Significant concentrations of nitryl chloride sustained in the morning: investigations of the causes and impacts on ozone production in a polluted region of northern China
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Abstract. Nitryl chloride (ClNO₂) is a dominant source of chlorine radical in polluted environment, and can significantly affect the atmospheric oxidation chemistry. However, the abundance of ClNO₂ and its exact role are not fully understood under different environmental conditions. During the summer of 2014, we deployed a chemical ionization mass spectrometer to measure ClNO₂ and dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅) at a rural site in the polluted North China Plain. Elevated mixing ratios of ClNO₂ (> 350 pptv) were observed at most of the nights with low levels of N₂O₅ (< 200 pptv). The highest ClNO₂ mixing ratio of 2070 pptv (1 min average) was observed in a plume from a megacity (Tianjin), and was characterized with a faster N₂O₅ heterogeneous loss rate and ClNO₂ production rate compared to average conditions. The abundant ClNO₂ concentration kept increasing even after sunrise, and reached a peak 4 h later. Such highly sustained ClNO₂ peaks after sunrise are discrepant from the previously observed typical diurnal pattern. Meteorological and chemical analysis shows that the sustained ClNO₂ morning peaks are caused by significant ClNO₂ production in the residual layer at night followed by downward mixing after breakup of the nocturnal inversion layer in the morning. We estimated that ~ 1.7–4.0 ppbv of ClNO₂ would exist in the residual layer in order to maintain the observed morning ClNO₂ peaks at the surface site. Observation-based box model analysis show that photolysis of ClNO₂ produced chlorine radical with a rate up to 1.12 ppbv h⁻¹, accounting for 10–30 % of primary ROₓ production in the morning hours. The perturbation in total radical production leads to an increase of integrated daytime net ozone production by 3 % (4.3 ppbv) on average, and with a larger increase of 13 % (11 ppbv) in megacity outflow that was characterized with higher ClNO₂ and a relatively lower oxygenated hydrocarbon (OVOC) to non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) ratio.

1 Introduction

Nitryl chloride (ClNO₂) is a nocturnal reservoir of reactive nitrogen and chlorine radicals (Cl) that play crucial roles in the next day’s photochemistry (Youn g et al., 2012; Mielke et al., 2013; Sarwar et al., 2014). Formation of ClNO₂ begins with the oxidation of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) by ozone (O₃) to yield nitrate radical (NO₃; Reaction R1). NO₃ is very susceptible to sunlight, and can react rapidly with nitrogen oxide (NO) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs; Reactions R2–R4). At nightfall, the NO₃ begins to accumulate,
and can further react with NO₂ to give N₂O₅ (Reaction R5).

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 \quad \text{(R1)}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow 0.9\text{NO}_2 + 0.9\text{O} + 0.1\text{NO} + 0.1\text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R2)}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow 2\text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(R3)}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3 + \text{VOC} \rightarrow \text{products} \quad \text{(R4)}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{M} \quad \text{(R5)}
\]

N₂O₅ exists in thermal equilibrium with NO₂ and NO₃, and heterogeneously reacts with chloride-containing aerosols (Cl⁻) to form CINO₂ and nitrates (NO₃⁻; Reaction R6), or undergoes hydrolysis to produce water-soluble nitric acids (HNO₃; Reaction R7; Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989).

\[
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Cl}^- (\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{CINO}_2 + \text{NO}_3^- (\text{aq}) \quad \text{(R6)}
\]

\[
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3 \quad \text{(R7)}
\]

The abundance of CINO₂ produced from the heterogeneous uptake of N₂O₅ depends on the availability of Cl⁻ aerosols and nitrogen oxides (NO₃⁻ = NO + NO₂) in the atmosphere (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Brown and Stutz, 2012). Efficient production of CINO₂ was found in the polluted coastal regions that are directly impacted by abundant sea salt aerosols and urban emissions. For instance, Osthoff et al. (2008) measured more than 1 ppbv of CINO₂ in the urban outflows along the coast of Texas; high CINO₂ mixing ratios of up to 3.6 ppbv were detected in the polluted Los Angeles Basin (Riedel et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012; Mielke et al., 2013). Significant production of CINO₂ was not previously expected in inland regions with limited Cl⁻ sources until Thornton et al. (2010) found a CINO₂ mixing ratio of up to 0.45 ppbv in urban plumes from Boulder, Colorado. This observation suggested the presence of non-oceanic chloride from coal-fired power plants, industries, biomass burning, road salts and soil dust in inland regions, which could support widespread production of CINO₂. Since then, other studies have observed CINO₂ mixing ratios, ranging from tenths of pptv to 1.3 ppbv in polluted inland regions (Mielke et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2013; Faxon et al., 2015).

CINO₂ may be subject to some loss processes on water and other surfaces (e.g., Roberts et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2014), but the nighttime losses of CINO₂ are expected to be negligible due to its low solubility (Sander, 2015). Its primary sink is via photolysis during the day, yielding a highly reactive chlorine radical and NO₂ (Reaction R8).

\[
\text{CINO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Cl} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(R8)}
\]

Therefore, CINO₂ typically shows a distinct diurnal pattern in which it accumulates at night and decreases gradually to very low levels in the daytime. Under conditions of reduced photolysis, small CINO₂ concentrations may persist during the daytime. For example, Mielke et al. (2013) found that the median lifetime of CINO₂ in respect to photolysis could reach 1.2 h even 4 h after sunrise at Pasadena (with mixing ratios of CINO₂ of 100 pptv), which was partly caused by the heavy cloud and aerosol cover or fog at the site. More recent measurements at surface sites in London and Houston observed cases with CINO₂ increase after sunrise and peak several hours later with a concentration of 40–150 pptv (photolysis lifetime of 2.8–3.5 h) that could result from transport processes of CINO₂ from regions with higher CINO₂ concentrations (Bannan et al., 2015; Faxon et al., 2015).

Photolysis of nighttime-accumulated CINO₂ during daytime was found to cause rapid production of Cl, with a production rate up to 0.03–0.50 ppbv h⁻¹ (Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2012, 2014; Mielke et al., 2015). This Cl precursor was shown to be an important primary radical source as it constituted 9–13 % of the daily primary radical production (Edwards et al., 2013; Young et al., 2014), and exceeded the production of hydroxyl radical (OH) via photolysis of O₃ by up to a factor of 10 for several hours after sunrise (Phillips et al., 2012). Subsequently, the released Cl would oxidize VOCs and enhance ozone production in polluted regions through Reactions (R9)–(R15).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} + \text{RH} & \rightarrow \text{R} + \text{HCl} \\
\text{R} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} & \rightarrow \text{RO}_2 + \text{M} \\
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{RO} + \text{O} & \rightarrow \text{OVOC} + \text{HO}_2 \\
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{NO}_2 + h\nu & \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}^3\text{P} \\
\text{O}^3\text{P} + \text{O} & \rightarrow \text{M} + \text{O}_3 + \text{M}
\end{align*}
\]

For example, Osthoff et al. (2008) reported an increase of 6 and 9 ppbv of ozone in Houston by constraining 0.65 and 1.5 ppbv of CINO₂ into their model, respectively. Neglecting the contribution of HONO, 1.5 ppbv of CINO₂ could increase ∼ 12 ppbv of ozone in Los Angeles (Riedel et al., 2014). Chemical transport model simulations by Sarwar et al. (2014) suggested that high CINO₂ concentrations in China and western Europe can lead to a daily 8 h average ozone increase of up to 7 ppbv.

Despite the important role in photochemistry, studies on this Cl precursor in China are sparse. Most of the previously reported studies of CINO₂ were conducted in the United States (e.g., Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010; Riedel et al., 2012, 2013; Mielke et al., 2013; Faxon et al., 2015), Canada (Mielke et al. 2011, 2015) and a few in Europe (Phillips et al., 2012; Bannan et al., 2015). Recently, measurements of CINO₂ were conducted in Hong Kong, southern China, which observed high levels of CINO₂ at both surface and mountain sites (Tham et al., 2014; X. Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). In a well-processed regional plume, maximum CINO₂ of 4.7 ppbv and N₂O₅ of up to 7.7 ppbv were observed at Mt Tai Mao Shan (957 m a.s.l.), and box model calculations showed significant impacts of the CINO₂ on the...
next day’s ozone production, with an increase of ozone of up to 41 % (Wang et al., 2016).

The North China Plain (NCP) covers an area of 409 500 km$^2$ and is home to megacities like Beijing, Tianjin and Shijiazhuang. It is one of the most polluted regions in China according to the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP China, 2015). Due to intense and fast economic development, the emission of NO$_x$ has increased steadily, reaching a peak of 127 Gg N yr$^{-1}$ in 2011 (Mijling et al., 2013). High concentrations of ground-level O$_3$ were frequently reported in the NCP. For instance, a maximum hourly value of up to 286 ppbv was observed in a rural site north of Beijing (Wang et al., 2006). Ozone over the last 2 decades has increased at a rate of 2–5 % yr$^{-1}$ (Ding et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014).

The abundant NO$_x$ and O$_3$ coupled with the large loading of chloride-containing aerosol (Sun et al., 2006, 2015; Huang et al., 2014) may make the heterogeneous uptake and chlorine activation processes particularly important in driving the formation of ozone and secondary aerosol in this region.

In summer 2014, we deployed a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) for the first field measurement of ClNO$_2$ in the NCP. It was part of an international collaborative field campaign, CARE-Beijing 2014 (Campaigns of Air Quality Research in Beijing and Surrounding Regions), with the major aim of understanding the oxidative processes in the region. In the present paper, we give an overview of the measurement results of ClNO$_2$ and its precursors, N$_2$O$_5$, and related species. We then examine the factors that affect the ClNO$_2$ production. We also investigate the cause of sustained ClNO$_2$ peaks observed after sunrise and the potential sources of aerosol chloride that drive the ClNO$_2$ productions. The impacts of the ClNO$_2$ on the primary radical productions and ozone formation are then assessed with a measurement-constraint chemical model.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Site description

This study took place at a semi-rural site (38.665° N, 115.204° E) in Wangdu county of Hebei province. Figure 1 shows the location of the measurement site in relation to the topography and emission sources in the NCP. Although the site is located in an area with rural/suburban development, it is impacted by anthropogenic emissions. The national capital, Beijing (population > 21 million), is located ~170 km in the northeast, and another megacity, Tianjin (population > 15 million) is situated about 180 km to the east, while Shijiazhuang (population > 12 million), which is the provincial capital and largest city of Hebei province, is 90 km to the southwest. In addition to these megacities, a prefecture-level city, Baoding, is 33 km to the northeast (Fig. 1b). The immediate surrounding area (i.e., within 5 km) of the sampling site is mostly covered by agricultural lands (Fig. 1c). The closest large local emission sources include a national highway and a provincial road, which are about 1–2 km away from the site. The major town area of Wangdu County is located ~5 km to the northwest, while many densely spaced villages are sporadically spread around the area.

Dozens of coal-fired power stations are situated within a radius of 200 km. Among the nearest are Datang power station (capacity 650 MW), which is 27 km in the northeast, and Dingzhou power station (capacity 2520 MW), which is 35 km in the southwest. Emissions from agriculture activities also have impacts on the site. The field study is in the harvesting season of winter wheat (Sun et al., 2007), and burning activities were frequently observed in the region, as indicated by the active fire hotspots obtained from FIRMS (MODIS C5, data available at https://earthdata.nasa.gov/firms; see Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The less developed area of the Taihang Mountains range (main peak = 2882 m a.s.l) is located 50–100 km away in the northwest sector, and the nearest coastline of Bohai Sea is ~200 km in the east.

### 2.2 Chemical ionization mass spectrometer

ClNO$_2$ and N$_2$O$_5$ were concurrently measured with a quadrupole chemical ionization mass spectrometer (THS Instruments, Atlanta). The principle and the calibration of the CIMS have been described in Wang et al. (2016). Briefly, iodide ions (I$^-$) were used as primary ions, and the N$_2$O$_5$ and ClNO$_2$ were detected as ion clusters of I(N$_2$O$_5$)$^-$ and I(ClNO$_2$)$^-$ at 235 and 208 m/z, respectively. The CIMS measured N$_2$O$_5$ and ClNO$_2$ with a time resolution of ~7 s. Data were later converted into 1 min averages for further analysis. During the Wangdu field study, the instrument background was determined by diverting the sampling flow through a filter fully packed with activated carbons. Offline calibrations of N$_2$O$_5$ and ClNO$_2$ were performed every day on the site, while standard addition of N$_2$O$_5$ into the ambient air was performed every 3 h to monitor the sensitivity changes due to ambient conditions. More details on the calibration procedures can be found elsewhere (Wang et al., 2016). A corona discharge device (THS Instruments) was applied to generate I$^-$ from mixture of CH$_3$I / N$_2$ (0.3 % v/v) at the beginning of the measurement period (20–26 June 2014) due to a delay in the shipment of a radioactive source. The large background signals from the corona discharge source (see Fig. S2) gave rise to a detection limit of 16 pptv for N$_2$O$_5$ and 14 pptv for ClNO$_2$ (3σ, 1 min-averaged data). The corona discharge source was replaced by an alpha radioactive source, $^{210}$Po (NRD, P-2031-2000), from 27 June 2014 until the end of the study, which improved the detection limits for the latter period to 7 pptv for N$_2$O$_5$ and 6 pptv for ClNO$_2$ (3σ, 1 min-averaged data). The average sensitivity of the system with corona discharge setup was $1.11 \pm 0.23$ pptv Hz$^{-1}$ for N$_2$O$_5$ and $1.10 \pm 0.11$ pptv Hz$^{-1}$ for ClNO$_2$, with an average sensitivity of 1.32 ± 0.35 and...
1.40 ± 0.28 pptv Hz$^{-1}$ for N$_2$O$_5$ and ClNO$_2$, respectively, for radioactive sources (see Fig. S3).

The CIMS instrument was housed in a trailer. The sampling line was a 7.5 m long PFA-Teflon tubing (1/4 in. outer diameter). The inlet was set at ~2 m above the roof and ~10 m from ground level, with a total sampling flow of ~11 standard liters per minute (SLPM). The inlet configuration was similar to a virtual impactor, which is intended to remove large particles (e.g., Kercher et al., 2009; Kulkarni et al., 2011). Only ~4 SLPM from the total flow was diverted to the CIMS, ozone and NO$_x$ analyzer, while the rest was dumped. The total residence time in the sampling system was less than 1 s. In order to minimize the effect of the particles deposited on the surface of the sampling inlet, the orifice, tubing and fittings were replaced and washed in an ultrasonic bath every day (Wang et al., 2016). Examination of the measurement data did not show evidence of conversion of ambient N$_2$O$_5$ to ClNO$_2$ in the inlet. For instance, there were occasions when the N$_2$O$_5$ signal increased significantly with no enhancement in ClNO$_2$, suggesting that the ClNO$_2$ was not produced in the inlet. Measurement of 208 m/z and its isotopic (I($^{37}$ClNO$_2$)$^-$) was consistent with the natural abundance of chlorine isotopes (refer Fig. S4). The uncertainty of the measurement is estimated to be ±25 %, with a precision of 3 %. The ambient measurements of N$_2$O$_5$ and ClNO$_2$ were available from 20 June to 9 July 2014.

Figure 1. Google Maps images showing (a) the location of northern China (white dashed box) and the Wangdu site (blue triangle), (b) an expanded view of the North China Plain with the topography, major cities and the locations of major coal-fired power plants (yellow cross) in the region and (c) surrounding environment of Wangdu site.
Table 1. Measurement method for trace gases and aerosols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Measurement techniques</th>
<th>Detection limits</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Time resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClNO$_2$, N$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>CIMS</td>
<td>6–7 pptv</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>UV photometry</td>
<td>0.5 ppbv</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>0.06 ppbv</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>Photolytical converter &amp; Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>0.3 ppbv</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>MoO catalytic converter &amp; Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 ppbv</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>CRDS</td>
<td>0.1 ppmv</td>
<td>&lt; 5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>Pulsed-UV fluorescence</td>
<td>0.1 ppbv</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>IR photometry</td>
<td>4 ppbv</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONO</td>
<td>LOPAP</td>
<td>7 pptv</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>0.5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>GAC-IC</td>
<td>59 pptv</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHCs</td>
<td>GC-FID/MS</td>
<td>20–300 pptv</td>
<td>15–20 %</td>
<td>60 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVOCs</td>
<td>PTR-MS</td>
<td>10–50 pptv</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>Hantzsch (wet chemical fluorimetric)</td>
<td>25 pptv</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>TEOM</td>
<td>2 µg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol ionic compositions</td>
<td>GAC-IC</td>
<td>0.01–0.16 µg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Other measurements

The measurement techniques for trace gases and aerosols, which are used to support the present analysis, are summarized in Table 1. During the Wangdu study, most of the trace gases were simultaneously measured by different instruments/techniques. The agreement between these instruments/techniques and justification on the data set selections are discussed in another paper (Tan et al., 2016). Briefly, NO and NO$_2$ were measured by the chemiluminescence/photolytical conversion techniques, while total reactive nitrogen (NO$_x$) was determined by the chemiluminescence method with a molybdenum oxide (MoO) catalytic converter. O$_3$ was quantified by an ultraviolet (UV) absorption analyzer. Sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) was measured by a pulsed UV fluorescence analyzer and carbon monoxide (CO) with an infrared photometer. C$_2$-C$_{10}$ hydrocarbons (NMHCs), formaldehyde (HCHO) and other oxygenated hydrocarbons (OVOCs) were measured with an online gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a mass spectrometer and a flame ionization detector (FID), a Hantzsch fluorimetric monitor and proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometer (PTR-MS), respectively (Yuan et al., 2010, 2012; M. Wang et al., 2014). Methane was measured by a cavity ring-down spectroscopy technique (CRDS). Measurement of nitrous acid (HONO) was performed by a long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP) instrument (X. Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016).

Particle mass concentrations (PM$_{2.5}$) were measured using a standard Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM). The ionic compositions of PM$_{2.5}$ were determined by a gas aerosol collector (GAC)–ion chromatography system (Dong et al., 2012). The dry-state particle number size distribution was determined by combining the data (Pfeifer et al., 2014) from a Mobility Particle Size Spectrometer (Dual TROPOS-type SMPS; Birmili et al., 1999; Wiedensohler et al., 2012) and an Aerodynamic Particle Size Spectrometer (TSI-type APS model 3321; Pfeifer et al., 2016), covering the size ranges from 4 to 800 nm (mobility particle diameter) and 0.8 to 10 µm (aerodynamic particle diameter), respectively. The ambient (wet) particle number size distributions as function of the relative humidity were calculated from a size-resolved kappa-Köhler function determined from real-time measurement of a High Humidity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer (HHTDMA; Henning et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2014). Ambient particle surface area concentrations ($S_a$) were calculated based on the (wet) ambient particle number size distribution assuming spherical particles.

Meteorological parameters including wind direction, wind speed, relative humidity (RH), pressure and temperature were measured with an ultrasonic anemometer and a weather station on a 20 m height tower, which was situated 30 m from the trailers. Photolysis frequencies were determined from actinic flux densities measured by a spectroradiometer (Meteorologie Consult; Bohn et al., 2008).

2.4 Meteorological and dispersion models

The Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF) was used for the simulation of meteorological fields during the study. Four nested domains were adopted for WRF simulations, covering the whole of China, northern China, the North China Plain and the surrounding area of the Wangdu site,
with a grid size of 27, 9, 3 and 1 km, respectively. Other settings utilized in this study were the same as those described in Wang et al. (2016). The simulation results from the WRF were validated by using hourly surface observation data obtained from the China Meteorological Agency (CMA). WRF simulations generally reproduced the meteorology conditions in NCP during the campaign (refer to Table S1).

With the hourly WRF output, the HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT; Draxler et al., 2014) was adopted to investigate the history of air masses that arrived at the measurement site. The HYSPLIT model was run in the dispersion mode for 12 h backward in time, where 2500 particles were released at the sampling site, and the hourly positions of these particles were tracked during this period. More detailed settings and descriptions of the HYSPLIT model can be found in Wang et al. (2016).

2.5 Chemical box model

In order to evaluate the contributions of CINO₂ to daytime primary radical and O₃ production, an explicit observation-based chemical box model was utilized. The model was developed based on the latest version of Master Chemical Mechanism v3.3 (Jenkin et al., 2015), and was updated with a Cl chemistry module including 205 reactions of the inorganic mechanisms of Cl and VOCs degradations initiated by Cl (Xue et al., 2015).

The observation data of CINO₂, HCl, HONO, O₃, NO, NO₂, SO₂, CO, CH₄, C₂H₆O, NMHCs, OVOCs (methanol, formaldehyde, acetone, acetaldehyde, acetic acid, MEK, MTBE), H₂O, temperature, pressure and aerosol surface area were averaged or interpolated. The model was constrained by the observation data every 10 min. The average concentration for each species and meteorological input are shown in Table S2. The photolysis frequency input of NO₂ (*jNO₂*), HONO (*jHONO*), O₃ (*jO₃*), and CINO₂ (*jCINO₂*) was determined from the field measurements. The *jCINO₂* here was determined based on the cross section recommended by Sander et al. (2011). It should be noted that the *jCINO₂* would be around 20% smaller using the most recent NASA-JPL recommendation based on a work by Ghosh et al. (2012). The photolysis frequency of other related compounds was predicted following the function of the solar zenith angle (Saunders et al., 2003) in the model, and was scaled according to the field-measured *jNO₂*. The lifetime of the unmeasured species with respect to physical first-order loss rate was set as 6 h, which is equal to a deposition velocity of 4.63 cm s⁻¹ in a boundary layer of 1000 m depth. The model was run for a 24 h period with the starting time set at 00:00 local time, and was repeatedly run for 6 times to stabilize the unmeasured intermediate species. The daytime output from the final run was used for further analysis of the primary radical production and O₃ production and loss processes.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Overview of measurement results

Figure 2 depicts the temporal variations of ClNO₂, N₂O₅, related trace gases, PM_{2.5} and selected meteorological parameters for the study period. The data gaps were caused by technical problems, calibrations or maintenance of the instruments, which usually took place in the afternoon of each day. Elevated ClNO₂ was measured in all of the 13 nights with full CIMS measurements, which show typical nighttime concentrations larger than 350 pptv. The highest ClNO₂ was observed on 20–21 June with maximum mixing ratio of 2070 pptv. There were several nights when ClNO₂ mixing ratios were less than 200 pptv (e.g., on 24–25 and 28–29 June and 8–9 July). The observed ClNO₂ levels at Wangdu are comparable with previous measurements made in both coastal (e.g., Osthoff et al., 2008; Riedel et al., 2012; Mielke et al., 2013) and inland sites (e.g., Thornton et al., 2010; Phillips et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2013). As for N₂O₅, low concentrations (< 200 pptv) were observed almost every night, implying fast loss of N₂O₅ except in the night of 28–29 June when mixing ratios of up to 430 pptv were observed in the air masses with low humidity (RH = ∼40%) and NO (< 2 ppbv).

The observation of elevated ClNO₂ is in line with the expectation of ubiquitous ClNO₂ precursors like NOₓ, O₃ and aerosols in the NCP environment. As shown in Fig. 2, afternoon mixing ratios of O₃ exceeded 90 ppbv on a majority of days, with a maximum value of 146 ppbv, indicative of intense photochemical reactions during the study period. NOₓ mixing ratios were in the range of 10–80 ppbv, which reflects strong emissions of NOₓ in the region. Similarly, aerosol loading was quite high, with PM_{2.5} mass concentration larger than 60 µg m⁻³ on most of the days, with the highest value of 220 µg m⁻³.

Figure 3 shows the 12 h backward particle dispersion trajectories with 08:00 local time (LT) as the starting time during 21 June–9 July 2014. There were no significant changes in the origins of air masses for those trajectories arriving at 00:00 and 14:00 (Figs. S5 and S6). The study period can be meteorologically separated into three parts. The first part, 21–23 June, indicates air masses from megacities of Beijing and Tianjin (passing over Baoding) in the northeast. The highest ClNO₂ level was observed in this period. The second part begins on 24 June and ends on 7 July, with a large majority of air masses originating from the southern sector and passing over a portion of urban areas of Shijiazhuang. The ClNO₂ mixing ratios were in the range of tens of ppbv to 1.2 ppbv. The final part is 8–9 July, when air masses were mostly from the less developed mountainous areas in the northwest sector, and the ClNO₂ concentrations were low. The entire field campaign was therefore dominated by air masses from southern regions, which is the typical summertime condition in the NCP.
3.2 Diurnal variations

Figure 4a illustrates the mean diurnal variation of ClNO$_2$ and relevant chemical data during the campaign. ClNO$_2$ exhibited a clear diurnal cycle with accumulation of ClNO$_2$ after sunset (∼20:00), and reached a peak at ∼08:00 in the morning. It then declined gradually to concentrations near the detection limit at noon. The average mixing ratios of ClNO$_2$ were up to 550 pptv. Its precursors, N$_2$O$_5$, only showed a small peak right after sunset, with a maximum average mixing ratio of 80 pptv, and remained at levels near the detection limit of the CIMS for the rest of the night. The NO$_y$, NO$_x$ and S$_a$ also showed a similar pattern as ClNO$_2$. They increased at sunset with an average nighttime concentration of 29 ppbv, 21 ppbv and 1880 µm$^2$cm$^{-3}$, respectively, and were at lowest levels at midday. The average nighttime NO$_x$ to NO$_y$ ratio was 0.72. Diurnal variation of O$_3$ was anti-correlated with that of NO$_x$, with the former concentration rapidly decreasing as night falls.

The highest mixing ratio of ClNO$_2$ was observed on 20–21 June in the outflow of Tianjin megacity (see Fig. 3). We term it as the megacity case in the remaining of the paper. The ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios in the megacity case were in the range of 110 to 2070 pptv, while N$_2$O$_5$ peaked at 170 pptv (Fig. 4b). NO$_y$, O$_3$ and S$_a$ were generally at similar levels to average conditions, but the NO$_x$ was less abundant that night compared to the campaign average, with a mean value of 16 ppbv. A smaller NO$_x$ / NO$_y$ ratio of ∼0.55 was found that night, indicating more aged air masses being sampled.

3.3 Factors affecting ClNO$_2$ production

In this section, we examine the factors that may have caused the large difference of ClNO$_2$ levels in the megacity case and campaign average. Ambient ClNO$_2$ concentrations are affected by several factors, including the following: (1) production rate of NO$_3$ ($P$(NO$_3$)); (2) N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity (i.e., heterogeneous loss on aerosol surface and dissociation to NO$_3$); and (3) production yield of ClNO$_2$ ($\Phi$). The calculated nighttime $P$(NO$_3$) through Reaction (R1), $P$(NO$_3$) = $k_{NO_2+O_3}[NO_2][O_3]$, does not show much difference, with 1.7 ± 0.6 in the campaign average and 1.3 ± 0.5 ppbv h$^{-1}$ in the megacity case.

The N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity was assessed with inverse N$_2$O$_5$ steady-state lifetime analysis by using Eqs. (1) and (2) below (e.g., Platt et al., 1984; Brown et al., 2003, 2006, 2009, 2016).

$$\tau(N_2O_5)^{-1} = \frac{P(NO_3)}{[N_2O_5]} = \frac{k(NO_3)}{K_{eq}[NO_2]} + k(N_2O_5)_{net}$$  (1)

$$k(NO_3) = k_{NO+NO_2}[NO] + \sum_i k_i[VOC_i]$$  (2)

The steady-state inverse lifetimes of N$_2$O$_5$, $\tau(N_2O_5)^{-1}$, is the sum of the N$_2$O$_5$ loss rate through NO$_3$ (i.e.,
Figure 3. Images depict a 12 h history of air masses arriving at the measurement site at 08:00. Yellow crosses represent major coal-fired power plants in the region.
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Figure 4. Diurnal variations of ClNO₂, N₂O₅, NO₃, NOₓ, O₃ and particle surface area for (a) the campaign average (from 20 June to 9 July 2014 when ClNO₂ data are available) and (b) the highest ClNO₂ case on 20–21 June 2014 (the megacity case).

Figure 5. (a) Fractions of N₂O₅ loss rate coefficient through NO₃, homogenous and heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ for the campaign average and the megacity case; (b) the calculated ClNO₂ production rate for campaign average and the megacity case.

\[ k(\text{NO}_3)/K_{\text{eq}}(\text{NO}_2) \]

and the N₂O₅ heterogeneous loss rate coefficient \( k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}} \): see Eq. 1). The total reactivity can be obtained by the ratio of \( P(\text{NO}_3) \) to the observed N₂O₅ mixing ratios (Brown et al., 2009). \( K_{\text{eq}} \) is the temperature-dependent equilibrium coefficient in Reaction (R5), and the \( k(\text{NO}_3) \) is the loss rate coefficient of NO₃ with NO and VOCs (see Eq. 2). Thus \( k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}} \) can be obtained by subtracting \( k(\text{NO}_3)/K_{\text{eq}}(\text{NO}_2) \) from the determined \( \tau(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)^{−1} \). We only conduct analysis for the period between \( \sim 20:30 \) (0.5 h after sunset) and \( \sim 23:30 \) when there were no significant NO plumes (refer Fig. 4), as interception of fresh emissions could lead to the failure of the N₂O₅ steady-state approximation in the air mass (e.g., Brown et al. 2003, 2011, 2016).

Figure 5a shows the averaged total N₂O₅ reactivity and fractions of N₂O₅ loss through NO₃ and heterogeneous loss of N₂O₅ for both the campaign average and the megacity case. The determined \( \tau(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)^{−1} \) is \( 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for the campaign average and \( 5.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \) for the megacity case, suggesting that the average total loss rate coefficient of N₂O₅ is twice that of the megacity case. However, the N₂O₅ reactivity is mainly dominated by loss via NO₃ (89 %) for the campaign average, which is in line with its relatively higher VOCs and NO background (Fig. S7). For the megacity case, although it has lower total N₂O₅ reactivity, the \( k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}} \) has about equal contribution with the loss via NO₃ and is about a factor of 2.4 faster than the campaign average. This gives a larger N₂O₅ uptake coefficient (\( \gamma \)) of 0.030 in the megacity case compared to 0.014 in the campaign average (which is estimated from Eq. (3), where \( c_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5} \) is the mean molecular speed of N₂O₅).

\[ k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}} = \frac{1}{4} c_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5} S_{\text{a}} \gamma \]

(3)

The ClNO₂ yield that best fits the observations can be estimated by dividing the ClNO₂ concentration over the inte-
grated amount of N₂O₅ uptake loss, as shown in Eq. (4).

\[
\Phi = \frac{[\text{ClNO}_2]}{\int k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}}[\text{N}_2\text{O}_3]dt}
\]  

(4)

Comparable average ClNO₂ yields of 0.30 and 0.35 are found in the campaign average and megacity case, respectively.

The ClNO₂ production rate depends on the N₂O₅ heterogeneous loss rate coefficient, mixing ratios of N₂O₅ and Φ, which can be predicted with Eq. (5) when the loss of ClNO₂ is negligible during the nighttime.

\[
\frac{d[\text{ClNO}_2]}{dt} = k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}}[\text{N}_2\text{O}_3]\Phi
\]  

(5)

As illustrated in Fig. 5b, the predicted ClNO₂ production rate is a factor of 4 larger than the campaign average. The larger ClNO₂ production rate can be justified by the \( k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}}\Phi \) that is twice higher and N₂O₅ that is more abundant (ca. 2 times larger) in the megacity case that was due to the less N₂O₅ loss through conversion to NO₃ (as shown above). This result is consistent with the observed ClNO₂ mixing ratios that are 4-fold higher in the megacity case compared to average conditions (cf. Fig. 4), demonstrating that the faster heterogeneous N₂O₅ loss and smaller loss via NO₃ in the megacity case were the major reasons contributing to the larger ClNO₂ concentrations.

3.4 Sustained ClNO₂ morning peaks

A distinct feature of the ClNO₂ is the elevated concentrations sustained after sunrise. Figure 6 depicts the expanded view of the morning ClNO₂ peaks together with related chemical characteristics in the campaign average and megacity case. ClNO₂ concentration continued to increase after sunrise (at \( \sim 04:40 \)) and persisted for 4 h from sunrise for almost every day. The average mixing ratio of the morning ClNO₂ peak was 550 pptv, with the megacity case reaching the ppbv level. These results are different from the typical diurnal patterns of ClNO₂ observed at other places, which usually show a decline of ClNO₂ levels at sunrise (e.g., Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010; Mielke et al., 2013; Tham et al., 2014). As outlined in the introduction, morning peaks of ClNO₂ were also observed in London (Bannan et al., 2015) and Texas (Faxon et al., 2015), but they were much smaller than the values at Wangdu.

3.4.1 Causes of ClNO₂ morning peaks

The ClNO₂ enhancement (ΔClNO₂) in the morning could be caused by in situ ClNO₂ production and/or downward mixing of the ClNO₂, which has been produced in the residual layer (RL) over the night. We calculated the in situ production of ClNO₂ (the area shaded in light gray in Fig. 6) by using Eq. (6), which is similar to Eq. (3), with additional consideration of ClNO₂ loss via photolysis. Since the

\[
\frac{d[\text{ClNO}_2]}{dt} = k(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)_{\text{het}}[\text{N}_2\text{O}_3] - j\text{ClNO}_2[\text{ClNO}_2]
\]  

(6)

We used a \( \gamma \) of 0.03 and unity \( \Phi \) of 1.0 in the calculations. These numbers are considered as upper end values based on previous field studies (Brown et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2013). As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 6, the calculated ClNO₂ with \( \gamma \Phi = 0.03 \) cannot reproduce the observed increases in ClNO₂. Larger \( \gamma \Phi \) of 0.06–0.09 would be needed, but such large uptake coefficients and yields are not supported by the currently available data in the literature. Therefore, we think that in situ ClNO₂ production is not the main reason for the ClNO₂ morning peak.

Meteorological and chemical data point to the entrainment of ClNO₂-rich air aloft after sunrise as the cause of the ClNO₂ morning peaks. Figure 7 shows the fractions of air arriving at the measurements site from various altitudes at different times of day based on the simulations of WRF-HYSPLIT. Vertical mixing was limited prior to sunrise (\( \sim 04:00 \)) as most of the air masses were confined to ground level (\(< 200 \text{ m above ground level, a.g.l.} \)). Shortly after sunrise (\( \sim 05:00 \)), contributions of air masses from the higher levels began to increase after the breakup of the nocturnal boundary layer (NBL). As time advanced, larger fraction of higher-level air masses impacted the surface site. Chemical data are consistent with the meteorological analysis. As shown in Fig. 6, the SO₂ / NO₂ ratios in both cases increased up to 0.6–0.8 after sunrise, indicative of the impact of plumes from coal-fired facilities like power plants. The power-plant plumes from elevated stacks typically reside above the NBL due to poor mixing at night. Coal-fired power plants emit large amounts of NOₓ and Cl⁻ containing aerosols, in addition to SO₂ (McCulloch et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2008). Together with high O₃ produced in the preceding daytime and aerosol loadings, significant production of ClNO₂ above the NBL is expected and indeed has been observed in previous field studies (Wagner et al., 2012; Young et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2013). In the present study, the ClNO₂ precursors like Cl⁻ aerosol and P(NO₃) and a co-product of chlorine activation, nitrate (NO₃⁻) aerosol, also showed significant enhancement in the early morning hours (see Fig. 6).

3.4.2 Estimation of ClNO₂ concentrations in the residual layer

We estimate the amount of the ClNO₂ that would exist in the residual layer to maintain the observed ClNO₂ at ground level. Here we use a simplified one-dimensional (1-D) model to illustrate the mixing process. This model contains two layers of air before sunrise, NBL and RL, with ClNO₂ concen-
Figure 6. Expanded view of the sustained morning CINO₂ peaks for (a) the campaign average and (b) the megacity case. The upper panel shows some relevant chemical information including SO₂ / NOₓ, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and P(NO₃). The increase of CINO₂ in the shaded area (light gray) is compared to the calculated in situ production of CINO₂ (lower panel).

Concentrations of Cₙ and Cᵣ, respectively (see Fig. S8). We assume no mixing of air masses (and CINO₂) between the two layers. After sunrise, the two layers are efficiently mixed, yielding a constant concentration of CINO₂ (Cₚ). The heights of the daytime planetary boundary layer (PBL) and NBL were calculated by the WRF model, which has been previously demonstrated to be capable of reproducing the PBL heights with a bias of about −13 % (Hu et al., 2010). The difference in the heights of PBL and NBL is the depth of the RL. Cₙ and Cₚ are the observed mixing ratios before (at 05:00) and after (at 08:00) sunrise, respectively. The concentration in the RL before sunrise can be estimated by the mass balance approach, taking consideration of loss of CINO₂ from photolysis between 05:00 and 08:00 (Eq. 8).

\[
C_p \times H_p = \left( C_n \exp(-j\text{CINO}_2 t) \times H_n \right) + \left( C_r \exp(-j\text{CINO}_2 t) \times H_r \right),
\]

(7)

where \( j \text{CINO}_2 \) is the photolysis rate of CINO₂ and \( t \) is time. The estimated CINO₂ concentration in the RL may be subject to underestimation due to the omission of the upward diffusion of CINO₂ in the RL to the free troposphere.

For the campaign average, the WRF-calculated boundary layer height is 30 m and 325 m (a.g.l.) at 05:00 and 08:00, respectively (refer to Fig. S9). This gives a mixing ratio of CINO₂ in the RL of 1.7 ppbv. For the megacity case with boundary layer height of 72 m at 05:00 and 610 m at 08:00,
Table 2. Correlations of chloride with power plant and biomass burning indicators (from 20:00 to 09:30 LT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration (20:00–09:30)</th>
<th>[Cl(^{-})] Mean (µg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Power plant indicator (SO(_2)) Mean (ppbv)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Correlation (r)</th>
<th>Biomass burning indicator (CH(_3)CN) Mean (ppbv)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Correlation (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20–21 June</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22 June</td>
<td>2.792</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24 June</td>
<td>1.729</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25 June</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>36.96</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–26 June</td>
<td>1.988</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 June</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>–1.33</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–29 June</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29–30 June</td>
<td>1.429</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 June–1 July</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01–02 July</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02–03 July</td>
<td>2.187</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03–04 July</td>
<td>2.636</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04–05 July</td>
<td>2.158</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>30.09</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05–06 July</td>
<td>2.857</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06–07 July</td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07–08 July</td>
<td>1.939</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Fractions of air masses arriving at the measurement site at different times of the day (average conditions). It is noted that these fractions were derived from the 1 h backward-in-time HYSPLIT simulation results. Red arrows show the decreased contribution of lower layer (< 200 m) and the increased contribution from upper layer (> 200 m) air masses shortly after sunrise.

The CINO\(_2\) in the RL by sunrise would be 4.0 ppbv. The uncertainties of the simulated boundary layer heights may affect the calculated CINO\(_2\) concentration. Recalculation of the CINO\(_2\) concentration by increasing the simulated nocturnal boundary layer height (05:00) by a factor of 2 while remaining the same boundary layer height at 08:00 shows a difference in calculated CINO\(_2\) of less than 10%. These estimated CINO\(_2\) concentrations are within the range of aircraft and tower measurements in RL in the United States (Wagner et al., 2012; Young et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2013), and are comparable to the highest CINO\(_2\) observed at a mountain site in southern China (Wang et al., 2016). These results suggest that elevated CINO\(_2\) may be frequently present in the residual layer of this region.

3.5 Sources of chloride aerosols

The elevated CINO\(_2\) at Wangdu site requires a sufficient amount of chloride aerosols to support its production. Abundant fine Cl\(^{-}\) aerosols were frequently observed during night hours (20:00–09:30), with a mean concentration of
1.6 µg m\(^{-3}\) and maximum of 6.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) (Fig. 8). The presence of gas-phase HCl during the night (mean = 0.78 ppbv) can also continuously replenish the Cl\(^{-}\) aerosol. The Cl\(^{-}\) concentrations in our study are comparable to those previously observed in the NCP (Sun et al., 2006, 2015; Huang et al., 2014). As can be seen in Fig. 3, back-trajectories at Wangdu indicated that the air was mainly of continental origin with limited direct influences from the oceans. Chemical data also provide evidence for non-oceanic Cl\(^{-}\) sources. The Cl\(^{-}\) aerosol showed good correlation \((r > 0.75)\) with SO\(_2\) in 11 out of 16 nights, including 4 nights with concurrent good correlation \((r > 0.75)\) with a biomass burning tracer, acetonitrile (CH\(_3\)CN; see Table 2). These results suggest that coal-fired power plants are a dominant source of chloride in the region, with additional contributions from biomass burning. Significant chlorine content (260 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) has been found in the coal used in China (Zhang et al., 2012). Under high temperature and oxygen-free conditions, combustion of coal can release up to 97% of the chlorine in the coal in the form of HCl gas (Gibb, 1983), which can then be transformed into the aerosol phase (Cl\(^{-}\)) through neutralization reactions in the ambient air. The contribution of biomass burning at Wangdu can also be seen in the active fires’ data on the nights with good correlation between Cl\(^{-}\) aerosol and CH\(_3\)CN. Figure 9 shows an example of burning activities mostly in the south of Wangdu on 28–29 June. Li et al. (2007) measured composition of smoke from burning of wheat straw and maize stover harvested in NCP, and found 13.8 and 23.0% of Cl\(^{-}\) in the PM\(_{2.5}\) mass loading, respectively. Recent field measurement of biomass burning plumes during the harvesting period in China also indicated a drastic increase in the Cl\(^{-}\) concentration (>20 µg m\(^{-3}\); J. F. Li et al., 2014).

3.6 The impact of ClNO\(_2\) on primary radical and ozone production

This section examines the contributions of ClNO\(_2\) to the primary RO\(_x\) (OH+HO\(_2\)+RO\(_2\)) radical and in situ ozone production at Wangdu using the observation-constrained box model described in Sect. 2.5. The analysis focuses on the campaign average conditions and the megacity case. The mean concentrations of trace gases and other parameters that serve as inputs are shown in Table S2.

Figure 10a illustrates the Cl production rate derived from the photolysis of ClNO\(_2\) and without ClNO\(_2\) (only from photolysis of Cl\(_2\) and HCl+OH). It shows that photolysis of ClNO\(_2\) was the predominant source of Cl in Wangdu compared to the reaction of HCl and OH and photolysis of Cl\(_2\). The production of Cl was efficient in the morning (from sunrise to ~11:00) and reached a maximum at ~08:00, corresponding to the peak concentration of ClNO\(_2\). The Cl production rate was up to 0.24 for average conditions, and up to 1.12 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) for the megacity case. Figure 10b depicts the primary daytime RO\(_3\) production \((P(RO_3))\) from sources including photolysis of ClNO\(_2\), OVOCs (excluded HCHO), HCHO, HONO and O\(_3\) (O\(^1\)D+H\(_2\)O), O\(_3\)+VOCs and NO\(_3\) oxidation. Similar to previous studies, the primary daytime \(P(RO_3)\) is dominated by sources from photolysis of HCHO, OVOCs and HONO (Kanaya et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2013). The photolysis of ClNO\(_2\) is particularly important during the morning hours. During 08:00–08:30, photolysis of ClNO\(_2\) contributed to 10% of the \(P(RO_3)\) in average conditions, and there was a much larger contribution of 30% in the megacity case. These results highlight the importance of ClNO\(_2\) as a significant source of RO\(_3\) radicals in this region. The reader is referred to Tan et al. (2016) for more extensive analysis on the RO\(_3\) chemistry at Wangdu.

The effect of ClNO\(_2\) photolysis on in situ ozone production is also relevant. Figure 11 shows the net ozone production rates \((P(O_3))\) during daytime (from 05:00 to 18:00) and the difference in integrated total ozone production simulated with ClNO\(_2\) and without ClNO\(_2\) input. The O\(_3\) production rates were enhanced throughout the day due to the ClNO\(_2\) effect, especially during the morning hours. The increase in net \(P(O_3)\) for the campaign average reached 0.9 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) or 17% during the morning. For the megacity outflow, much higher increases in \(P(O_3)\) can be seen in the entire morning, with a maximum of 3.3 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) (or 76% increase) at ~08:00. Integrating \(P(O_3)\) over the entire daytime period, the increase in total ozone production was 4.3 (3%) and 1.1 ppbv (13%) for average conditions and the megacity case, respectively. Although not directly comparable, these values are generally within the range of net ozone production increase caused by ClNO\(_2\) in previous studies in Houston (Osthoff et al., 2008), Los Angeles (Riedel et al., 2014) and southern China (Xue et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016).
We notice a large difference in the impact of ClNO₂ on ozone production between the campaign average and the megacity case. This can be explained by their different ClNO₂ and VOCs’ characteristics. First, for the campaign average, the ClNO₂ mixing ratio was much lower than that of the megacity case (see Sect. 3.3). The smaller ClNO₂ concentrations, in turn, would produce less chlorine radical in the daytime, reducing the production of ROₓ, which ultimately decreases the O₃ production. A simulation test by only reducing the ClNO₂ mixing ratios in the megacity case by a factor of 2.8 (that is, to the same levels of the campaign average) showed a sharp drop in the increase of the ozone production from 13 to 6 % (Fig. S10a), confirming the importance of ClNO₂ mixing ratios in driving the ozone enhancement. Second, the higher OVOC to NMHC fraction in the megacity case by a factor of 2.8 (that is, to the same levels of the campaign average) showed a sharp drop in the increase of the ozone production from 13 to 6 % (Fig. S10a), confirming the importance of ClNO₂ mixing ratios in driving the ozone enhancement. Second, the higher OVOC to NMHC fraction in the megacity case (see Fig. S11 for the VOCs mix) provided a larger pool of ROₓ, and the radical propagation would amplify the OH through efficient radical recycling (Liu et al., 2012), dampening the effect of chlorine radical. Another test by only increasing the ClNO₂ mixing ratios in the campaign average conditions to the same value of the megacity case indicated a relatively smaller increase in the ozone production (9 %; see Fig. S10b) compared to the increased percentage of O₃ production in the megacity case (13 %).

The much higher OVOCs mixing ratios to NMHCs in campaign average are likely influenced by the biomass burning activities, which are more intense in the regions south of Wangdu (refer to Fig. S1), and the biomass burning-influenced plumes can be transported to Wangdu by prevailing southerly winds as shown by the trajectories (Fig. 3). During our field measurement, we indeed observed significant increase (up to 170 ppbv) of OVOCs in a fresh biomass burning plume at midnight on 15 June 2014 (Fig. S12). High content of OVOCs from biomass burning has been previously reported at a mountain site of the NCP (Inomata et al., 2010). Significant emissions of OVOCs from the burning of Chinese crop residues were also reported in a recent laboratory study (Inomata et al., 2015).

We hence conclude that higher concentrations of ClNO₂ and lower abundance of VOCs (i.e., a smaller OVOC to NMHC fraction) in the megacity case resulted in a relatively higher impact of Cl chemistry on the ozone formation. Although we only measured very high ClNO₂ concentration in one event of megacity outflow, similar cases occurred in the beginning of the study period during which NOₓ, NO₃, SO₄ and VOCs’ data were available (but not ClNO₂). An examination of the VOCs’ mix and other chemical compounds confirmed the lower abundance of OVOCs, and has similar chemical characteristics (NMHC, NOₓ, NO₃, SO₄) in these cases (see Fig. S13 for an example of chemical characteristics on 23 and 27 June 2014). Previous studies have shown

Figure 11. Net ozone production rates for (a) average conditions, (b) the megacity case and (c) the difference in integrated net ozone production rate between the simulation with and without the ClNO₂ input.
lower abundance of OVOCs relative to NMHCs in and downwind of the urban area of Beijing (Liu et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2011). Thus, we suggest that the effect of CINO₂ on ozone enhancement may be more important in air masses dominated by urban/power plants emissions than those by biomass burning.

4 Summary and conclusions

This first CINO₂ measurement in northern China unambiguously documented the presence of elevated CINO₂ in this highly polluted region. The highest CINO₂ mixing ratio (2070 pptv, 1 min average) was observed in an urban outflow plume on 20–21 June 2014. The air mass was characterized by faster (by a factor of 2.4) N₂O₅ heterogeneous loss as well as a larger CINO₂ production rate (by a factor of 4) compared to the campaign average conditions. The peak concentrations of CINO₂ often occurred 4 h after sunrise. Downward mixing of CINO₂-rich air in the residual layer is believed to be the cause of these morning peaks, and the mixing ratios of CINO₂ in residual layer are estimated in the range of 1.7–4.0 ppbv. These values are supported by our mountaintop measurement of CINO₂ in Hong Kong with mixing ratios of up to 4.7 ppbv in well-processed urban/industrial plumes (Wang et al., 2016). The Wangdu result implies strong productions of CINO₂ in the residual layers over the polluted regions of northern China. We also observed evidence for existence of fine aerosol chloride from non-oceanic sources like coal-fired power plants and burning of crop residues, suggesting the widespread effects of CINO₂ on the oxidative capacity and production of secondary pollutants in this region. Our model calculations suggest a larger impact of CINO₂ on primary radical productions and ozone enhancement in urban/power-plant-emission-dominated air masses compared to biomass burning due to higher levels of VOCs (also larger OVOC to NMHC ratios) and relatively lower CINO₂ in the latter case. More studies in non-biomass burning seasons and in areas adjacent to megacities/power plants are needed to examine the production of CINO₂ and its effect on regional photochemistry. Vertical profile measurements of CINO₂ and related species would be highly desirable.

5 Data availability

The data used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request (cetwang@polyu.edu.hk).

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-16-14959-2016-supplement.
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