Cloud droplet size distribution broadening during diffusional growth: ripening amplified by deactivation and reactivation
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Abstract. Cloud droplet size distributions (CSDs), which are related to cloud albedo and rain formation, are usually broader in warm clouds than predicted from adiabatic parcel calculations. We investigate a mechanism for the CSD broadening using a moving-size-grid cloud parcel model that considers the condensational growth of cloud droplets formed on polydisperse, submicrometer aerosols in an adiabatic cloud parcel that undergoes vertical oscillations, such as those due to cloud circulations or turbulence. Results show that the CSD can be broadened during condensational growth as a result of Ostwald ripening amplified by droplet deactivation and reactivation, which is consistent with early work. The relative roles of the solute effect, curvature effect, deactivation and reactivation on CSD broadening are investigated. Deactivation of smaller cloud droplets, which is due to the combination of curvature and solute effects in the downdraft region, enhances the growth of larger cloud droplets and thus contributes particles to the larger size end of the CSD. Droplet reactivation, which occurs in the updraft region, contributes particles to the smaller size end of the CSD. In addition, we find that growth of the largest cloud droplets strongly depends on the residence time of cloud droplet in the cloud rather than the magnitude of local variability in the supersaturation fluctuation. This is because the environmental saturation ratio is strongly buffered by numerous smaller cloud droplets. Two necessary conditions for this CSD broadening, which generally occur in the atmosphere, are as follows: (1) droplets form on aerosols of different sizes, and (2) the cloud parcel experiences upwards and downwards motions. Therefore we expect that this mechanism for CSD broadening is possible in real clouds. Our results also suggest it is important to consider both curvature and solute effects before and after cloud droplet activation in a cloud model. The importance of this mechanism compared with other mechanisms on cloud properties should be investigated through in situ measurements and 3-D dynamic models.

1 Introduction

Warm clouds play a crucial role in the water cycle and energy balance on Earth (Boucher et al., 2013). Understanding the whole life cycle of warm clouds, including formation, development and precipitation, is important for better prediction of local weather and global climate. Cloud droplet growth is dominated by diffusion of water vapor at the early stage of cloud development, while collisional growth is considered to be the most important mechanism for drizzle formation and warm cloud precipitation (Pruppacher and Klett, 2010). The concept of a cloud parcel rising adiabatically in the atmosphere has been used to study cloud microphysical properties for decades. In a hypothetical initially subsaturated air parcel rising adiabatically, cloud forms at the lifting condensation level and the growth of cloud droplets due to diffusional growth can be accurately predicted if we know the aerosol chemical composition. On one hand, because the growth rate of a cloud droplet is inversely proportional to droplet size, diffusional growth is inefficient when the droplet diameter is larger than 20 µm. On the other hand, collisional growth is efficient when the droplet diameter is larger than 38 µm (Pruppacher and Klett, 2010). Meanwhile,
the sizes of the smaller cloud droplets will approach those of the larger droplets and narrow the cloud droplet size distribution (CDSD), which is also unfavorable for collisional growth (Howell, 1949; Mordy, 1959). If only diffusional growth is considered, the CDSD becomes narrower and several tens of minutes even up to hours will be needed for a cloud droplet to reach efficient-collision size in an ascending cloud parcel. However, the CDSD in a real cloud is usually wider than predicted by an adiabatic cloud parcel model and drizzle-size cloud droplets are frequently observed in warm clouds (e.g., Laird et al., 2000; Glienke et al., 2017; Siebert and Shaw, 2017).

The broadening of the CDSD has a strong effect on precipitation and radiation. A broader CDSD implies larger differences in the terminal velocity of droplets. This is beneficial for collision coalescence and might cause the fast-rain process in the atmosphere (e.g., Göke et al., 2007). In addition, a broader CDSD increases the relative dispersion, which is the ratio of standard deviation to the mean CDSD. Previous studies show that an increase in relative dispersion is relevant to the albedo effect and can either increase or decrease albedo susceptibility depending on the broadening mechanism (Feingold et al., 1997; Liu and Daum, 2002; Feingold and Siebert, 2009). An interesting question is why the CDSD is wider than predicted, in particular why large droplet sizes are frequently observed in the clouds (e.g., Siebert and Shaw, 2017). Several mechanisms have been proposed that can be divided into two categories: turbulence-induced spectra broadening and aerosol-induced spectra broadening. A brief review is given next for each category.

Turbulence is ubiquitous in the clouds and can cause CDSD broadening in both condensation and collision processes (e.g., Shaw, 2003; Devenish et al., 2012). Turbulence induces vertical oscillations of air parcels and causes fluctuations in temperature, water vapor concentration and supersaturation (e.g., Ditas et al., 2012; Hammer et al., 2015). The effects of supersaturation fluctuations on droplet condensational growth in turbulent environments have been studied for several decades (e.g., Cooper, 1989; Khvorostyanov and Curry, 1999). A qualitative description of this mechanism is that some “lucky” cloud droplets experience relatively larger supersaturation or stay a relatively longer time in the cloud compared with the other cloud droplets; therefore they can grow larger in size and broaden the CDSD. Recent theoretical and experimental studies support this mechanism and provide ways to quantify the resulting width of the droplet size distribution (e.g., McGraw and Liu, 2006; Sardina et al., 2015; Chandrakar et al., 2016; Grabowski and Abade, 2017; Siewert et al., 2017). Turbulence can also modulate the condensational growth of cloud droplets through mixing and entrainment (e.g., Lasher-Trapp et al., 2005; Cooper et al., 2013; Korolev et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2016). In addition, turbulence can enhance the collision efficiency between droplets and produce “lucky” cloud droplets through stochastic collisions, which has been confirmed by direct numerical simulations and Lagrangian drop models (e.g., Paluch, 1970; Kostinski and Shaw, 2005; Falkovich and Pumir, 2007; Grabowski and Wang, 2013; Naumann and Seifert, 2015; de Lozar and Muessele, 2016).

Aerosols, which serve as condensation nuclei of cloud droplets, can also cause CDSD broadening in turbulent environments through several mechanisms. First, turbulence-induced mixing and entrainment can trigger in-cloud activation of haze particles, which can broaden the left branch of the size distribution (e.g., Khain et al., 2000; Devenish et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2016; Grabowski et al., 2018). Second, giant cloud condensational nuclei (GCCN, usually defined as aerosols with a dry diameter larger than a few µm) provides an embryo for large droplets, which can broaden the right branch of the size distribution and can be important for warm rain initiation (e.g., Johnson, 1982; Feingold et al., 1999; Yin et al., 2000; Jensen and Lee, 2008; Cheng et al., 2009). Recently, Jensen and Nugent (2017) investigated the effect of GCCN on droplet growth and rain formation using a cloud parcel model. They found that GCCN provides an embryo for big droplets at the activation stage and, more importantly, GCCN enhances droplet growth after activation due to the solute effect. For example, droplets formed on GCCN can still grow through the condensation of water vapor in the downdraft region even though the environment is subsaturated with respect to pure water (Jensen and Nugent, 2017). This, in fact, is an extreme case of Ostwald ripening.

Ostwald ripening for cloud droplets is the phenomenon when larger droplets grow and smaller droplets shrink due curvature and/or solute effects and, thus, it can broaden the CDSD at both small and large ends of the distribution. Srivastava (1991) investigated the growth of cloud droplets in a rising air parcel. Results show that the variance of the squared radius of the CDSD was constant during the condensational growth process if both curvature and solute effects were ignored, but it was increased if those effects were considered. This “condensational broadening” is more pronounced in clouds with high cloud droplet number concentration and low vertical velocity. In turbulent clouds, droplets will experience supersaturated/subsaturated conditions in updraft/downdraft regions. Korolev (1995) studied the evolution of the CDSD driven by supersaturation fluctuations in a vertically oscillating air parcel. Supersaturation fluctuations in his study mean that air is supersaturated in the updraft and subsaturated in the downdraft; however no spatial inhomogeneity of supersaturation is considered in the parcel. Results show that the growth and evaporation cycles during the CDSD evolution are irreversible if the solute and curvature effects are considered. This “CDSD irreversibility” (terminology used in his paper) will promote the growth of large cloud droplets, lead to evaporation or even deactivation of small cloud droplets, and thus broaden the CDSD. Korolev (1995) argued that stronger turbulent fluctuations of supersaturation would result in a broader CDSD. This is contrary to Çelik and Marwitz (1999), who found that supersaturation
fluctuations are not responsible for CDSD broadening and the formation of large droplets. The curvature and solute effects on Ostwald ripening, activation and deactivation have been topics of study in recent years (e.g., Wood et al., 2002; Arabas and Shima, 2017; Chen et al., 2018; Sardina et al., 2018) but, to our knowledge, the relative roles of the curvature effect and solute effect on CDSD broadening have not been investigated.

Here we consider an adiabatic cloud parcel that experiences vertical oscillations, with cloud droplets that are formed on polydisperse, submicrometer aerosols. Results confirm that the CDSD is broadened during diffusional growth due to Ostwald ripening and associated droplet deactivation and reactivation, which is consistent with previous studies (e.g., Korolev, 1995; Çelik and Marwitz, 1999). In this study, we investigate (1) what are the relative roles of the solute and curvature effects on CDSD broadening, and (2) what other factors can affect this broadening? This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the basic setup for the cloud parcel model, which is similar to Jensen and Nugent (2017) except that there are no GCCN. Results related to CDSD broadening and the associated sensitivity studies are detailed in Sect. 3. Conclusions are summarized in Sect. 4, including a discussion of implications in cloud observations and modeling.

2 Methods

Historically there are two types of bin microphysics: fixed bin scheme and moving-size-grid scheme (see Sect. 4.2.1 in Khain et al., 2015 and references therein). The advantage of the moving-size-grid method is that it can avoid artificial CDSD broadening. In this study, we use a cloud parcel model with a moving-size-grid microphysics scheme, where discrete particle sizes on a 1-D grid (initially the radii of dry aerosols; e.g., Table 1) each grow/shrink according to the environmental conditions to modify the “moving size” of the grid element. The original version of the model was designed to study cirrus clouds by Heymsfield and Sabin (1989), and then warm clouds (Feingold and Heymsfield, 1992; Feingold et al., 1998). In recent years, this model has been modified and applied to investigate various microphysical problems (e.g., Feingold and Kreidenweis, 2000; Xue and Feingold, 2004; Ervens and Feingold, 2012; Yang et al., 2012, 2016; Li et al., 2013). In the current version of the parcel model, air pressure \(p\), parcel height \(h\), air temperature \(T\), water vapor mixing ratio \(q_v\) and radii of haze and cloud droplets \(r_i\) are prognostic variables, which are calculated using the variable-coefficient ordinary differential equation solver (VODE) (Brown et al., 1989). Specifically, \(p\) is calculated from the hydrostatic equation and \(h\) depends on the vertical velocity \(w\). Similarly to Eq. (11) in Heymsfield and Sabin (1989), \(T\) is calculated from

\[
\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{g}{c_{p,\text{air}}} w + \frac{l_v}{c_{p,\text{air}}} \frac{dq_w}{dr},
\]

where \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration, \(c_{p,\text{air}}\) is the heat capacity of air, \(l_v\) is the latent heat of water vaporization and \(q_w\) is the liquid water mixing ratio. The first term in Eq. (1) is the cooling due to dry adiabatic ascent, and the second term is the microphysical contribution due to the release of latent heat of condensation. Because the total water mixing ratio is conserved in the parcel, a decrease in water vapor mixing ratio \((-dq_v\)) equals an increase in liquid water mixing ratio \((dq_w)\). Air supersaturation \(S_q\), which controls the growth of haze and cloud droplets, is calculated from \(T\), \(p\) and \(q_v\). A brief introduction of the model setup and the main mathematical formulations used for cloud microphysical processes are described below.

In this study, the parcel starts rising at about 300 m below cloud base and starts descending at about 300 m above cloud base, which is similar to Jensen and Nugent (2017), except that our cloud parcel then experiences upward and downward oscillations between 50 m above cloud base and 300 m above cloud base (see Fig. 1a). The ascending and descending velocities are set to be 0.5 and \(-0.5\) m s\(^{-1}\) for the control case. At the parcel’s initial altitude of 600 m, the initial air temperature is 284.3 K, pressure is 938.5 hPa and the saturation ratio is 0.856, which are as same as Jensen and Nugent (2017).

The initial dry aerosols are ammonium sulfate with a log-normal size distribution range of 10 to 500 nm in radius. The submicrometer aerosols are parsed into 100 grids (discrete
droplet size in each grid detailed in Table 1), where the median radius is 50 nm and the geometric standard deviation is 1.4. The total number mixing ratio is 1000 mg^{-1} for the control case, which is about 1000 cm^{-3} (see Fig. 1b). The model first calculates the equilibrium size of haze droplets for each grid at 85.6% relative humidity, as does Jensen and Nugent (2017). The equilibrium size of haze particles for the ith grid at initial relative humidity is obtained by solving the equation \( S_{\text{sat}}(r_i) = RH(r_i = 0) \) iteratively, where \( S_{\text{sat}} \) is the saturation ratio for a solution droplet, calculated from the Köhler equation (Pruppacher and Klett, 2010, p. 172):

\[
S_{\text{sat}} = \frac{e}{e_s(T)} = a_s(r_{d,i}, r_i) \exp \left( \frac{2\sigma_s}{\rho_w R_v T r_i} \right),
\]

(2)

where \( e \) is the water vapor pressure in air, \( e_s \) is the saturated water vapor pressure over a solution droplet at \( T \), \( \rho_w \) is the density of water and \( R_v \) is the gas constant for water vapor. \( \sigma_s \) is the water activity of the haze droplets, which is a function of temperature and solute (Pruppacher and Klett, 2010, p. 133). \( a_s \) is the water activity of haze droplets, which depends on the composition of aerosol, size of dry aerosol (\( r_d \)) and size of haze droplets (\( r \)). In this study, \( a_s \) for cloud droplets is calculated from laboratory-based parameterizations (Eq. 2 in Tang and Munkelwitz, 1994).

Only diffusional growths of haze and cloud droplets are considered in our model. Collision coalescence, sedimentation, mixing and entrainment are ignored. The growth of haze or cloud droplet for the ith grid is calculated from

\[
\frac{d r_i}{d t} = \frac{1}{r_i} \frac{S_{\text{sat}} - S_{\text{sat}}}{G},
\]

(3)

where \( G \) is the growth parameter given by,

\[
G = \left[ \frac{\rho_w R_v T}{D'_v e_s(T)} + \frac{\rho_w k_T}{k'_T} \left( \frac{l_v}{R_v} - 1 \right) \right].
\]

(4)

\( D'_v \) and \( k'_T \) are the modified physical diffusion coefficient of water vapor and the modified thermal diffusion coefficient, respectively (Lamb and Verlinde, 2011, p. 337-338):

\[
D'_v = \frac{D_v}{r_i + \frac{4D_v}{\alpha_{m} \tau_{\text{air}}}},
\]

(5)

and

\[
k'_T = \frac{k_T}{r_i + \frac{4k_T}{\alpha \tau_{\text{air}} n_{\text{air}} c_p \tau_{\text{air}}}}.
\]

(6)

Here \( D_v \) is the physical diffusion coefficient, \( k_T \) is the thermal diffusion coefficient, \( \lambda \) is the mean free path of air, \( \tau_{\text{air}} \) is the mean molecular speed of air and \( n_{\text{air}} \) is the number concentration of air. \( \alpha_{m} \) is the mass accommodation coefficient and \( \alpha_T \) is the thermal accommodation coefficient; in this study, we choose \( \alpha_{m} = 1.0 \) and \( \alpha_T = 1.0 \).

---

**Table 1. Initial dry aerosol radii for different grids.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid number</th>
<th>( r_{\text{dry}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>Grid number</th>
<th>( r_{\text{dry}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>Grid number</th>
<th>( r_{\text{dry}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>Grid number</th>
<th>( r_{\text{dry}} ) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Results and discussions

3.1 Cloud droplet size distribution broadening

For the control case, the liquid water mixing ratio increases linearly with height in the ascending branches and decreases in the descending branches as shown in Fig. 2a. Liquid water mixing ratio in the ascending branch is slightly smaller than that in the descending branch at the same height due to the kinetic effect (or hysteresis effect), which is consistent with Korolev et al. (2013). The saturation ratio has an increasing trend in the ascending branch after each cycle, but has a decreasing trend in the descending branch (indicated by red and blue arrows in Fig. 2b). Droplet size for two moving size grids is shown in Fig. 2c. Droplet size in the grid monotonically increases with the dry aerosol mass associated with the grid. The solid line is for the cloud droplet that formed on a dry aerosol of 503 nm and represents the largest droplet in our simulation. It grows in the ascending branch but it evaporates in the descending branch. Also, the droplet size for this grid increases after each cycle. The dashed line in Fig. 2c is for the cloud droplet that formed on a dry aerosol of 51 nm. For this cloud droplet, the changes in radius with height are similar for the initial few cycles, after which the cloud droplet deactivates and becomes a haze particle. Ultimately, the aerosol is reactivated again as a cloud droplet by the end of the simulation (green dashed line). Also notice that a second mode appears in the CDS after the reactivation of aerosols after about 2 h (see Fig. 2d). It should be mentioned that the critical radius, where the Köhler curve peaks and a droplet is activated, is 3.6 μm for a cloud droplet formed on a dry aerosol of 503 nm, and 0.44 μm when formed on a dry aerosol of 51 nm. Figure 2d shows that all droplet radii are larger than 4 μm at the end of updraft cycle, indicating that all cloud droplets are activated at that point. Because GCCN do not exist in our simulation and the oscillation frequency is low, all cloud droplets have enough time to grow and to be activated in the updraft region. In this study, we focus on the CDS at the end of the updraft cycle so the growth and evaporation of unactivated cloud droplets (e.g., McGignans et al., 2006) will not affect the final CDS. The CDS broadens after each cycle as the larger droplets become larger and the smaller droplets either remain similarly sized or become smaller. All these features are consistent with Korolev (1995) (see Fig. 5 in his paper).

Korolev (1995) analytically investigates the narrowing and broadening of cloud droplet size distribution during condensation when solute and curvature effects are considered. He considers a cloud parcel oscillating vertically in simple harmonic motion. Results show that the CDS evolution is irreversible if solute and curvature effects are considered. Irreversibility of the CDS will not only promote the growth of large droplets, but it will also lead to the evaporation, or even deactivation of small cloud droplets, and thus broaden the CDS. However, the relative roles of the solute effect, curvature effect, deactivation and reactivation on the broadening of droplet size distributions have not been investigated.
To explore the relative roles of different factors in this CDSD broadening mechanism, three more cases are tested here. For the first case, we turn off both the solute and curvature effects for all cloud droplets after 700 s; this is the time when the cloud parcel first reaches 50 m above cloud base and is just below the oscillation layer. Specifically, we set $S_{\text{sat}} = 1$ for all droplets. The result is shown in Fig. 3a. The CDSD repeats for each cycle in this particular case, consistent with Korolev et al. (2013), and the total cloud droplet number concentration ($n$) is constant (red solid line in Fig. 3d). For the second case, we only turn off the curvature effect but retain the solute effect. Specifically, we ignore the exponential term in Eq. (2) such that $S_{\text{sat}} = \alpha_s$. The result in Fig. 3b shows that the largest droplet (with the most solute) can grow after each cycle while the smallest droplet size (with the least solute amount) associated with a moving size grid does not change much after each cycle. However, the largest droplet size that a grid can reach is much smaller than that in the control case. Because the saturated water vapor pressure over a droplet formed on larger aerosol is lower than that formed on smaller aerosol due to the solute effect, the larger droplet grows faster than the smaller droplet in the updraft region, and it evaporates slower in the downdraft region. For this case, the solute effect alone cannot explain the larger cloud droplets in the control case. In addition, $n$ is also a constant and droplet deactivation does not occur (green dashed line in Fig. 3d). In the third case, we consider both curvature and solute effects, but we do not allow droplet reactivation. This means that once the droplet deactivates it cannot be activated again. The result in Fig. 3c shows that the growth of the largest cloud droplet is similar to the control case, but the size of the smallest cloud droplet associated with a grid also increases after each cycle. The reason for this CDSD broadening is the Ostwald ripening effect, where large droplets grow at the expense of small ones. Past studies have concluded that the ripening effect is typically slow and inefficient for droplet growth (Wood et al., 2002). The vertical oscillations near cloud base that are considered here, however, allow for droplet deactivation and result in the decrease of $n$ with time (see Fig. 3d), as in the control case. Thus, the typically inefficient Ostwald ripening is amplified through the resulting deactivation of the smallest droplets. An early suggestion of this behavior is shown in Fig. 8 of Hagen (1979). The only difference between the control and this simulation is that $n$ for the control case increases near the end of the simulation because of droplet reactivation (see Fig. 3d). It should be mentioned that the step changes in $n$ in Fig. 3d are a result of using a discretized grid method to represent the continuous spectrum. A downward step in $n$ means droplet deactivation, and an upwards step in $n$ means droplet reactivation. Deactivation and reactivation can also be seen from the CDSD qualitatively: droplet deactivation occurs when the peak value of CDSD decreases (from red to blue as shown in Fig. 2d), while droplet reactivation occurs when a subset of smaller cloud droplets appears.

From Fig. 3a and b, we can see that the solute effect contributes part of the CDSD broadening compared with the control case. But the solute effect alone is not enough to explain the growth of the largest cloud droplet. Droplet deactivation, which is related to the curvature effect, plays a crucial role here (see Fig. 3c). Because the oscillations occur within the cloud region, 50 m above cloud base, droplet deactivation is surprising to us. There are two related questions: (1) why do some cloud droplets deactivate in the cloud region while others do not? (2) Why is droplet deactivation related to the CDSD broadening?

The reason for the droplet deactivation is mainly because the cloud parcel experiences upwards and downwards oscillations. In the downdraft region, the air is subsaturated, which supports droplet evaporation. In addition, the saturated water vapor pressures over polydisperse droplets are different via both the solute and curvature effects. Smaller droplets with less solute and larger radii of curvature have higher saturated water vapor pressures, and thus evaporate faster than larger droplets in the downdraft region. Therefore, smaller droplets will evaporate first in the downdraft region.

The reason why droplet deactivation is related to the CDSD broadening can be explained in two ways. From the thermodynamic point of view, the liquid water mixing ratio is roughly a constant at a given height for each cycle (see Fig. 2a). As the $n$ decreases due to the droplet deactivation, we can expect that on average droplet size will be larger because the same amount of water will be redistributed on fewer cloud droplets. From the kinetic point of view, quasi-
steady state supersaturation \( (s_{qs}) \) will become larger after each cycle due to droplet deactivation, as shown in Fig. 2b. \( s_{qs} \), the environmental supersaturation in quasi-steady state, is inversely proportional to the integral of the mean droplet size \( \bar{r} \) and the droplet number concentration \( (n) \), \( s_{qs} \propto (\bar{r}n)^{-1} \) (e.g., Squires, 1952; Politovich and Cooper, 1988; Korolev and Mazin, 2003; Lamb and Verlinde, 2011). Here the decrease in \( n \) due to droplet deactivation is much greater than the change of \( \bar{r} \); therefore, \( s_{qs} \) will increase with decreasing \( n \). This means that larger droplets grow even faster in the updraft region, and smaller droplets evaporate even faster in the downdraft region – beyond the solute effect alone. Conversely, an increase in \( s_{qs} \) will enhance droplet deactivation for smaller droplets, and it will also reinforce the growth of larger droplets in a positive feedback.

One question relevant to precipitation initiation is how fast can the largest cloud droplet grow in an oscillating parcel compared with droplets in an ascending-only parcel? For the latter case, the cloud parcel ascends at a vertical velocity of 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) for 3 h with the same initial condition as the control case. At the end of the simulation, the cloud parcel reaches about 6000 m and cloud droplets are supercooled (around 248 K), but we ignore ice nucleation in this study. The mean (yellow dashed line) and largest/smallest (upper/lower gray dashed lines) cloud droplets in an ascending-only cloud parcel are also shown in Fig. 2d. It can be seen that the size of the largest cloud droplet in a moving size grid at the cloud top in each cycle of the oscillating parcel (blue color bar) is similar to that in the ascending-only parcel (upper gray line). This is quite surprising because when the parcel reaches 1200 m for the first time (i.e., the top of the oscillation cycle), the largest cloud droplet radius is 9.07 µm (see Table 2 and Fig. 2c); however after several cycles, the largest cloud droplet radius at 1200 m is 17.3 µm. The size is similar to the largest droplet size associated with a moving size grid in an ascending-only parcel at a height of about 6000 m. This means that the largest cloud droplet size for a grid in an oscillating parcel at 1200 m is much larger than calculated from a traditional cloud parcel model (ascent only), and hence shows “superadiabatic” growth. In addition, the size of the smallest cloud droplet for a grid and the mean droplet size are larger in an ascending-only parcel. Differences between the mean droplet sizes increase after each cycle, especially at the end of the simulation due to the reactivation of numerous small droplets. Therefore, the relative dispersion, which is the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean of a droplet size distribution, also increases after each cycle, and is much larger than in an ascending-only cloud parcel.

3.2 Sensitivity studies

In this subsection, we investigate the effects of several factors on the CDSD in the adiabatic parcel model with vertical oscillations. Previous studies show that aerosol number concentration and vertical velocity are the two most important factors controlling cloud properties in an adiabatic cloud parcel model (e.g., McFiggans et al., 2006; Reutter et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2018). Two regimes are frequently considered: an aerosol-limited regime exists when there is an ample supply of water, and the cloud droplet number concentration is limited by the aerosol number concentration; and an updraft-limited regime exists when supersaturation is starved, and the cloud droplet number concentration is limited by the updraft velocity. In the updraft-limited region, cloud droplets will compete with each other for the limited available water, and the larger aerosols will suppress the activation of smaller aerosols (Ghan et al., 1998; Feingold and Kreidenweis, 2000; Feingold et al., 2001). Based on Reutter et al. (2009), the aerosol-limited regime exists when the ratio of the vertical velocity to droplet number concentration, \( w/n \), is larger than \( 10^{-3} \) m s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\) and the updraft-limited region occurs when the \( w/n \) ratio is smaller than \( 10^{-4} \) m s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\). For the control case, the \( w/n \) ratio is \( 7 \times 10^{-4} \) m s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\), which is in the transitional regime. In this subsection, we choose several values of aerosol number concentration and vertical velocity to investigate the CDSD in the aerosol-limited and updraft-limited regimes. In addition, we also test the effect of the recirculation layer thickness on the CDSD broadening.

3.2.1 Effect of total aerosol number concentration

We test two other aerosol number concentrations, \( 10^2 \) and \( 10^3 \) cm\(^{-3}\), and keep the median radius and geometric standard deviation the same as the control case (see Fig. 4a and c). These values are chosen to represent the conditions for clean clouds (\( 10^2 \) cm\(^{-3}\)) and polluted clouds (\( 10^3 \) cm\(^{-3}\)), which are consistent with previous studies (e.g., Xue and Feingold, 2004; Chen et al., 2018). Considering a vertical velocity of 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\), they also represent the aerosol-limited regime (the \( 10^2 \) cm\(^{-3}\) case leads to a \( w/n \) ratio of \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) m s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\)) and the transition regime (the \( 10^4 \) cm\(^{-3}\) case leads to a \( w/n \) ratio of \( 4 \times 10^{-4} \) m s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\)). The results show that the CDSD for the relatively clean case (\( 10^2 \) cm\(^{-3}\)) behaves similarly to the solute effect alone (compare Figs. 3b and 4b) – there is neither droplet deactivation nor reactivation. The CDSD broadening is due to the ripening effect alone, which is not as efficient as when it is accompanied by deactivation as in the control case. For the relatively polluted case (\( 10^3 \) cm\(^{-3}\)), both droplet deactivation and reactivation occur (see Fig. 4d). The largest cloud droplet acts similarly to that in the control case, while the smallest cloud droplet is larger 1.5 h into the simulation but then begins to become smaller compared with the control case. We interpret these observations as follows. For the clean case, all aerosols are activated, and all droplets are able to grow to a relatively large size, making them unlikely to deactivate. However for the polluted case, not all CCN are activated; there are consequently some smaller droplets that cannot grow very large and will evaporate first in the downdraft region. Another explanation from Korolev (1995) is that the CDSD broad-
Table 2. Microphysical properties at cloud top for different cases: $r_{\text{max}}$ is the largest cloud droplet radius in a moving size grid, $r_{\text{min}}$ is the smallest cloud droplet radius in a grid, $\overline{r}$ is the mean cloud droplet size, $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the droplet radius, $\sigma/\overline{r}$ is the relative dispersion and $n$ is the cloud droplet number concentration. Case 0 is when the cloud parcel reaches the cloud top for the first time with the same setup as the control case (shown as black circle in Fig. 3). For other cases, results represent the parcel at cloud top for the last time after 3 h simulation; an example of the control case is shown as the green circle in Fig. 3. Bold values represent cases of broader cloud droplet size distribution with relative dispersions larger than 0.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$r_{\text{max}}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{min}}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\overline{r}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\sigma/\overline{r}$</th>
<th>$n$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Deactivation</th>
<th>Reactivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending only</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{in}} = 0.06$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{grid}} = 200$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure water</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only solute effect</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without reactivation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $N_a$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High $N_a$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low $w$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High $w$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin $\Delta H$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick $\Delta H$</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Effect of vertical velocity

Two vertical velocities (0.1 and 1.0 m s$^{-1}$) are used to test their influence on CDSD broadening. These values are chosen based on observations that updrafts in stratocumulus clouds are in the order of 0.1 m s$^{-1}$ and in cumulus clouds are in the order of 1.0 m s$^{-1}$ (Ditas et al., 2012; Katzwinkel et al., 2014). Results also show that they correspond to the aerosol-limited regime (the 1.0 m s$^{-1}$ case leads to a $w/n$ ratio of $10^{-3}$ m s$^{-1}$ cm$^3$) and the transitional regime (the 0.1 m s$^{-1}$ case leads to a $w/n$ ratio of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ m s$^{-1}$ cm$^3$). For a relative low velocity of $\pm 0.1$ m s$^{-1}$, the cloud parcel only experiences one and a half cycles within 3 h (see Fig. 5a). The parcel reaches the cloud base in around 1 h, which is significantly later than the control case due to the small velocity (see Fig. 5a). However, the largest cloud droplet size ultimately becomes similar to that in the control case, and we also see the cloud droplet number concentration decrease due to droplet deactivation. No droplet reactivation occurs because the small velocity generates a low supersaturation in the updraft region, which is unfavorable for droplet reactivation. For a relative high velocity of $\pm 1.0$ m s$^{-1}$, the cloud parcel can cycle more times within 3 h (see Fig. 5c). The parcel...
reaches cloud base faster than the control case (see Fig. 5c). Here we keep the thickness of the recirculation layer constant. Therefore, larger vertical velocity results in a higher oscillation frequency. Both droplet deactivation and reactivation occur in this case, and the largest and smallest cloud droplets behave similarly to the control case.

3.2.3 Effect of the thickness of the recirculation layer

Turbulence driven by cloud-top radiative cooling can result in various eddy sizes in the stratocumulus-topped boundary layer (Wood, 2012). Two different recirculation layer depths are tested, 150 and 350 m, to investigate the effect of eddy size on CDSD broadening. For a recirculation layer of 150 m, which is 100 m thinner than the control case, the parcel experiences more cycles within 3 h (see Fig. 6a). The total cloud droplet number concentration decreases with time due to droplet deactivation, but no droplet reactivation occurs (see Fig. 6b). Therefore the largest cloud droplet is similar to the control case, but the smaller cloud droplet is larger than in the control case. For a recirculation layer of 350 m, the parcel can penetrate the cloud base each cycle (see Fig. 6c). In this case, all cloud droplets are deactivated below cloud base and reactivated again when the cloud parcel is supersaturated in the next ascending branch. Therefore the CDSD is repeated and no broadening occurs.

3.3 Discussion

We have studied the effects of total aerosol number concentration, updraft velocity and the thickness of the recirculation layer on CDSD broadening. However we note that there are other parameters used in this study that can lead to the uncertainties in the results. For example, Takeda and Kuba (1982) found that using an insufficient number of model grids will lead to the narrow CDSD reported by Mordy (1959). Krei denweis et al. (2003) found that both the spectral discretization and the uncertainty in the value of the mass accommodation coefficient can lead to uncertainty in the results. To test the effects of the mass accommodation coefficient and spectrum discretization on the CDSD, two more sensitivity studies are conducted. One case is to set the mass accommodation coefficient \( \alpha_m \) to 0.06 based on Shaw and Lamb (1999). It is expected that a smaller value of \( \alpha_m \) might suppress the growth of cloud droplets. The other case is to change the number of grids from 100 to 200, while keeping other parameters the same as in the control case.

Table 2 summarizes the microphysical properties at cloud top for different cases. When the cloud parcel first reaches about 1200 m, the largest cloud droplet radius associated with a moving size grid \( r_{\text{max}} \) is 9.1 µm (case 0). If the cloud parcel continues rising for 3 h as for the ascending-only case, \( r_{\text{max}} \) is 17 µm at 6000 m. However if the parcel experiences recirculation within cloud region, \( r_{\text{max}} \) can also be around 17 µm as long as deactivation occurs, except for the low \( N_a \).
case (see Table 2). If reactivation also occurs, the smallest cloud droplet radius associated with a moving size grid \( r_{\text{min}} \) is around 5 µm and the relative dispersion is larger than 0.1. It is interesting to note that low mass accommodation has a negligible effect on \( r_{\text{max}} \), but it has a stronger impact on \( r_{\text{min}} \). This will result in a broader CDSD compared with the control case. In addition, a low mass accommodation coefficient inhibits the growth of cloud droplets and leads to more activated cloud droplets (Xue and Feingold, 2004). Results for 200 grids are similar to those from the control case, which means that the 100 grids used in this study are enough to limit the uncertainty due to spectrum discretization.

From the above, we see that droplet deactivation and droplet reactivation play crucially important roles in CDSD broadening in this study. Deactivation of smaller droplets is important for the growth of larger cloud droplets (e.g., see Figs. 2d, 3c, 4d, 5b, d and 5b). Droplet deactivation occurs in the descending branch for smaller droplets due to both the curvature and solute effects (Ostwald ripening). The evaporation of smaller cloud droplets with less solute makes water vapor available for the growth of other larger cloud droplets. On average, the largest cloud droplet size for a moving size grid increases with time after each cycle.

Results from the sensitivity studies show that the relative dispersion is larger than 1.5 for relatively polluted conditions when both deactivation and reactivation occur (see Table 2), which is consistent with the values from observations and simulations (e.g., Miles et al., 2000; Liu and Daum, 2002; Chandrakar et al., 2016). However the relative dispersion has also been found to be larger than 1.5 for relatively clean conditions (e.g., Miles et al., 2000; Lu and Seinfeld, 2006; Chandrakar et al., 2016). This might be due to other mechanisms, such as supersaturation fluctuations in a turbulent environment or the collision coalescence process. It should be mentioned that the CDSD observed in previous studies might have the problem of instrumental broadening due to low instrument resolution or long-distance averaging of the sampling volume (Brenguier et al., 2011; Devenish et al., 2012). A broad CDSD is also observed by recent holographic measurements, which limit the effect of instrument broadening and have much higher temporal and spatial resolution than other instruments, such as particle-counting probes (Beals et al., 2015; Glieneke et al., 2017; Desai et al., 2018).

We note that deactivation is suppressed for a thin recirculation layer \( \Delta H = 150 \text{ m} \) as shown in Fig. 6b, and therefore the CDSD broadening is not as efficient as the control case. However, the vertical oscillations of an air parcel due to turbulence might be much smaller than 150 m. Wood et al. (2002) did not observe the enhanced CDSD broadening by deactivation and reactivation with a shallower recirculation layer. One interesting question is whether deactivation or reactivation can be inhibited for a very thin recirculation layer. To answer this question, three more cases are carried out with recirculation layers of 50, 5 and 1 m. All these cases have the same setup as the control case except for the thickness of recirculation layer. The CDSD and total cloud droplet number concentration for each case are shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that reactivation is inhibited for all cases, but deactivation always occurs. More interestingly, the CDSD for all these three cases are similar, and the decrease of total cloud droplet number concentration due to deactivation is also similar. The evolution of the CDSD for a thin recirculation layer is independent of air motion and degrades to a steady state where the CDSD broadening is due to Ostwald ripening in a still environment.

One interesting result is that the size of the largest cloud droplet associated with a moving size grid within each cycle is similar to that in the ascending-only parcel (i.e., approximately within one micrometer), as shown in Fig. 8. The general trends approximately follow the growth rate that is independent of aerosol number concentration, vertical velocity and the thickness of the oscillation layer, as long as deactivation occurs. This suggests that the growth of the largest cloud droplets strongly depends on the amount of time such droplets remain in the cloud (residence time of cloud droplets), rather than the temporal variability of supersaturation in updrafts and downdrafts. The reason for this is that the environmental (i.e., the in-cloud) saturation ratio \( S_e \) is buffered by the equilibrium saturation ratio \( S_{\text{sat}} \) over smaller droplets. Figure 9 shows the changes of \( S_e \) and \( S_{\text{sat}} \) over two droplets (same used as in Fig. 2c) in the control case. Instead of being symmetric around one for the pure water case (ignoring solute and curvature effects), \( S_e \) in the oscillating parcel is symmetric around \( S_{\text{sat}} \) over the small cloud droplets. For example before 1.5 h, droplets formed on \( r_{\text{a}} = 51 \text{ nm} \) are the smallest cloud droplets in the popula-
tion, and the average $S_e$ (gray line) during one oscillation is roughly symmetric around the blue line (Fig. 9). The fact that $S_e$ is buffered by $S_{sat}$ over small cloud droplets is mainly because the number concentration of the smallest cloud droplet (36 cm$^{-3}$ in the control case) is much larger than that of large cloud droplet (1.8 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ cm$^{-3}$). When those small droplets deactivate (between 1.5 and 2.5 h), $S_{sat}$ (blue line) for those deactivated droplets is the same as $S_e$ (gray line). During this period, $S_e$ is symmetric around $S_{sat}$ over the remaining small droplets (larger than the droplets formed on $r_a = 51$ nm but smaller than for $r_a = 503$ nm). When the droplets formed on $r_a = 51$ nm are reactivated (after 2.5 h), $S_e$ is symmetric around $S_{sat}(r_a = 51$ nm) again until they are deactivated. It should be mentioned that the number concentration of those reactivated droplets increases steadily after each cycle after 2.0 h (See Fig. 3d). By the end of the simulation, the number concentration of the reactivated droplets is similar to that of the remaining large droplets (about 150 cm$^{-3}$). Therefore, the effect of those reactivated droplets on the environmental saturation ratio becomes stronger after 2.0 h (see Fig. 9).

This symmetric property of $S_e$ can be also explained using the quasi-steady supersaturation $s_{qs}$, which for pure water droplets is expressed as $s_{qs} \sim \frac{w}{n r}$ (Lamb and Verlinde, 2011). This can be obtained from the analytical expression of supersaturation in an adiabatic cloud parcel: $\frac{dS}{dt} = Aw - Bnr(S_e - 1)$, where $A$ and $B$ are parameters depending on thermodynamic properties (Korolev and Mazin, 2003). A symmetric distribution of $w$ around zero will generate a symmetric distribution of $s_{qs}$ around zero (i.e., $S_e$ around one). If curvature and solute effects are considered, $s_{qs}$ will be symmetric around $s_k$ given the same condition of $w$, because $\frac{ds}{dt} = Aw - Bnr(S_e - S_{sat})$ and thus $s_{qs} \sim \frac{w}{n r} + s_k$, where $s_k = S_{sat} - 1$ is the equilibrium supersaturation ratio over a monodisperse droplet. In the updraft region, all droplets grow and the effect of $s_k$ is negligible. In the downdraft region and for polydisperse cloud droplets, the large number of small cloud droplets buffers the environmental conditions. Therefore $S_e$ is symmetric around $S_{sat}$ over smaller droplets before they deactivate in the oscillating parcel. $S_e - S_{sat}$ controls the growth of a large droplet and it is positive on average. That is why the large droplets can grow after each cycle. In addition, the influence of $S_e$ fluctuations on droplet growth is small if $S_{sat}$ over a large droplet is much lower than $S_e$ and its fluctuations. The extreme examples of this phenomenon are when droplets form on GCCN in warm clouds (Jensen and Nugent, 2017) or ice particles form in mixed-phase clouds. Therefore, the growth of the large droplet here is dominated by its in-cloud lifetime. Previous studies show that although the mean lifetime of cloud droplets is usually less than half an hour, the residence time for some lucky cloud droplets can be longer than 1 h (e.g., Feingold et al., 1996; Kogan, 2006; Andrejczuk et al., 2008). Those long-lifetime cloud droplets might contribute to large droplets in the cloud, similar to long-lifetime ice particles in mixed-phase clouds (Yang et al., 2015).

However if all cloud droplets are deactivated, CDSD broadening does not occur (see Fig. 6d). Without droplet deactivation, the CDSD can also broaden due only to the solute effect, as is the case when the curvature effect is ignored (Fig. 3b) or when the total aerosol number concentration is
low (Fig. 4b). CDSD broadening due to the ripening effect without droplet deactivation is not as significant as it is with droplet deactivation, but it might also be important after several hours as suggested by Wood et al. (2002).

Droplet reactivation usually occurs in the updraft region after several cycles, and those reactivated droplets will be deactivated again in the downdraft region. Formation of smaller cloud droplets can broaden the CDSD at smaller sizes, decrease the mean cloud droplet size, and increase the relative dispersion. Meanwhile, the generation of new cloud droplets also suppresses the growth of larger cloud droplets (see Fig. 2d).

In summary, the results of this study show that the CDSD can be broadened in a vertically oscillating cloud parcel if both solute and curvature effects are considered, consistent with the findings of previous studies (e.g., Korolev, 1995). Although our model uses an idealized setup, the sensitivity studies help explore the conditions under which this mechanism may be important in the real clouds. The results show that CDSD broadening due to Ostwald ripening can be enhanced in relatively polluted conditions when deactivation and reactivation occur, such as typically exists for continental clouds. For relatively clean conditions like marine clouds, other CDSD broadening mechanisms might be more relevant, such as the collision coalescence process or supersaturation fluctuations due to turbulence. When deactivation and reactivation occur, the simulation results show that the smallest cloud droplets do not change significantly after each oscillation cycle, while the largest cloud droplets grow on average after each cycle. The growth of the largest cloud droplet depends on its in-cloud lifetime. This is because, due to the solute effect, the saturation water vapor pressure over larger cloud droplets is smaller than the environmental water vapor pressure that is buffered by numerous smaller cloud droplets with smaller amounts of solute. It should be mentioned that the system is buffered by smaller cloud droplets formed on smaller CCN when the number concentration of those droplets is much higher than that for the largest cloud droplets formed on the largest CCN. This may not be true under relatively clean conditions, where the environmental supersaturation can be affected by droplets formed on the largest CCN.

4 Conclusions and atmospheric implications

In this study, we investigate the condensation growth of cloud droplets in an adiabatic parcel with vertical oscillations based on a moving-size-grid cloud parcel model where cloud droplets are formed on polydisperse, submicrometer aerosol particles. Both the solute and curvature effects are considered for all cloud droplets before and after activation during the whole simulation. The CDSD can also broaden by condensation growth due to Ostwald ripening together with droplet deactivation and reactivation, which is consistent with the results of Korolev (1995). Droplet deactivation occurs in the descending branch due to the combination of the solute and curvature effects. Deactivation of smaller droplets makes water vapor available for other larger droplets, and thus broadens the CDSD at larger sizes. The growth of the largest cloud droplet in a vertically oscillating cloud parcel approximately follows the growth rate in an ascending-only cloud parcel after each cycle, and it is independent of aerosol number concentration, vertical velocity and the thickness of the oscillation layer, as long as deactivation occurs. The size of the largest cloud droplet strongly depends on the time that droplet remains in the cloud rather than on the variability of the in-cloud supersaturation. This is because the large number of smaller cloud droplets buffers the environmental air: the environmental saturation ratio in an oscillating parcel is symmetric around the equilibrium saturation ratio over smaller cloud droplets. The growth rate for the largest cloud droplets can be used to roughly estimate the large-size upper boundary of the CDSD, at least in this study. Droplet reactivation usually occurs after a few cycles. These cloud droplets are activated in the ascending branch, and deactivated in the descending branch. They are usually very small (less than 5 µm) and thus broaden the CDSD at smaller sizes. The mean cloud droplet size significantly decreases when reactivation occurs, which leads to an increase in relative dispersion. Conversely, the newly formed cloud droplets compete against other cloud droplets for water vapor, thus suppressing the growth of larger cloud droplets.

We note that there are additional factors that might affect droplet growth that are not treated in this study. For example, we do not consider the sedimentation of cloud droplets in this study, similar to Korolev et al. (2013) and Jensen and Nugent (2017). This is a reasonable assumption for an updraft velocity of 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) or above, but ignoring sedimentation in the low velocity case (0.1 m s\(^{-1}\)) will limit the accuracy of our results. In addition, we do not consider the collision coalescence between droplets. Although CDSD broadening is favorable for collision processes, it might be interesting to determine how this broadening will accelerate rain formation.

We have used idealized simulations to analyze the CDSD broadening in a vertically oscillating cloud parcel due to Ostwald ripening. There are three necessary conditions for this CDSD broadening mechanism. The first condition is that droplets form on polydisperse aerosol particles where larger cloud droplets contain more solute. This is a very general occurrence in the atmosphere due to the complexity of aerosol size and composition (Murphy et al., 1998; Khain et al., 2000). The second condition is that a cloud experiences upward and downward oscillations. This is also a general occurrence in natural clouds due to turbulence and circulations that can become established within a cloud layer (Wood, 2012). The third condition is that cloud droplets have a long in-cloud residence time, e.g., longer than 1 h. This is consistent with previous studies that cloud droplet residence
time plays an important role in CDSD broadening due to the Ostwald ripening effect (Wood et al., 2002; Romakkaniemi et al., 2009). We expect that this mechanism of CDSD broadening is possible in the real clouds under those specific conditions.

It should be mentioned that one limitation of this study arises from the use of the adiabatic assumption for 3 h simulations. Turbulence can result in not only upward and downward oscillations but also in entrainment and mixing (Shaw, 2003; Devenish et al., 2012). The latter can cause cloud droplet evaporation, deactivation and reactivation (Korolev et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2016). In addition, the lifetime of the cloud parcel is usually less than 1 h (Andrejczuk et al., 2008). Therefore, one should be aware that results in this study are based on a very idealized state. More realistic studies should consider mixing processes where for example a trajectory ensemble model would be a suitable tool (Ovchinnikov and Easter, 2010; Feingold et al., 1998). How important this mechanism is to CDSD broadening in real clouds compared with other mechanisms is worth future investigation, but is beyond the scope of this study.

There is an implication of this mechanism for the cloud modeling community. Most of the bulk and bin microphysical schemes only consider the curvature and solute effects during the activation process based on Köhler theory, and cloud droplets are assumed to be pure water after they are activated. Tracking the solute distribution for each bin of cloud droplet is possible using a joint 2-D bin aerosol-cloud microphysical scheme, but it is very computationally expensive (e.g., Andrejczuk et al., 2010; Ovchinnikov and Easter, 2010; Lebo and Seinfeld, 2011). The mechanism of CDSD broadening in this study requires the model to consider both solute and curvature effects all the time (i.e., before and after activation, deactivation and reactivation). Our results suggest the importance of solute and curvature effects to the deactivation and reactivation processes, which are consistent with previous studies (e.g., Andrejczuk et al., 2008; Hoffmann et al., 2015; Hoffmann, 2017; Chen et al., 2018). However the results are counter to some other studies where details of activation and deactivation are argued to be unimportant in the cloud simulation (e.g., Srivastava, 1991; Chuang et al., 1997; Grabowski et al., 2018). Large eddy simulations with a similar microphysical treatment would be useful to investigate how important this mechanism is to CDSD broadening in more realistic clouds.
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