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Long-term MAX-DOAS network observations of NO$_2$ in Russia and Asia (MADRAS) during the period 2007–2012: instrumentation, elucidation of climatology, and comparisons with OMI satellite observations and global model simulations
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**Abstract.** We conducted long-term network observations using standardized Multi-Axis Differential optical absorption spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) instruments in Russia and ASia (MADRAS) from 2007 onwards and made the first synthetic data analysis. At seven locations (Cape Hedo, Fukue and Yokosuka in Japan, Hefei in China, Gwangju in Korea, and Tomsk and Zvenigorod in Russia) with different levels of pollution, we obtained 80,927 retrievals of tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column density (TropoNO$_2$VCD) and aerosol optical depth (AOD). In the technique, the optimal estimation of the TropoNO$_2$VCD and its profile was performed using aerosol information derived from O$_4$ absorbances simultaneously observed at 460–490 nm. This large data set was used to analyze NO$_2$ climatology systematically, including temporal variations from the seasonal to the diurnal scale. The results were compared with Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite observations and global model simulations. Two NO$_2$ retrievals of OMI satellite data (NASA ver. 2.1 and Dutch OMI NO$_2$ (DOMINO) ver. 2.0) generally showed close correlations with those derived from MAX-DOAS observations, but had low biases of up to $\sim 50\%$. The bias was distinct when NO$_2$ was abundantly present near the surface and when the AOD was high, suggesting a possibility of incomplete accounting of NO$_2$ near the surface under relatively high aerosol conditions for the satellite observations. Except for constant biases, the satellite observations showed nearly perfect seasonal agreement with MAX-DOAS observations, suggesting that the analysis of seasonal features of the satellite data were robust. Weekend reduction in the TropoNO$_2$VCD found at Yokosuka
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and Gwangju was absent at Hefei, implying that the major sources had different weekly variation patterns. While the TropoNO2VCD generally decreased during the midday hours, it increased exceptionally at urban/suburban locations (Yokosuka, Gwangju, and Hefei) during winter. A global chemical transport model, MIROC-ESM-CHEM (Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate–Earth System Model–Chemistry), was validated for the first time with respect to background NO2 column densities during summer at Cape Hedo and Fukue in the clean marine atmosphere.

1 Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NOx), i.e., NO and NO2, are key chemical species in driving tropospheric photochemistry, and they participate in the mechanisms used to explain local–global air pollution. They are originally emitted or produced from natural (soil and lightning) and anthropogenic sources, and are strongly involved in the chain reactions forming tropospheric ozone (O3). The reaction of NO with peroxy radicals (HO2 and organic peroxy radicals, RO2) produces NO2, resulting in net production of O3 via subsequent photolysis of NO2. This reaction simultaneously recycles OH radicals, which determine the atmospheric oxidative capacity, and this sustains the concentration levels of peroxy radicals. Under heavily polluted conditions, NO2 provides a major pathway for loss of OH, nonlinearly controlling the oxidative capacity. The deposition of nitric acid, produced from the reaction of OH + NO2, and of nitrate aerosols, normally formed by gas-to-particle partition of nitric acid, on the Earth’s surface fertilizes terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Duca et al., 2008), as well as causing acidification. Knowledge of global and regional distributions of NO2, their temporal variations, and the underlying mechanisms therefore provides a firm basis for investigations of multiscale air pollution and the nitrogen cycle.

Recent orbiting satellite sensors have enabled monitoring of the tropospheric NO2 vertical column density (TropoNO2VCD) from the regional to the global scale (e.g., Burrows et al., 1999). Past studies have shown that large spatial inhomogeneity, strong seasonal variations, and long-term trends are present (e.g., Richter et al., 2005; Boesma et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2006; van der A et al., 2008). In Asia, particularly central eastern China (110–122° E, 30–40° N), the highest TropoNO2VCD values in the world have been recorded in recent years. Compared with the aerosol optical depth (AOD), another observable parameter from satellite sensors, for which various types of ground-based long-term monitoring networks such as AERONET (AErosol RObotic NETwork, Holben et al., 2001), SKYNET (http://atmos.cr.chiba-u.ac.jp/), and light detection and ranging (lidar) networks can provide a firm basis for validation, TropoNO2VCD has been evaluated with independent observations relatively infrequently. It is strategically important to certify satellite observations through comparisons with qualified observations regarded as ground truth; the verified spatial distributions or temporal variations are then used for further analysis.

In the past, aircraft-based in situ observations (Bucsela et al., 2008; Celarier et al., 2008), ground-based direct-sun Brewer measurements (Wenig et al., 2008), zenith DOAS (differential optical absorption spectroscopy) (Chen et al., 2009), lidar systems (Hains et al., 2010), urban air quality monitoring networks (Boersma et al., 2009), and combinations with model simulations (Lamsal et al., 2010) have been used for validation of satellite-based observations of tropospheric NO2. Multi-axis DOAS (MAX-DOAS) observations (Hönninger et al., 2004; Wittrock et al., 2004; Sinreich et al., 2005) have also been proven to provide suitable columnar data for validation of satellite observations. In the past, MAX-DOAS observations over relatively short periods have been used for the validation of satellite-based observations of tropospheric NO2 (e.g., Heue et al., 2005, Brinksma et al., 2008, Celarier et al., 2008, Irie et al., 2008a, 2009; Hains et al., 2010; Shaiganfar et al., 2011; Peters et al., 2012). For more systematic validation, a long-term ground-based monitoring network for NO2 is highly desirable.

So far, several MAX-DOAS network observations have been reported. Two of these, established at an early stage, are the BREDOM (Bremian DOAS network for atmospheric measurements) network, including Bremen, Ny-Ålesund, Nairobi, Mérida, and Heraklion (e.g., Wittrock et al., 2004), and a network maintained by the Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB) (http://uv-vis.aeronomie.be/groundbased/), including Harestua, Jungfraujoch, Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP), Reunion Island, Beijing, and Uccle (e.g., Clémer et al., 2010). At these sites, high-quality spectroscopy is performed using high-grade spectrometers and charged-couple device (CCD) detectors, enabling retrievals of weak absorbers (e.g., BrO) in the troposphere and stratosphere (Theys et al., 2007). Valks et al. (2011) used MAX-DOAS observations of TropoNO2VCD at OHP for 4 years to validate Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2) satellite observations. Hendrick et al. (2014) studied temporal variations in NO2 and HONO derived from MAX-DOAS observations for 4 years in and near Beijing.

As a Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GE OSS)-related project funded by the Japanese government during the (fiscal years) FY2006–2010, we established a long-term NO2-monitoring network based on MAX-DOAS over Russia and Asia (MADRAS). This paper provides an overview of these network observations. Our strategy is to use a relatively low-cost miniature spectrometer to obtain spectra of compromised, but still sufficient, quality. A similar approach was used for a network for monitoring volcano plumes (Galle et al., 2010). Recently, the Max Planck Institute reported long-term observations of NO2 in Beijing using a low-cost MAX-DOAS instrument and comparisons of the...
data with satellite observations (e.g., Ma et al., 2013). Heidelberg University (U. Platt, personal communication, 2011) operates about 10 instruments, and the Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics (AIOFM) runs more than 10 instruments within China (W. Liu, personal communication, 2011), using a similar concept.

A major purpose of our network observations is to retrieve TropoNO2VCDs (and their vertical profiles) in the daytime to validate satellite observations at several key locations with different levels of air pollution; i.e., at Yokosuka, Cape Hedo and Fukue (Japan), Gwangju (Korea), Hefei (China), and Zvenigorod and Tomsk (Russia). In addition, we aim to observe diurnal variations and vertical distributions of NO2, beyond the capabilities of current satellite sensors on sun-synchronous orbits with fixed local time observations. We also aim to validate tropospheric chemical transport model simulations using the long-term record.

In this paper, we describe instrumental aspects of the network observations, features of temporal variations in the retrieved TropoNO2VCD data during the 2007–2012 period (from 3 to more than 5 years of observations for each site), and comparisons with Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite observations and global model simulations. The instruments used at the individual sites were standardized so that the basic optical components used were the same. The obtained spectra were processed centrally to maintain homogeneous data quality over the sites. Temporal variations from diurnal, weekly, seasonal, and up to multiyear scales were investigated and compared with satellite observations wherever possible.

2 Experimental

2.1 Instrumentation

The MAX-DOAS instruments deployed at our network sites consisted of a light-receiving part and a miniature spectrometer connected by a fiber optic bundle cable (Fig. 1). The spectrometers used were USB4000 (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL, USA) equipped with a linear array of CCD detectors with 3648 pixels (TCD1304AP; Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan), except for the #1 instrument at Fukue, which was used for only 2 months (see Table 1), where another miniature spectrometer (BTC111; B&W TEK Inc., Newark, DE, USA) was used. The light-receiving part incorporated a flat rectangular mirror, with a 45° incidence angle, located in a weather-shielding quartz tube cap, and a telescope with a single plano-convex quartz lens with 25 mm diameter and a focal length of 40 mm. The telescope was coupled with a fiber optic bundle cable (length 1 or 5 m) via an SMA (subminiature version A) connector, which consisted of seven cores (each with a diameter of 100 µm and a numerical aperture of 0.22). The cores formed a circle at the end of the telescope side and were aligned vertically at the exit to fit to the slit shape of the spectrometer. The telescope restricted the field of view angle to less than 1°. The field of view angle was tested by introducing light into the fiber retrospectively from the exit side, and the divergence of the light after exiting the telescope was evaluated.

The rectangular mirror was rotated every 5 min to introduce scattered sunlight from the sky, with sequential elevation angles of 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 90° to the spectrometer through the telescope and the fiber bundle. One cycle of observations at six elevation angles (with 5 min integration each) took 30 min. The cycle was repeated on a 24 h basis. The spectrometer integration time was fixed at a value between 100 and 400 ms during the day and night. The integration time changed seasonally so that the maximum signal level reached the middle range (between 20 000 and 40 000) of the full dynamic range of the 16-bit A/D (analog to digital) converter (216 = 65 536). A spectrum with a customized integration time was averaged over 250–600 integration times so that a single average spectrum was recorded every minute.

The USB4000 spectrometers used a standard grating (#5, a holographic grating for UV, with a groove density of 1200). The linear array CCD detector used the manufacturer’s upgraded quartz window with UV transmittance and a cylindrical lens to enhance the efficiency. The slit width was generally 25 µm, except for the instrument installed at Cape Hedo, which had a slit width of 10 µm. The spectrometers were customized so that a wavelength range from 230 to 560 nm was covered and a wavelength resolution below 0.7 nm in full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) was attained at the 407.783 nm mercury line. The resulting wavelength resolution in the 460–490 nm range, used for the analysis of NO2 and O3 in this study, was observed to be between 0.4 and 0.7 nm. The spectrometer was further customized to improve coupling to the fiber cable: a key lock, normally used to reproduce the angular position of the linearly aligned fiber cores at the exit of the bundle cable (within the SMA connector) with respect to the slit vertically oriented at the spectrometer, was removed and the connection was manually optimized in the rotational direction. The distance from the fiber end to the spectrometer slit was simultaneously optimized by inserting thin nylon spacers into the bottom space of the ferrule of the SMA connector at the exit end of the fiber. Thus, in addition to wavelength resolution, the spectral symmetry (determining the slit function shape) was optimized at a mercury line (407.783 nm) for each instrument before installation. The original distance between the fiber end and the slit determined by the manufacturer was often too short to optimize the spectral symmetry, although the signal intensity was higher there than that at our optimized position.

Single-notch filters at 405, 442, 488, and 355 nm (NF03-405E-25, NF01-442U-25, NF03-488E-25; Semrock Inc., Rochester, NY, USA) with a blocking optical depth (OD) > 6 and FWHM in the range of 9–14 nm, and a 355 nm notch-filter (Edmund Optics, Barrington, NJ, USA) with a blocking OD > 4 and FWHM of 18 nm, were used for
stray-light characterization of the instrument. For typical daylight conditions, the stray light levels were estimated to be only 0.6–1.0 % of the daylight signal levels at each wavelength.

The elevation angles need to be absolutely accurate. The base plate of the light-receiving unit, to which the central axis of the telescope was parallel, was first set to be horizontal, using a horizontal level embedded in the base plate (Fig. 2). Subsequently, the angular position of the reflecting mirror at the elevation angle of 0° was carefully adjusted. A stepping motor (with an angle step of 0.038°), used for controlling the mirror angle, was equipped with an optical angular position sensor, with which the zero position was first roughly determined. Then, an additional offset angle (at a resolution of 0.1°) with respect to the sensor position was precisely adjusted until the reflecting mirror became fully horizontal. The offset angle thus determined was registered in the initial file of the software for the mirror rotation and was activated all the time. In this procedure, we used a second horizontal level (Fig. 2) embedded in a plate holding the reflecting mirror at a perpendicular angle. The level was easily seen from the top of the instrument through the quartz cap, facilitating its setup in the field. All the elevation angles used for the observations were determined in this way relative to the zero position initially set at installation. The long-term drift (over more than 1 year) of the zero position was typically less than 0.2°.

A laptop computer was used to control the mirror rotation and to collect all the spectra and house-keeping information (e.g., temperature control). A small fan was present beneath the quartz tube cap to avoid sedimentation of large aerosol particles on the surface of the cap, to remove small water droplets/snowflakes, and to reduce the possibility of small animals (e.g., spiders) interfering with the observations.

### 2.2 Observation sites

The instruments were deployed at seven locations (Figs. 3, 4; Table 1): at Cape Hedo, Okinawa Island, southwest of
Table 1. List of locations for MAX-DOAS observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Surface elevation (m)</th>
<th>Instrument elevation (m)</th>
<th>Azimuth angle (°, from north, clockwise)</th>
<th>N (NO₂ retrievals)</th>
<th>Instruments and periods used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yokosuka</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>35.32</td>
<td>139.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+37</td>
<td>26 554</td>
<td>#1 (Type A), Apr 2007–Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Hedo</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>128.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>18 367</td>
<td>#1 (Type A), Mar 2007–Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwangju</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>35.23</td>
<td>126.84</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>+44</td>
<td>11 349</td>
<td>#1 (Type C), Feb 2008–Jun 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hefei</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>31.91</td>
<td>117.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>+22</td>
<td>5324</td>
<td>#2 (Type C), Nov 2009–Aug 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zvenigorod</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>55.70</td>
<td>36.78</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>8948</td>
<td>#1 (Type C), Oct 2008–Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomsk</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td>85.05</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>#1 (Type C), Jan 2009–Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukue</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>128.68</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>10 385</td>
<td>#1 (Type B), Mar 2009–April 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#2 (Type C), Apr 2009–Mar 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#3 (Type B), Mar 2012–Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Japan, in March 2007; at Yokosuka, Japan, in April 2007; at Gwangju, Korea, in February 2008; at Hefei, Anhui Province, China, in March 2008; at Zvenigorod, Russia, in October 2008; at Tomsk, Russia, in January 2009; and at Fukue Island, Nagasaki Prefecture west of Japan, in February 2009. The geographic coordinates, altitudes, and the azimuth angles of the line of sight for each observation site are listed in Table 1. The Yokosuka site (about 30 km south of Tokyo) is located within an industrialized area that extends in the north–south direction along Tokyo Bay in the Kanto Plain. Cape Hedo is a remote site, located in the northernmost part of subtropical Okinawa Island (Takami et al., 2007; Kanaya et al., 2001), and is distant from major cities (40 km from Nago, population 60 000 and 100 km from Naha, population 320 000). For these two sites, fivefold optical axes were prepared for simultaneous observations at different elevation angles during intensive campaign periods. Under normal long-term operation, however, only a single telescope was used and the elevation angles were sequentially scanned.

The Gwangju site at the Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology is 8 km north-northwest of the Gwangju city center (population 1.4 million). The Hefei site is in the campus of AIOFM, about 10 km northwest of the Hefei city center (population 320 000). For these two sites, fivefold optical axes were prepared for simultaneous observations at different elevation angles during intensive campaign periods. Under normal long-term operation, however, only a single telescope was used and the elevation angles were sequentially scanned.

The precise temperature stabilization within ±0.2 °C (using KT4; Panasonic, Kadoma, Japan) on a 24 h basis was important for the purpose of subtracting the dark spectrum measured during the night from the daytime spectra. A large part of the pixel-to-pixel pattern variability in the dark spectrum was constant over time, as long as the temperature was constant. For example, in the case of 38 °C, the pixel-to-pixel variability was as much as 96 digits as a standard deviation (1σ), for a spectrum obtained with an integration time of 100 ms averaged 600 times. However, after subtraction of the averaged dark “pattern” spectrum, recorded during the nighttime, the random noise (pixel-to-pixel) was as small as 2–3.5. A signal-to-noise ratio of the order of 10⁴ was therefore expected, which was typically required to analyze weak absorptions (< 0.1 %) quantitatively. Temperature stabilization was also important for keeping the wavelength shift constant over a long time period.
2.3 Retrieval algorithms

The recorded spectra were processed centrally so that the network observations produced data of homogeneous quality. The retrieval algorithm was similar to that used for JM1 (Japanese MAX-DOAS profile retrieval algorithm, version 1; Irie et al., 2011), but (1) QDOAS software ver. 2.00 (http://uv-vis.aeronomie.be/software/QDOAS/, Fayt and Roozendaal, 2012) was used for DOAS analysis, and (2) a newly coded Fortran program was used for subsequent conversion of the differential slant column densities (ΔSCDs) to vertical quantities. The basic flow of the analysis was similar to that used previously (Irie et al., 2008a, b, 2009). Briefly, the measured spectra of scattered sunlight in the range of 460–490 nm at low elevation angles were analyzed, using the DOAS technique (Platt, 1994), to retrieve the ΔSCDs of oxygen collision complexes (O2–O2 or O4) and NO2 with respect to the reference spectrum obtained at the highest elevation angle (90 or 70°). A reference spectrum was derived by interpolating two spectra measured within 30 min before and after the off-axis measurement. The absorption by gaseous species, i.e., O4, NO2, O3, and H2O, and the Ring effect were taken into account. The absorption cross sections used were those reported by Hermans et al. (2003, http://spectrolab.aeronomie.be/o2.htm) for O4, Vandeaele et al. (1996) for NO2 at 298 K, Bogumil et al. (2003) for O3 at 223 K, and Rothman et al. (2003) for H2O. The cross sections of O4 were increased by a factor of 1.25, following Clémer et al. (2010). A polynomial degree of three was used to fit the continuum. Typical residuals of spectral fitting were in the range of (5–20) × 10−4 for clear midday periods, but they increased in the early morning and late evening.

The O4ΔSCD values were next converted to the AODs and vertical profiles of the aerosol extinction coefficients, using the optimal estimation method (OEM) developed by Rodgers (2000). The measurement vector consisted of five O4ΔSCD values observed at low elevation angles. The state vector consisted of the AOD and three parameters (f1, f2, f3).
and \( f_3 \) determining the vertical profiles, with which the partial optical depths for the altitude ranges 0–1, 1–2, and 2–3 km were expressed as \( f_1 \times \text{AOD} \), \( (1 - f_1) \times f_2 \times \text{AOD} \), and \( (1 - f_1)(1 - f_2) \times f_3 \times \text{AOD} \), respectively (see Irie et al., 2008b). The a priori values and the errors in the AOD, \( f_1 \), \( f_2 \), and \( f_3 \) were chosen to be 0.21 ± 3.0, 0.60 ± 0.05, 0.80 ± 0.03, and 0.80 ± 0.03, respectively. A lookup table of box air mass factors (\( A_{\text{box}} \)), which characterized the ratio of the partial slant to the vertical columns for a given layer, was created using a three-dimensional Monte Carlo radiative transfer model, MCARaTS (Monte Carlo Atmospheric Radiative Transfer Simulator; Iwabuchi, 2006). \( A_{\text{box}} \) calculations using MCARaTS have been validated through comparisons with other radiative transfer models (Wagner et al., 2007). An optimal aerosol (and \( A_{\text{box}} \)) profile scenario that accounted for the \( \Delta \text{O}_4 \)ASC values measured at all elevation angles was determined.

Using the \( A_{\text{box}} \) profiles and an iterative inversion method similar to that used for aerosol retrieval, a set of \( \Delta \text{NO}_2 \)ASC values for low elevation angles (as the measurement vector) was then converted to a tropospheric VCD and a vertical profile of \( \text{NO}_2 \) using an OEM. The state vector included TropoNO2VCD, and the partial fraction parameters \( v_1 \), \( v_2 \), and \( v_3 \), with which the partial \( \text{NO}_2 \) VCDs in the altitude ranges 0–1, 1–2, and 2–3 km were expressed as TropoNO2VCD × \( v_1 \), TropoNO2VCD × \( (1 - v_1) \times v_2 \), and TropoNO2VCD × \( (1 - v_1)(1 - v_2) \times v_3 \), respectively. The a priori values for TropoNO2VCD, \( v_1 \), \( v_2 \), and \( v_3 \) were selected to be 20 % of the largest ASC values for \( \text{NO}_2 \), 0.60 ± 0.05, 0.80 ± 0.03, and 0.80 ± 0.03, respectively. The \( \Delta \text{NO}_2 \)ASC determinations using an instrument of the same design were validated during the CINDI 2009 (Cabauw Intercomparison Campaign of Nitrogen Dioxide measuring Instruments) campaign performed at Cabauw, the Netherlands, during the period June–July 2009 (Roscoe et al., 2010; Piters et al., 2012). The compatibility of the TropoNO2VCD data with that derived using the JM1 algorithm (Irie et al., 2011) was also confirmed.

Takashima et al. (2009) established an original cloud-screening method for studying aerosols at Cape Hedo using a combination of the MAX-DOAS color index (defined as the ratio of the intensities at 500 and 380 nm) and the relative humidity, derived from \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) retrieved from the MAX-DOAS analysis. However, for the retrieval of \( \text{NO}_2 \), which is the main target of this study, critical cloud screening using the color index was not applied, because the \( \text{NO}_2 \) state would be retrieved properly as long as the optical path length was determined correctly. It should also be noted that even without such screening using the color index, a large fraction of cloudy cases was eliminated in advance, as the observed \( \Delta \text{O}_4 \)ASC values at five elevation angles were irregularly distributed and were not well fitted. See the Supplement details of cloud screening using the color index applied for the evaluation of the retrieved aerosol quantities.

Error estimation methodologies have been reported for random and systematic uncertainties in aerosol retrievals (Irie et al., 2008a; Takashima et al., 2009). The overall uncertainty in the AOD was estimated from our past comparisons with existing methods (sky radiometer and Mie lidar) to be 30 %. The method used to calculate random and systematic uncertainties in TropoNO2VCD has been described elsewhere (Irie et al., 2009, 2011). The random uncertainty was estimated to be 10 %, (in the residuals in the \( \Delta \text{ASC} \) fitting. The systematic error was estimated to be 14 %, to which the uncertainties in the AOD and in the \( A_{\text{box}} \) contributed by similar degree. The combined total uncertainty was typically 17 %. Takashima et al. (2011, 2012) reported that similar instruments had detection limits for \( \text{NO}_2 \) mixing ratios of < 0.2 ppb (parts per billion) at an altitude of 0–1 km, corresponding to a minimum detectable TropoNO2VCD of \( < 5 \times 10^{14} \) molecules cm\(^{-2} \).

Figure 5 demonstrates the performance of our retrievals for selected morning hours (0800–0900 (8 h) or 0900–1000 LT (9 h)), and afternoon hours (1500–1600 (15 h) or 1600–1700 LT (16 h)) in June at three locations (Zvenigorod, Hefei, and Yokosuka). The \( \Delta \text{O}_4 \)ASC values (Fig. 5a–c) showed negative dependence on the elevation angles, and were well fitted using the OEM. The low \( \Delta \text{O}_4 \)ASC values at Hefei at all elevation angles (Fig. 5b) were explained by the presence of dense aerosols. The \( \Delta \text{O}_4 \)ASC values in the afternoon were higher at Hefei (Fig. 5b) and Yokosuka (Fig. 5c), and lower at Zvenigorod (Fig. 5a), than those in the morning; this was mainly explained by differences among the relative azimuth angles of observation. The retrieved AOD values were similar for the morning and afternoon at all the locations.
Figure 6. Time series of all individual observations of TropoNO2VCD (plus signs, 30 min time resolution) and their monthly averages (circles).

sites. The NO\textsubscript{2}ΔSCD values were higher in the morning than in the afternoon in all cases (Fig. 5d–f), because NO\textsubscript{2} was more abundant in the morning. At Zvenigorod and Hefei, the NO\textsubscript{2}ΔSCD values showed a stronger dependence on the elevation angle in the morning than in the afternoon, resulting in steeper vertical profiles (and higher $v_1$ values) in the morning (Fig. 5g, h). At Yokosuka, the dependence of the NO\textsubscript{2} ΔSCD values on elevation angle did not greatly change from the morning to the afternoon, and therefore the $v_1$ values and the vertical profiles of NO\textsubscript{2} were almost unchanged diurnally. This could be explained by continuous NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from nearby sources at Yokosuka, sustaining a relatively steep vertical gradient at all times. In contrast, the less steep vertical gradients in NO\textsubscript{2} up to 2 km at Zvenigorod and Hefei in the afternoon could be explained by the fact that nearby sources were less important, and that the continental boundary layer height became thicker in the afternoon during summer. The degrees of freedom of the signal typically exceeded 2 during the daytime periods, between the morning and afternoon hours studied here.

The final products of our retrieval were TropoNO2VCDs, AODs, vertical profiles of NO\textsubscript{2} and extinction coefficients, with a resolution of 1 km (up to 3 km) at a time resolution of 30 min during daytime. Careful quality control of the data was applied to remove cases with wrong mirror operations, power blackouts, shifts in the dark spectra as a result of changes in integration time and temperature settings, large residuals in the spectral fittings, malfunction in the temperature control, and saturated signal levels.

2.4 OMI satellite data products for comparison

In this section OMI satellite data products of TropoNO2VCD, to be compared with our MAX-DOAS products in Sect. 3.1, are summarized. The OMI is a UV/vis (ultraviolet/visible) nadir viewing spectrometer on the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Aura satellite on a sun synchronous orbit launched in 2004. An OMI pixel size is 13 × 24 km\textsuperscript{2} or larger. We used two different products, i.e., one derived from the algorithm developed by NASA and the other from the algorithm (Dutch OMI NO\textsubscript{2} (DOMINO)) developed by the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute. The NASA data set was the ver. 2.1 release of the gridded OMNO\textsubscript{2}d daily level 3 products (OMNO\textsubscript{2}d.003), with cloud screening at 30 %, at a resolution of 0.25° × 0.25°, available from the NASA Giovanni website (http://gdata1.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/daac-bin/G3/gui.cgi?instance_id=omi; Bucsela et al., 2013). The latter data set was the monthly DOMINO ver. 2.0 collection 3, at a resolution of 0.125° × 0.125°, available from the Tropospheric Emission Monitoring Internet Service (TEMIS) website (http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2col/no2regiomonth_col3.php; Boersma et al., 2011). The data at the nearest grid were used for both products. For the DOMINO algorithm, the results at eight adjacent grids were included (gray lines in Fig. 7), in addition to the nearest grid, to represent the spatial inhomogeneity of NO\textsubscript{2} over the range of 0.375° × 0.375°.

The two algorithms subtract stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} components, as simulated by a chemical transport model (DOMINO ver 2.0) or determined directly from satellite data (NASA ver. 2.1), from the total and then determine TropoNO2VCD using tropospheric air mass factors. For both satellite data products, air mass factors were computed as the average of clear and cloudy conditions weighted by the cloud radiative fraction, and therein the aerosols are implicitly taken into account similarly to clouds (Boersma et al., 2011; Bucsela et al., 2013). They both adopt the vertical profile shapes of NO\textsubscript{2} simulated by global chemical transport models at relatively coarse resolutions (TM4 at 2° × 3° for
DOMINO and GMI (Global Modeling Initiative) at 2° × 2.5° for NASA) but with down to monthly time resolution. The uncertainty for individual retrievals of TropoNO2VCD was estimated to be 1.0 × 10^{15} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} + 25\% (Boersma et al., 2011), and on the order of 10^{15} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} (Bucsela et al., 2013) for clear-sky conditions. Observational information content with respect to vertical profiles of NO₂ and the amount of aerosols is less than the case of MAX-DOAS observations; advantages of MAX-DOAS are that (1) observations of \( \Delta \)SCDs of NO₂ at multiple axes are available, (2) simultaneous determination of aerosols is enabled using O₃ absorbance determined in the same axes, and (3) the determined aerosol quantities are explicitly taken into account in the NO₂ retrievals, although only data from instruments at fixed locations are available.

3 Results and discussion

We focus on NO₂ in this paper, so the evaluation of our AOD results is included in the Supplement. The NO₂ data obtained at Yokosuka and Hedo have been partly used for validation of TropoNO2VCD derived from OMI and other satellite sensors (Irie et al., 2009, 2012), comparisons with ship-based observations (Takashima et al., 2012), and for analysis of transport from the Asian continent (Takashima et al., 2011). In this paper, independently of previous papers, we focus on features of temporal variations at multiple timescales (e.g., diurnal, weekly, and seasonal variations) of TropoNO2VCD. Comparisons with satellite-based TropoNO2VCD are also made wherever possible. Finally, we include comparisons with the simulation results from a global chemical transport model at Cape Hedo and Fukue.

3.1 Variations on seasonal or longer scales: comparisons with OMI

Figure 6 shows the full records of the TropoNO2VCDs for all sites, including data during the whole daytime period, until December 2012. Table 1 summarizes the number of successful NO₂ retrievals for each site; in total, the data of 80,927 retrievals are included in the analysis for this paper. They are subsets of 180,654 observations for which \( \Delta \)SCDs of NO₂ and O₃ for all elevation angles were successfully determined and 90,644 observations for which aerosol retrievals were successful, after careful data screening with respect to the instrumental conditions. The VCD levels were highest (at around 10^{16}–10^{17} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2}) at Yokosuka, an urban site, and lowest (at around 3 × 10^{14} to 5 × 10^{15} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2}) at Cape Hedo, a remote site; the VCD levels from other sites (Hefei, Gwangju, Zvenigorod, and Fukue, in descending order) were between these. Altogether, our TropoNO2VCD data ranged over more than 2 orders of magnitude. The wide dynamic range and its full coverage were advantageous for the validation of satellite data, as shown later.

Figure 7 shows time series of monthly averaged MAX-DOAS observations (during the period 13:00–14:00 LT, except for Zvenigorod at 15:00–16:00 LT, matching satellite overpass timings) and satellite observations of TropoNO2VCD from the OMI sensor. As mentioned in Sect. 2.4, two different products with different algorithms (NASA and DOMINO) were used.
At Cape Hedo and Fukue, where the local sources were negligible and thus the observations were ideally representative over the grids described above (or over the footprint size of the satellite observations, i.e., 24 × 13 km² or larger), the concentration levels and variation patterns were in relatively good agreement (Fig. 7a, b). At the two sites, the previous data product from NASA (ver. 1) always yielded significantly higher levels (Fig. 7a, b). After revision of the data set, disagreements with the MAX-DOAS observations disappeared and the agreement improved. For relatively low ranges of TropoNO2VCD (< 3 × 10¹⁵ molecules cm⁻²), precise subtraction of the stratospheric component of NO₂ is important for satellite observations; this might have been the source of differences, although full identification of the cause is beyond the scope of this paper.

The monthly variation pattern at Zvenigorod (Fig. 7f), in the middle range, i.e., ~ 10 × 10¹⁵ molecules cm⁻², is very well reproduced by the satellite observations. The satellite data capture the decreasing and increasing trends found using the MAX-DOAS observations from April to October in 2011 and 2012, respectively.

In contrast, in polluted areas with TropoNO2VCD values normally exceeding 10 × 10¹⁵ molecules cm⁻², for example, at Yokosuka and Gwangju (Fig. 7c, d), the MAX-DOAS observations tended to be higher than both satellite-derived values. The spatial variability of the NO₂ values over the nine grids for the DOMINO data set was relatively small and did not extend to the average levels of the MAX-DOAS observations in winter at Yokosuka. This may indicate that spatial inhomogeneity alone cannot explain the difference between the MAX-DOAS and satellite observations, although the inhomogeneity at scales smaller than 0.125° could be responsible for the differences. It should be noted that the MAX-DOAS observations are representative over a distance of about 2–10 km on the line of sight.

Figure 8a and b show summary scatterplots of the monthly averaged TropoNO2VCD values from observations by MAX-DOAS and those by OMI with two algorithms, DOMINO ver. 2.0 and NASA ver. 2.1. The correlations were very tight for both cases, with R² values exceeding 0.84, suggesting that the two satellite-derived products captured monthly variations quite well. However, the slopes were ~ 0.5 for both cases, suggesting that the satellite observations tended to give lower values than the MAX-DOAS observations, and were strongly influenced by the data in the high range. The deviation from unity cannot be explained by the combined uncertainties in the satellite observations (~ 25 %, Boersma et al., 2011) and MAX-DOAS.

When we limited the data to months where (1) more than 50 % of the days of satellite observations were overlapped with MAX-DOAS observations and vice versa, and (2) coincident observations were made on 5 or more days, the R² value became even larger (R² = 0.88), but the slope remained at around 0.5. When the observation sites were grouped into two types, i.e., urban/suburban (Yokosuka, Gwangju, and Hefei) and rural/remote (Zvenigorod, Fukue, and Cape Hedo), the slopes were almost unchanged (0.54) for the urban/suburban case, whereas those for the rural/remote type increased to 0.78 and 0.63 with R² values of 0.74 and 0.65, with respect to DOMINO and NASA products, respectively (Fig. 8c, d). This suggested the possibility that the observations at the three sites categorized as urban/suburban type did not represent the grids, and the spatial inhomogeneity could partly explain the larger departure of the slope value from unity.

This magnitude relationship was the opposite to those found in previous validation studies, which suggested the DOMINO products (ver. 1.02) had a high bias, i.e., ~ 0–40 % (Hains et al., 2010; Huijnen et al., 2010; Lamsal et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2009), as summarized by Boersma et al. (2011). The revisions from DOMINO ver. 1.02 to the current version (ver. 2.0) were too small at the three urban/suburban sites (i.e., Yokosuka, Gwangju, and Hefei) to explain the different results. However, recent studies suggested low biases, 26–38 % and ~ 50 %, in Beijing and in Delhi and its surroundings, respectively (Ma et al., 2013; Shaiganfar et al., 2011), in agreement with the magnitude relationship we found for the three urban/suburban sites.

Figure 9 shows the scatterplots between MAX-DOAS and OMI satellite observations at satellite pixel levels (n = 813, for six sites altogether) using more strict coincidence criteria (horizontal displacement < 0.15°, time difference < 15 min) and cloud screening (cloud fraction < 10 %). This also resulted in a similar underestimation of TropoNO2VCD for the satellite data; the slopes were 0.53 and 0.46, against DOMINO (ver. 2.0) and NASA (ver. 2.1), respectively. The slopes for the three cleaner sites were similar (0.55 and 0.42,
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respectively). This analysis indicated that a slope value lower than unity cannot be attributed to the poor overlap of the measurement days in each month or to the spatial inhomogeneity down to the scales of the footprint size of the satellite observations.

Another possibility would be that systematic underestimation by satellite observations arises from assumptions in the vertical profiles and aerosol treatment. Figure 10a shows that low OMI(NASA)/MAX-DOAS ratios (using a gridded data set for OMI) are associated with high AODs (as observed by MAX-DOAS); although the median ratio is near unity at low AODs (~0.1), it becomes lower (~0.7) with AODs as high as 1. In this study, only data with more than $1 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ for both MAX-DOAS and satellite observations are used. This suggests the possibility that the satellite observations underestimate TropoNO$_2$VCD when aerosols are densely present. This is less likely to be explained by overestimation by MAX-DOAS at high AODs, where larger observational information content regarding aerosols (multiple axis measurements of O$_3$) were used in the derivation of $A_{\text{box}}$ and TropoNO$_2$VCD. All of the data ($n = 1834$ from the six sites) were subdivided into two groups of equal size, based on AOD values (i.e., two groups with high and low AOD values) and Welch's $t$ test was applied to test the statistical significance of the difference between the two means. The results suggested that the OMI(NASA)/MAX-DOAS ratio was significantly lower for the group with higher AODs at the 95% confidence level. Similar tests for individual sites led to the same conclusion for Fukue, Zvenigorod, and Gwangju.

Figure 10b shows that the OMI(NASA)/MAX-DOAS ratio for TropoNO$_2$VCD had a weak decreasing trend with the retrieved parameter $v_1$, the fraction of NO$_2$ present in the lowest 1 km. The median values decreased from around 0.67 as $v_1$ increased from ~0.6 to 0.9. Welch's $t$ tests applied to two groups of data sorted by $v_1$ values suggested that the ratio was significantly lower for the group with higher $v_1$ values when using data from all six sites and when using data from Yokosuka and Hefei individually, at the 95% confidence level. This suggests that the underestimation occurs when NO$_2$ is mostly present near the surface. These analyses, in combination, imply that the lower values from the satellite could be partly caused by the assumptions made regarding the vertical profiles and aerosol treatment in the satellite data analysis. This may be important at clean sites, where the spatial inhomogeneity cannot be responsible for the difference. For both satellite data products, air mass factors were computed as the average of clear and cloudy conditions weighted by the cloud radiation fraction, and therein the aerosols are implicitly taken into account similarly to clouds (Boersma et al., 2011; Bucsela et al., 2013). Considering that the variance of the ratio was only partly explained by AOD (Fig. 10a), one could argue that the effect of aerosols was almost successfully removed even in the current satellite data retrieval. However, a weak dependence of the ratio on AOD was still discernible, suggesting that the retrieval could be improved by an explicit treatment of the aerosols. Leitão et al. (2010) theoretically demonstrated that such underestimation by satellite observations could occur when the aerosol layer extends to relatively higher altitudes than NO$_2$. Recently, Shaiganfar et al. (2011) and Ma et al. (2013) implied that the shielding effect of NO$_2$ by aerosols could be the cause of low biases in OMI observations over India and China. Lin et al. (2014) suggested that concentration of aerosols at the top of the boundary layer increased retrieved NO$_2$ by 8%.

From the above analyses of correlations and dependence on AOD and $v_1$, we conclude that the values of the OMI satellite data for TropoNO$_2$VCD were lower than those from the network MAX-DOAS observations, and were possibly affected by the presence of aerosols, the assumptions made regarding the vertical profile of NO$_2$, and how representative the site is (for the urban/suburban cases).

Figure 11 shows the averaged seasonal variations in the MAX-DOAS and satellite-based observations. MAX-DOAS data recorded during the period 13:00–14:00 LT (15:00–16:00 LT for Zvenigorod), matching satellite overpass timings, were used. Here, the right-axis scales for the OMI-derived quantities were adjusted by factors of 1.23, 1.54, 1.76, 1.77, 1.71, and 1.07 for Cape Hedo, Fukue, Yokosuka, Gwangju, Hefei, and Zvenigorod, respectively. At almost all sites except Zvenigorod, TropoNO$_2$VCD had a clear summer minimum and winter maximum. This feature can be interpreted using a combination of (1) seasonal changes in NO$_x$ emissions, (2) efficient partitioning to NO via faster photolysis rates of NO$_2$ in summer, and (3) efficient oxidation of NO$_2$ by OH in summer. Van der A et al. (2008) suggested, based on their analysis of GOME and SCIAMACHY (SCanning Imaging Absorption spectrotocometer for Atmospheric Cartography) satellite data that the wintertime maximum indicates the dominance of NO$_x$ sources from anthropogenic sectors (fossil fuel and biofuel combustion). Each of our
observation sites had unique features in their seasonal patterns (Fig. 11), and the satellite data captured such detailed features quite well. For example, seasonal variations at Fukue Island and Hefei were relatively symmetric with respect to June/July. At Yokosuka and Gwangju, decreases in spring were slow, but increases in fall were relatively rapid. The wintertime peak occurred in December at Gwangju, whereas it appeared in January at Hefei. At Zvenigorod, low levels lasted for a short period during June–August, and the values in April and May, and in October, were larger. All these detailed features were quite well reproduced by the satellite observations.

Deviations of pixel-level OMI(NASA) TropoNO2VCD from monthly mean values positively correlated with those for coinciding MAX-DOAS observations (Fig. 12, with $R^2$ values of 0.45) with a slope of 0.40, a similar value of those in Fig. 9. This suggested that the satellite observation successfully captured day-to-day variations in addition to the monthly variations, although the sensitivity was consistently small.

The observed features in the year-to-year variations were also well reproduced by the satellite observations (Fig. 7). For example, relatively high values in January 2011 at Cape Hedo, and those in December 2009 and January 2010 at Fukue were well captured.

### 3.2 Diurnal and weekly variations

Figure 13 shows the diurnal variations averaged for each month. Generally, daytime decreases were recorded, as a result of (1) stronger emissions in the early morning, (2) effective partitioning to NO in the daytime by NO$_2$ photolysis, and (3) stronger oxidation of NO$_2$ by OH, similar to the causes for the summer minima. At Cape Hedo, such a pattern of daytime decreases was clearly seen for all months (Fig. 13a). In contrast, at Yokosuka, Gwangju, and Hefei (Fig. 13c–

e) in winter, daytime increases in TropoNO2VCD were observed. The periods with daytime increases were November–February at Yokosuka, November–December at Gwangju, and December–January at Hefei, slightly different from site to site. This feature was interpreted as (1) accumulation of pollutants overriding the loss rates and/or (2) importing of more polluted air masses in the afternoon period. Particularly at Yokosuka, located about 30 km south of the Tokyo metropolitan area, the wintertime northerly wind tended to carry more polluted air masses from northern areas near Tokyo to the south, resulting in higher NO$_2$ concentrations in the afternoon. Similar daytime increases in TropoNO2VCD...
Figure 12. Same as Fig. 9b but deviations from monthly mean values are plotted.

Figure 13. Average diurnal variations in TropoNO2VCD for selected months (differently colored). Error bars represent the 1σ range of the included data.

Figure 14. Comparison of average diurnal cycles of NO2 observed by MAX-DOAS at Yokosuka (partial columns in the lowest 1 km layer, colored circles) and by surface monitoring at Nagahama (near Yokosuka, pale colored lines) for (a) four selected months (January, April, July, and October) and for (b) weekdays and weekends. Error bars represent the 1σ range of the included data (MAX-DOAS).

Figure 15 shows the diurnal variations averaged separately for each day of the week. Apparent holidays for each country were recategorized as Sundays. Although almost no weekend reductions were observed at remote locations (Cape Hedo and Fukue, Fig. 15a and b), the TropoNO2VCD values were clearly lower on Sundays at Yokosuka and Gwangju, because of the lower emissions from nearby sources, primarily as a result of less traffic (e.g., diesel trucks). At a similar suburban site, i.e., Hefei, however, this weekly cycle was not observed (Fig. 15e). This different behavior suggests that the NOx emission rate from the major sector there does not follow a weekly cycle. It has been estimated from an Asian emission inventory for INTEX-B (Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment phase B) for 2006 that 24, 58, and 61% of NOx emissions are from the transportation sector for China, Korea, and Japan, respectively (Zhang et al., 2009). The lower contribution from the transport sector for China probably causes this difference. The negligible weekly patterns. In the afternoons in January, the patterns were significantly different. The air mass on the line of sight of MAX-DOAS over Tokyo Bay could have been more influenced by the transport of polluted air masses from the Tokyo region or by ship emissions in the afternoon in winter.

during winter were reported in Greenbelt, Maryland, USA (Wenig et al., 2008) and in/near Beijing, China (Ma et al., 2013; Hendrik et al., 2014). Figure 14a shows that the diurnal variation patterns for the partial column of NO2 in the 0–1 km altitude range in four selected months, January, April, July and October at Yokosuka, are quite similar to those for NO2 measured at a nearby air-quality-monitoring site (Nagahama site, about 4 km to the northwest). The NO2 monitoring was performed using a chemiluminescence instrument equipped with a molybdenum converter, and thus was potentially influenced by other NOx species; in the urban locations near Tokyo, however, the influence was small (e.g., Kondo et al., 2008). In this study, we only compared the diurnal pat-
variation in China was consistent with the results of an earlier study using GOME data (Beirle et al., 2003). Similar results without weekend anomalies were found by Ma et al. (2013) for MAX-DOAS observations in Beijing.

Figure 14b shows that the average diurnal profiles of the partial vertical columns of NO$_2$ in the 0–1 km altitude range for weekdays, Saturdays, and Sundays at Yokosuka are in nearly perfect agreement with those for NO$_2$ monitoring at the Nagahama site. These analyses of diurnal and weekly behaviors of NO$_2$ help to refine the emission inventory of NO$_x$.

For the Kanto area, including Yokosuka and Tokyo, NO$_x$ emissions on Sundays were estimated to be lower by 45% (Kannari et al., 2007) for the year 2000, which is roughly in agreement with our observations (37% reduction on Sundays for 07:00–16:00 LT). A more detailed comparison between observed and modeled NO$_2$ at Yokosuka is planned, to refine the emission inventories and to test the unique wintertime diurnal variation there.

Figure 16 compares the MAX-DOAS-derived reduction ratios for TropoNO2VCD at weekends (for Saturdays and Sundays separately) with respect to weekdays, during the period 13:00–14:00 LT (15:00–16:00 LT for Zvenigorod), with those from OMI satellite observations (using the NASA algorithm). The reduction ratios for Yokosuka, Gwangju, and Zvenigorod for Sundays were 0.57, 0.89, and 0.71 for OMI; similar to 0.59, 0.76, and 0.85 for MAX-DOAS. On Saturdays, the reduction ratios were commonly larger, and were almost unity at Zvenigorod. The ratios for MAX-DOAS at Hefei were somewhat larger, but this could be a result of the small number of data available for this specific hour.

3.3 Comparison with model simulations

The climatology based on the TropoNO2VCD values observed with MAX-DOAS at Cape Hedo and Fukue, relatively remote locations, was compared with the simulation results derived from a global chemical transport model, MIROC-ESM-CHEM (Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate–Earth System Model–Chemistry; Watanabe et al., 2012), based on CHASER (Chemical Atmospheric General Circulation. Model for the Study of Atmospheric Environment and Radiative Forcing; Sudo et al., 2002), to evaluate the model simulations. The model included stratospheric/tropospheric chemistry and aerosol schemes, and had a spatial resolution of 2.8° × 2.8° and 32 vertical layers. The wind field was assimilated using National Centers for Environmental Prediction data. HadISST/ICE (Hadley Centre sea-Ice and Sea Surface Temperature; http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/view/badc.nerc.ac.uk__ATOM__dataent_hadisst) data were used for the sea surface temperature. The simulations were made for 6 years (2007–2012) and the average seasonal and diurnal variations were compared with the observations. The emission inventory used was derived from Cofala et al. (2007), but the baseline year was updated to 2005. The NO$_x$ emissions and concentrations in East Asia could therefore be underestimated for the study period, because the emission rates have increased since 2005.

In Fig. 17, seasonal variations in TropoNO2VCD at different sites during the period 13:00–14:00 LT are compared. For Cape Hedo, the concentrations in all seasons except winter...
were quite well reproduced by the model simulations. To our knowledge, this is the first use of the climatology of TropoNO2VCD values in such a low range, as observed at remote islands, to evaluate global chemical transport model simulations. The seasonal variations, with summertime minima and wintertime maxima, were also well reproduced, although the model tended to underestimate wintertime values. Similar features were observed in the comparison with Fukue Island (Fig. 17b), where the wintertime underestimation was more significant than that for Cape Hedo. Because Fukue Island is located nearer to NOx source regions in the Asian continent (including the Korean Peninsula), possible underestimation of NOx emissions on the continent could explain the differences during the winter, when air masses generally originate from the Asian continent. In contrast, during summer, clean air masses reached the two sites from the open Pacific Ocean. Our results therefore suggested that the model simulation is valid in summer under such conditions, where the TropoNO2VCD values were as low as $0.6 - 1.5 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$.

Figure 18 compares the observed and modeled diurnal variations of TropoNO2VCD during 4 seasons. At Cape Hedo, the concentrations and detailed diurnal patterns, including daytime decreases and their rates in June–August (JJA) and September–November (SON), were almost perfectly reproduced by the model simulations. For Fukue, the rate of decrease at midday in JJA was also well captured by the model. This analysis again indicated that the NO$_2$ chemistry during the daytime was well simulated in the model, especially in summer.

4 Data availability

Numerical data files for the MADRAS network observations are available at http://ebcrp.aij.sej.h.go.jp/maxdoashp. The files include TropoNO2VCD, AOD, vertical profiles of NO$_2$, and extinction coefficients, with a 1 km resolution (up to 3 km) and a time resolution of 30 min. The color index information is also included (see the Supplement for details).

5 Summary

Long-term network observations of TropoNO2VCD were conducted at seven sites, in Japan, Korea, China, and Russia,
covering remote to urban areas, from 2007, using standardized MAX-DOAS instruments. A single algorithm was applied to the raw spectra obtained at the sites to derive the ∆SCDs of NO$_2$ and O$_3$, and to estimate TropoNO2VCD optimally, using the aerosol information derived from the O$_3$ observations. A large number (> 80,000) of the TropoNO2VCD values were used to test satellite observations of TropoNO2VCD from OMI and model simulations, and to investigate the climatology of NO$_2$. The results were similar for two satellite data products with different retrieval algorithms (DOMINO ver. 2.0 and NASA ver. 2.1); the satellite observations had low biases, i.e., ∼ 50%, whereas they were tightly correlated with the MAX-DOAS observations and showed closely matching seasonalities. Our analysis showed that the low biases could be attributed to the inhomogeneity of NO$_2$ on the spatial scale of the data products from OMI observations, and incomplete accounting of NO$_2$ present near the surface, possibly related to the shielding effect caused by the coexisting aerosols, by the satellite observations. Future satellite observations with smaller footprint sizes may help in distinguishing the causes.

The average diurnal variations in TropoNO2VCD generally showed daytime decreases during the summer but increases during the winter at urban/suburban sites. Weekend reductions in NO$_2$ were clearly seen at Yokosuka and Gwangju, as a result of a reduction in the amount of traffic, but did not occur at Hefei, China, where the major emitting sector was probably different. The diurnal and weekly cyclic patterns at Yokosuka were in good agreement with those derived from ground-based-monitoring data recorded near the site. A global chemical transport model, MIROC-ESM-CHEM, was validated for the first time with respect to background-level NO$_2$ column densities at Cape Hedo and Fukue during the summer, under the influence of marine air masses from the Pacific Ocean.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-14-7909-2014-supplement.
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