Low temperatures enhance organic nitrate formation: evidence from observations in the 2012 Uintah Basin Winter Ozone Study
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Abstract. Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and total alkyl nitrates (ΣANs) were measured using thermal dissociation laser-induced fluorescence during the 2012 Uintah Basin Winter Ozone Study (UBWOS) in Utah, USA. The observed NO₂ concentration was highest before sunrise and lowest in the late afternoon, suggestive of a persistent local source of NO₂ coupled with turbulent mixing out of the boundary layer. In contrast, ΣANs co-varied with solar radiation with a noon-time maximum, indicating that local photochemical production combined with rapid mixing and/or deposition was the dominant factor in determining the ΣAN concentrations. We calculate that ΣANs were a large fraction (~60 %) of the HOₓ free radical chain termination and show that the temperature dependence of the alkyl nitrate yields enhances the role of ΣANs in local chemistry during winter by comparison to what would occur in the warmer temperatures of summer.

1 Introduction

The Uintah Basin in Utah is a region of concentrated fossil fuel extraction operations using hydraulic fracturing to extract natural gas and oil from shale formations. The basin has experienced high wintertime ozone, as has the nearby Upper Green River Basin in Wyoming (Schnell et al., 2009). The observed ~200 ppb peak ozone in the basin during the winter of 2011 was associated with elevated concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) coincident with a shallow boundary layer stabilized by snow cover, which doubled as a solar reflector leading to more rapid photochemistry.

Organic nitrates (RONO₂) are products of atmospheric VOC oxidation in the presence of NOₓ (NO + NO₂). During daytime, their formation involves the association reaction of alkyl peroxy radicals with NO. This reaction terminates ozone formation and suppresses OH recycling. The importance of RONO₂ formation as an NOₓ sink and chain terminator of ozone production depends on the mixture of VOCs present as a result of variations in OH reactivity and organic nitrate yield, α, among different organic molecules (Perring et al., 2013; Farmer et al., 2011). Laboratory studies have shown that the nitrate yield follows standard expectations for three-body reactions: α increases with the carbon number of the organic peroxy radical and atmospheric pressure, but decreases with temperature (Atkinson et al., 1983; Carter and Atkinson, 1989). Field observations have found RONO₂ compounds to account for 25 % or more of total reactive nitrogen (NOₓ, defined as NOₓ + higher nitrogen oxides). However, none of these prior field experiments (Farmer et al., 2011; Rosen et al., 2004; Perring et al., 2009, 2010) covered a temperature range wide enough to examine the role of the temperature dependence of α on nitrate formation rates, O₃ levels, or OH concentrations.

In this paper we present observations of organic nitrates obtained during the the 2012 Uintah Basin Winter Ozone Study (UBWOS) experiment (15 January–29 February 2012). We further describe the role of organic nitrates in wintertime ozone production and the associated temperature effect by comparing the α values either constrained by observed total alkyl nitrates (ΣANs) concentration or derived from temperature-dependent yields from VOC compo-
The findings show organic nitrate formation to be one of the primary radical sinks at this site and confirm that the temperature-dependent kinetics are important. However, temperature dependence of organic nitrate yields are not presented in any of the standard photochemical mechanisms used in chemical transport models. Accounting for the temperature-dependent yields at 0°C (the typical daytime temperature during this field campaign) results in a 30% faster organic nitrate formation rate than what would occur at room temperature (300 K). As a result, we estimate a suppression in OH concentrations by 15% and ozone formation by 20% relative to calculations that do not include the temperature dependence of the RONO$_2$ yields.

2 Instrumentation

UBWOS 2012 was conducted from 15 January to the end of February at Horse Pool, Utah, a site approximately 30 mi south of the city of Vernal, Utah. This site was located amid intensive oil and gas extraction operations near the center of Uintah Basin, with dense gas production wells to the south and oil production wells to the southwest (refer to Fig. 1 of Edwards et al., 2013). A 19 m high tower was located on site for setting up measurements at various heights.

Thermal Dissociation-Laser Induced Fluorescence (TD-LIF) was used to measure NO$_2$, total peroxy nitrates ($\Sigma$PNs = $\Sigma$ROONO$_2$), and total alkyl nitrates ($\Sigma$ANs = $\Sigma$RONO$_2$) using methods described previously (Day et al., 2002; Thornton et al., 2000). Briefly, laser-induced fluorescence was used for detection of gas-phase NO$_2$ using a pulsed tunable fiber laser (~80 mW, NovaWave) at 530 nm for excitation with detection of photons at wavelengths longer than 700 nm using a red-sensitive photomultiplier tube (PMT; Hamamatsu H7421) preceded by a dielectric long-pass filter. Quartz tubes with external heating elements were maintained at 180°C for conversion of $\Sigma$PNs and 380°C for $\Sigma$ANs to NO$_2$ under a residence time of ~0.17 s. The air samples passing through the heated regions were under near ambient atmospheric pressure before entering a restrictive orifice into a low-pressure transfer line to the LIF cell. Simultaneous measurements of NO$_2$, $\Sigma$PNs, and $\Sigma$ANs were achieved by operating three LIF cells, each measuring the cumulative concentration of NO$_2$-yielding compounds.

Laboratory experiments carried out after the deployment indicate that the $\Sigma$ANs channel had been set to a tempera-
ture that was higher than necessary to fully dissociate alkyl nitrates. The O₃ pyrolysis and subsequent O atom-initiated chemistry, usually a very minor negative interference (Day et al., 2002), resulted in a large enough effect to require a correction term for this data set. The correction is an empirical relationship developed in the laboratory by directly observing the loss of the 380 °C signal as a function of both O₃ and NO₂ concentrations in the presence of an organic nitrate surrogate (2-ethylhexyl nitrate, Sigma-Aldrich). Details of this correction are included in Appendix A. The factors applied during the daytime hours that are the focus of this study were typically 6–17 % of the total 380 °C signal, of which ΣANs account for approximately 25 %. This amounts to a correction of 24–68 % of the final ΣANs concentration.

Larger corrections were required at night due to higher NO₂ concentration. There are also additional contributions from inorganic species, including N₂O₅ (which decomposes to NO₂ and NO₃ at ~ 90 °C) in the 180 °C channel and CINO₂ (which decomposes to a chlorine atom and NO₂, Thaler et al., 2011) in the 380 °C channel. However, accounting for the inorganic signal was straightforward since direct measurements of both species were available at the site (Wagner et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2009). Overall, the CINO₂ contribution to the signal difference between 380 °C and 180 °C was only significant during the night and early morning since, for example, the noontime photolysis lifetime of CINO₂ is only 1 h. We note that N₂O₅, present only at night, did not affect daytime ΣPNs measurements.

In subsequent analyses, ΣPNs is calculated as the difference in concentrations of the ambient and 180 °C channel minus the N₂O₅ contribution, while ΣANs is calculated as the concentration difference between the 180 °C channel and the O₃-corrected 380 °C channel minus the CINO₂ contribution.

The TD-LIF instrument was calibrated hourly with a 5 ppm NO₂ gas standard diluted with zero air to generate five different concentration levels at the inlet manifold. In addition, the instrument zero (baseline) was monitored every half-hour by overflowing the inlet with NOₓ-free zero air. The NO₂ concentration measured by LIF and nearby chemiluminescence instrument were within 7 % of each other on average, giving a linear slope (LIF vs. chemiluminescence) of 0.94, an intercept of 0.02 ppb, and an R² value of 0.97.

The inlet was mounted on the southern face of the tower, 16 m above the ground. Other measurements made from similar heights include NO and NOₓ (Kliner et al., 1997), speciated VOCs (Goldan et al., 2004), O₃, and photolysis rates for O₃ (O¹D), NO₂, and NOₓ. These measurements are described elsewhere (see description and Table S1 of the Supplement in Edwards et al., 2013). Temperature, pressure, relative humidity, wind direction, and wind speed were measured from the top of the tower. Three-dimensional wind data were measured using the high-resolution Doppler lidar (Grund et al., 2001) nearby.

Figure 1 shows the time series (as hourly average) of NO₂, ΣANs, O₃, and wind speed through the observational period. The time-of-day median values of NO₂, ΣANs, and O₃ are plotted in Fig. 2. During periods with wind speed lower than 5 m s⁻¹, chemical species such as large volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NOₓ, accumulate, leading to an increase in concentrations until high wind episodes occur that flush the basin with clean air. The onset of high wind episodes was therefore coincident with a rapid decrease in concentrations of VOCs and NOₓ. During the UBWOS campaign in 2011, up to 200 ppb ozone was observed at the end of accumulation periods with snow cover on the ground. However, during a similar period in the 2012 campaign, there was little snow and the ozone concentration did not exceed 51 ppb.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Observations

Figure 1 shows the time series (as hourly average) of NO₂, ΣANs, O₃, and wind speed through the observational period. The time-of-day median values of NO₂, ΣANs, and O₃ are plotted in Fig. 2. During periods with wind speed lower than 5 m s⁻¹, chemical species such as large volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NOₓ, accumulate, leading to an increase in concentrations until high wind episodes occur that flush the basin with clean air. The onset of high wind episodes was therefore coincident with a rapid decrease in concentrations of VOCs and NOₓ. During the UBWOS campaign in 2011, up to 200 ppb ozone was observed at the end of accumulation periods with snow cover on the ground. However, during a similar period in the 2012 campaign, there was little snow and the ozone concentration did not exceed 51 ppb.

#### 3.1.1 NO₂

NO₂ showed a clear diurnal variation (Fig. 2). Concentrations were highest in the early morning when vehicle traffic as well as oil well machinery emissions became coincident with a stable nocturnal boundary layer. Turbulent mixing in the afternoon diluted the concentration, giving a minimum at 16:00 local time (MST). The multi-day effect of high/low wind episodes on NO₂ concentration is visible in Fig. 1, with high wind speed always corresponding to low NO₂ levels.

#### 3.1.2 ΣANs

The daily variation in ΣANs concentration is less pronounced than for NO₂ but follows a similar multi-day trend.
Table 1. Median OH reactivity and associated formation rates at local noon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound class</th>
<th>OH reactivity (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(p(\Sigma ANs)) (ppt h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(p(O_3)) (ppt h(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkane C(<em>1)–C(</em>{11})</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkene C(_2)–C(_3)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkyne C(_2)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic C(_6)–C(_9)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol C(_1)–C(_2)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketone C(_3)–C(_4)</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>~ 0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldehyde C(_1)–C(_4)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>2250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Median noon time [OH] = 1 × 10\(^6\) molecule cm\(^{-3}\).

controlled by meteorology. As shown in Fig. 2, the total RONO\(_2\) concentration increases in the morning to a noon-time peak of 1.5 ppb. The contributions from C\(_1\)–C\(_3\) alkyl nitrates measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) are small and nearly constant at ~50 ppt and did not contribute to the diurnal profile observed.

3.1.3 \(O_3\)

The observed \(O_3\) concentration ranged from 4 to 50 ppb and was negatively correlated with NO\(_2\). The diurnal profile has a maximum concentration in the late afternoon, corresponding to a delay of roughly 4 h from the peak of organic nitrates. The increase in \(O_3\) concentration is most rapid (~2.4 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) at noon.

3.1.4 VOCs

The VOC composition is heavily influenced by the fossil fuel extraction operations. Alkane oxidation dominates the chemistry in the basin (Table 1), accounting for 67% of total measured VOC reactivity (7.5 s\(^{-1}\)) at noon. The diurnal profile of VOCs follows NO\(_2\), reaching a minimum in the late afternoon (see Fig. 3a in Edwards et al., 2013).

3.2 The average branching ratio for nitrate formation

The average noontime temperature during the UBWOS experiment was 0°C. These cold temperatures provide a unique opportunity to examine the role of temperature in the formation of organic nitrates and the associated radical chain termination compared with other field campaigns taking place in summer.

Organic nitrate compounds are formed via OH-initiated oxidation. For the specific mixture of VOCs observed, the dominant reaction starts with hydrogen abstraction from alkanes by OH. The resulting alkyl radical rapidly reacts with \(O_2\) to give an alkyl peroxy radical RO\(_2\), which subsequently reacts with NO to form an energy-rich adduct of the structure ROONO\(^*\) (Reaction R1). Under typical atmospheric conditions, a fraction (Reaction R2) of ROONO\(^*\) is collisionally stabilized to form the nitrooxy group, RONO\(_2\),
while the unstabilized portion (Reaction R3) dissociates to yield an alkoxy radical and NO₂. The fate of the alkoxy radical varies depending on the carbon backbone but, in general, an HO₂ radical is returned.

\[ \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ROONO}^* \quad (\text{R1}) \]
\[ \alpha \ \text{ROONO}^* + M \rightarrow \text{RONO}_2 + M \quad (\text{R2}) \]
\[ (1 - \alpha) \ \text{ROONO}^* \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (\text{R3}) \]

Given a rate of VOC reaction with OH, the key factor regulating RONO₂ production is the nitrate branching ratio, \( \alpha \), defined as the overall fractional loss of the RO₂ + NO reaction that gives an organic nitrate product rather than an alkoxy radical and NO₂ product. The association reaction to form RONO₂ is compound-specific and temperature- and pressure-dependent (Atkinson et al., 1983). In the subsequent sections, we present two independent methods for estimating ensemble-averaged \( \alpha \) values (or \( \langle \alpha \rangle \)) for the specific environment of the UBWOS campaign, and demonstrate their agreement to within the uncertainty of our observations. The first method (Sect. 3.2.1) is based on parameterizations derived from laboratory experiments and the observed VOC composition data, while the second method (Sect. 3.2.2) uses the observed ΣANs concentration, photolysis, and VOC reactivity.

3.2.1 VOC-ensemble method

The averaged \( \alpha \), \( \langle \alpha \rangle \), is defined in Eq. (1) as the summation of compound-specific \( \alpha \) values weighted by their relative importance in atmospheric oxidation calculated as the product of OH reaction rate constant and compound concentration (namely, the OH reactivity).

\[ \langle \alpha \rangle = \frac{\sum_i k_i [x_i] \alpha_i}{\sum_j k_j [x_j]} \quad (1) \]

Here \( \alpha_i \) denotes the compound-specific nitrate branching ratio, \( k_i (k_j) \) the OH reaction rate constant, and \( [x_i] ([x_j]) \) the concentration of species \( i \) (\( j \)). The VOC OH reactivity, \( k_i[x_i] \) \( (k_j[x_j]) \), in the Uintah basin was dominated by alkanes (see Table 1). We point out here that the net effect of temperature on the OH reaction rate constants was generally small, typically a 5% reduction in total OH reactivity compared with 298 K values (Atkinson, 1994), and the dominant temperature dependence of organic nitrate production is due to the nitrate branching ratio as detailed below.

For \( \alpha_i \) values specific to alkanes, we use temperature- and pressure-dependent, compound-specific \( \alpha_i \) values (Carter and Atkinson, 1989) and include contributions of secondary organic nitrate formation after alkoxy radical isomerization reactions, which can be increasingly important for alkanes larger than butane. This increases the individual organic nitrate yield by up to 30%, generally in proportion to the size of the molecule. The compound-specific \( \alpha \) values are summarized in Table 2.

The \( \alpha_i \) values for aldehydes were treated as having the same nitrate yield as the RO₂ having one less carbon, since the major reaction with OH involves aldehydic hydrogen abstraction and decomposition following reaction with NO to give a CO₂ molecule and a C_{n-1} alkyl radical. The \( \alpha_i \) values for ketones were estimated using the same method as detailed for alkanes. Methanol and ethanol are presumed to have zero nitrate yield, since their reactions with O₂ after hydrogen abstraction to form carbonyls and NO₂ are dominant.

Finally, the nitrate yields for aromatics were set to 1% in this analysis, following the yield of benzyl nitrate from toluene oxidation (Gery et al., 1985; Atkinson and Aschmann, 1989; Atkinson, 1994). It is noted that even by assuming an upper-limit nitrate yield of 0.35, the contribution from aromatic compounds to alkyl nitrate production is minor (14%) relative to the production from alkanes.

The average nitrate formation yield, \( \langle \alpha \rangle \), as calculated above including all VOC and CO measurements throughout the campaign period, is plotted in Fig. 3 as instantaneous values (blue filled symbol) and as a daytime (08:00–18:00 MST) average (red filled symbol). The organic nitrate yield ranged from 3% to 15% with low values corresponding to periods of high winds (e.g., 3 February). Variation in VOC concentration and composition is the dominant factor controlling the day-to-day variation as well as the variation over each day. Daytime-averaged values of \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) calculated at a temperature of 300 K are shown in red. Even at 300 K the \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is significant, often around 10%.

3.2.2 Oxidation-production method

Our second approach to estimating \( \alpha \) is based primarily on the ΣANs measurements. In this case, \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) can be expressed as the ratio of the ΣANs production rate over the total VOC consumption rate (Eq. 2).

\[ \langle \alpha \rangle = \frac{\rho(\Sigma \text{ANs})}{[\text{OH}] \cdot \sum_i k_i [x_i]} \quad (2) \]

\[ p(\Sigma \text{ANs}) = \frac{d(\Sigma \text{ANs})}{dt} + k_{\text{mix}} \cdot \Sigma \text{ANs} \quad (3) \]

\[ [\text{OH}] = f \left( \sum_i k_i [x_i], J, \langle \alpha \rangle \right) \quad (4) \]

The individual terms in Eq. 2 can be derived from observations, as shown in Eqs. (3) and (4). The total production rate of ΣANs \( (p(\Sigma \text{ANs})) \) is expressed, according to mass balance, as the sum of the rate of change of the observed ΣAN concentration and an overall loss term in Eq. (3). Chemical losses of ΣANs are found to be negligible compared with turbulent mixing out of the boundary layer. To estimate the effective loss rate constant \( k_{\text{mix}} \), we employ a tracer method by solving Eq. (3) using \( n \)-propyl nitrate concentrations mea-
Table 2. Summary of specific organic nitrate yield ($\alpha$) and ozone yield ($\gamma$) calculated at 0°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Class</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>Compound Class</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkane</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Alkene</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methane</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ethene</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>propene</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propane</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso-butane</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Alkyne</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butane</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>ethyne</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso-pentane</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-pentane</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>Aromatic</td>
<td>0.01$^a$</td>
<td>1.3$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-dimethylpropane</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-dimethylbutane</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylpentane</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylpentane</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl-cyclopentane</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Ketone</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl-cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>methylethylketone</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethyl-cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl-cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Aldehyde</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heptane</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octane</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonane</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>propanal</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decane</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>butanal</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>undecane</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>methacrolein</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Previously assumed value of 0.1 is due to contribution of nitrobenzene which we do not detect in $\Sigma$ANs channel.

$^b$ Assumption based on toluene data.

The calculations proceed by calculating the following: (1) OH concentration and VOC consumption rate, (2) mixing rate estimates, (3) $\Sigma$ANs formation rate and $\langle \alpha \rangle$.

**OH concentration and VOC consumption rate**

We used photolysis rates of $O_3$, $NO_2$, $NO_3$, HONO, CINO2, acetaldehyde, acetone, formaldehyde, and HNO3 to calculate OH and HO2 production rates. Photolysis rates for $O_3$, $NO_2$, and $NO_3$ are measured directly with filter radiometers. For other species they are estimated using linear combination of photolysis rates of $O_3$ and $NO_2$. OH formation from alkene ozonolysis reactions was negligible. The median total radical production of 2.5 ppb day$^{-1}$ is similar to the value reported by Edwards et al. (2013). Data for NO, $NO_2$, and VOCs coupled with literature values of OH reaction rate constants corrected for campaign-measured temperature and pressure dependence (Atkinson et al., 2004, 2006) were then used for OH and HO2 calculations including radical recycling. The resulting VOC consumption rate is shown in Fig. 4. Note that the VOC consumption rate profile conforms more to the shape of the radical source strength (OH and HO2 formation rate derived from photolysis, same shape as solar irradiation) than to the OH concentration, consistent with the notion that VOCs are the major reaction partner with OH.

**Mixing-rate estimation**

We estimate the dilution loss ($k_{\text{mix}}$) for $\Sigma$ANs concentration by substituting $n$-propyl nitrate concentration for [$\Sigma$ANs] in Eq. (3). The time derivative of $n$-propyl nitrate concentration was calculated using a finite difference method, followed by application of a 2 h running mean to smooth hourly data. Kinetic studies dictate that ~24% of the OH reaction with propane at 273 K yielded a primary alkyl radical (Droege and...
Tully, 1986), which promptly reacted with O$_2$ to form the corresponding peroxy radical. Larger alkane molecules can also yield n-propyl alkyl radical as a result of alkoxy radical decomposition from the appropriate structure, and we accounted for all such minor formation channels up to undecane to give a total additional contribution of 14 % from sources other than propane. The total formation rate of n-propyl nitrate is presented in Fig. 5 as the red trace spanned by the 25th and 75th percentiles in the shaded area. Plotted in blue is the median value of the time derivative of n-propyl nitrate concentration, showing a diurnal pattern for which peak concentration was reached at noon time when the time derivative crosses the zero line. The initial concentration increase roughly coincides with the start of photochemical reaction, as is also marked by the onset of positive n-propyl nitrate formation rate. The negative portion of the blue trace in the afternoon then corresponds to faster dilution due both to turbulence and to the elevated concentration. These values are sufficient to solve for the time-varying dilution rate constant, $k_{\text{mix}}$, shown in Fig. 5 as green line with dashed traces bounding the interquartile range. Note the slight delay (∼1 h) in the daily maximum of the dilution rate constant when compared with the peak n-propyl nitrate formation rate. As vertical turbulence was promoted by surface heating, this delay is a reasonable consequence of the expected lag in the mixing rate. The median daily maximum mixing rate shows a time constant of 6 h, much more rapid than other loss processes such as the OH oxidative lifetime of n-propyl nitrate of over 150 h under the OH concentration of 2 × 10$^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (Fig. 4) and a photolysis lifetime of over 200 h (Luke et al., 1989), consistent with our initial assumption that chemical losses are small.

**Figure 4.** Calculated daytime median profiles of the VOC consumption rate and the OH concentration. The VOC consumption rate is controlled by the photolysis rate leading to OH and HO$_2$ radical formation, while OH concentration is regulated by the OH reactivity dominated by the NO$_x$ and VOC concentrations.

**Figure 5.** The production rate and concentration change of n-propyl nitrate calculated from field observations. The difference between the red and blue traces represents the mixing loss promoted by solar surface heating. The green trace is the calculated effective first-order mixing rate, $k_{\text{mix}}$. The red shaded area represents the interquartile (25–75 %) coverage for n-propyl nitrate formation.

**Figure 6.** Correlation between daytime-averaged $\alpha$ estimated using the VOC-ensemble method (Sect. 3.2.1) and the oxidation-production method (Sect. 3.2.2). The shaded area corresponds to the 95 % confidence interval for the regression slope passing through the origin. The 1 : 1 line is within this interval.

**ΣANs formation rate and $\langle \alpha \rangle$**

Using the $k_{\text{mix}}$ value calculated above, the ΣANs formation rate was estimated using Eq. (3). We then inserted this ΣAN formation rate and VOC consumption rate back into Eq. (2) to obtain the implied $\langle \alpha \rangle$ value based on the field observations and also on the initial guess of $\langle \alpha' \rangle$. For time periods when $\langle \alpha \rangle$ mismatches $\langle \alpha' \rangle$, $\langle \alpha \rangle$ is adjusted toward $\langle \alpha' \rangle$ accordingly and the calculation repeated to achieve consistency. To reduce the number of points needed for calculation, we only estimated one self-consistent $\langle \alpha \rangle$ value for each day by averaging from 08:00 to 18:00 MST, the same as the averaging window used for our first method.
Direct comparison of the estimate from Sect. 3.2.2 with the one derived from just the VOC composition (Sect. 3.2.1) is shown in Fig. 6. There were 27 days to compare and the two methods agree closely, yielding a slope of 1.06 and $R^2 = 0.61$. The similarity in results of the two methods lends support to the estimates of $\alpha$ and confirms the importance of a significant temperature dependence to the value of $\alpha$ affecting the UBWOS chemistry.

4 Discussion

The relatively high value observed for the average nitrate yield, $\langle \gamma \rangle$, of $\sim 15\%$, is a direct consequence of low temperatures and the presence of heavy alkanes, a special condition created by natural gas and oil extraction operations in the basin. In the following sections, we discuss how this elevated nitrate yield affects the fate of NO$_x$ emitted into the basin and the rate of local O$_3$ production.

4.1 Fate of NO$_x$

Organic nitrate formation was a significant chemical loss for NO$_x$ in the Uintah Basin. We calculated that alkyl nitrate formation is 50% faster than HNO$_3$ formation during the low wind periods, 0.23 ppb h$^{-1}$ vs. 0.16 ppb h$^{-1}$ using the estimated noontime OH concentration. Together, this amounts to an NO$_x$ chemical lifetime of 17 h, with relative branching of 59% to alkyl nitrate formation and 41% to HNO$_3$ formation. Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and other peroxyacyl nitrate compounds were not observed to have high production rates, based on measured $\Sigma$PNs and PAN concentration and direct calculation of their formation rate from VOC composition including aldehydes. We estimate a lower and upper limit in noontime median net production of 0.01 to 0.06 ppb PAN h$^{-1}$, using bottom-up (VOC speciation) and top-down (observed PAN concentration and dilution rate assuming zero background PAN concentration) methods, respectively. This corresponds to PAN representing a maximum of $\sim 18\%$ of the NO$_x$ sink. Alkyl nitrate formation is therefore the single most important chemical loss pathway for NO$_x$ as well as the most important terminator for OH chain propagation. Note that, although $\Sigma$AN formation is the largest chemical sink, mixing out of the basin is the dominant overall loss for NO$_x$ emitted. We estimate 68% of NO$_x$ loss is to transport out of the basin.

4.2 O$_3$ formation

O$_3$ formation is closely related to the formation of organic nitrates, since the reaction channels lead from a branching point in a common pathway. Similar to our treatment of VOC-specific $\alpha$ values, we calculated, for each measured VOC molecule, the average number of O$_3$ molecules generated in a single event of OH-initiated oxidation, denoted as $\gamma$ in Table 2. Note that our definition and estimate for $\gamma$ includes the contribution from multi-generation alkyl nitrate formation, making it slightly different from previous calculations (Rosen et al., 2004; Perring et al., 2013; Farmer et al., 2011) (see Appendix C). The O$_3$ production rate is then a product of the ensemble-averaged $\gamma$ and the VOC consumption rate calculated above, as plotted in Fig. 7. The difference between the O$_3$ production rate and the rate of change in O$_3$ concentration signifies the contribution of mixing into the background air. When compared with the production characteristics of n-propyl nitrate in Fig. 4, it is apparent that dilution loss is much more important for the case of n-propyl nitrate ($> 80\%$ of the formation rate) than for O$_3$ ($\sim 30\%$ of the formation rate). Using the $k_{mix}$ value derived from n-propyl nitrate formation, the local O$_3$ budget of the whole campaign period can be closed with a background O$_3$ concentration in the range of 20–35 ppb, consistent with observations during high wind periods. This also reinforces the notion that our estimate for turbulent mixing is representative. To reproduce the short-term variations in O$_3$ production over a 72 h period with a fixed background O$_3$ level of 30 ppb, we estimate the expected change in O$_3$ ($O_3 + NO_2$) concentration using the mass balance equation (Eq. 3) to find reasonable agreement with the observations (Fig. 8).

Regarding the relative production of O$_3$ to $\Sigma$ANs, the average $p(O_3) / p(\Sigma$ANs) calculated as $\gamma / \alpha$ for UBWOS is 15. For comparison, a value of 6.2–7.5 was reported for the Deep Water Horizon (DWH) plume study (Neuman et al., 2012). While both plumes were dominated by alkanes, the VOC suite for the DWH study was further enriched in heavier organics. This is consistent with the understanding that alkyl nitrate yield increases with the carbon number of the precursor alkane. By comparison, a typical industrial city plume
Table 3. Expected maximum $O_x$ ($NO_2 + O_3$) concentration under UBWOS conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition$^a$</th>
<th>UBWOS 2012 base condition</th>
<th>photolysis $\times$ 2 and mixing $/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ calculated at 273 K$^b$</td>
<td>57 ppb</td>
<td>140 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ calculated at 300 K$^b$</td>
<td>64 ppb</td>
<td>165 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difference</td>
<td>$7 \pm 4$ ppb$^c$</td>
<td>$25 \pm 15$ ppb$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Assuming background $O_3$ concentration of 30 ppb.
$^c$ Uncertainty due to temperature-dependence parameterization of alkyl nitrate yield.

(Rosen et al., 2004) measured around Houston during Texas Air Quality Study 2000 has a value of 29–41, a direct result of low $\alpha$ value (6.5–4.7 %) caused by high temperature ($\sim 40 ^\circ$C) and a relatively low contribution from large alkanes.

4.3 Temperature

Currently, none of the chemical mechanisms commonly employed in the chemical transport models for regional $O_3$ predictions have incorporated the temperature dependence of alkyl nitrate yields. Since alkyl nitrate formation is a radical termination reaction, reduction in temperature decreases the OH recycling probability and shortens the OH radical chain length. For the 2012 UBWOS campaign the effect is to reduce the radical propagation chain length from a noontime median of 3.2 (300 K) to 2.6 (273 K). Since the chain length is directly proportional to the $O_3$ production rate, this corresponds to a 20 % decrease in the $O_3$ formation rate. Table 3 shows the estimated maximum $O_x$ concentration in a multi-day low wind accumulation event in the Uintah Basin based on the observed alkyl nitrate yield. We compare a calculation at 300 K to one at 273 K. Note that for a snowless winter condition, such as encountered in UBWOS 2012, the prediction matches well with the observed maximum hourly $O_x$ concentration of 51 ppb in the afternoon of 18 February 2012. While estimating the $\alpha$ value at 300 K always yields a higher predicted $O_3$ concentration, the over prediction is greatest for the simulated snow condition (right most column) when persistent snow cover increases the photolysis rate and stabilizes the boundary layer impeding mixing.

5 Conclusion

We have presented an analysis of field observations obtained in the Uintah Basin during winter 2012 in Utah, USA. We find that the field data can be used to derive the temperature dependence of the ensemble-averaged nitrate yield, $\langle \alpha \rangle$, and that this value is consistent with a parameterization derived from laboratory experiments. Including the proper temperature dependence based on the dominating VOC species should be considered for models aimed at estimating local $O_3$ concentrations in order to avoid substantial errors (+15 % at 0 °C from 27 °C values).
red trace represents the NO$_2$ signal from cell 1, while the black trace is the NO$_2$ signal from cell 3 whose inlet was unheated. Since there was no peroxy nitrate in the system, at 180 °C cell 3 only detects the same amount of NO$_2$ as the ambient temperature cell 1. However, starting from ~200 °C alkyl nitrate started to dissociate thermally, giving extra NO$_2$ signal as the red trace increased relative to the black trace. The proper setting for ΣANs observations would be in the 250 to 280 °C range in this instance. At temperatures beyond 280 °C effects due to O$_3$ pyrolysis started to reduce the excess NO$_2$ signal, presumably by the interconversion reaction mentioned above, and we see the red trace eventually dropping below the black trace at around 320 °C. This interference thus generated substantial negative ΣANs signal when the 180 °C channel is subtracted from the 380 °C channel. Indeed, significant portions of uncorrected nighttime ΣANs signal throughout the campaign yielded negative values including negative spikes correlated with positive NO$_2$ spikes from nearby road traffic emissions. This effect was most prominent when a high NO$_2$ concentration existed, so that the excess ΣANs signal was relatively small on the 380 °C channel. Considering that under the same O$_3$ concentration the fraction of NO$_2$ converted due to O-atom chemistry was a constant, larger overall NO$_2$ concentration corresponded to a larger overall NO$_2$ reduction, which could easily overwhelm the original ΣANs signal to introduce negative values when the high-temperature channel was subtracted from lower-temperature ones. For example, we have performed high-temperature box-model simulations on O$_3$ pyrolysis reactions inside the TD oven with a residence time of 0.17 s. At an O$_3$ concentration of 30 ppb the amount of NO$_2$ loss through the 380 °C channel was around 6 %. This indicates that if the ΣANs fraction within a sample is less than 6 % of the total concentration from NO$_2$, ΣPNs, and ΣANs combined, a negative value will result. The O-atom chemistry outlined in Reactions (R4)–(R7) was further complicated by the presence of organics, especially when initial NO$_2$ concentration was small, since signal loss in lab experiments was always more than can be explained in the absence of organics. Since we were uncertain of the effect of possible chain reactions involving organic radicals initiated by O atom, an empirical equation derived directly from lab observations under NO$_2$ and O$_3$ concentrations relevant to UBWOS condition was used for such corrections. Equation (A1) shows the relation of fractional signal lost, $r$, as a function of the observed total signal $S_{380}$ of the 380 °C channel (NO$_2$ + ΣPNs + ΣANs) and O$_3$ concentration, with all parameters obtained through fitting of experimental data. The corrected signal ($S'_{380}$) was thus obtained with Eq. (A2).

$$r = (0.0694 \times \ln(S_{380}) - 0.308) \times (0.0115 \times [O_3] + 0.557)$$ (A1)

$$S'_{380} = \frac{S_{380}}{1 + r}$$ (A2)

Figure A1. Laser-induced fluorescence signal from samples containing ~35 ppb NO$_2$ and 2 ppb 2-ethylhexyl nitrate passing through the unheated channel (ambient temperature, black trace) and thermal dissociation channel (red trace) in the presence of O$_3$.

Appendix A: O$_3$ pyrolysis correction for ΣANs (380 °C) channel

The cause of (380 °C) channel interference was O$_3$ pyrolysis to yield an O atom at this elevated temperature in the TD oven. In the absence of organic molecules, the O atom can serve as a promoter for NO and NO$_2$ interconversion reactions, as illustrated in Reactions R4–R7.

$$O_3 + M \rightarrow O_2 + O + M$$ (R4)

$$O_2 + O + M \rightarrow O_3 + M$$ (R5)

$$NO_2 + O \rightarrow NO + O_2$$ (R6)

$$NO + O + M \rightarrow NO_2 + M$$ (R7)

NO and NO$_2$ are interconverted at a cost of one O atom whose steady-state concentration is generally controlled by the forward and reverse Reactions (R4) and (R5). If sufficient time is allowed, NO and NO$_2$ will ultimately reach an equilibrium ratio which can be calculated from the reaction rates $k_{R5}$ and $k_{R6}$ (of Reactions R5 and R6, respectively) with pressure dependence. From the O$_3$ pyrolysis rate and the gas residence time of 0.17 s in our TD oven region, only the ΣANs channel at 380 °C should generate sufficient O atom to alter the NO$_2$ concentration significantly. To confirm this effect, we performed a series of lab experiments under NO$_2$ and O$_3$ concentrations covering the range observed during the UBWOS campaign in the presence of ~2 ppb of 2-ethylhexyl nitrate, a simple alkyl nitrate standard available from Sigma-Aldrich as a surrogate for the collection of ΣANs in Utah. Figure A1 demonstrates the results of a temperature scan experiment when the inlet oven temperature of cell 1 was scanned upward from 180 to 380 °C, the operating temperature of the ΣANs channel in the field.
Appendix B: VOC α calculation considering multiple generation RO2 formation

Explicit examples for calculating α are given in the following sections for OH-initiated oxidation of ethane and propane in the presence of NO. Further generalizations to other organic radicals are also described.

B1 α for ethane

Estimating α for ethane is relatively straightforward. Daytime oxidation of ethane starts with an initial hydrogen abstraction by OH radical followed by O2 addition to the alkyl radical formed. Only a single isomer of alkyl peroxy radical is involved and no significant decomposition channel exists for the ethyl alkoxy radical formed from NO reaction that does not yield organic nitrate, as shown in Fig. B1. The numbers in parentheses are specific branching ratio of the processes represented. Branching ratios yielding organic nitrates are colored in blue. The overall nitrate branching ratio in this simple case is the same as the specific branching ratio of the ethylperoxy radical at 0.019.

B2 α for propane

To calculate the overall nitrate yield for propane, the dominant product channels should be traced, as illustrated in Fig. B2. Two isomers are formed through hydrogen abstraction on either the primary or secondary carbon, giving n-propylperoxy and iso-propylperoxy radicals in a relative yield of 24 and 76%, respectively. The overall nitrate branching ratio can then be calculated if the specific α for each peroxy isomer is known. Starting from n-propylperoxy radical, the direct reaction with NO gives n-propyl nitrate with a relative yield of 2.1% calculated according to the Carter–Atkinson method (1989) at 273 K and 842 mBar, representative of campaign conditions. The remaining portion of the channel proceeding through alkoxy radical reactions has a further branching of decomposition reaction (rather than reacting with O2) to form a formaldehyde and an ethyl radical which promptly reacts with O2 to give an ethylperoxy radical. The relative yield of decomposition versus O2 reaction can be calculated from the respective reaction rates reported in the literature. We used a decomposition rate of 846 s⁻¹ (Curran, 2006) and the product of O2 reaction rate with O2 concentration, giving a first-order rate constant of 4 × 10⁴ s⁻¹ for the O2 channel. Branching ratios are hence 2% and 98% for decomposition and O2 reaction. The ethylperoxy radical from the decomposition channel can then react further with NO to give organic nitrates with a yield of 0.019, calculated in the previous section. Summing up both yields scaled by the individual channel strength, we have the specific nitrate yield of n-propylperoxy radical as (0.019 × 0.02 + 0.979 + 0.021) = 0.021. Note that the correction from the additional ethylperoxy radical nitrate yield is almost negligible, due to the decomposition channel strength of only 2%. However, for larger molecules, typically starting from n-butane, isomerization reactions can contribute substantially and higher-generation nitrate yield corrections are generally non-negligible. For iso-propylperoxy radical the specific nitrate yield was calculated using the same principle, only that after decomposition reaction a methylperoxy radical is formed. Since methylperoxy radical has little yield for methyl nitrate formation, the total specific nitrate yield for iso-propylperoxy radical is just the direct yield of 5.2%. The overall α for the propane-OH reaction is hence the ensemble average of the specific nitrate yield of all peroxy isomers, namely (0.24 × 0.021 + 0.76 × 0.052) = 0.045.

B3 α for higher alkanes and other organics

Using the method detailed above for propane, we carried out an extended estimation for alkanes up to undecane, the largest alkane reported from GC-MS data. The results are summarized in Table 2. It is noted here that simplifications were necessary for these calculations. For example, in estimating α for more complex RO2 radicals from higher-generation oxidation products we ignored the possible contribution of other oxygen-containing functional groups to the estimated yield given by the Carter–Atkinson parameterization, so that only carbon number was considered. Further, rate constants used to estimate the relative branching ratios of alkoxy radical reactions were limited to available literature values, generally around 298 K. As carbon chain length becomes longer, experimental data regarding O2 reaction, isomerization, and decomposition rates become scarce and the data available for the most similar structures are used.

Appendix C: Differences in definition of γ used in this paper

The γ value, used in this paper to denote the amount of O3 molecule generated from OH-initiated oxidation of an organic molecule, is different from the definition in previous literature that focuses solely on single-generation RO2 chemistry in two aspects, as follows. First, γ has commonly been given a value of 2 for two O3 molecules being generated per OH-initiated oxidation of VOCs, one each from the formation of H O₂ and NO₂. This is a good approximation for small alkanes, for which isomerization is not important, but can be
erroneous otherwise. For example, in the absence of the organic nitrate formation channel, we estimate \( \gamma \) for \( n \)-hexane to be 3.2 due to the efficient isomerization reaction of hydrogen abstraction by the 2-alkoxy or 3-alkoxy radical produced, generating a new alkyl radical and an alcohol group. The presence of large alkanes, up to undecane, necessitates a more careful treatment. Second, \( \gamma \) has been used to calculate the ratio of \( O_3 \) production rate over \( \Sigma ANs \) production rate, formulated as \( \gamma(1 - \alpha)/\alpha \). The factor \( (1 - \alpha) \) in the numerator implies that \( \gamma \) was estimated under the assumption of zero nitrate formation. The factor \( 1 - \alpha \) therefore accounted for the fraction of reaction that actually proceeded to form \( O_3 \). This is only exact if VOC + OH reaction only forms a single generation of RO\(_2\) molecule, once again a valid assumption for small VOC only. For larger alkanes there exists a non-negligible fraction of higher-generation RO\(_2\) reactions from isomerization reactions, and we must account for the effective numbers of NO\(_2\) and HO\(_2\) formed in a cumulative manner over extended generations. This means \( \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) are related according to the structure of the molecule under consideration. Our \( \gamma \) values listed in Table 2 are then the better average number out of \( O_3 \) generated per OH-initiated oxidation with alkyl nitrate formation considered, or in the same spirit, the \( \gamma(1 - \alpha) \) value considered over multi-generation reactions. In Table 2, we observe an increasing trend of \( \gamma \) going from methane to around hexane, since larger alkanes are more susceptible to isomerization and further radical reactions, converting more NO to NO\(_2\). This trend does not continue, however, with further increase of alkane size because of the competing effect of increasing organic nitrate yield, which eventually reduces the amount of alkoxy radical formed.
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