Lower tropospheric distributions of O$_3$ and aerosol over Raoyang, a rural site in the North China Plain
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Abstract. The North China Plain (NCP) has become one of the most polluted regions in China, with the rapidly increasing economic growth in the past decades. High concentrations of ambient O$_3$ and aerosol have been observed at urban as well as rural sites in the NCP. Most of the in situ observations of air pollutants have been conducted near the ground so that current knowledge about the vertical distributions of tropospheric O$_3$ and aerosol over the NCP region is still limited. In this study, vertical profiles of O$_3$ and size-resolved aerosol concentrations below 2.5 km were measured in summer 2014 over a rural site in the NCP, using an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) equipped with miniature analyzers. In addition, vertical profiles of aerosol scattering property in the lower troposphere and vertical profiles of O$_3$ below 1 km were also observed at the site using a lidar and tethered balloon, respectively. The depths of the mixed layer and residual layer were determined according to the vertical gradients of lidar particle extinction and aerosol number concentration. Average O$_3$ and size-resolved aerosol number concentration in both the mixed and residual layer were obtained from the data observed in seven UAV flights. The results show that during most of the flights the O$_3$ levels above the top of mixed layer were higher than those below. Such a positive gradient in the vertical distribution of O$_3$ makes the residual layer an important source of O$_3$ in the mixed layer, particularly during the morning when the top of mixed layer is rapidly elevated. In contrast to O$_3$, aerosol number concentration was normally higher in the mixed layer than in the residual layer, particularly in the early morning. Aerosol particles were overwhelmingly distributed in the size range $<1$ µm, showing slight differences between the mixed and residual layers. Our measurements confirm that the lower troposphere over the rural area of the NCP is largely impacted by anthropogenic pollutants locally emitted or transported from urban areas. Compared with the historic O$_3$ vertical profiles over Beijing from the Measurement of Ozone and Water Vapor by Airbus In-Service Aircraft (MOZAIC), a strong increase in O$_3$ can be found at all heights below 2.5 km in the decade from 2004 to 2014, with the largest enhancement of about 41.6 ppb. This indicates that the lower troposphere over the northern part of the NCP has experienced rapidly worsening photochemical pollution. This worsening trend in photochemical pollution deserves more attention in the future.
1 Introduction

Ozone (O$_3$) is a key trace gas and oxidant in the troposphere, which can generate hydroxyl radical (OH), which affects the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere. Ground-level O$_3$ causes deleterious impacts on human health and vegetation (Annenberg et al., 2009; McKee, 1994; Yue and Unger, 2014; Feng et al., 2008). Meanwhile, tropospheric O$_3$ is an effective greenhouse gas. The fifth IPCC assessment report points out that the increase of O$_3$ in the troposphere has caused +0.40 (±0.20) W m$^{-2}$ of radiative forcing (Myhre et al., 2013). The vertical distribution of O$_3$ can influence the accumulation of O$_3$ in the surface layer and chemical reactions as well as a radiation budget at different altitudes. The vertical distribution of O$_3$ is influenced by chemical and meteorological processes and varies with time and location (Kleinman et al., 1994; Fast and Berkowitz, 1996; Lin et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2011). Therefore, direct measurements are needed to acquire the knowledge about the vertical distribution of O$_3$, which is important to understanding atmospheric chemistry and O$_3$ radiative forcing.

Atmospheric aerosols influence the climate by direct effect and indirect effect (Schwartz, 1996; Coakley Jr. et al., 1983; Kiehl and Briegleb, 1993; Charlson, 1997; Tegen et al., 2000). High loading of aerosols causes poor visibility and air quality. The diameters of aerosol particles span over 4 orders of magnitude, from a few nanometers to around 100 µm (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Aerosol size distribution is one of the most critical factors determining light scattering property, health effects, and lifetime of aerosols. Observations of aerosol size distribution and its spatiotemporal variations have been one of the important aspects in the study of atmospheric aerosols.

The planetary boundary layer (PBL) is the lowest part of the troposphere, which is influenced to a large extent by the friction of Earth’s surface and objects on it (Ahrens, 2011). The PBL is directly impacted by most of anthropogenic and natural sources of trace gases and aerosols. In the PBL, air turbulence can be so strong that it drives the rapid exchange of heat, water vapor, trace gases, and aerosols between the atmosphere and Earth’s surface. The structure of the PBL is critical to the vertical diffusion and transport of air pollutants so that the changes of vertical distributions of many trace gases (such as O$_3$) and aerosols are closely related to the evolution of the PBL.

The diurnal evolution of the PBL usually leads to a well-developed mixed layer in the daytime (He and Mao, 2005) and a stable layer overlaid by a residual layer in the nighttime. The formation of a nocturnal stable layer may exert significant impacts on the concentrations of species in the surface layer. For example, surface O$_3$ is substantially removed during the night by reactions with NO and some other species, and by dry deposition, particularly in urban areas during cold seasons (Lin et al., 2011). Following the development of the mixed layer on the next day, O$_3$ in the residual layer can be downward mixed, making a contribution to the enhancement of ground-level O$_3$ and even driving photochemical processes (Aneja et al., 2000; Kleinman et al., 1994; Neu et al., 1994; Zhang and Rao, 1999). Therefore, O$_3$ distribution in both mixed layer and residual layer deserves attention. Similarly, chemical compositions and physical properties including size distribution of aerosols may also be impacted by the evolution of the PBL. Knowledge of this aspect is important for atmospheric chemistry and physics studies.

In recent decades, with the rapid economic development and the urbanization in China, ground-level and tropospheric O$_3$ and aerosol have increased significantly, especially in the North China Plain (NCP; Ding et al., 2008; Xu and Lin, 2011; Ma et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2015; Ding and Liu, 2014). So far, observational studies on O$_3$ and aerosol in the NCP have been mainly conducted in the surface layer. There is only limited knowledge of the vertical distributions of tropospheric O$_3$ and aerosol over the NCP region, gained in some sporadic observational studies (Chen et al., 2009, 2013; Ma et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2005). This hinders extensive validations of atmospheric chemistry models as well as the assessment of climate effects of O$_3$ and aerosols over this important region.

The detection of vertical distributions of O$_3$ and aerosol can be made using balloon sounding, aircraft, and tethered balloons. Each of these techniques has its advantages and disadvantages. Balloon sounding is a good way of obtaining O$_3$ and aerosol vertical profiles below ca. 30 km, but the sondes for O$_3$ and aerosol are usually expensive and not reusable, not to mention the ground facilities needed for the sounding. Tethered balloons attached with O$_3$ and aerosol devices can be used many times for observations under stable weather conditions, but it is usually not suitable when operated above 1 km and needs manpower to run it carefully. Aircraft equipped with analyzers for O$_3$ and other trace gases, and aerosol instruments can be used to simultaneously obtain distributions of these species along the flight tracks, but such observations are normally expensive and need the strong logistic support of an airport.

Typically, most aircraft measurements are made using manned aircrafts. However, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) can also be used as research platforms. In the last decade, UAVs have been successfully used in the fields of atmospheric science and environmental monitoring in order to understand the three-dimensional distribution of atmospheric species (Altstädter et al., 2015; Illingworth et al., 2014; Watai et al., 2006; Rauneker and Lischeid, 2012; Gao et al., 2016). In comparison with manned aircrafts, UAVs have a few advantages, such as no need for an airport, lower costs, higher flexibility, the possibility to investigate atmospheric parameters at small scales and low altitudes, and the potential for application in regions dangerous for manned aircraft (Altstädter et al., 2015). Above all, measurements with high spatial resolutions can be obtained using UAVs. For example,
in a city center with a diameter of approximately 2 km, a typical instrument with 1 Hz resolution would only be able to make approximately 20 measurements during an overpass of the city when a normal atmospheric research aircraft was used. In contrast, more measurements would be obtained by an UAV; therefore, the measurement uncertainty can be effectively reduced.

In this paper, we present UAV measurements of vertical distributions of \( O_3 \) and size-resolved aerosol number concentrations obtained over a site in the NCP during a field campaign. Data of surface \( O_3 \) and lidar observations of aerosol extinction from a nearby site are included in the analysis to facilitate interpretations and discussions of the measurements. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no similar published study of the region of China.

2 Experimental

2.1 Ground-based measurements

Ground-based measurements, including measurements of surface \( O_3 \) and some other reactive gases (\( NO/NO_2/NO_x \), \( NO_y \), HCHO, PAN, \( SO_2 \), CO, NH\(_3\), etc.), tethered balloon measurements of \( O_3 \) and black carbon (BC) vertical profiles, lidar observation of aerosol vertical profiles, etc., were conducted from 7 June to 18 August 2014 at the Raoyang Meteorological Station (RMS; 115°44′, 38°14′ N; 20 m; a semirural site) in the NCP. The RMS is located in Raoyang County, an agriculture county in the middle of Hebei Province. There are no large industrial sources in Raoyang. However, some influences on the RMS site from the residence and traffic sources in the surrounding areas cannot be excluded. More details about the RMS site are given in Ran et al. (2016).

Surface \( O_3 \) was measured using a photometric \( O_3 \) analyzer (TE 49C, Thermo Electron, USA). The detection limit and precision of the \( O_3 \) analyzer are 1 and 1 ppb, respectively. The analyzer was calibrated on 17 June, 16 July, and 18 August 2014 and showed no significant drifts. Details about the calibration and maintenance are described in Lin et al. (2009). A dual-beam ozone monitor (Model-205, 2B Technologies, USA) was used for the tethered balloon observations of \( O_3 \) vertical profiles. The ozone monitor has dimensions of 9 cm × 21 cm × 29 cm and weighs only 2.3 kg. It works based on the ultraviolet (UV) absorption at 254 nm at a maximum frequency of 0.5 Hz. It measures \( O_3 \) with a precision of 1 ppb or 2 % of reading and has a limit of detection of 1 ppb. The ozone monitor was calibrated at the site using an \( O_3 \) calibrator (TE 49i-PS, Thermo Electron, USA).

Vertical profiles of aerosol were observed using a lidar (Leosphere, France). The lidar principle is based on the scattering phenomenon of light. A laser pulse is sent into the atmosphere and scattered by the target molecules or particles (clouds, dust, soot particles, etc.). The backscattered light is collected by an optical system and its intensity is measured by a photo-detector, converted into an electronics signal, and stored onto a computer. The wavelength of the laser sent is 355 nm. The vertical resolution of the lidar measurement is 15 m and data below 200 m are discarded (EZ aerosol and cloud lidar ALS300 & ALS450 user manual).

2.2 Flight information

An UAV platform was used for the vertical profile measurements. The body of the UAV is made of glass fiber reinforced plastics, with a wingspan of 3.2 m. The UAV is battery-powered so that there is no contaminant from the engine exhaust of the UAV. The cruising speed and maximum cruising altitude of the UAV are 25 m s\(^{-1}\) and 5.5 km, respectively. As we were more interested in the vertical distributions of \( O_3 \) and aerosol in the lower troposphere, the UAV was programmed to fly below 3 km over an area within 5 km in diameter.

A miniature ozone monitor, personal ozone monitor (POM; 2B Technologies, USA) and handheld optical particle counter (OPC; Handheld 2016 or 3016, Lighthouse, USA) were installed in the small cabinet of the UAV to measure the \( O_3 \) and aerosol number concentrations on the flight. The POM has dimensions of 10.2 cm × 7.6 cm × 3.8 cm and weighs only 0.34 kg. It works based on the UV absorption at 254 nm at a maximum frequency of 0.1 Hz. It measures \( O_3 \) with a precision of 2 ppb or 2 % and has a limit of detection of 4 ppb, well below the normal \( O_3 \) level over the Raoyang site. The POM was calibrated in the factory against a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)-traceable standard instrument and compared at the site with the TE 49i-PS \( O_3 \) calibrator. The handheld OPC has dimensions of 22.23 cm × 12.7 cm × 6.35 cm and weighs about 1 kg. The Handheld 2016 counts aerosol numbers in the size bins 0.2–0.3, 0.3–0.5, 0.5–0.7, 0.7–1.0, 1.0–2.0, and > 2 \( \mu \)m, while the Handheld 3016 (used only in the flight during 05:53–06:18 local time (LT) of 31 July) records aerosol numbers in the size bins 0.3–0.5, 0.5–1.0, 1.0–3.0, 3.0–5.0, 5.0–10.0, and > 10 \( \mu \)m in differential or accumulation method. The OPC devices were set to collect data at 1 Hz. An isokinetic tube was mounted on the nose of the UAV to introduce ambient air into the OPC. In addition, a temperature–humidity sensor was attached to the OPC so that the sampling flow rate was converted to standard condition. Both OPC devices were calibrated against a NIST-traceable source. More details are given in the Supplement (Sect. S1).

Limited mainly by the availability of airspace, only seven successful UAV measurements were conducted several kilometers west of the RMS during the observation period. More detailed flight information is summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. The ascent and descent flight time (local time, LT) and the maximum height.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ascent period</th>
<th>$H_{\text{max}}$ (m)</th>
<th>Descent period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29 June</td>
<td>10:18–10:36</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>10:36–10:47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 July</td>
<td>06:34–06:55</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>06:55–07:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29 July</td>
<td>07:35–07:51</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>07:51–08:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 July</td>
<td>05:53–06:18</td>
<td>2468</td>
<td>06:18–06:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31 July</td>
<td>17:26–17:44</td>
<td>2676</td>
<td>17:44–18:02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Vertical profiles of $O_3$, aerosol number concentration and extinction

The vertical profiles of $O_3$ were acquired during seven UAV flights as listed in Table 1, while aerosol number concentrations in six size bins were observed during five of the seven flights (flights 1–5). The observed aerosols particles were predominately distributed in the accumulation mode since it is not possible to count the aerosol numbers in the Atkin mode using the handheld OPC. Figure 1 shows the vertical profiles of $O_3$ and aerosol number concentration observed during all flights. To study how the evolution of PBL influences $O_3$ and aerosol concentration at different altitudes, the vertical profiles in Fig. 1 are grouped in early morning (05:40–08:00 LT), late morning (10:00–12:00 LT), and afternoon (15:00–19:00 LT) periods and shown in Fig. 1a, b, and c, respectively. In addition, average particle extinction vertical profiles simultaneously obtained by the lidar are presented, with data points less than or equal to zero being excluded. All the vertical profiles shown in Fig. 1 include averages over 50 m vertical layers, regardless of the spatial resolutions of actual observations. Although the site over which the flights were conducted is several kilometers away from the RMS, both sites were influenced by the same synoptic system. Therefore, the results (Fig. 1) from both sites should be comparable within the observation uncertainties. In the early morning of 29 July 2014, particle extinction of more than 3000 Mm$^{-1}$ was observed at around 1600 m (Fig. 1a3), indicating the presence of cloud there (Huang et al., 2011).

It is noteworthy in Fig. 1 that aerosol number concentrations during late morning of 29 June (flight 1) and early morning of 1 July (flight 3) and the $O_3$ mixing ratio during late morning of 29 June (flight 1) were significantly lower than those during other flights, and their vertical profiles were slightly different from others. This indicates that some factors might have impacted the levels and vertical profiles of $O_3$ and aerosol. To understand those phenomena, we display the airflow fields at 1000 and 850 hPa over the area surrounding RMS in Fig. S2 in the Supplement, and 48 h backward trajectories of air parcels arriving at 100, 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 m over RMS in Fig. S3 in the Supplement for 08:00 LT of 29 June, 1 July, 29 July, and 31 July 2014, calculated using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003).

Figure S2a and b indicate that the 1000 and 850 hPa levels on the early morning of 29 June were dominated by different air circulations. Figure S3a shows that the air parcels arriving at 100 and 500 m over RMS were from the boundary layer over the nearby areas and mainly south of the site, while those arriving at 1000 m and above originated far from the north (northern Heilongjiang) and traveled rapidly over 2000 m for most of the time. The disparate airflows in the bottom and upper layers made a large negative gradient in the vertical distributions of $O_3$ and aerosol number concen-
The PBL development can, in principle, be determined by measurement of vertical profiles of a variety of atmospheric properties, with potential temperature being the most common one (Lenschow, 1986). Vertical aerosol number concentration vertical profiles allow for the determination of the PBL, as aerosol acts as a tracer of atmospheric turbulent forces along height (Ferrero et al., 2011, 2014). One powerful way to probe the PBL is based on vertical profiles of particle extinction detected using lidar. There are several methods to explore the structure of PBL from vertical profiles of particle extinction, such as the calculation of vertical gradient of particle extinction (He and Mao, 2005; Huang et al., 2011; Hayden et al., 1994; Hoff et al., 1996; Melfi et al., 1985), wavelet analysis (Cohn et al., 1997; Brooks, 2003), and parameterization (Steyn et al., 1999). In this study, calculations of the vertical gradient of lidar particle extinction (dE/dz) and particle number concentration (dN/dz) are adopted to identify the mixed layer depth (MLD) and residual layer depth (RLD).

To obtain a general picture of the diurnal PBL evolution, particle extinction values at different heights in the lower troposphere (0–3 km) were averaged for different time intervals on all sunny days during the campaign. The average vertical profiles in half-hour and 2 h intervals are displayed in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The zero and negative values in the lidar measurements were excluded, which may lead to averages slightly overestimated. Averages with less than 75 % data availability were rejected to avoid the impact of undersampling on the average vertical profiles. As mentioned in Sect. 1, the PBL experiences a diurnal cycle. The mixed layer develops after sunrise. Its top rises continually up and may exceed the top of the residual layer formed on the previous day. After the sunset, the mixed layer collapses gradually, forming the nocturnal stable layer and residual layer.

Figures 2 and 3 clearly show the average PBL diurnal cycle of vertical distribution of particle extinction. According to the solar elevation angles, the sunrise and sunset time is estimated to be 05:00–06:00 and 19:00–20:00 LT, respectively. In Fig. 3, the maximum of particle extinction was 0.53 km$^{-1}$ at 660 m after sunset and the top of residual layer was about 705 m. Moreover, the nocturnal stable layer started forming, reaching a depth of about 300 m at 00:00–01:00 LT on the next day (Fig. 3a). During the night, the top of average residual layer fluctuated slightly around 700 m. After sunrise on the next day, the nocturnal stable layer disappeared gradually, and the mixed layer developed. The top of mixed layer reached about 840 m at around 11:00 LT and stayed until 13:00 LT, when it started declining. The particle extinction in the mixed layer increased during the morning period, presumably because of the increasing aerosol emissions, downward mixing from the residual layer, and photochemical production from precursor gases.

The data shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are consistent with our understanding of the diurnal PBL evolution, suggesting that lidar data captured the structure of PBL and can be used in...
the determination of the MLD and RLD. Based on individual $dE/dz$ vertical profiles of particle extinction, we can determine the tops of the mixed layer and residual layer for the periods with UAV flights by identifying the minima below 2000 m in the $dE/dz$ vertical profiles if there were no obviously unreasonable values in the vertical profiles due to interferences or noise. In order to select the minima that were really resulted from a strong decrease of aerosol burden for a small height change rather than abnormal variation, we compared the minima before and after the flights with those during the flights. Finally, the MLD and RLD were obtained according to the heights at which the minima were considered to be the most reasonable ones. However, this method was not applicable when the MLD was in the blind zone of lidar (lower than 200 m) or when there was cloud near the top of residual layer.

The vertical profile of particle extinction and its gradient for the flight (10:18–10:47 LT) in the late morning of 29 June (flight 1) are displayed in Fig. 4 to show how to determine the MLD and RLD. To facilitate the identification of the mixed layer and residual layer, the vertical profiles of particle extinction before and after the flights are also shown in Fig. 4a. With the consideration of turbulent timescale in the atmosphere, the particle extinction vertical profiles at 08:00 and 12:00 LT were calculated from the lidar observations in the periods 07:30–08:30 and 11:30–12:30 LT, respectively. As can be seen in Fig. 4b, there are a few minima in the calculated gradient, two of which (marked with filled black circles) may represent the tops of the mixed layer and residual layer. Comparing the vertical profile of particle extinction during the flight with those before and after the flight, we can see gradual changes in heights of the inflection points in the lower parts of the vertical profiles (0–1500 m) with the evolution of the PBL. This makes us more certain that the filled black circles in the gradient curve in Fig. 4b indicate the tops of the mixed layer and residual layer.

Similar to the vertical gradient of particle extinction, the vertical gradient of aerosol number concentration can also be used to probe the PBL. To find out the key inflection points in the vertical profiles, which indicate the tops of the mixed layer and residual layer, we paid attention to the major structures in vertical profiles and gradients of aerosol number concentration during the ascent and descent flights. Due to the slight differences in time and space in the flight, there were some differences in particle number concentrations measured at the same height between the ascent and descent of a flight. Even though, the $t$ test ($\alpha = 0.05$) may help to judge whether or not the results are accordant. As an example, Fig. 5 shows the aerosol number concentration data from a flight in the early morning of 29 July (flight 4). The two minima at 275 and 1770 m (marked with red solid circles) in the gradient curve (Fig. 5b) obviously corresponds to the major inflection points in the vertical profile of ascent flight (Fig. 5a). In the gradient curve from the descent flight, there are also two minima below 2000 m. These two minima do not overlay those from the ascent flight, but there are no significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) differences in the minimum positions between ascent and descent. Therefore, the marked heights of 275 and 1770 m are considered to be the tops of the mixed layer and the residual layer, respectively.

Determining the MLD and RLD facilitates the calculations of average $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations in the mixed layer and the residual layer. Table 2 summarizes the mixed and residual layer heights, determined using the methods discussed above, and the calculated $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations for the two layers during the flights. The MLD or RLD during some flights cannot be determined in the case that the MLD was in the blind zone of lidar (lower than 200 m) or there was cloud near the top of residual layer. Ideally, the mixed and residual layer heights determined using the two methods were the same, so this would be the average $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations. However, the real conditions were not idealized. As can be seen in Table 2, the two methods produced different results of the MLD and RLD, and the average $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations.

To see how the results from the two methods are different from each other, we conducted correlation analyses. In Fig. 6, average $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations obtained us-
Figure 6. Average $O_3$ mixing ratios (a) and aerosol number concentrations (b) in the mixed layer and residual layer obtained using the method of particle extinction gradient (method 1) in comparison with those obtained using the method of particle number concentration gradient (method 2). The aerosol number concentrations in the mixed layer and residual layer are all close to 1.0. In other words, the two methods used for the determination of the MLD and RLD are reliable and can produce comparable results.

3.3 Average $O_3$ and aerosol number concentrations in the mixed and residual layers

Data in Table 2 indicate that the mixed layer height increased from early morning to late morning and to afternoon. The top of residual layer did not show such tendencies. The mixing ratio of $O_3$ in the surface layer and mixed layer was the lowest in the early morning and the highest in the afternoon. This can be readily explained by the changes in photochemical production, NO titration, and dry deposition of $O_3$ in the course of the day. Photochemical reactions often produce much more $O_3$ around noon than in the other periods, while NO titration and dry deposition remove $O_3$ more effective during the night and early morning, when the NO level is higher and the mixed layer is shallower (see Fig. S4 in the Supplement). In most cases, the diurnal cycle of surface $O_3$ can be well explained by these factors, if advection is negligible. However, there may be additional factors that contribute significantly to the diurnal variations of $O_3$ in the mixed layers, at least in our cases. Table 2 shows that the level of $O_3$ in the surface and mixed layers were lower than that in the residual layer during all early morning flights (flights 3–5). Data from the flight in late morning of 29 June (flight 1) indicate that the $O_3$ level in the residual layer was slightly lower than those in the surface and mixed layers. Figure 1c1 shows that $O_3$ vertical profiles were relatively straight and the $O_3$ levels in the surface and mixed layers were close to or slightly lower than those at the heights above the mixed
layer. These suggest that during most of our flights the O$_3$ levels above the top of mixed layer were higher than those below. Similar vertical O$_3$ profiles were also observed over a site in Beijing by Ma et al. (2011).

The positive gradient in vertical distribution of O$_3$ makes the residual layer an important source of O$_3$ in the mixed layer, particularly during the morning when the top of mixed layer is rapidly elevated. Therefore, downward mixing of O$_3$ in the residual layer over our site may play an important role in the diurnal cycle of O$_3$ at ground level and in the mixed layer. Previous studies show that O$_3$ in the free troposphere over the NCP can be rapidly downward transported to the surface layer, driven by high wind speed in winter (Lin et al., 2011; H. L. Zhang et al., 2014) or nighttime convection processes in summer (Jia et al., 2015). Our data show that even under normal meteorological conditions in summer, downward mixing of O$_3$ at higher altitudes over the NCP can be a significant contributor to the O$_3$ level in the mixed layer. The physical processes that influence surface O$_3$ are normally considered in modern air quality models. It is of interest to test how well the models can simulate the impacts of these processes on surface O$_3$. Our measurements provide valuable experimental data for validating model results and satellite retrievals, which is outside the scope of this paper.

In contrast to the O$_3$ level, aerosol number concentration in early morning was higher in the mixed layer than in the residual layer. This can be attributed to the fact that the major sources of primary and secondary aerosols are in the mixed layer. With the PBL development during the daytime, aerosol particles are mixed within a deeper layer as can be seen in Fig. 2.

### 3.4 Aerosol number-size distributions in the mixed layer and residual layer

The mass concentration, size distribution, and chemical composition of aerosol are closely related to emissions, atmospheric chemistry, and meteorological conditions. The formation of the mixed layer and residual layer reduces the vertical mixing and may cause some differences in the physicochemical properties of aerosols between both layers. Here, we investigate the difference in aerosol number-size distribution between the mixed and residual layers, taking advantage of clear separation of both layers. Aerosol number concentrations in different size bins were averaged for the mixed and the residual layers determined using aerosol number concentration gradients. Figure 7 shows the aerosol number and volume size distributions in the mixed layer and residual layer during early morning of 1 July (flight 3), early morning of 29 July (flight 4), late morning of 29 June (flight 1), and afternoon of 29 June (flight 2). The aerosol volume concentrations were calculated from the measured number-size distributions under the assumption that all particles were spherical.

Obviously, the particle number concentration in the mixed layer was higher than that in the residual layer. This is expected as the mixed layer is normally more impacted by emission sources, which are mainly within the mixed layer. On the other hand, the differences of aerosol number and volume concentration between the mixed layer and the residual layer were more significant in the size range $> 2 \ \mu$m in comparison with the other size ranges. This can be well explained by the fact that the mixed layer is much more influenced than the residual layer by the ground-level emission of aerosol in coarse mode. Moreover, aerosol particles were overwhelmingly distributed in the size range $< 1 \ \mu$m, independent of observation time. Such aerosol size distribution and ranges of aerosol number concentrations unsurprisingly resembled urban aerosol (Baron et al., 2011). Although some coarse particles may be released by the farm over which our UAV observations were conducted, their contribution to our measurements of aerosol number concentration should be limited within the surface layer, considering the wind conditions during the UAV flights. In other word, the aerosol size distributions at higher altitudes of the PBL were not substan-
tially influenced by local coarse-particle emissions. In recent decades, the NCP region has been found to be one of the most polluted regions of the world, suffering severe haze pollution (Fu et al., 2014). Even in the rural areas of the NCP, air quality is largely impacted by anthropogenic pollutants locally emitted or transported from urban areas (Lin et al., 2008, 2009; Liu et al., 2010). Therefore, aerosol size distributions shown in Fig. 7 should represent well-mixed aerosol over a rural area in the NCP, which is dominated by fine particles.

It is interesting to see that the patterns of aerosol number and volume size distributions in flight 4 (early morning in 29 July) are different from those in flights 1 (late morning in 29 June), 2 (afternoon in 29 June), and 3 (early morning in 1 July). The number-size distributions in flights 1, 2, and 3 show monotonic decreasing with increasing aerosol size (Fig. 7a, c, and d), while those in flight 4 peak in the range of 0.3–0.5 µm (Fig. 7b). The aerosol size distribution in the early morning of 29 July (flight 4) was unique in that a larger portion of aerosol particles was found in the range 0.3–0.5 µm. It may be caused by different synoptic conditions. Figure 1 indicates that among all the vertical profiles, the vertical profiles from 1 July (flight 3) and 29 July (flight 4) represent most clean and most polluted cases, respectively. Backward trajectories (Fig. S3 in the Supplement) indicate that in early morning of 29 July, air masses were from the southeast sector. Studies at other NCP sites also show that air masses from the southeast are associated with higher particle number concentration (Shen et al., 2011) and contain aerosols of relatively larger mean medium diameter (Y. M. Zhang et al., 2014), which coincide with our results.

3.5 Comparison with historic O$_3$ vertical profiles

The increase in emissions of air pollutants in the NCP region has caused haze and O$_3$ pollution in recent decades. Emission control measures that have been introduced in this region have led to a decreasing trend after 2003 in the annual number of haze days (Fu et al., 2014). However, the O$_3$ air quality has not been improved yet. In contrast, the maximum daily average 8 h (MDA8) mixing ratios of O$_3$ at a background site in the NCP increased at a rate of 1.13 ppb year$^{-1}$ from 2003 to 2015 (Ma et al., 2016). The increase of O$_3$ levels has taken place not only at ground level but also at other altitudes in the troposphere, particularly in the PBL. Ding et al. (2008) revealed a strong positive trend of the O$_3$ level in the boundary layer over Beijing from 1995 to 2005 using the Measurements of Ozone and Water Vapor by Airbus In-Service Aircraft (MOZAIC). Multi-year ozonesonde measurements over Beijing also indicate a significant increase of tropospheric O$_3$ during the period 2002–2010 (Wang et al., 2012).

It is of great interest to see how lower-tropospheric O$_3$ has changed over the NCP region. Many locations in the NCP region are controlled by similar prevailing winds (southwest-erly and northeasterly), particularly in summer (Lin et al., 2008, 2009). Although the MOZAIC measurements and our UAV observations of O$_3$ were conducted over two sites about 200 km apart from each other, O$_3$ vertical profiles in the lower troposphere over both sites may represent the situations over the northern part of the NCP. Therefore, it is assumed that the O$_3$ vertical profiles over both sites might be comparable. Under this assumption, we can try to obtain an idea about the change of summer O$_3$ in the lower troposphere over the NCP between the first MOZAIC measurements and our measurements.

Figure 8 displays average O$_3$ vertical profiles for different time periods of the day in the lower troposphere obtained at the RMS during our tethered balloon (Fig. 8a) and UAV observations (Fig. 8b), and summer daytime (05:00–19:00 LT) average O$_3$ vertical profiles from MOZAIC observations and our observations (Fig. 8c). The MOZAIC O$_3$ data have an estimated accuracy of ±[2 ppb + 2 %] for individual 4 s measurements and is suitable for building reliable O$_3$ climatologies (Thouret et al., 1998; Zbinden et al., 2013). MOZAIC O$_3$ vertical profile data for the greater Beijing area are available only for 1994–2005 with varying monthly vertical profile numbers and most of the vertical profiles were obtained during 05:00–18:00 LT period (Ding et al., 2008). To enhance the representativeness of average vertical profiles, all average MOZAIC O$_3$ vertical profiles were calculated from at least seven individual vertical profiles. For some years, no average MOZAIC O$_3$ vertical profiles were available due to inadequate MOZAIC measurements in summer. O$_3$ measurements from 52 tethered balloon experiments over Raoyang were grouped and averaged for the early morning (05:00–10:00 LT), late morning (10:00–12:00 LT), and afternoon (12:00–19:00 LT) periods (Fig. 8a). Only seven UAV experiments could be made during our campaign at Raoyang. Nevertheless, average O$_3$ vertical profiles were calculated for early morning, late morning, and afternoon (Fig. 8b). It is noted that only one UAV flight was made in late morning (flight 1; 10:28–10:50 LT on 29 June 2014); therefore, the late morning average O$_3$ vertical profile in Fig. 8b is less representative. If this vertical profile is not considered, we can see in Fig. 8a and b a clear development of vertical O$_3$ distribution in the lower troposphere, with a substantial increase of the O$_3$ level in the mixed layer and a slight increase above the mixed layer in the course of the early morning to the afternoon. Although the mixing ratios of O$_3$ over Raoyang were mostly higher than 100 ppb in the afternoon, the overall average values were about 100 ppb as shown in the bottom-right plot of Fig. 8c. Both our measurements and the MOZAIC measurements indicate high-O$_3$ pollution in the lower troposphere over the NCP in summer.

Figure 8c shows that the O$_3$ level over the NCP had experienced a strong positive increase, indicating strengthening photochemical pollution in about 2 decades. The average mixing ratio of O$_3$ near the ground level had a relatively small increase (8.9 ppb) during 2004–2014, corresponding to
an increase rate of about 0.9 ppb year$^{-1}$. This increase rate is close to the average increase rate (1.1 ppb year$^{-1}$) reported by Tang et al. (2009) of surface O$_3$ at six urban/suburban sites in Beijing in July–September during 2001–2006, but only about one-third of what Q. Zhang et al. (2014) found for August daytime surface O$_3$ at an urban site in Beijing during 2005–2011 (2.6 ppb year$^{-1}$). However, the high-end value of O$_3$ near the ground level had a larger increase, as suggested by the right end of the error bars (Fig. 8c). This larger increase in the high-end value of O$_3$ is consistent with the large increase of the maximum daily average 8 h (MDA8) mixing ratio of O$_3$ at the Shangdianzi back-

4 Conclusion

In this study, vertical profiles of particle extinction property, O$_3$, and size-resolved aerosol concentration were simultaneously measured using miniature devices installed in an UAV over a rural site in the NCP during the summer of 2014, allowing for the characterization of diurnal O$_3$ and aerosol concentration in mixing layer and residual layer over a small area. Seven vertical profiles were successfully obtained in this campaign.

We found a positive gradient in the vertical distribution of O$_3$, higher above the top of mixed layer than those be-

Figure 8. Comparison of average O$_3$ vertical profiles observed in the lower troposphere during summer of different years. (a) shows O$_3$ vertical profiles from the tethered balloon experiments during summer of 2014 averaged over early morning (05:00–08:00LT), late morning (08:00–12:00LT), and afternoon (12:00–19:00LT). Panel (b) presents O$_3$ vertical profiles from the UAV experiments during summer of 2014 averaged over early morning (flights 3, 4, and 5), late morning (flight 1), and afternoon (flights 2, 6, and 7). Panel (c) shows O$_3$ vertical profiles averaged over daytime (05:00–19:00LT) MOZAIC measurements in summer of 1997, 1998, 1999, 2004, 2005, and our Raoyang measurement in summer of 2014. The error bars indicate 1 standard error of the mean.
low, making the residual layer an important source of O$_3$ in the mixed layer, particularly during the morning when the top of mixed layer is rapidly elevated. However, aerosol number concentration in the early morning was higher in the mixed layer than in the residual layer. The aerosol particles were abundant and overwhelmingly distributed in the size range $<1$ µm, consistent with urban aerosol. The historic O$_3$ data from the MOZAIC project, together with our measurements, were used to investigate the long-term changes in O$_3$ vertical profiles in the lower troposphere over the NCP. The comparison of data from the 2014 summer campaign with those from MOZAIC suggests that the O$_3$ level over the northern part of the NCP has experienced a strong positive increase, and the increase of the O$_3$ level seems to speed up after 2004, indicating rapidly strengthening photochemical pollution particularly in the last decade. Observations show that surface O$_3$ pollution in China’s polluted regions, including the NCP, has become more severe in recent years in contrast with the apparent decreases of PM$_{2.5}$ and primary gaseous pollutants. In view of this, more attention should be paid to O$_3$ concentration under the control of PM.
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