of ClNO₂ and other trace gases corresponding to each data point in Fig. 4. Based on the availability of parameters, we were able to simulate 1680 and 1229 runs for OP and TRF, respectively. This corresponds to more than 96 % of the daytime data points shown in Fig. 4. $\gamma_{\text{ClNO}_2}$ and $\gamma_{\text{HOCl}}$ were set to 0.06 (Deiber et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 1994; Hanson and Ravishankara, 1994), which is an upper limit of previous laboratory studies, and the yields were assumed to be unity. HCl generation from hydrogen abstraction of VOCs by Cl* were included in the mechanisms used in the model runs. The end points of the 72 h simulation results are presented in Fig. 5. As shown in the figure, the box model simulations were able to reproduce the positive correlation between Cl₂ and ClNO₂. Moreover, modeled Cl₂ was suppressed in low-O₃ conditions, which corresponds to the observations. This can be explained by Cl* reacting with O₃, producing CIO*, leading to gas-phase ClNO₂ and HOCl production. These can react on acidic aerosols to generate Cl₂.

Sources of Cl* could be from photolabile gas-phase chlorine compounds (e.g., Cl₂, ClNO₂, ClONO₂, HOCl) or oxidation of gas-phase HCl by OH. Although the reaction between HCl and OH is relatively slow ($k = 7.86 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K; Atkinson et al., 2007), it has been reported to be a significant source of Cl* in the daytime (Riedel et al., 2012). A sensitivity test was carried out by comparing modeled Cl₂ between runs with and without HCl production from oxidation of VOCs by Cl* (Fig. S4c, d). The results show that production of Cl₂ was suppressed by 40 %–70 % when HCl was not generated in the model. This significant contribution of gas-phase HCl as a Cl* source should be an upper limit as the deposition of HCl was not considered in the model. Nonetheless, our analysis leads us to conclude that the mechanisms we have explored could be the main contributors of the daytime Cl₂ production during KORUS-AQ.
Figure 3. ClNO$_2$ and Cl$_2$ observation results at (a) OP and (b) TRF averaged over 5 min. FLEXPART back-trajectory analyses were made for source contribution of CO-like substances originating from the ocean, assuming inert CO. Aerosol chloride mass concentration (ambient micrograms per cubic meter) was measured at the ground for the OP site and on the NASA DC-8 for TRF. For the airborne chloride, measurements below 1 km over the TRF site are shown. Red shades are the time frames with limited ClNO$_2$ production. The time frames for each meteorological condition that dominated during the observation period are shown as black arrows at the bottom of (a) and (b).

3.2 Sources of ClNO$_2$

FLEXPART source contribution analysis shows that the level of ClNO$_2$ at the ground sites was highly correlated with the origin of the air mass (Fig. 3). During the nights shaded in red in Fig. 3 (OP: 20, 22 May, 2 and 7 June; TRF: 11, 19–22 May, 2 and 6–7 June), there was limited production of ClNO$_2$ at the surface. These periods mostly corresponded to meteorological conditions of stagnation or blocking events, which both resulted in localized air masses to be more dominant with limited influence from the west coast. Stagnation events can be characterized by low wind speeds and increased atmospheric stability, possibly leading to enhanced levels of pollutants like NO$_x$. Previous studies have shown that stagnant conditions can result in enhanced levels of N$_2$O$_5$ driven by high ozone and NO$_2$. However, ClNO$_2$ production was limited during stagnation events in this study. This is likely due to limited availability of chloride as shown in submicron particle measurements with an aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) at the ground site for OP and airborne over TRF (Fig. 3). Whether the chloride is from the ocean or anthropogenic emissions is uncertain since large point sources, such as power plants or petrochemical facilities, are also present along the west coast of the SMA. On the nights of 20 and 22 May, rapid changes in air quality were observed with fast shifts in O$_3$, SO$_2$, and CO. This corresponded with changes in ClNO$_2$ and Cl$_2$ (Fig. S7). These events suggest the importance of boundary layer advection in controlling the ClNO$_2$ levels in the region.

Different diurnal variations in ClNO$_2$ were observed between OP and TRF (Fig. 6). The measurements were averaged over selected days (OP: 18–20, 22, 23, 29 May, 4 June;
Figure 4. Scatter plot of daytime (11:00–18:00 local time) ClNO$_2$ and Cl$_2$ at (a) OP and (b) TRF, color coded with measured O$_3$. The 5 min averaged data for the whole campaign were used for both sites. Data points of Cl$_2$ below detection limit (2.9 ppt, 2σ, over 30 min) are shown for the purpose of comparison to observed ClNO$_2$ levels.

TRF: 5, 8, 9, 12, 17, 18, 30 May, 8, 10, June) that showed these two distinct profiles at each site. At the TRF site (Fig. 6b), far from direct NO emissions, significant levels of ClNO$_2$ were sustained throughout the night during most of the observation period with rapid photolysis upon sunrise. On the other hand, at OP (Fig. 6a), CINO$_2$ started to increase upon sunset, followed by a rapid drop at around 22:00 LST. The trend was consistent with slower nitrate radical production rate ($d[NO_3]/dt = [NO_2][O_3]k$, where $k = 3.52 \times 10^{-17}$ at 298 K; Atkinson et al., 2004) as O$_3$ was titrated to zero by NO close to midnight. The wind direction, SO$_2$, and CO did not correlate. This suppressed CINO$_2$ production in urbanized regions with high NO levels has also been reported by Osthoff et al. (2018). However, significant levels of N$_2$O$_5$ and CINO$_2$ could have been present in the upper part of the surface layer as shown in previous studies (Baasandorj et al., 2017; Young et al., 2012; Yun et al., 2018). According to Baasandorj et al. (2017), O$_3$ was completely titrated at the surface in Salt Lake Valley, Utah, while elevated mixing ratios of N$_2$O$_5$ were observed at 155 m a.g.l., at a site along the valley wall. On the other hand, airborne measurements at the Los Angeles basin (Young et al., 2012) showed a relatively uniform CINO$_2$ profile throughout the nocturnal boundary layer as O$_3$ did not change significantly within the observed altitude range (< 600 m). During the 2015 Megacity Air Pollution Study (MAPS, Seoul, 2015), a cavity ring-down spectrometer (CRDS) was installed on top of the Seoul tower in May–June that measured N$_2$O$_5$, NO$_x$, and O$_3$ (Brown et al., 2017). The elevation of the measurement site was 360 m above sea level (m a.s.l.), allowing for sampling further away from direct NO emissions. In their study, the average nighttime O$_3$ mixing ratio was around 50 ppbv and N$_2$O$_5$ was observed most nights, with mixing ratios reaching up to 5 ppbv. Therefore, it is very likely that CINO$_2$ levels higher than the surface measurements could have been present at higher elevation during the observation period.

At both sites, ClNO$_2$ levels started to increase or were sustained after the first 2–3 h of rapid net loss upon sunrise. In the morning, CINO$_2$ positively correlated to Cl$_2$ levels, but did not follow the nitrate production rate at the site (Fig. S8). Box model simulations, initially constrained with observed ClNO$_2$ levels, showed rapid photolysis upon sunrise (Fig. S5, red dashed line). At TRF, this corresponded to the measurements until 07:00–08:00 LST, when a second ClNO$_2$ peak was observed (Fig. 6b). This ClNO$_2$ peak in the morning was observed about half the observation days during the campaign. With the net ClNO$_2$ production rate from the observation, and the loss rate from the simulated ClNO$_2$ from photolysis, a production rate of 400 pptv h$^{-1}$ would be required to reconcile the observation. In the case of ClNO$_2$ observed on 5 May at TRF (an insert of Fig. S6b), a maximum of 2.5 ppbv h$^{-1}$ of CINO$_2$ production rate was required in the
Figure 6. Diurnal variation in ClNO$_2$ and other trace gases measured during the campaign and averaged over selected days at (a) OP (7 d) and (b) TRF (9 d). Nighttime is shown as grey shades.

Figure 7. (a) Regional and (b) vertical distribution of airborne ClNO$_2$ measured over the Seoul metropolitan region (SMA) in the morning (08:00–08:30 local time).

Figure 8. Steady-state ClNO$_2$, simulated from a box model constrained with airborne measurements (blue) and ground site data from TRF (red), when there was a morning ClNO$_2$ peak. Averaged values of the model runs are shown here with standard deviations.

morning to reconcile the observations. At OP, 18 pptv h$^{-1}$ was required for the 7 averaged days. The ClNO$_2$ production rate required in the morning at TRF was much higher than the previous studies that have also reported high sustained levels of ClNO$_2$ in the morning (i.e., 20–200 pptv h$^{-1}$) (Faxon et al., 2015; Bannan et al., 2015; Tham et al., 2016). In these previous studies, three possibilities have been suggested that could explain the high sustained levels of ClNO$_2$ in the early morning: (1) in situ generation of ClNO$_2$, (2) transport of ClNO$_2$ within the boundary layer, and (3) entrainment of ClNO$_2$ from the residual layer. Each possibility is explored below.

In order to explore the possibility of in situ formation, box model simulations of ClNO$_2$ production from heterogeneous reaction of N$_2$O$_5$ and chloride-containing aerosols were conducted. N$_2$O$_5$ was calculated assuming a photostationary state of NO$_3^+$ (Brown et al., 2005). Aerosol surface area was taken from airborne observations over TRF.
growth factor of less than 1.5 in the SMA region for the first-order loss of N on aerosols certainly could result in an underestimation of ClNO\(_2\) for the box model. Kim et al. (2017, 2018) observed a hygroscopic growth factor of less than 1.5 in the SMA region for particulate matter on aerosols from both anthropogenic and natural sources and vehicular emissions to the west and southwest of the SMA region. Sullivan et al. (2019) reported that this resulted in enhanced levels of O$_3$ in receptor regions (i.e., Taehwa Research Forest) downwind when westerlies were prevalent. Therefore, favorable conditions such as high chloride content in aerosols from both anthropogenic and natural sources and high levels of NO$_x$–O$_3$ could have led to significant levels of ClNO$_2$ to build up and be transported to TRF before being completely photolyzed. During the campaign, influence of large biomass burning was negligible as reported in Tang et al. (2018, 2019).

At nighttime, the nocturnal boundary layer is decoupled from the residual layer (Stull, 1988), where the pollution from the previous day resides. Being removed from direct NO emissions near the surface, NO$_x$ can effectively accumulate in the residual layer, with the major loss process being heterogeneous reaction on aerosols. Therefore, high levels of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ formed during the day can be trapped in the residual layer, resulting in significant levels of ClNO$_2$ persisting throughout the night. Figure 7 shows (a) regional and (b) vertical distribution of airborne ClNO$_2$ throughout the campaign in the morning (08:00–08:30 LST) over the SMA region (lat: 37°12′00″–37°38′60″N, long: 126°54′00″–127°47′60″E). During three flights (i.e., 25, 31 May and 10 June), ClNO$_2$ was observed in the residual layer with a max of \(\sim\) 230 pptv. However, the remaining flights observed an average of \(17 \pm 56\) pptv of ClNO$_2$ (black circles). Even on the 3 d (i.e., 25, 31 May and 10 June) when ClNO$_2$ was observed in the residual layer, the level (max 230 pptv) could not reconcile the observed levels at the TRF site, which was 342 ± 330 pptv when averaged over the corresponding 3 d at 08:00–08:30 LST. However, it is possible that the air mass that was measured by the DC-8 was not representative of the air mass aloft at the west side of the ground observation area.

Figure 9. FLEXPART back trajectories from Taehwa Research Forest. Trajectories were initialized at 09:00 local time and extended 24 h backwards. Only the center trajectories with the highest percentage of air masses are presented. Trajectories for days high levels of ClNO$_2$ (> 500 pptv) at night are in red and the remaining days are shown in sky blue.

Figure 10. Box model simulations of chlorine radicals and O$_3$ at (a) OP and (b) TRF, constrained with ClNO$_2$ and other trace gases observed during the field campaign. Percent difference of net O$_3$ production rate (NetP(O$_3$), blue line) was calculated from the difference of the NetP(O$_3$) between simulations with and without ClNO$_2$ constrained in the model (i.e., 100 · (wClNO$_2$ − woClNO$_2$)/woClNO$_2$).

on the box model results in Fig. 8, even with an assumption of 100 % yield, ClNO$_2$ from heterogeneous reaction was not able to reconcile the observed level. Using the dry surface area for the first-order loss of N$_2$O$_5$ on aerosols certainly could result in an underestimation of ClNO$_2$ production in the model. Kim et al. (2017, 2018) observed a hygroscopic growth factor of less than 1.5 in the SMA region for particles below 150 nm during the KORUS campaign period. In other words, the discrepancy between observed and modeled ClNO$_2$ of more than 50-fold cannot be reconciled by this underestimation. The box model simulation on gas-phase production of ClNO$_2$ (i.e., Cl$_2$(g) + NO$_2$(g) + M → ClONO$_2$ + M, Cl$_2$O$_2$(g) + NO$_2$(g) + M → ClNO$_2$ + M + 1) showed at most 2–10 pptv of ClNO$_2$ and ClONO (Fig. S6).

Therefore, horizontal or vertical transport from local sources would be the most likely explanation for the high ClNO$_2$ in the morning. Although ClNO$_2$ readily photolyzes during the day (\(t_{\text{ClNO}_2} \approx 30\) min at midday), the lifetime could be significantly long enough in the early morning to allow for transport of ClNO$_2$ to the ground sites. Based on the NCAR TUV v5.2 model, the lifetime of ClNO$_2$, averaged between 05:30 and 08:30 LST was \(\sim 2\) h under clear-sky conditions. Figure 9 shows back trajectory analysis initiated at 09:00 local time at TRF. On high ClNO$_2$ days with the morning peaks, most of the air masses were from the west. During KORUS, the DC-8 did not fly to the west of the SMA in the early morning. However, there are large point sources, such as petrochemical facilities and industries, and vehicular emissions to the west and southwest of the SMA region. Sullivan et al. (2019) reported that this resulted in enhanced levels of O$_3$ in receptor regions (i.e., Taehwa Research Forest) downwind when westerlies were prevalent. Therefore, favorable conditions such as high chloride content in aerosols from both anthropogenic and natural sources and high levels of NO$_x$–O$_3$ could have led to significant levels of ClNO$_2$ to build up and be transported to TRF before being completely photolyzed. During the campaign, influence of large biomass burning was negligible as reported in Tang et al. (2018, 2019).
sites. Back-trajectory analysis initialized at 09:00 local time showed that the TRF site was affected by both the residual layer and below (Fig. S10). The enhancement of O$_3$ and SO$_2$ concurrent to elevation of ClINO$_2$ could be due to the transport from the residual layer where pollution from high point sources from the other day was trapped within. From the current dataset, it would be difficult to derive a clear conclusion on whether the cause of the significant ClINO$_2$ in the morning was dominantly horizontal or vertical transport or both.

3.3 Impacts of ClINO$_2$ on O$_3$

Cl$^+$ produced from ClINO$_2$ photolysis can influence the local air quality through reactions with VOCs followed by enhanced production of O$_3$. The possible impact of Cl$^+$-initiated reactions on the local chemistry was investigated by running box model simulations constrained with measured ClINO$_2$. A 24 h diurnal variation in ClINO$_2$ was averaged over the same selected days as in Fig. 6, and these were constrained throughout the model simulations. The results illustrate that when the model was constrained with ClINO$_2$ and Cl$^+$-initiated chemistry, higher levels of O$_3$ were simulated (Fig. 10) compared to the base runs without ClINO$_2$. The averaged net O$_3$ production rate was enhanced by up to 2% and 25% at OP and TRF in the morning and by 1% and 2% when averaged during the day. The OP had 7 times lower Cl$^+$ than the TRF site due to low ClINO$_2$ levels (∼60 pptv) in the morning. Since the box model simulations in our study did not take into consideration boundary layer height dynamics, emission, and deposition, this net production rate is the result of just chemical production and loss. For *OH, the net production rate at TRF increased by 2% in the morning. The results from TRF in particular are comparable with the previous study at the mountaintop site in Hong Kong, China (Wang et al., 2016). The enhancement of O$_3$ (max–min) was higher than their moderate ClINO$_2$ case (11%) but lower than the high-ClINO$_2$ plume case (41%).

4 Conclusions

Comprehensive measurements of ClINO$_2$, Cl$_2$, other trace gases, and aerosol concentrations and properties have been conducted on the NASA DC-8 and at two ground sites during the KORUS-AQ 2016 field campaign. The observed averaged diurnal variations are largely consistent with the previous observations and our understanding on the photochemistry of ClINO$_2$. The presence of ClINO$_2$ was substantially suppressed during strong stagnation events, which could have prevented the transport of chloride near the coast. During the night, Cl$_2$ and ClINO$_2$ levels were not correlated while moderate to strong positive relationships were observed in the daytime. Through box model simulations, we presented a quantitative analysis of the daytime observations. The results showed that heterogeneous reactions of ClINO$_2$ and HOCI in acidic aerosols may be responsible for the positive correlation between Cl$_2$ and ClINO$_2$, as well as its dependency on O$_3$. The second ClINO$_2$ peak in the morning, observed 4–5 h after sunrise, required a significant source of ClINO$_2$ (up to 2.5 ppbv h$^{-1}$). Previous studies have attributed high sustained ClINO$_2$ in the morning to transport from the residual layer (Tham et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). In this study, box model runs of heterogeneous and gas-phase production of ClINO$_2$ could not reconcile the observed levels. Airborne observations near the ground sites in the early morning showed negligible ClINO$_2$ levels in the residual layer on most of the days. However, there is still a possibility of the contribution of vertical transport from the residual layer. Although the current dataset is limited for us to pinpoint the vertical locations (i.e., boundary layer vs. residual layer), back trajectories illustrate that ClINO$_2$-rich air masses were mostly transported from the west, where there are significant sources of precursors. This shows that different meteorological or chemical conditions of the sites can lead to various causes of high ClINO$_2$ levels in the early morning. Finally, box model simulations constrained with observations suggest that Cl$^+$-initiated chemistry can lead to an ∼25% increase in net chemical O$_3$ production rate in the morning.
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