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**Supplementary Information**

**Supplementary Information 1: Calibration experiments of our set up**

Calibration experiments of our set up were performed using aqueous solutions of three different compounds (NaCl, NH₄NO₃, and succinic acid) at various concentrations covering those of the consumed MVK during its reaction (Table S1). In these calibration experiments, for each compound, the obtained numbers of particles increase with increasing solution concentrations, and the corresponding total particle mass (using the corresponding densities) increases linearly with the solution concentration. This result was used to evaluate the transmission efficiency of our set up. Assuming a similar behavior for the nebulized MVK-oligomers up to \( t_{\text{max}} \) (i.e. increasing oligomer concentrations with reaction time, assuming no major change in the oligomer composition), the calibration experiments were used to determine the SOA mass yields according to equations 2 and 3. The differences obtained between the three calibration experiments may be due to the different physical properties of the particles (e.g. volatility, surface tension and hygroscopicity) linked to their chemical composition. Table S1 shows that the solutions of NaCl generated a significantly higher transmission efficiency than the solutions of NH₄NO₃ and succinic acid. It is likely that the properties of the SOA generated from the nebulized solutions of oxidized MVK were closer to that of NH₄NO₃ and succinic acid than NaCl. Finally, the transmission efficiency obtained for NH₄NO₃ solutions was used to calculate the SOA mass yields according to equations 2 and 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Range of aqueous phase concentration (mg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Range of particle mass concentration after nebulization (µg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Particle density (g cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Transmission efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Succinic acid</td>
<td>50 - 2000</td>
<td>20 - 1300</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>13.1 (± 2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>30 - 3000</td>
<td>10 – 4500</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>17.2 (± 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>50 - 2000</td>
<td>100 - 4000</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>44.6 (± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S1:** Determination of particle transmission efficiency in the particle generation system
Supplementary Information 2: Average spectral irradiance of the Xe lamps

**Figure S1:** Figure S1: Average spectral irradiance of the Xe lamps 1000 W with a ASTM 490 AM 0 filter (in red, used in the present study), 300 W with a pyrex filter (in blue, Liu et al., 2012), and as compared to the direct solar irradiance at sea level, for a 48.3° zenith angle (in orange).
Supplementary Information 3: Determination of 'OH concentrations in the solution

'OH concentrations were calculated by means of two different methods (methods a) and b) below), which gave similar results:

a) Considering reactions R1 to R3, 'OH concentrations were calculated assuming the steady state approximation at time 0:

\[
[\text{OH}] = \frac{2 \times J_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} \times [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{k_3 \times [\text{MVK}] + k_2 \times [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}
\]

\[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2 \cdot \text{OH} \quad \text{R1}\]

\[J_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} \quad \text{was determined by fitting H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ concentration decay} \]

\[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \cdot \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{R2}\]

\[k_2 = 2.95 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^\circ \text{C} \quad (\text{Christensen et al., 1982})\]

\[\text{MVK} + \cdot \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Products} \quad \text{R3}\]

\[k_3 = 7.3.(\pm 0.5) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^\circ \text{C} \quad (\text{Schöne et al., 2014})\]

The obtained values are \[\cdot \text{OH}] = (6 - 5) \times 10^{-14} \text{ M} \ (\text{for pH 6 - 3 respectively})

b) Fitting the initial MVK decay with an exponential decay:

\[\text{[MVK]}_t = \text{[MVK]}_0 \times e^{-(k_3 \times \cdot \text{OH} \times t)}\]

With \[k_3 = 7.3.(\pm 0.5) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^\circ \text{C} \ (\text{Schöne et al., 2014}), \] we obtained similar values for \'OH steady state concentrations (\[\cdot \text{OH}] = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ M}) as for method a).
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