
B. Oney$^{1,2}$, S. Henne$^1$, N. Gruber$^{2,3}$, M. Leuenberger$^4$, I. Bamberger$^{5,a}$, W. Eugster$^5$, and D. Brunner$^{1,2}$

$^1$Empa, Lab. for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland
$^2$ETH Zurich, Center for Climate Systems Modeling, Zurich, Switzerland
$^3$ETH Zurich, Inst. of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, Zurich, Switzerland
$^4$Univ. of Bern, Physics Inst., Climate and Environmental Division, and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, Bern, Switzerland
$^5$ETH Zurich, Inst. of Agricultural Sciences, Zurich, Switzerland
$^a$now at: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research – Atmospheric Environmental Research, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

Correspondence to: D. Brunner (dominik.brunner@empa.ch)

Published: 17 November 2015

The isolines of the COSMO-2 topography are not visible in the published figure. This is an integral part of the discussion. This is corrected in the following figures.
Figure 2. Site surroundings including land use (first column), topography (second column), and Google aerial photo (third column), with Beromünster (a–c) in the first row, and Gimmiz (d–f) in the second row. The land use (a and d) is based on CORINE 2006 land cover classes color coded as follows – urban: red; food croplands: beige; evergreen forest: green; deciduous forest: light green; pasture: light brown; agroforestry areas: tan. Cyan contour lines in the topography panels (b and e) denote the COSMO-2 model topography.

Figure 3. Similar to Fig. 2 with Früebühl (a–c) in the first row and Lägern-Hochwacht (d–f) in the second row.