Characterisation of sub-micron particle number concentrations and formation events in the western Bushveld Igneous Complex, South Africa
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Abstract. South Africa holds significant mineral resources, with a substantial fraction of these reserves occurring and being processed in a large geological structure termed the Bushveld Igneous Complex (BIC). The area is also highly populated by informal, semi-formal and formal residential developments. However, knowledge of air quality and research related to the atmosphere is still very limited in the area. In order to investigate the characteristics and processes affecting sub-micron particle number concentrations and formation events, air ion and aerosol particle size distributions and number concentrations, together with meteorological parameters, trace gases and particulate matter (PM) were measured for over two years at Marikana in the heart of the western BIC. The observations showed that trace gas (i.e. SO₂, NOₓ, CO) and black carbon concentrations were relatively high, but in general within the limits of local air quality standards. The area was characterised by very high condensation sink due to background aerosol particles, PM₁₀ and O₃ concentration. The results indicated that high amounts of Aitken and accumulation mode particles originated from domestic burning for heating and cooking in the morning and evening, while during daytime SO₂-based nucleation followed by the growth by condensation of vapours from industrial, residential and natural sources was the most probable source for large number concentrations of nucleation and Aitken mode particles. Nucleation event day frequency was extremely high, i.e. 86% of the analysed days, which to the knowledge of the authors is the highest frequency ever reported. The air mass back trajectory and wind direction analyses showed that the secondary particle formation was influenced both by local and regional pollution and vapour sources. Therefore, our observation of the annual cycle and magnitude of the particle formation and growth rates during nucleation events were similar to results previously published for a semi-clean savannah site in South Africa.

1 Introduction

Aerosol particles may affect climate directly by scattering and reflecting radiation, or indirectly via cloud-aerosol interactions (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Myhre, 2009; Quaas et al., 2009; Hand and Malm, 2007; IPCC, 2007). Aerosol particles are also known to have an influence on human health and, in polluted environments, particles pose a serious health
threat for the population (e.g. Pope and Dockery, 2006; Russell and Brunekeef, 2009; Breitner et al., 2011).

Particle sources and formation mechanisms have been intensively studied (e.g. Kulmala et al., 2004, 2011), but the number of observations in the developing world is still very limited. Previously, such observations have been carried out in Mexico City (Mexico), Beijing (China), New Delhi (India), Gaborone (Botswana) (e.g. Jayaratne and Verma, 2001; Dunn et al., 2004; Mönkkönen et al., 2004, 2005; Yue et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2008, 2011; Kalafut-Pettibone et al., 2011) and a few other sites. To our knowledge, there are no previous observations of ultrafine particle number concentrations or size distributions from residential areas in southern Africa. However, in a relatively clean background savannah area in South Africa new particle formation has been studied by Laakso et al. (2008) and Vakkari et al. (2011). These studies revealed new particle formation taking place every sunny day throughout the year. As new particle formation was found to be a regional phenomenon (Laakso et al., 2008; Vakkari et al., 2011), it is expected that new particle formation will also occur in polluted areas.

South Africa holds major mineral assets. A significant proportion of these reserves are located in a geological structure known as the Bushveld Igneous Complex (BIC), out of which ca. 80 % and 45 % of the world’s platinum group metals (PGMs) and chromium, respectively, are produced (Cramer et al., 2004; Xiao and Laplante, 2004; Beukes et al., 2010). The most important use of PGMs is for the production of catalytic converters in automotive exhaust systems, which improve air quality. The chromium produced in this region is mostly in the form of ferrochrome (a crude alloy between iron and chromium), which in turn is mostly used for the production of stainless steel, a vital modern alloy. The BIC is divided into five different limbs, of which the western limb is the most intensely mined and industrialised. In a recent study it has been proposed that the western BIC should be declared as an air pollution hotspot by the South African government (Scott, 2010). However, notwithstanding the international importance of the western BIC in terms of the supply of commodities critical for the modern day society, nor the afore-mentioned indication that air quality in this region might be problematic, very little has been published in the peer reviewed scientific literature on atmospheric related matters for the western BIC.

Due to the lure of employment in the mining and metallurgical sectors in the western BIC, the area is populated by informal and semi-formal settlements. The observations discussed in this paper were carried out in a semi-informal and informal residential area at Marikana village in the heart of the western BIC, as part of an atmospheric research and air quality monitoring project initiated in 2006 (Laakso et al., 2008). Recently, Venter et al. (2012) analysed sources and temporal variation of gaseous compounds and particulate matter (PM$_{10}$) at Marikana. The aims of this work were to investigate the characteristic behaviour of sub-micron par-

2 Site description

The measurements at Marikana ($25^\circ41’54.51”$S and $27^\circ28’50.05”$E, 1170 m a.s.l.) were carried out from 8 February 2008 to 17 May 2010. Venter et al. (2012) gave a detailed description of the measurement site and its location, which is therefore not repeated here. In general, the Rustenburg-Brits mining and residential area is located in a shallow valley surrounded by mountains rising 500 m above the central area. The valley is approximately 60 km long in west-east direction and 20–30 km wide in north-south direction. Figure 1 shows the location of Marikana within a regional context. The extent of the BIC in central South Africa and the location of major point sources (i.e. pyrometallurgical smelters) within the western BIC are also indicated in Fig. 1. Additionally, the Google image shows the immediate surroundings of the measurement site. The distributions of semi-formal and informal settlements in the immediate vicinity of the measurement site are indicated on this image with white blocks.

On the Highveld of South Africa two distinct seasonal periods can be identified, i.e. dry and wet periods, from May to September and October to April, respectively. The dry period (winter and adjacent months on autumn and spring) is characterised by cold nights and low relative humidity with no rain for several months, while during the wet period (summer and adjacent months in autumn and spring) the air is warm and heavy rains, especially in the form of thunderstorms, occur.

3 Measurements

All the measurements were carried out from a specially fitted trailer. The trailer and measurement devices have been introduced by Laakso et al. (2008), Vakkari et al. (2011) and Venter et al. (2012). Here we only give a short summary of the devices relevant for this specific study. To ensure data quality, the different instruments were compared against reference instruments at Hyytiäliä, Finland before transportation to South Africa.
3.1 Ion and particle size distribution measurements

An Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS, Mirme et al., 2007) measures naturally charged air ions in the mobility range 3.2–0.0013 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to diameter range of ca. 0.8–42 nm. The AIS consists of two identical cylindrically symmetric differential mobility analysers (DMA), each with 21 electrometers. One DMA measures the positive ion spectrum and the other DMA measures the negative ion spectrum simultaneously. The air that flows through each DMA consists of a sample (30 lpm) and sheath (60 lpm) flow. These air flows are controlled by ball valves, which are placed after the DMAs, and measured by venturi tubes, which include a dense grid to produce laminar flow. The AIS data is averaged and recorded in five minute cycles. The accuracy of the AIS data of this specific measurement campaign will be discussed in Sect. 4.1.

The aerosol particle size distributions were measured with a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) in the diameter range 10–840 nm. The DMPS had a 28 cm long Vienna-type DMA (Winklmayr et al., 1991), a condensation particle counter (TSI 3010, Mertes, 1995) and it operated in a closed loop configuration (Hoppel, 1978; Jokinen and Mäkelä, 1997). The aerosol sample was dried with a
Permapure MD-110-48 (Permapure LLC, USA) to less than 30 % relative humidity, which was also continuously monitored. The total concentration obtained with this CPC was compared to a reference CPC (TSI 3772). The difference between the two CPCs was 7 %, which was considered in the data inversion.

3.2 Supporting data

Ancillary data included gas concentrations and basic meteorological parameters. For ozone ($\text{O}_3$) concentration monitoring, an Environment S.A. analyser (O341M) was deployed, correspondingly sulphur dioxide ($\text{SO}_2$) concentrations were monitored with Thermo analyser (Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. model 43S), nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x$) concentrations with a Teledyne analyser (Teledyne Instruments API model 200AU), black carbon (BC) concentrations with a multi-angle absorption photometer (MAAP, model 5012), and carbon monoxide (CO) with a Horiba analyser (Horiba APMA 360). Temperature and relative humidity were measured with Rotronic (MP 101A), while wind speed and direction were measured with Vector equipment (A101ML and A200P/L, respectively). Additionally, particulate matter concentrations (i.e. PM$_{1}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) were monitored and trace metal content of the particulate matter is also available, although only discussion of the PM$_{10}$ is included in this work.

4 Methods

Data measured both with the AIS and the DMPS included some gaps or bad data, which were due to malfunctioning of the instruments, as well as service and/or calibrations being conducted. Before data analysis commenced, raw data was cleaned according to methods indicated by Laakso et al. (2008) and Venter et al. (2012). Aerosol data was cleaned by visually selecting and deleting periods and size intervals when data was noisy or otherwise suspicious. Gas data was corrected based on in-situ calibration procedures. All the data was averaged over 15 min. However, as higher temporal resolution particle and ion data are needed for the formation and growth rate analysis, the original time resolution of DMPS (9 min) and AIS (5 min) data were kept.

4.1 Effect of flow rate and contamination on size distributions obtained with the AIS

During the measurements at Marikana we noticed that the air pathways of the AIS got partially blocked due to the accumulation of soot and coarse particles, mainly in the areas with small apertures and on the venturi tube nets (for a technical drawing of AIS, please see Mirme et al., 2007). Fortunately no particulate build-up occurred in the blower. As a result of accumulation of particulate matter, the flow rates of the two DMAs may become different over time, since both of the DMAs have their own post-venturi air flow paths. The shear air flow rates were also affected by blockages in the nets before the electrical filters, which produce ion free air.

Decreasing flow rate broadens and shifts mobility distributions. The best way to correct the data would have been to calculate new transfer functions, which correspond to new flow rates. However, we were uncertain of the relative changes of the different flows (i.e. two sheath flows, two sample flows and a combined exhaust flow), since only the combined exhaust flow rate was recorded by default. All the individual flow rates were measured only after the measurement campaign at Marikana was completed. These post campaign measurements indicated that the decrease of all flows were proportional. Due to the limited amount of knowledge on the effect of reduced flow rates on the mobility distribution, we conducted theoretical and experimental studies to evaluate the effect.

The theoretical investigation included calculation of ion currents (i.e. raw data) as a function of the electrometer number using the AIS inversion algorithm by reducing the flow rate by 10, 20 and 30 % of the expected value. For simplicity, we assumed that the sheath and sample flow rates in both analysers dropped proportionally, which may not always be the case and requires further experimental investigation. The results showed that the small ion (less than ca. 1.9 nm in diameter) distribution was immediately shifted towards smaller sizes when flow rate began to decrease. However, the distributions of larger ions (ca. >3 nm) began to shift only when flow rates had decreased by ca. 30 % or more. Signals of the electrometers in all sizes decreased immediately with any decrease in flow rate (Fig. 2). The ratios in Fig. 2 were obtained by including signal readings of three adjacent electrometers, which are taken into account in the data inversion.

![Fig. 2. Ratio of raw signals during normal flow rate to reduced flow rate. The peak signal and both adjacent signals from the electrometers were included in the analysis.](https://example.com/fig2.png)
Experimental investigation carried out in Finland in May–June 2010 included both laboratory calibration and field study. The laboratory calibrations are explained and analysed in detail by Gagné et al. (2011). The results showed that the AIS (serial nr. 2 utilised here) classified the mobility accurately. However, the AIS overestimated concentrations compared to a reference instrument. The concentration difference was almost constant and independent of size. We therefore calculated a correction factor for concentration based on the calibration.

The second experiment was to reduce flow rates artificially by burning a paraffin torch, which generated soot and coarse particles, close to the inlet. In this way, the outflow rate was decreased by 10 and 20%. The observations (Fig. 3) confirmed the results from the theoretical evaluation (Fig. 2). Upon reduced flow, small ion mode immediately began shifting towards smaller sizes. Larger particle distribution shift could not be identified with the applied flow rates and method. However, concentrations of ions in all size ranges dropped with reduced flow. The functioning of the AIS electrometers eventually became unstable as an increased amount of soot gathered on their surfaces, therefore, only a qualitative comparison was possible.

Based on the above-mentioned results, it was decided to use the AIS data as follows: (1) sub-3 nm ion data was used only if the flow rate was at the desired level (60 lpm ± 5%), (2) data of ions larger than 3 nm in diameter were used if the flow rate had decreased by at most 20%, and (3) all the data affected by high electrometer noise (due to the accumulation of dirt on the electrometers) were excluded. After selecting the data based on the flow rate we were able to analyse 14% and 78% of the data of sub-3 nm and larger than 3 nm ions, respectively. The data cleaning removed large fraction of data for ions larger than 10 nm in diameter during the wet period (see Sect. 5.3).

Additionally, we multiplied the entire positive and negative ion spectrum having diameters larger than 3 nm with concentration correction factors of 0.76 and 0.79, respectively, which were obtained based on the calibration with the reference instrument (Gagné et al., 2011). An alteration to the inversion procedure was implemented on 7 May 2008 and the calibration was valid for the applied inversion procedure. Therefore only AIS data from 7 May 2008 to 17 May 2010 (end of the sampling campaign at Marikana) is analysed and considered further in this paper. Due to above-presented data corrections we utilised the good quality ion data (14% of all data) when presenting diurnal cycles of concentrations (Sect. 5.1), and the data acquired during periods of reduced flow rate (up to a maximum of 20% flow drop) only when classifying the particle formation events (Sect. 5.2) and analysing the growth rates (Sect. 5.3).
4.2 Altitude and temperature effects on the AIS data

Our measurements were performed at a relatively high altitude (1170 m a.s.l.), where annual average temperature and pressure were 19 °C and 886 hPa, respectively. The mobility of an ion depends on both ambient pressure and temperature. Thus at higher altitudes the ions may become more mobile compared to sea level. In this work we decided to use the Millikan diameter conversion (Millikan, 1923) for both the ion and particle datasets. Following the convention in previous publications (e.g. Manninen et al., 2010; Vakkari et al., 2011; Hirsikko et al., 2011 and references therein), the ion diameters were adjusted to average summer temperature (23 °C) at sea level (1000 hPa), while the diameters of the DMPS data were calculated by using instant temperature and pressure inside the DMA. This led to a ca. 6% underestimation of the ion diameters compared to diameters of DMPS size distribution data. This small discrepancy in derived diameters due to different temperature and pressure scheme is small compared to other sources of uncertainty.

4.3 Data analysis

4.3.1 Particle formation

Particle formation events were classified visually according to guidelines by Yli-Juuti et al. (2009) and Vakkari et al. (2011) for the AIS data and by Dal Maso et al. (2005) for the DMPS data. We divided days into particle formation (i.e. nucleation), undefined and non-event days. Our aim was to analyse formation and growth rates for all nucleation events if possible, therefore no further division of particle formation events were made. Based on our earlier experience we know that this type of visual classification is subject to small discrepancies due to the judgement of the analyst(s).

Due to accuracy issues of concentration measurements and lack of sub-3 nm ion data (as discussed in Sect. 4.1) we were unable to calculate formation rates for 2-nm ions \( J_2 \) as is typically done when investigating particle formation processes (e.g. Kulmala et al., 2007; Manninen et al., 2010). The DMPS system was set to measure particles larger than 10 nm in diameter. Unfortunately, the lowest size channel of the DMPS had to be rejected as the DMPS high voltage power source could not keep the voltage stable below 8 V. Therefore we began our analysis of formation and growth rates from 12 nm (i.e. \( J_{12} \) and \( \text{GR}_{12-30} \)).

Formation rates for 12 nm particles \( (J_{12}) \) were calculated following Dal Maso et al. (2005, and references therein):

\[
J_{12} = \frac{dN_{12-30}}{dt} + \text{CoagS} \cdot N_{12-30} + F_{\text{growth}}.
\]

(1)

Where \( N_{12-30} \) is the concentration of nucleation mode particles obtained by the DMPS, CoagS the particle removal rate due to coagulation and \( F_{\text{growth}} \) is the flux of particle growth out of the nucleation mode. The latter was assumed to be equal to zero due to the assumption that particles do not grow larger than 30 nm during the time the nucleation event is investigated (Dal Maso et al., 2005).

4.3.2 Particle growth

We estimated the growth rates of particle population based on AIS and DMPS data. Ion growth rates from the AIS were analysed by using a maximum concentration method described by Hirsikko et al. (2005). The method follows the timing of maximum concentration of every size bin, and the growth rates are obtained as a slope of a linear fit to time-diameter pairs. However, this method works best when the growing mode is narrow enough (i.e. for sub-20 nm particle mode). Therefore, we calculated growth rates based on the DMPS data by using a mode fitting method introduced by Hussein et al. (2005) and Dal Maso et al. (2005). The mode fitting method cannot typically be used to estimate initial growth, thus the fitting often begins close to a diameter of 5 nm, which makes this method unsuitable for growth rate analysis with the AIS data.

Major error sources for growth rate analysis are the stretching of size distributions due to decreasing flow rates in the AIS (as discussed in Sect. 4.1) and an inhomogeneous/changing air mass during a growth event. The first source of error was taken into account by using only data measured when the flow rates were high enough. The later effect was dealt with by visually checking how well the obtained slope followed the growing mode.

The analysis by Yli-Juuti et al. (2011) showed that the maximum concentration method typically results in somewhat higher growth rates than the mode fitting method. This was assumed to be due to high and changing coagulation sinks during growth, especially if the growth is slow (Leppä et al., 2011). However, growth rates at Marikana were high (Sect. 5.3), which reduced somewhat the possible overestimation. The obtained growth rates also depend on the instrument and the charge polarity of the particles (Yli-Juuti et al., 2011). The first arises from inaccurate determinations of flow rates, and the latter is due to the combination of the fact that activation of one charge polarity occurs before the other and continuous changing in the atmosphere. We will present growth and formation rates statistically, therefore qualitative error estimation is assumed to be sufficient for our purposes.

4.3.3 Estimation of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) concentration and air mass back trajectories

There are strong indications that sulphuric acid is involved in the atmospheric particle formation and growth (e.g. Weber et al., 1996; Fiedler et al., 2005; Sihto et al., 2006; Riipinen et al., 2007; Kuang et al., 2008; Sipilä, 2010; Paasonen et al., 2010; Brus et al., 2011). Vakkari et al. (2011) also observed that the nucleation event day frequency correlated with the temporal cycle of the sulphuric acid proxy concentrations.
in a semi-clean South African savannah. Several proxies for sulphuric acid have been derived (Petäjä et al., 2009; Mikko-
nen et al., 2011). However, none of them have been tested
against sulphuric acid data from environments comparable to
Marikana. We decided to deploy parameterisation by Petäjä
et al. (2009), as was previously done by Vakkari et al. (2011):

\[
[H_2SO_4]_p = 1.4 \times 10^{-7} \cdot \text{Glob}^{-0.70} \cdot \frac{[SO_2] \cdot \text{Glob}}{CS}.
\]  

(2)

Where Glob indicates global radiation in W m\(^{-2}\), [SO\(_2\)]\(_p\) is
the concentration in molecules cm\(^{-3}\) and CS is a condensa-
tion sink (in s\(^{-1}\)) due to background aerosol particles. The
condensation sink was calculated based on particle size dis-
tribution data according to Dal Maso et al. (2005, and ref-
ences therein). While utilising the proxy developed for the
clean Finnish background station, it should be kept in mind
that there is no confirmation it works for the conditions at
Marikana. Thus the absolute values are uncertain and most
probably only the difference between the seasons can be con-
sidered reliable.

In addition to source and sink rates of nucleating and con-
densing vapours, particle formation is also affected by turbu-
ilent mixing of the boundary layer, as well as air mass origin
and path. We, therefore, calculated 96-h air mass back trajecto-
ries in one hour resolution with a model (Hybrid Single-
particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory-HYSPLIT version
4.8) by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra-
tion (Draxler and Hess, 2004; Air Resources Laboratory,
2011).

5 Results

A summary of daytime (08:00–14:00) gaseous and partic-
ulate pollutant concentrations at Marikana during the mea-
surement period is shown in Table 1. As reported by Venter
et al. (2012), the measured concentrations of SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), CO
and BC were relatively high but generally within the lim-
its of European and South African air quality standards. The
concentrations of O\(_3\) and PM\(_{10}\) were observed to exceed reg-
ulations frequently.

5.1 Variation of particle number concentration

The concentrations of Aitken (20–100 nm in diameter) and
accumulation (100–840 nm in diameter) mode particles had
a clear annual cycle, i.e. concentrations were higher during
the dry period compared to the wet period (Fig. 4). Median
diurnal cycles (Fig. 5) indicated that the accumulation mode
had peaks in the morning (06:30–08:00) and evening (19:00–
21:00), while the Aitken mode had three peak periods, i.e.
the first in the morning (06:00–07:30), the second in the af-
ternoon (11:00–14:30) and the third in the evening (18:30–
20:00). However, the timing of the concentration peaks was
related to the duration of daylight and followed the length
of the day. Nucleation mode (12–20 nm in diameter) parti-
cle concentrations also had three concentration peaks dur-
ing the day, the most important being at or before midday
(Fig. 5). However, nucleation mode particle concentrations
did not show as clear annual cycle as was seen with larger
particles (Fig. 4).

We also calculated small and nucleation mode ion con-
centrations during the period when the AIS flow rate was
at the desired level (Fig. 6). The small ion concentrations
were observed to follow the evolution of the mixing layer
height, while as was expected, nucleation and Aitken mode
ion concentration showed similar diurnal cycle as was shown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event:</th>
<th>Median 95%</th>
<th>Median 95%</th>
<th>Non-event: Median 95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS (10(^{-2}) s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[H(_2)SO(_4)](_p) (10(^6) molec. cm(^{-3}))</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_2) (ppb)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_3) (ppb)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_x) (ppb)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppb)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOB (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10}) (µg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (µg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NaN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in Fig. 5. The concentrations of ions larger than 3 nm in diameter reflected the number concentration measured with the DMPS. The median small ion concentrations were 320 cm$^{-3}$ and 450 cm$^{-3}$ for positive and negative small ions respectively, which are typical for polluted environments (Hirsikko et al., 2011).

Condensation sink, which is mainly caused by large particles, may be used as a tracer for air quality. The CS values were high and typical for polluted urban or industrial environments (e.g. Mönkkönen et al., 2005; Kulmala et al., 2005; Yue et al., 2009). The CS had a clear annual cycle with maximum values during the dry period (Fig. 7), which was in accordance to observations by Vakkari et al. (2011) in the South African savannah.

Venter et al. (2012) indicated that NO$_x$, CO, BC and particulate mass mainly originated from local residential combustion for heating and cooking at Marikana, while SO$_2$ mainly originated from high stack industry emissions. Correlation coefficients between nucleation, Aitken and accumulation mode particle concentrations and trace gas, BC and CS are presented in Table 2. In addition, the relationship between particle and SO$_2$ concentrations are shown in Fig. 8. These observations together with the temporal variation of the particle number concentrations indicate that the morning and evening concentration peaks (Fig. 5) were due to the local residential combustion rather than due to industrial emissions. During the dry periods, which correspond to winter and the colder autumn and spring months, domestic burning is a major particle source. Residential combustion mainly takes place during the early morning and evening.
Evening concentration peaks (Fig. 5) are usually more pronounced due to the need for space heating. The morning residential combustion period is usually curtailed due to warming temperatures and residents leaving for work. During the wet periods, which correspond to summer and the wetter autumn and spring months, domestic heating probably plays a smaller role as a particle source. Hence the observed Aitken and accumulation mode particles concentrations were higher in the dry periods (Fig. 4).

In contrast, the midday peak in particle concentrations is expected to be due to particle formation as a result of industrial and natural emissions, as will be explained in Sect. 5.2. The South African Highveld is well known for the formation of several well developed inversion layers, at different heights, that typically form during the night and persist until later morning (Garstang et al., 1996; Tyson et al., 1996; Wenig et al., 2003). High stack industry emissions can therefore accumulate between two inversion layers during nighttime, which is then released after the break-up of the inversion layers in the morning, hence resulting in a release of pollution at ground level. It is known that the PGM industry in this area has relatively high SO$_2$ emissions, since this industry utilises sulphite ore (Xiao and Laplante, 2004) that generate substantial SO$_2$ emissions. Thus, based on our observations we have identified two particle sources: SO$_2$-based nucleation before or at midday and domestic burning during the morning and evening.

5.2 Frequency of new particle formation days

In this section particle nucleation event classification was done on the entire, un-cleaned ion (AIS) and particle (DMPS) datasets. This was done, since the procedure is visual and distinction between particle nucleation, undefined and non-events can be done even if the distributions may be disturbed or broadened (Sect. 4.1). This decision allowed us to use as much data as possible and to obtain the most representative result.

The nucleation events were observed on 86 % (DMPS), 84 % (negative ions) and 78 % (positive ions) of the analysed days at Marikana (Table 3, Fig. 9). With the ion spectrometer we were able to follow the growth of freshly nucleated ions, which allowed us to identify local charged particle formation events. The growing ion mode, however, was often visible from 3–7 nm upwards, which is an indication of a small contribution of ions to particle formation, or particle formation in residual layer or regional scale. If these observations were due to dominance of electrically neutral pathways in nucleation, then this would be in agreement with the theory and earlier observations that ion-mediated particle formation requires an environment of low nucleation rates, low temperature and aerosol content, but preferably having high concentrations of small ions and being rich with sulphuric acid (e.g. Laakso et al., 2002; Curtius et al., 2006; Iida, 2006; Yu, 2010). Despite the relatively high estimate of sulphuric acid concentration, none of these requirements were met at Marikana. It is also possible that the nucleation already began in a residual layer before mixing into the boundary layer or some horizontal distance before the measurement site. Therefore, we suggest that regional new particle formation was significant at Marikana, despite the strong local pollution sources. This is understandable, as the typical transport time above the western BIC area is short (1–2 h maximum).

At Marikana, the frequency of nucleation event days was higher than is reported from any other location (e.g. Kulmalta et al., 2004; Jaatinen et al., 2009; Hirsikko et al., 2011). As an example, in the South African savannah, the monthly nucleation event day frequency was 55–90 % (Vakkari et al., 2011), and in the Australian Eucalyptus forest the corresponding frequency was ca. 52 % (Suni et al., 2008). In contrast, in the clean rural boreal environment annual nucleation event day frequency is typically less than 30 % (e.g. Dal Maso et al., 2005, 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2007a; Asmi et al., 2011). Over the polar areas the nucleation event day frequency is even lower (e.g. Virkkula et al., 2007; Asmi et al., 2010).

Typically, particle formation events at Marikana began earlier in the morning during the wet period than during the dry period, due to the earlier sunrise (Fig. 5). Although the difference of nucleation event day frequency between the various months was small, we may conclude that the DMPS nucleation event day frequency was slightly higher in summer and early autumn (wetter period) than in winter and spring (drier period). In summer, the air was cleaner, but the estimated sulphuric acid concentration showed lower monthly median values (Fig. 7). In contrast, based on the ion spectrometer the nucleation event day frequency was slightly higher
Table 2. Here Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between various trace parameters and particle concentrations are presented. Particle number concentrations were calculated for four size ranges: 12–20 nm, 20–50 nm, 50–100 nm and 100–840 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Wet season</th>
<th>Conc. 12–20 nm</th>
<th>Conc. 20–50 nm</th>
<th>Conc. 50–100 nm</th>
<th>Conc. 100–840 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂: daytime</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night-time</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO: daytime</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night-time</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ: daytime</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night-time</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC: daytime</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night-time</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS: daytime</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night-time</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Number concentrations of nucleation (dN_{12–20 nm}), Aitken (dN_{20–50 nm} and dN_{50–100 nm}) and accumulation (dN_{100–840 nm}) mode particles are presented as a function of SO₂ concentration during the dry season (upper panel) and the wet season (lower panel). Red dots indicate daytime concentrations (between 06:30–17:30) and black dots represent night-time (between 18:30–05:30) concentrations.
Table 3. Statistics of nucleation event day classification based on the DMPS and the AIS data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analysed</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Non-events</th>
<th>Undefined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of analysed days (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(−)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of analysed days (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of analysed days (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Monthly distribution of particle formation, undefined and non-event days based on the DMPS (lower panel), positive (middle panel) and negative (upper panel) ion data (AIS).

During late autumn and winter having a similar annual cycle as $[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_p$ (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, as shown in Table 1, concentrations of SO$_2$, $[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_p$ and CS were typical for urban environments, but also higher during nucleation and growth events compared to undefined and non-event days, or to values observed in rural environments (e.g. Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Petäjä et al., 2009; Asmi et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Mikkonen et al., 2011).

Air mass back trajectory analysis showed that despite the origin of the air masses, every trajectory circulated over the industrialised area of the western BIC and large cities nearby. The possible accumulation of trace gases from regional sources (Venter et al., 2012) may result in the observed high particle formation day frequency. Particle formation is also controlled by solar radiation. As is shown in Table 1, the non-event days were likely influenced by presence of optically thick clouds.

Despite the slightly lower frequency of positive ion events we cannot conclude with any certainty a clear polarity difference based on the visual classification (Table 3, Fig. 9). In contrast, in rural boreal environment negative ion events have been observed to be more frequent and stronger compared to positive ion events (Hirsikko et al., 2007a).

A very notable observation was that multiple consecutive nucleation events (i.e. more than one event per day), starting from the nano-meter sizes (ca. 1.5–3 nm) followed by subsequent growth, were observed on ca. 100 days. All of these multiple events were observed during daylight. In addition to daytime nucleation, nocturnal nucleation events (Junninen et al., 2008; Lehtipalo et al., 2011), which were suppressed before diameter of ca. 3 nm, were also observed at Marikana.

Svenningsson et al. (2008) have also observed several nucleation events, characterised by very fast growth rates, to occur during a day over wetland in a clean Sub-Arctic Abisko, where the nucleation event day frequency was 40% between spring and autumn. Svenningsson et al. (2008) observed such consecutive nucleation events related to homogeneous air masses to occur also during night-time in summer. However, they were not able to explain their observations of the night-time or multiple events. Further investigations of the mechanisms behind these observations are required in the future.

5.3 Particle formation and growth rates

Particle formation and growth rates ($J_{12}$ and $GR_{12–30}$) were higher from spring to autumn (Fig. 10, also compare to Fig. 5). Thus, ambient conditions were less favourable for 12–30 nm particle formation and subsequent growth in winter (Tables 1 and 4). Magnitudes of formation and growth rates could not be explained by the estimated sulphuric acid concentration which indicates a substantial contribution from other vapours in these processes. We suggest that vapours of organic origin are probable candidates. The local wind
Fig. 10. Monthly median formation rates of 12–30 nm particles \( (J_{12}) \) and growth rates \( (GR_{12-30\text{nm}}) \) based on the DMPS data. The figure includes observations made during February–December 2008, January–July 2009, September–December 2009, and January–May 2010.

Fig. 11. Formation rate of 12 nm particles as a function of growth rate \( (12–30\text{nm}) \) based on the DMPS data.

Direction did not seem to enhance formation or growth rates. This was expected, since the above discussed analysis indicated that particle formation and growth is probably affected by accumulation of trace gas species (e.g. \( \text{SO}_2 \) and organics) from regional sources.

High formation rates were observed to occur simultaneously with fast growth rates (Fig. 11). Both formation and growth rates were observed to correlate with concentrations of Aitken mode particles during nucleation events, but not with the accumulation mode particle concentrations. When comparing our results of \( J_{12} \) and \( GR_{12-30} \) (Fig. 10) to observations by Vakkari et al. (2011) in the semi-clean South African savannah, we notice that both the magnitude and temporal variation of these parameters were similar.

Even though sub-3 nm ion data was acceptable during 14 % of the time, we were able to estimate growth rates of sub-3 nm ions only on 13 days. Growth rate analysis for 7–20 nm ions was possible only during half of the months due to data quality issues. Sub-20 nm charged particle growth rates in all size ranges were slightly higher during months from autumn to late spring (Figs. 12 and 13, Table 4). Growth rates of charged particles were also compared to Aitken and accumulation mode particle concentrations during the nucleation events. The analysis showed that the faster growth rates were observed when Aitken mode particle concentrations were higher.

The magnitude of presented formation and growth rates is in accordance with earlier observations in urban and polluted environments (Kulmala et al., 2004 and references therein). The observed formation (up to \( 100 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) and growth rates are

**Table 4.** Mean, median, standard deviation, and 10th/90th percentiles of growth rate \( (GR) \) and formation rate \( (J_{12}) \) separately for dry and wet periods based on the DMPS. The growth rates based on the AIS data were calculated separately for the positive and the negative polarity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± std</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>10th</th>
<th>90th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{12} ) (, \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>4.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{12-30\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{3-7\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>12.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{7-12\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>19.0 ± 11.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(−)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{3-7\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>11.9 ± 7.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{7-12\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>19.7 ± 10.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wet period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{12} ) (, \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} )</td>
<td>7.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{12-30\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>11.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(+)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{3-7\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>13.1 ± 7.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{7-12\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>19.1 ± 11.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS(−)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{3-7\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>13.3 ± 7.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GR_{7-12\text{nm}} ) (, \text{nm h}^{-1} )</td>
<td>17.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 12. Monthly median growth rates of positive and negative ions (based on the AIS data) for different size fractions indicated with different colours.

Fig. 13. Annual monthly median growth rates (GR) based on DMPS (upper panel), positive (middle panel) and negative (lower panel) ion data (AIS).

rates are typically higher in polluted environments compared to rural or polar sites (e.g. Kulmala et al., 2004; Dal Maso et al., 2005, 2007; Iida et al., 2008; Jaatinen et al., 2009; Kalafut-Pettibone et al., 2011), due to more pronounced concentrations of H$_2$SO$_4$ vapour and stronger competition between growth and coagulation loss. Earlier observations suggest that high growth rate and CS exist at the same time since slow growth cannot be observed due to quick scavenging by pre-existing aerosol (e.g. Kulmala et al., 2005). The CS in Marikana was 3–5 times higher than in rural Botsalano (Vakkari et al., 2011), which may balance the potentially increased particle growth rates.

6 Concluding remarks

The aim of this work was to characterise particle sources and formation events at a residential area surrounded by mining and pyrometallurgical smelting industries in South Africa. The aerosol particle number concentrations were quite high (on average $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$) and typical for urban or industrial environments, although, higher particle number concentrations have also been reported in literature (e.g. Ruuskanen et al., 2001; Woo et al., 2001; Wehner and Wiedensohler, 2003; Hussein et al., 2004; Stanier et al., 2004; Mönkkönen et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2008; Cheung et al., 2011). Our results, together with the analysis by Venter et al. (2012) indicate that the major source for nucleation mode particles was new particle formation (most likely SO$_2$-based) around midday. Aitken and accumulation mode particles originated from domestic burning for heating and cooking, but in the afternoon an increased Aitken mode particle concentrations were also observed due to regional nucleation and subsequent growth from industrial, residential and biological emissions.

Nucleation event day frequency was very high, i.e. 86% of the analysed days, which is the highest thus far recorded in any environment (e.g. Kulmala et al., 2004; Hirsiikko et al., 2011). Both the local sources and regional conditions affected the particle formation and growth. The formation and growth rates were high and typical for urban environments (Kulmala et al., 2004; Dal Maso et al., 2005, 2007; Iida et al., 2008; Jaatinen et al., 2009). However, the temporal cycle of the new particle formation characteristics was similar as have been observed by Vakkari et al. (2011) over a semi-clean savannah in South Africa.

From the results presented it is clear that, despite the industrial and residential activities in the western BIC are sources of particulates, a large fraction of particle number concentration is due to regional nucleation. Our results combined with the results of Venter et al. (2012) provide valuable information for the assessment of local air quality and the possible health threat to the community in the western BIC, as well as supply information for decision making processes in the future for limiting air pollution. The results presented here were the most thorough analysis of particle formation and growth in an informal and semi-formal residential area, influenced by mining and pyrometallurgical industries.
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