Glass formation and unusual hygroscopic growth of iodic acid solution droplets with relevance for iodine mediated particle formation in the marine boundary layer
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Abstract. Iodine oxide particles are known to nucleate in the marine boundary layer where gas phase molecular iodine and organoiodine species are produced by macroalgae. These ultra-fine particles may then grow through the condensation of other materials to sizes where they may serve as cloud condensation nuclei. There has been some debate over the chemical identity of the initially nucleated particles. In laboratory simulations, hygroscopic measurements have been used to infer that they are composed of insoluble I₂O₄, while elemental analysis of laboratory generated particles suggests soluble I₂O₃ or its hydrated form iodic acid, HIO₃ (I₂O₃·H₂O). In this paper we explore the response of supermicron sized aqueous iodic acid solution droplets to varying humidity using both Raman microscopy and single particle electrodynamic traps. These measurements reveal that the propensity of an iodic acid solution droplet to crystallise is negligible on drying to ∼ 0 % relative humidity (RH). On applying mechanical pressure to these droplets they shatter in a manner consistent with an ultra-viscous liquid or a brittle glass. Water retention in amorphous material at low RH is important for understanding the hygroscopic growth of aerosol particles and uptake of other condensable material. Subsequent water uptake between 10 and 20 % RH causes their viscosity to reduce sufficiently that the cracked droplets flow and merge. The persistence of iodic acid solution in an amorphous state, rather than a crystalline state, suggests they may serve as cloud condensation nuclei. On increasing the humidity to ∼ 90 % the mass of the droplets only increases by ∼ 20 % with a corresponding increase in radius of only 6 %, which is remarkably small for a highly soluble material. We suggest that the small growth factor of aqueous iodic acid solution droplets is consistent with the small aerosol growth factors observed in previous experiments.

1 Introduction

In seaweed-rich coastal regions there is a marked increase in the number of nucleation mode particles observed during daytime low tides when macroalgal species are exposed to air and emit large quantities of molecular iodine (McFiggans et al., 2010; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012). Particle production is driven by biogenic emissions of iodine vapours that rapidly undergo photochemical processing to produce gas phase iodine oxides such as IO and OIO which undergo further reactions leading to higher oxides which can condense to form new particles (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012; O’Dowd and Hoffmann, 2005). Under suitable conditions these nanometre sized particles may grow, through the condensation of other condensable vapours (Mäkelä et al., 2002; Saunders et al., 2010), to sufficiently large sizes that they can serve as cloud condensation nuclei (McFiggans et al., 2010). At present it is unclear if iodine production from open ocean sources can be sufficiently strong to lead to significant particle formation.
over the open ocean (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012; Mahajan et al., 2010).

There has been some debate in the literature over the most likely composition of iodine oxide particles with insoluble I$_2$O$_4$ and the soluble I$_2$O$_5$ being identified as the most likely candidates (Jimenez et al., 2003; O’Dowd and De Leeuw, 2007). Iodine oxide particles generated in the laboratory through a range of processes have small hygroscopic growth factors (Saunders et al., 2010; Jimenez et al., 2003; McFiggans et al., 2004). In general, a small growth factor indicates a weakly soluble material or an insoluble material internally mixed with a small amount of soluble material (Swietlicki et al., 2008; Väkevä et al., 2002). Since I$_2$O$_5$ is highly soluble (263.2 g per 100 g of water at 298 K, Kumar et al., 2010), Jimenez et al. (2003) concluded that I$_2$O$_5$ was the most likely composition of iodine oxide particles. However, elemental analysis of particles generated photochemically from I$_2$ in the presence of O$_3$ revealed a composition consistent with I$_2$O$_5$ (Saunders and Plane, 2005). I$_2$O$_4$ exists as an insoluble crystalline solid which slowly disproportionates to I$_2$ and HI0$_3$ when immersed in water at room temperature, or even just exposed to humid air for a prolonged period (Daehlie and Kjekshus, 1964). Hence, if I$_2$O$_4$ forms in the humid marine boundary layer it may later convert to iodic acid solution (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012). Ultafine particles (8 and 10 nm) sampled during low tide particle “bursts” at Mace Head in Ireland are also reported to have a characteristic hygroscopic growth factor of less than 1.1 at an RH of 90 % (Väkevä et al., 2002), ruling out sulfate or sea salt aerosol which are known to have much greater growth factors. These natural particles are likely to contain a mixture of condensable material; for example, Mäkelä et al. (2002) showed that the ultrafine particles sampled at low-tide periods at the same coastal location contained iodine as well as sulphur. In addition, Vattaovaara et al. (2006) report significant amounts of organic compounds contribute to particles of 5–6 nm, whereas particles smaller than 3–4 nm are dominantly made of iodine oxides.

The physical state of the initially formed atmospheric iodine oxide particles and those formed in the laboratory is an unresolved issue and the physical state of aerosol particles on drying is critical for understanding hygroscopic growth measurements (Mikhailov et al., 2009). Aerosol can exist in a liquid, crystalline solid, amorphous solid or amorphous semi-solid state. Amorphous substances by definition have no long range order, in contrast to crystalline materials, and an amorphous material is said to become glassy when its viscosity exceeds 10$^{12}$ Pa s (Angell, 2002). At such extreme viscosities an amorphous material will have physical properties consistent with that of a solid. At lower viscosities materials can exist as liquids, ultra-viscous liquids, rubbers or gels (Mikhailov et al., 2009). Gels are defined as a two-phase mixture in which one phase is a solid matrix while the other retains some mobility within the matrix. Rubbers form when intermolecular bonding between molecules forms a complex cross linked matrix. Ultra-viscous liquids may exhibit viscous flow on long timescales, but if subjected to a shear force on a short time scale they can shatter. An example of an ultra-viscous liquid is pitch which shatters when hit with a hammer, but flows on a decadal timescale with an estimated viscosity of 10$^8$ Pa s (Edgeworth et al., 1984). On cooling, rubbers, gels and ultra-viscous liquids can form a glass at the glass transition temperature. In addition, water serves as a plasticiser in many amorphous materials and reduction of relative humidity may also cause an amorphous material to become semi-solid or glassy (Mikhailov et al., 2009).

It has been suggested that aerosol particles can become glassy at the very low temperatures found at the tropopause (Murray, 2008; Zobrist et al., 2008) and it was later shown that this may influence cirrus cloud formation through heterogeneous ice nucleation (Murray et al., 2010b; Wagner et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). It has also been shown that on drying atmospherically relevant aqueous droplets at temperature relevant for much of the troposphere that they can become semi-solid or even glassy rather than crystallise (Mikhailov et al., 2009; Tong et al., 2011; Bodsworth et al., 2010) and that the rate of exchange of water with air is greatly reduced at low humidity (Zobrist et al., 2011; Tong et al., 2011). Recent work also shows that secondary organic aerosol may exist in an amorphous solid state (Virtanen et al., 2010; Koop et al., 2011). The existence of aerosol particles in an amorphous solid or semi-solid state is important because their growth through uptake of condensable vapours will be reduced relative to a liquid droplet since diffusion into their interior is limited (Shiraiwa et al., 2011). For iodine oxide particles in the humid marine boundary layer kinetic limitations to growth are not likely to be significant, but knowledge of the phase state at low humidity is critical for understanding hygroscopic growth measurements. The behaviour of organic solutions contrasts strongly with typical atmospherically relevant inorganic solutions, such as NaCl or NH$_4$(SO$_4$)$_2$, which readily crystallise at low RH (Martin, 2000; Onasch et al., 1999; Pant et al., 2006). However, Mikhailov et al. (2009) also discussed a number of inorganic solution aerosol species which tend not to exhibit prompt crystallisation such as NH$_4$HSO$_4$ and NH$_4$NO$_3$ and it has been suggested that the freeze concentrated solution in frozen inorganic solution droplets is glassy (Murray and Bertram, 2008, 2007). Also, delayed water transport in amorphous particles of MgSO$_4$ at RHs below 40 % has been reported, with MgSO$_4$ persisting in an amorphous gel state to 0 % RH without crystallisation (Li et al., 2011; Chan et al., 1998).

Previous work suggests that iodic acid droplets may become very viscous at low humidity (Kumar et al., 2010; Ivanov et al., 1985). Kumar et al. (2010) quantified the deliquescent RH (DRH) of crystalline I$_2$O$_5$ and HI0$_3$ as well as studying the effect of the drying of iodic acid solution droplets (note that I$_2$O$_5$ and HI0$_3$ share a common aqueous...
phase, which we refer to as an iodic acid solution). The crystalline solids were shown to have DRH values in excess of 80 % below 300 K, but when the micrometer sized solution droplets were gradually dried out to 0 % RH they did not appear to crystallise when viewed with an optical microscope. Kumar et al. (2010) suggested that the droplets may not have crystallised instead becoming extremely viscous even to the extent of possibly forming a glass at low RH. This is intriguing because it suggests that if iodine oxide particles are either produced in an aqueous liquid state or as crystalline particles that have undergone deliquescence in the humid marine boundary layer, they will persist in an amorphous (liquid or solid) state.

In this paper, we use a Raman microscope coupled to a temperature and humidity controlled stage to explore the physical state of micrometer sized iodic acid solution droplets and two types of electrodynamic balances to quantify the hygroscopic growth and probe the rate at which they lose water. An advantage of using micrometer sized droplets is that they are large enough to justify us neglecting the influence of the surface curvature term in determining particle size, hence the measurements provide a clear picture of the thermodynamics of iodic acid solution and the potential departure of iodic acid aerosol from a thermodynamically equilibrated state. These measurements allow us to address two issues. Firstly, the thermodynamic measurements provide crucial equilibrium data for interpreting hygroscopic growth of freshly nucleated particles in the marine boundary layer. Secondly, iodic acid is a further example of the formation of amorphous kinetically arrested states which has implications for how growth factor measurements are interpreted. This has consequences for interpreting atmospheric and laboratory measurements.

2 Experimental

2.1 Raman microscope and humidity controlled stage

A diagram of the humidity controlled stage coupled to the Raman microscope system is shown in Fig. 1. Crystalline HIO₃ particles (99.5 %, Sigma-Aldrich) with dimensions of several 10s of micrometers were mounted on a silanised glass cover slip. Similar cover slips have been used in previous nucleation studies and shown not to catalyse nucleation (Murray et al., 2010a, 2011a, b; Knopf and Lopez, 2009; Dymarska et al., 2006; Broadley et al., 2012; Koop et al., 1998). The cell was constructed of Teflon and sealed on the base with an O-ring with a window (cover slip) on top through which the sample could be viewed. The humidity in the nitrogen flowing over the particles was controlled by passing nitrogen gas through a bubbler immersed in a temperature controlled bath and diluted using mass flow controllers (MKS 1179) to produce the desired humidity. The humidity of the gas downstream of the cell was recorded with a dew/frost point hygrometer (General Eastern D-2 sensor). The temperature of the stage was set using a re-circulating chiller and the temperature of the sample was determined from the average of two T-type thermocouples embedded in the stage immediately below the sample. In these experiments the temperature was set to 294 ± 0.5 K. The temperature of the stage and dew point of the gas were used to derive the RH of the gas above the sample according the parameterisations of Murphy and Koop (2005). The humidity and temperature controlled stage was mounted on the automated x-y translation stage of the Raman system which allowed the stage to move independently of the objective and Raman system. Raman microscopy enabled us to optically view particles under magnification and then probe individual particles by focusing a laser onto them. The resulting inelastic Raman emission was then collected and analysed using a spectrometer. The Raman spectrum provides a means of unambiguously identifying chemical compounds and determining phase and phase changes (Reid et al., 2007). Our experiments were performed with a 514 nm laser coupled to a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope system equipped with a Leica microscope and Olympus 20x long working distance objective.

2.2 Electrodynamic balance (EDB) instruments

Two separate electrodynamic balances (EDBs) where used in these experiments: one with a double ring electrode design and the second with a concentric cylindrical electrode design. The description and standard operation of the double ring EDB has been published previously (Hargreaves et al., 2010). Briefly, an aqueous iodic acid (from the same source as used in Raman experiments) solution was placed into the
reservoir of a droplet-on-demand dispenser (GeSiM, A-010-006, 30 µm orifice). The dispenser was positioned directly ∼2 mm above the induction electrode, to which a +175 V DC voltage was applied, and ∼12 cm above the double ring electrodes of the EDB, to which an AC potential (2 kV(0-P), 50–200 Hz) was applied. The field generated by the induction electrode imparted a mirror charge onto the droplet as it was ejected from the dispenser. The presence of this net charge enabled the droplet to be levitated by the AC field generated by the ring electrodes. A 632 nm He-Ne laser was used to illuminate the droplet.

The size of the levitated droplet was estimated using two different methods. The relative mass of the droplet was measured by monitoring the amplitude of the DC offset to the AC field required to hold the droplet at a fixed position (null point). The RH in the EDB was controlled by varying the relative proportion of dry and humidified nitrogen gas flows with a net flow rate held constant at 200 sccm. To generate the humidified airflow, nitrogen was passed through a 2 l bubbler filled with pure water (18 MΩ cm). The mixture of humidified and dry air was passed through an electrostatic precipitator (ESP) prior to the EDB. The temperature and relative humidity of the airflows entering and leaving the EDB were measured using two Honeywell probes (HIH-4602-A/C Series). The RH was then ramped from < 20 % to >90 % then back to <20 % over a period of ∼24 h, with an hour given to equilibrate at each 10 % increase in RH and two hours given to equilibrate each 10 % decrease in RH.

A second electrodynamic balance, based on concentric cylindrical electrodes, was used to observe mass transfer into dry conditions from droplets of known size and composition. A detailed description of the technique and method utilized is described by Davies et al. (2012). A key benefit of this technique is the ability to accurately determine initial size and composition, allowing an estimate of the dry size. The elastic scattering of the laser by the droplet in the angular range of 42° to 50° was collected with a CCD and the angular separations between the peaks in the fringe pattern were used to estimate the radius of the droplet (Glantschnig and Chen, 1981). Each measured scattering pattern was compared with Mie theory simulations and the best fit size was obtained for each frame. This estimation results in an error in absolute radius of less than 50 nm, which is a significantly more sensitive measure of changes in size than the DC offset. The timescales accessible with the instrument range from sub-100 ms to many hours, with a resolution down to 10 ms, allowing both the initial rapid evaporation and the slow approach to equilibrium to be readily measured.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Raman study of HIO₃ solution droplets

Crystalline HIO₃ particles were ground with pestle and mortar and deposited directly onto the silanised glass slide. The slide was then mounted on the RH and temperature controlled stage. The temperature of the cell was maintained at 294 ± 0.5 K throughout the experiment and the RH was initially set to 48.5 %. The Raman spectrum and accompanying image of the crystal are shown in Fig. 2a. The RH was increased (or decreased) in steps and the particles allowed to equilibrate for at least 10 min before a Raman spectrum was recorded. No change in the particles was observed until 82.5 % RH when the image of the droplet clearly shows water uptake and an aqueous droplet forming around a crystal (Fig. 2c). The corresponding spectrum is still dominated by the features of the crystalline material, but a weak water peak can be seen to appear centred at about 3400 cm⁻¹. On increasing the RH to 83.5 % (Fig. 2d) the crystal can be seen to have fully dissolved in the image and the Raman spectrum has altered substantially. The peaks associated with IO₃⁻ below 1000 cm⁻¹ (see Table 1) all become much broader and a clear OH stretch signal appears around 3400 cm⁻¹ which is indicative of liquid water. Kumar et al. (2010) determined that the DRH of HIO₃ at 294 K was 84 ± 1 %, which is consistent with the RH at which we observe the solid to aqueous transition. In Table 1, we identify and compare the bands in the Raman spectra of the aqueous HIO₃ solution droplets and show they are consistent with Raman spectra of iodic acid solutions in the literature (Durig et al., 1965).

A selection of the spectra recorded when drying a deliquesced aqueous HIO₃ droplet is shown in Fig. 3. Other droplets behaved in the same way. The most obvious change in the Raman spectra on reducing RH is that the OH bands at around 3400 cm⁻¹ decreased in intensity as water evaporated from the droplets. Even though the water content was clearly reduced on drying and a supersaturated solution results, crystallisation did not occur and the droplet remained in an amorphous state in which water was retained. After leaving the droplet overnight at 0 % RH, the droplet (or any other droplets) did not crystallise (Fig. 3e).

In the spectra at very low RH (Fig. 3c to e), the water signal around 3400 cm⁻¹ appears to be distorted. The band at 3400 cm⁻¹ is indicative of water molecules hydrogen bonded to other water molecules in a liquid environment, whereas the Raman signal from water molecules bound to a cation such as H₂O⁺ or H₂O₂⁺ forms a continuum on the low frequency side of the water band (Giguere and Guillot, 1982). The hydronium ion itself has a Raman signal which is orders of magnitude less in intensity than that of water (Giguere and Guillot, 1982). The continuum on the low frequency side of the 3400 cm⁻¹ peak in Fig. 3c to e is consistent with many of the water molecules in this solution being protonated.
Table 1. Assignment of bands in Raman spectra of aqueous HIO₃ (cm⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This Work</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>12 M Aqueous Solution (Durig et al., 1965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>IO₂ (HO-IO₂) assym. stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644</td>
<td>IO stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>IO₂ deformation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Raman spectra of an initially crystalline HIO₃ particle on increasing RH. The blue spectra are the region above ~ 1500 cm⁻¹ expanded for clarity. Deliquescence occurs around 82.5 % RH (c–d) and is clearly evident in changes in both the image of the droplets and the Raman spectra. The width of the microscope images is 160 µm.

Fig. 3. Raman spectra of deliquesced HIO₃ on decreasing RH (a–d) and then increasing RH again on the following day (e–f) after leaving the droplets at 0 % RH overnight. The spectra are for the same droplet as in Fig. 2, but tests demonstrated that all droplets (~ 30 droplets) on the slide responded in a similar manner. The OH stretch band associated with water (~ 3400 cm⁻¹) decreases dramatically on reducing RH, but the droplet does not revert back to its crystalline form. The blue expanded spectra are included only in cases where the OH band is weak. The width of the microscope images is 160 µm.
On increasing the RH, the intensity of the OH band increased again, further confirming that the droplet remained in a supersaturated aqueous state even at 0 % RH without a first order phase change (i.e. crystallisation) occurring. This spectroscopic information is summarised in Fig. 4 which shows the ratio of the integrated intensities of the OH stretch peak, indicative of water, centred at between 2900 and 3800 cm$^{-1}$ and the iodate peaks between 500–1000 cm$^{-1}$ as a function of RH. This ratio is a measure of the amount of H$_2$O hydrogen bonded to other H$_2$O in the droplets rather than the total amount of water in the droplet (for the reasons discussed above). Nevertheless, this ratio is a useful measure of the water content as a function of RH. Figure 4 clearly shows that iodic acid solution droplets absorb and loose water in a reversible manner as RH is cycled and that even at 0 % RH a small, but significant, quantity of water remained in the droplets.

In order to probe the physical state of the droplets at low RH we applied a mechanical force to them. This involved pressing the flat end of a metal rod onto them by hand while maintaining a flow of 0 % RH over the droplets. Images of the droplets in Fig. 5 reveal that many of the droplets shattered or cracked when subject to this mechanical force. These droplets behaved in a manner consistent with a glassy solid or an ultra-viscous liquid such as pitch. The effect of increasing RH on these cracked droplets is illustrated in Fig. 6. When the RH reaches about 16 % RH the sharp edges begin to become rounded indicating increased mobility and at 18 % RH the individual fragments of droplets merged. This indicates that water serves as a plasticiser: i.e. as water is absorbed into the amorphous solid (or ultra-viscous liquid) it reduces its viscosity to the point where it behaves like a liquid with smaller fragments merging to form larger units.

These results may also provide an alternative explanation for growth factors well below unity for iodine oxide particles generated under dry conditions. Jimenez et al. (2003) and Saunders et al. (2010) observed a substantial reduction in the mobility diameter of the aerosol generated under dry conditions when exposed to RH of greater than 20 % RH. Under dry conditions, the aerosol particles were shown to have fractal morphologies (Jimenez et al., 2003; Saunders and Plane, 2006) and it was suggested that water uptake into the capillaries would cause the fractals to collapse and form more dense particles (Saunders et al., 2010; McFiggans et al., 2004), hence resulting in an apparent growth factor of less than 1. An alternative explanation that is different in microphysical origin might be that the particles generated under dry conditions were amorphous solids. When the RH was increased, it is possible that water adsorbed and served as a plasticiser allowing the material to flow and coalesce.

**Fig. 4.** Intensity ratio of peaks roughly centred at 3400 cm$^{-1}$ ($I_{3400}$, OH stretch, i.e. water), and 770 cm$^{-1}$ ($I_{770}$, iodate) as a function of RH determined from spectra such as those shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The vertical green line indicates the expected deliquescence point (Kumar et al., 2010) and is in good agreement with the RH at which HIO$_3$ clearly takes up water to become an aqueous liquid droplet. The estimated uncertainty on our RH measurement is 2 %.

**Fig. 5.** Raman spectrum and images of “cracked” aqueous HIO$_3$ droplets at 0 % RH. For this test, deliquesced droplets were dried out to 0 % RH gradually over the course of ~1.5 h and were then subjected to mechanical force using the flat end of a metal rod. The droplets shattered in much the same way as one would expect an ultra viscous liquid, such as pitch, or a brittle glass to shatter. The spectrum corresponds to (b). The width of the microscope images is 160 µm.
Fig. 6. Sequence of images of a cracked droplet gradually taking up water and flowing to reform a single droplet on increasing RH. (f) was taken with a different camera, hence the difference in orientation. The width of the microscope images is 160 µm. In these experiments the RH was ramped up to 18% over the course of 30 min. It was then stepped up to 20% and held there for about 30 min and then stepped up to 25% where it was again held for about 20 min.

into a more compact morphology in a similar manner to our cracked droplets which can be seen to flow on increasing RH in Fig. 6.

3.2 Electrodynamic balance measurements of the rate of droplet evaporation

The time-dependence of the size of an aqueous iodic acid droplet injected and trapped in dry nitrogen gas is shown in Fig. 7 as a function of time. These measurements were made with the concentric cylindrical electrode trap. The initial evaporation of water from a droplet of initial size 21.5 µm and a concentration of 50.4 g l\(^{-1}\) occurs at a rate close to that of pure water (Fig. 7a). On approach to the dry size the evaporation rate decreases sharply at about 1.8 s, consistent with formation of an amorphous solid state in which diffusion and evaporation is slow. Slow mass transfer is measured over \(\sim 33\) h (Fig. 7b) indicating that the droplets did not come to equilibrium during this period. Based on the density of crystalline iodic acid (4.63 g cm\(^{-3}\), Lide, 2009) and the density of the initial solution (Kumar et al., 2010), we estimate the dry size of the iodic acid particle to be 4.95 ± 0.15 µm. In addition, a regular elastic scattering pattern persists at all times, even after \(\sim 33\) h (Fig. 7c), indicating sphericity is maintained throughout evaporation. The slow release of water over an extended period of time has been observed in glassy sucrose solutions (Tong et al., 2011; Zobrist et al., 2011); hence, these measurements are consistent with the iodic acid solution droplet existing in an amorphous solid state at very low humidity.

Fig. 7. (a) Initial loss of water on injection of a dilute aqueous HIO\(_3\) droplet into a dry atmosphere within the concentric cylindrical electrodynamic balance. The gray dashed horizontal line indicates the estimated dry size for a crystal of HIO\(_3\) (4.95 ± 0.15 µm). (b) indicates the size decrease over \(\sim 33\) h. (c) is a representative phase function observed after 70,000 s indicating the droplet remained approximately spherical.
corresponding to water activity literature data for bulk iodic acid solutions of known concentration in green circles (Kumar et al., 2010); the translation from concentration \((C)\) in wt % of solute to growth factor here requires some explanation. In order to translate concentration into GF we need to relate the data at an RH where the composition is well known. We chose a point close to the deliquescence point where we are confident that the solution is in equilibrium with the surrounding vapour, rather than at a low RH where the iodic acid droplets become ultra-viscous or glassy (see discussion in text). Hence, \(\text{GF}_{\text{RH}} = \text{GF}^* C^*/C_{RH}\) and we chose 83.8 % as RH* at which RH the EDB gives a GF* of 1.16. According to Kumar et al. (2010) the corresponding \(C^*\) is 75.32 wt %, which then allows us to translate Kumar et al.’s data into GF (green points).

3.3 Electrodynamic balance measurements of hygroscopic growth

The relative mass growth curve for an iodic acid solution droplet is shown in Fig. 8a and is defined as ratio of mass at a RH to the mass at a reference RH \((M_{\text{RH}}/M_{\text{ref}}\), where \(M_{\text{ref}}\) is an average of points below 20 % RH). Note that \(M_{\text{ref}}\) is not the mass for a dry particle when the solution forms a glass at low RH. The relative mass growth is only about 20 % on increasing the RH from below 15 % to 90 %. This is a remarkably small uptake of water for a species that is highly soluble in water (0.26 moles per mole of water or 308 g/100 g of water at 25 °C, Lide, 2009). This small GF is consistent with a non-peer reviewed measurement of nebulised iodic acid droplets (Ristovski et al., 2006). The mass of an aqueous sodium chloride particle, for example, increases by about 700 % on increasing the RH to 90 % from 0 % (see Fig. 8b). We can estimate the diameter growth of the iodic acid solution droplet if we assume that the density of supersaturated iodic acid solutions does not change over this range: a 20 % change in mass would correspond to an increase in diameter of only 6 %. This value is consistent with the small diameter growth factors observed for particles generated under humid conditions in the laboratory (Jimenez et al., 2003; McFiggans et al., 2004). We suggest that the hygroscopic growth measurements in previous laboratory studies of iodine oxide particles are consistent with the aerosol being composed of aqueous iodic acid solutions. The growth factor information alone does not rule out the possibility that those iodine oxide particles were I₂O₄. However, given that the elemental composition measurements of laboratory generated material indicate an I₂O₅ composition (the anhydrous crystalline form of iodic acid) (Saunders and Plane, 2005), it is likely that the material generated in those previous experiments was iodic acid if there was sufficient water present or I₂O₅ if generated under very dry conditions. These experimental studies also suggest that the nucleation mode particles initially produced in the marine boundary layer are composed of iodic acid. Given the humid conditions there it will always be in an aqueous liquid state, which would facilitate uptake of other condensable materials.

The reason why iodic acid solution droplets should have a weak growth compared to other soluble salts is unclear. In solution, iodic acid is thought to form polymeric structures which lead to strongly non-ideal properties (Goldman et al., 1974; Ivanov et al., 1985). It has been suggested that the formation of polymers of iodic acid with bound water may also be responsible for glass formation in concentrated solutions (Ivanov et al., 1985). Hence, the formation of polymers may be related to the very strong dependence of water activity on solute concentration and its unusual hygroscopic growth. MgSO₄ is also known to form polymeric structures in concentrated solutions and water transfer into and out of concentrated MgSO₄ solutions is retarded when it forms an
amorphous solid at low RH (Li et al., 2011; Zhang and Chan, 2000), although aqueous MgSO$_4$ droplets differ from HIO$_3$ droplets in that they still grow substantially on increasing RH to 90% (Tang et al., 1997; Zhang and Chan, 2000). Further work is needed to understand the role of polymerisation in aqueous HIO$_3$, as well as other atmospherically relevant solutions, and its influence on hygroscopic properties.

In Fig. 9a we have translated the EDB mass growth data into concentration and compared the results with the direct measurements of water activity and solute concentration previously reported by Kumar et al. (2010). The concentration ($C$) is related to the growth factor through the formula: $C_{RH} = C^* \cdot GF^*/GF_{RH}$, but the choice of RH at which to relate $C$ and GF requires some consideration. For solutions such as aqueous NaCl, which crystallise to an anhydrous salt on drying, it is appropriate to determine the mass fraction from growth factor data making the assumption that on approaching 0% RH, the mass fraction of solute is 1.0. However, this assumption is not appropriate for many materials. For example Peng et al. (2001) showed that at 5% RH, citric acid, malic acid and tartaric acid retained between 5 and 10% water, i.e. the mass fraction does not tend to 1.0. Cohen et al. (1987) report that CaCl$_2$ retains about 40 wt% water when at 10% RH, which is equivalent to 4 moles of water for every mole of CaCl$_2$. Similarly they found MnSO$_4$ to retain about 30% water. Cohen et al. (1987) suggest that these materials may have formed a glass or a gel at low RH. More recently, it has been shown that the kinetics of water loss in sucrose solutions are slow at low humidity and it should therefore not be assumed that aqueous droplets are at equilibrium at low RH (Tong et al., 2011; Zobrist et al., 2011). Indeed, there has been an on-going debate about the reliability of using the “dry” state as a reference point; a much more reliable choice is to use an RH corresponding to the saturation concentration of the solute, equivalent to the deliquescence point (Reid et al., 2007; Krieger et al., 2012). Since we know that iodic acid droplets form an ultra-viscous liquid or glass at low RH and most likely retains water (reinforced by the fast measurements of water release: Fig. 7), we relate our data at a point close to the deliquescence RH for HIO$_3$. At this RH, the solution droplets are unambiguously in equilibrium (i.e. water activity = RH) and water activity measurements are in excellent agreement with deliquescence measurements (Kumar et al., 2010). We have chosen to relate the data sets at 83.8% RH where the EDB measured GF* was 1.16 and according to Kumar et al. (2010) $C^* = 75.32$ wt%.

The resulting variation of solute concentration with RH is shown in Fig. 9a. In the RH range where the bulk water activity data (Kumar et al., 2010) and present EDB data sets overlap they are in agreement within experimental uncertainty. In addition, the general trend at lower RH is also consistent with the previous bulk measurements. This indicates that the droplets retain $\sim 13 \pm 3$ wt% water (i.e. $87 \pm 3$ wt% HIO$_3$) at low RH, consistent with water retention in an amorphous solid or semi-solid state. The retention of water in iodic acid solutions has been reported in the past by Ivanov et al. (1984) who estimated that iodic acid solutions of 83 wt% HIO$_3$ (17 wt% H$_2$O) were glassy, which is very close to our value. The presence of water in iodic acid droplets is corroborated by the distorted OH peak in the Raman spectra (Fig. 3). The general trend of increasing mass with increasing RH is reflected in the increase in OH stretch signal in the Raman
study (Fig. 4), hence the Raman spectra and EDB results are in qualitative agreement.

Using the data in Fig. 9a we have determined the growth factor relative to the dry HIO$_3$ mass (GF$_0$ = $M_{RH}/M_0$, where $M_0$ is the dry mass). At low RH, GF$_0$ remains significantly above 1.0 due to retained water in the ultra-viscous or glassy droplet. This emphasises the pitfalls associated with assuming that droplets contain negligible water at low RH, as is often done (Krieger et al., 2012).

The physical state of iodine oxide particles most likely influences their growth. Saunders et al. (2010) show that the uptake coefficient of H$_2$SO$_4$ on iodic acid particles decreases dramatically at low RH (their Fig. 13). This is consistent with the formation of an amorphous solid or semi-solid at below ~15 % RH in which the diffusion of H$_2$SO$_4$ into the particles is kinetically limited. This will not be a limitation to growth in the marine boundary layer where the humidity is such that the accommodation coefficient is around 0.7, but does help us to understand these laboratory measurements (Saunders et al., 2010).

4 Summary and conclusions

Our laboratory experiments show that iodic acid solution droplets have a very low propensity to crystallise on drying to low RH. Instead they form an amorphous solid or semi-solid state which retains significant amounts of water. The hygroscopic growth of iodic acid solution droplets is very small, which is surprising considering HIO$_3$ and I$_2$O$_5$ are highly soluble. Such droplets grow by only 20 % between 20 and 90 % RH and we determine the hygroscopicity parameter ($\kappa$), as defined by Petters and Kreidenweis (2007), for iodic acid droplets is 0.024. This value is within the range commonly associated with weakly soluble organic materials and secondary organic aerosol particles (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007). Hygroscopicity parameter values for highly soluble inorganic species normally fall in the range of 0.5 to 1.4. Iodine oxide particles generated in laboratory experiments also have very small growth factors. This has lead previous authors to conclude that iodine oxide particles must be insoluble and they went on to suggest the composition of iodine oxide particles was the insoluble I$_2$O$_5$ rather than the highly soluble I$_2$O$_3$ or HIO$_3$ (Jimenez et al., 2003). Here we have shown that the very small hygroscopic growth factors of laboratory generated particles are consistent with these aerosol particles being composed of aqueous iodic acid. We also suggest that the initially formed nucleation mode particles, which are thought to be composed of iodine oxides, in the marine boundary layer are composed of aqueous iodic acid. Under the humid conditions of the marine boundary layer, these droplets will exist in a liquid state which will facilitate their uptake of H$_2$SO$_4$. However, the retention of water at low RH, due to the formation of amorphous solid or semi-solid states, must be taken into consideration when interpreting hygroscopic growth measurements.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/8575/2012/acp-12-8575-2012-supplement.zip.
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