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S1. Continuous-Flow EPR (electron paramagnetic resonance):

Transient radicals may be directly observed by means of continuous-flow EPR. To use this technique for the present study, a steady state concentration of radicals was carried on the EPR cavity by pumping separated reactant solutions and mixing them prior to the EPR cavity. The radicals were obtained using the following reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ti}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Ti}^{4+} + \text{OH} + \text{OH}^- \\
\text{MVK} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{Transient Radicals}
\end{align*}
\]

EPR experiments were carried out on a Bruker EMX instrument equipped with a TM4103 cylindrical cavity. Continuously degassed (Argon) aqueous solutions of MVK (25 mM (Figure S1.1) to 1 mM (Figure S1.2)) + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 (25 to 10 mM, obtained from 30% \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 solution) and \text{Ti}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 (2.5 mM (Figure S1.1) to 1 mM (Figure S1.2) obtained from a 20% aqueous solution in \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4) were pumped by a 2-way peristaltic pump (Cole-Parmer, typically 90 mL min\(^{-1}\)/stream) and injected into a flow cell fitted within the EPR cavity. A mixing chamber located prior to the cell allowed for simultaneous mixing of the two streams (the concentrations indicated previously are those obtained after mixing).

Comparing our experimental EPR spectra to simulations (Figure S1), the signal of HO-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}-\text{C(O)CH}_3 radical adduct resulting from pathway (1) was clearly distinguished. The radical species whose proportion was concentration dependent (compare Figures S1.1 and S1.2) was attributed to a dimer radical such as HO-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}(\text{C(O)CH}_3)-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}-\text{C(O)CH}_3, thus confirming the very fast oligomerization pathway (Gilbert et al., 1994). However, more than two radical species were present in our experiments, but their respective signals remained unidentified due to overlapping EPR lines in the spectra.
**Figure S1.a:** EPR spectra of radicals produced during reaction of hydroxyl radical with high initial concentrations of MVK (at pH = 1): (a) experimental, (b) simulated. (●) HO-CH$_2$-CH-C(O)CH$_3$ transient radicals (●)HO-CH$_2$-C(H)(C(O)CH$_3$)-CH$_2$-CH-C(O)CH$_3$ transient radicals. [MVK] = 25 mM, [Ti$^{3+}$] = 1.6 mM, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 16 mM, flow = 2x90 mL min$^{-1}$. Setting: Power: 20 mW, Gain: $10^6$, Scan Width 8.2 mT, Modulation Amplitude: 0.05 mT, Time Constant 81.9 ms, Scan Time: 1342.1 s.

**Figure S1b:** EPR spectra of radicals produced during reaction of hydroxyl radical with low initial concentrations of MVK (at pH = 1): (a) experimental, (b) simulated. (●) HO-CH$_2$-CH-C(O)CH$_3$. [MVK] = 1 mM, [Ti$^{3+}$] = 1 mM, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 10 mM, flow = 2x90 mL min$^{-1}$. Setting: Power: 20 mW, Gain: $10^6$, Scan Width 8.2 mT, Modulation Amplitude: 0.05 mT, Time Constant 81.9 ms, Scan Time: 1342.1 s.
S2. Fits to measured O$_2$ concentration during experiments

The solutions of MVK, H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$ were continuously stirred during the experiments. The concentration of dissolved oxygen was highly variable with time and cannot be reproduced by the box model as described in Section 2.2.1. The oxygen concentration was continuously measured during the experiments by an oxymeter (Consort C3020). In order to constrain the oxygen concentrations in the simulations of the experiments, the measured oxygen concentrations were empirically fitted. The corresponding equations and fits, together with the experimental data are included in Figure S2.

Supersaturation of dissolved O$_2$ was systematically observed prior MVK introduction, when H$_2$O$_2$ was photolyzed under our experimental conditions (Figure S2). This can be explained by the fact that our stirred reactor was closed prior MVK injection and samplings (to prevent from contamination by ambient air), and thus the aqueous/gas exchanges of O$_2$ were less efficient than for a fully open, stirred reactor. Thus, our solutions were sensitive to O$_2$ formation in the solution, which occurred through reactions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in the H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis reaction scheme (Table S1). This also explains why the amount of O$_2$ produced increased with increasing initial H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (Figure S2), as the experiments were performed with different MVK and H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations, using a constant initial [MVK]/[H$_2$O$_2$] ratio. When MVK was introduced and during the rest of the experiment, the reactor was opened periodically for sampling, thus inducing aqueous/air exchanges of O$_2$, but these were less efficient than the reaction of O$_2$ consumption by the reaction as shown by the O$_2$ depletion observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HO$_x$ reactions</th>
<th>j$_{H2O2}$ = f([MVK]$_0$)</th>
<th>Estimated based on experiments, cf. Figure 3 (Christensen et al., 1982)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + hv $\rightarrow$ 2 OH</td>
<td>$3 \cdot 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(Bielski et al., 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + OH $\rightarrow$ HO$_2$ + H$_2$O</td>
<td>$8 \cdot 10^5$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (HO$_2$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$_2$ + HO$_2$/O$_2^-$ $\rightarrow$ O$_2$ + H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>$9.7 \cdot 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (O$_2^-$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH + HO$_2$/O$_2^-$ $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O + O$_2$</td>
<td>$10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(Elliot and Buxton, 1992)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1: Reaction scheme for the photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ and formation of O$_2$. 
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Figure S2: Fits (black lines) to measured oxygen concentrations (colored symbols) in the aqueous phase during MVK oligomerization experiments. Different colors denote individual experiments, matching the symbol colors in Figure 2.
S3. Fits to measured pH values during experiments

The initial pH value for all experiments was pH = 6. Due to the formation of organic acids (e.g. acetic acids or possibly acid functionalities on the oligomers), the pH value decreased to pH = 3 ([MVK]₀ ≥ 2 mM), and to pH = 4 for [MVK]₀ = 0.2 mM) over the course of the experiment. For simplicity, we constrain the pH value by linear fits for the time scales shown in Figure 2.

\[
pH = a - b \cdot t \quad \quad a = 6
\]

- [MVK]₀ = 20 mM \quad b = 1/4800
- [MVK]₀ = 2 mM and 5 mM \quad b = 1/2400
- [MVK]₀ = 0.2 mM \quad b = 1/1500

S4. Sensitivity studies of rate constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>(k_{arr}) [s⁻¹]</th>
<th>(k_{recomb}) [s⁻¹]</th>
<th>(k_{O_2}) [M⁻¹ s⁻¹]</th>
<th>(k_{olig}) [M⁻¹ s⁻¹]</th>
<th>(k^{1st}) [s⁻¹]</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base case</td>
<td>8⋅10⁶</td>
<td>2.4⋅10⁶</td>
<td>3.1⋅10⁹</td>
<td>5⋅10⁷</td>
<td>6⋅10⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiplication factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 (\times 10⁵)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 / 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 (\times 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 / 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 / 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 (\times 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2**: Sensitivity studies on estimated rate constants of the mechanism in Figure 1 and Table 1; results are shown in Figure S3.
Table S2 and Figure S3 summarize results of additional simulations using the mechanism in Figure 1. The most uncertain (estimated) rate constants were varied over several orders of magnitude. We did not include $j_{H_2O_2}$, $k_{MVK}$, and $k_{loss}$ in these sensitivity studies since these constants are well constrained by our experiments ($j_{H_2O_2}$; Figure 3) or by literature studies (Doussin and Monod, 2013; Schöne et al., 2014).

The values of $k_{arr}$ and $k_{recomb}$ were varied together since they have been originally estimated based on the ratio $k_{arr}/k_{recomb}$ for primary ethers. It seems unlikely that for similar compounds their ratio is different by several orders of magnitude. The fact that they do not impact the results in terms of MVK loss and oligomer formation shows that their values are not crucial for the results in Figure 2.

Variation of $k_{O_2}$ affects the competition between oligomer formation (all oligomer series,
except Oligomer 2; Figure 1). A higher \( k_{O_2} \) leads to a less efficient MVK loss by oligomerization since fewer alkyl radicals are available to add further MVK molecules. In order to match the experimental data, \( k_{olig} \) has to be changed in the same direction to compensate the less efficient MVK loss. It should be noted that generally \( k_{O_2} \) larger than \( \sim 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) seem unlikely since this threshold is approximately the diffusion limit of chemical reactions in the aqueous phase. The review of peroxy radical formation reactions suggests that large, sterically-hindered molecules might have \( k_{O_2} \) on the order of \( 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). A lower rate constant of \( k_{olig} \) (5 \( \cdot \) \( 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \)) seems to match better literature values of similar reactions. However, such slow oligomerization cannot reproduce the fast MVK loss due to oligomerization as observed for the highest initial concentrations (Figure S3a) and overpredicts it at lower initial MVK concentrations (Figure S3b). The results are quite insensitive to the choice of the rate constant for the termination of the radical oligomerization, \( k_{1st} \) (Simulations #5 and #6) which supports our simplified approach of expressing this step as a first order process.

The comparison of Figures S3a and S3b shows that the chosen sets of rate constants ('Base case') are a robust representation of the mechanism in Figure 1 over a wide range of concentrations. Other combinations might lead to a good match for one of the concentrations whereas for others it fails to reproduce the observed data.

**S5. One-step representation of oligomerization**

Based on additional laboratory studies, it can be assumed that MACR also forms oligomers by a similar mechanism as shown in Figure 1. Since a detailed mechanism as for MVK is not available, we describe the oligomer formation rate by a simplified scheme

\[
\text{MVK or MACR} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Oligomers}
\]  
(R-3)

with \( k_{R,3} \), chosen in a way that temporal evolution of the total oligomers in Figure 4 for all cases is approximated. It is obvious that none of the rate constants fits exactly all cases: Figure S3 shows the comparison of oligomer evolution as predicted by the full mechanism in Figure 1 and Table 1 and the predicted oligomer masses as predicted replacing the full mechanism by R-S1. Best agreement is found for a values within the range of \( 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} < k_{R,3} < 1.5 \cdot 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), with a slightly higher value for the case using low initial oxygen concentrations \( (k_{R,3} = 2 \cdot 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \). Based on this analysis, the simulations in Section 4 are performed with \( k_{R,3} = 1.5 \cdot 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).
### Table S3: Assumptions of one-step rate constants $k_{R-3}$ to reproduce oligomer increase and decay for five sets of initial aqueous concentrations ($[\text{MVK}]_0, [\text{O}_2]_0$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>$[\text{MVK}]_0$ (mM)</th>
<th>$[\text{O}_2]_0$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{R-3}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>$10^9$ $\text{1.5} \cdot 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>$10^9$ $\text{1.5} \cdot 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$10^9$ $\text{1.5} \cdot 10^9$ $\text{2} \cdot 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>$10^9$ $\text{1.5} \cdot 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>$10^9$ $\text{1.5} \cdot 10^9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure S4:** Predicted increase in oligomers in multiphase model (solid lines, these are the same results as in Figure 4) and approximations for different $k_{R,S1}$ in order to represent oligomerization in a single step. Solid lines show results for the full mechanism; dashed lines are model results for several assumptions of $k_{R-3}$. Input data for Cases A-E are summarized in Table S3 below. The best matching rate constant $k_{R-3}$ for each case is highlighted.
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