SO₂ and BrO observation in the plume of the Eyjafjallajökull volcano 2010: CARIBIC and GOME-2 retrievals
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Abstract. The ash cloud of the Eyjafjallajökull (also referred to as: Eyjafjalla (e.g. Schumann et al., 2011), Eyjafjöll or Eyjafjöll (e.g. Ansmann et al., 2010)) volcano on Iceland caused closure of large parts of European airspace in April and May 2010. For the validation and improvement of the European volcanic ash forecast models several research flights were performed. Also the CARIBIC (Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container) flying laboratory, which routinely measures at cruise altitude (≈11 km) performed three dedicated measurements flights through sections of the ash plume. Although the focus of these flights was on the detection and quantification of the volcanic ash, we report here on sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and bromine monoxide (BrO) measurements with the CARIBIC DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) instrument during the second of these special flights on 16 May 2010. As the BrO and the SO₂ observations coincide, we assume the BrO to have been formed inside the volcanic plume. Average SO₂ and BrO mixing ratios of ≈40 ppb and ≈5 ppt respectively are retrieved inside the plume. The BrO to SO₂ ratio retrieved from the CARIBIC observation is ≈1.3 × 10⁻⁴. Both SO₂ and BrO observations agree well with simultaneous satellite (GOME-2) observations. SO₂ column densities retrieved from satellite observations are often used as an indicator for volcanic ash. As the CARIBIC O₄ column densities changed rapidly during the plume observation, we conclude that the aerosol and the SO₂ plume are collocated. For SO₂ some additional information on the local distribution can be derived from a comparison of forward and back scan GOME-2 data. More details on the local plume size and position are retrieved by combining CARIBIC and GOME-2 data.

1 Introduction

Volcanic eruptions emit large amounts of ash and reactive gases into the atmosphere. Depending on the mass and altitude of ashes emitted, the geographical position of the volcano and the local meteorological conditions, the influence on atmospheric composition varies between local and global (e.g. Pinatubo 1991). As an explosive eruption of the Icelandic volcano Eyjafjallajökull (63° 37’ 48” N 19° 37’ 12” W) from 14 April to 24 May 2010 demonstrated, a modest volcanic eruption can have serious atmospheric consequences as large parts of the western European airspace were closed. Satellite observations of Eyjafjallajökull’s plume showed enhanced values of sulphur dioxide (SO₂) mainly after 19 April 2010. Sulphur dioxide is often used as tracer for volcanic plumes and hence for volcanic ash (Carn et al., 2009). Moreover, volcanic bromine monoxide (BrO) was detected by satellite measurements close to Iceland but also further downwind, suggesting that bromine was emitted by the Eyjafjallajökull as well.
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Here we present observations of \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{BrO} \) north of Ireland on 16 May 2010. Based on the combination of the DOAS CARIBIC data with GOME-2 satellite data additional information on spatial distribution details can be gained. The data were recorded during a special mission of the CARIBIC observatory (Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container, http://www.caribic.de/; Brenninkmeijer et al., 2007) deployed on board of a Lufthansa Airbus A340-600 passenger aeroplane. Three special flights were aimed at a fairly complete observation of the volcanic plume by means of in situ trace gas and aerosol measurements, complemented by air and aerosol sampling. As the \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{BrO} \) column densities were below the detection limit during the first flight (20 April 2010, when the plume originated from the first eruption phase having low \( \text{SO}_2 \) emissions), and the DOAS instrument malfunctioned during the third (19 May 2010), we focus on the second flight. Besides the Lufthansa CARIBIC measurement flights, other airborne measurements (e.g. Schumann et al., 2011) as well as ground based observations of the plume by lidar and ozone soundings were made (e.g. Ansmann et al., 2010; Flentje et al., 2010).

The chemical processes inside volcanic plumes have been studied for a considerable time. Since Bobrowski et al. (2003) detected large amounts of \( \text{BrO} \) downwind of the Soufrière Hills volcano (Montserrat) measurements (and simulation studies) also target the chemistry of halogen compounds. A few years later volcanic \( \text{BrO} \) emissions were also studied using aircraft measurements (Bani et al., 2009) and satellite observations (Theys et al., 2009). Compared to ground based measurements both airborne and satellite observation offer the advantage that measurements at various distances from the crater can be performed with the same instrument. While satellites often have a coarse spatial resolution compared to aircraft observations, they can follow the plume over long distances (e.g. from Iceland to the British Isles).

An overview of the chemistry in the plumes of degassing volcanoes is given by von Glasow et al. (2009). While sulphur dioxide (\( \text{SO}_2 \)) is directly emitted by volcanoes, bromine monoxide (\( \text{BrO} \)) forms inside their plumes primarily through heterogeneous reactions. The mechanism is similar to the one observed in polar spring leading to the “bromine explosion” and the concurrent arctic tropospheric ozone depletion events (e.g. Simpson et al., 2007). The main chemical reactions are shown below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{HBr} & \rightarrow \text{HBr}_{\text{aq}} \\
    \text{HOBr}_{\text{aq}} + \text{Br}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{Br}_2, \text{aq} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
    \text{Br}_2, \text{aq} & \rightarrow \text{Br}_2 \\
    \text{Br}_2 & \rightarrow 2 \cdot \text{Br} \\
    \text{Br} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow \text{BrO} + \text{O}_2 \\
    \text{BrO} + \text{BrO} & \rightarrow \text{Br}_2 + \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

(R1) (R2) (R3) (R4) (R5) (R6)

Hence the production of \( \text{BrO} \) takes only place under daylight conditions (Eq. R4). Moreover the mixing ratio of ozone must be maintained at a sufficient level through mixing-in of background air from outside the plume. Other studies (Bobrowski et al., 2007) on volcanic \( \text{BrO} \) close to a crater observed a higher \( \text{BrO} \) concentration towards the plume edges compared to the centre. They concluded that the enhanced mixing in of ozone towards the edges caused the higher \( \text{BrO} \) concentration there, in contrast to the plume centre, where the ozone concentration is too low.

Instead of \( \text{Br}_2 \) also \( \text{BrX} (X = \text{F}, \text{Cl} \) or \( \text{I} \) may be released from the aerosols and become photo dissociated, leading to a small halogen source. Indeed chlorine oxides (\( \text{ClO}, \text{OCIO} \)) have been observed in volcanic plumes (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007). For the CARIBIC flight we report here, evidence for the presence of chlorine radicals will be presented elsewhere (Baker et al., 2011).

2 Description of the instruments

2.1 CARIBIC project

CARIBIC is based on a Lufthansa Airbus A340-600 retrofitted with a three probe (trace gases, water and aerosol) inlet system. Under normal operations the aeroplane carries the instrument container on a monthly basis during four consecutive regular passenger flights for 2–4 days. \( \text{CO}, \text{CO}_2, \text{O}_3, \text{NO}, \text{NO}_2, \text{NO}_y, \text{CH}_4, \) some organic compounds (e.g. acetone), mercury, total and gaseous water and aerosols are measured in real time. In addition, 16 aerosol samples and 116 air samples (28 prior to spring 2010) are collected for post flight laboratory analysis of aerosol elemental composition, (Nguyen et al., 2006) and of a host of trace gases (Schuck et al., 2009; Baker et al., 2010). A video camera in the inlet pylon takes a frame every second for post flight cloud cover analysis. Furthermore three miniature DOAS telescopes are mounted in the pylon. The instruments are maintained and operated by nine scientific groups from institutes in Europe (http://www.caribic-atmospheric.com/; January 2011).

The instrumental container was updated in winter 2009/2010 with three new instruments: a new high resolution air sampler for 88 additional air samples, a cavity ring down absorption spectrometer (CRDS) for the \( \text{D/H} \) and \( \text{^{18}}\text{O}^{/16}\text{O} \) ratios of water (Dyroff et al., 2010) and an off-axis integrated cavity output spectrometer (OA-ICOS) for in situ measurements of \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) (Kattner et al., 2010). A new optical particle counter (OPC) for the aerosol size distribution between 125 nm and 1 \( \mu \)m replaced an older one. The \( \text{O}_3 \) analyser and the \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) instruments were improved while the \( \text{NO}_y \) instrument was extended for \( \text{NO}_2 \). Also the DOAS instrument was completely upgraded (Sect. 2.3).

The trace gas and aerosol measurements are complemented by standard in flight observations from the aeroplane (e.g. position, temperature, wind speed, pressure) which are
Table 1. DOAS retrieval settings for the CARIBIC and GOME-2 data retrieval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength Range [nm]</th>
<th>CARIBIC</th>
<th>GOME-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>BrO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>Vandaele et al. (1996)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO</td>
<td>Wilmouth et al. (1999, 228 K)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>Bogumil et al. (2003, 273 K)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₄</td>
<td>Greenblatt et al. (1990)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCIO</td>
<td>Kromminga et al. (2003)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynomial degree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

provided by Lufthansa. The Royal Dutch Meteorological Institute (KNMI) supports the CARIBIC project with trajectory calculations along the flight track based on the TRAKJS model (Scheele et al., 1996; Stohl et al., 2001). Both forward and backward trajectories for 2 and 8 days respectively are calculated using ECMWF weather data interpolated to the position and time of the CARIBIC observation.

2.2 The DOAS technique

The DOAS instruments (Sects. 2.3 and 2.4) measure scattered sunlight and Differential OpticalAbsorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) (Platt and Stutz, 2008) is used to retrieve information about trace gas amounts in the atmosphere. DOAS is based on the Lambert-Beer law:

\[ I(\lambda) = I_0(\lambda) \cdot e^{-SCD \cdot \sigma(\lambda)} \]  

(1)

It describes the reduction in the intensity \( I_0 \) at a certain wavelength \( \lambda \) when passing through a medium with absorption cross section \( \sigma(\lambda) \), with SCD (slant column density) being the absorber concentration \( c \) integrated along the light path:

\[ SCD = \int_{\text{lightpath}} c(r) \cdot dr \]  

(2)

Since many atmospheric trace gases e.g. NO₂, SO₂, BrO, O₃, O₄ or HCHO have unique absorption cross sections in the UV/Vis wavelength range, several tracers can be quantified simultaneously when using a certain wavelength interval. In principle the determination of SCDs requires knowledge of the solar radiation \( I_0 \) before entering the atmosphere. However, as this cannot be measured with the same instrument and the strong Fraunhofer absorptions have to be removed, a reference spectrum is included in the retrieval. By including a reference spectrum the absorption of the reference relative to the solar radiation is subtracted in the retrieval. Because of that the retrieved slant column is a differential column, relative to the reference spectrum. In this study we used as reference a cloudy spectrum recorded shortly after crossing the plume (10:35 UTC), assuming that the cloud coverage and cloud optical density were similar to those below the plume. For some trace gases, e.g. BrO, the subtraction of the reference spectrum automatically includes the correction for the stratospheric signal, if the change in the solar zenith angle is small enough. Table 1 shows an overview of the settings used for DOAS data analysis of both the CARIBIC and the GOME-2 spectra.

The filling-in of the Fraunhofer lines caused by inelastic scattering of light (Grainger and Ring, 1962) was corrected by including a Ring spectrum (Bussemer, 1993; Wagner et al., 2009) in the retrieval. The Ring spectrum is calculated from the reference or a direct sunlight spectrum for GOME-2. In Table 1 OCIO and HCHO are also listed for the CARIBIC DOAS retrieval, however these two trace gases were found to be below the detection limit of \( 6 \times 10^{13} \text{molec/cm}^2 \) and \( 1.5 \times 10^{16} \text{molec/cm}^2 \), respectively. Based on the detection limit a maximum concentration of \( \approx 4 \text{ppt} \) for OCIO and \( 1 \text{ppb} \) for HCHO can be estimated in the plume as described below (Sect. 4.1).

In Fig. 1 an example fit for the CARIBIC DOAS data for the three wavelength ranges is shown (nadir, 9 spectra added and 1 omitted). Only a few trace gas absorptions are depicted here to keep the figure clear. On top SO₂ and O₄ fits are shown for the respective wavelength interval, in the centre the BrO fit is shown and in the lowest row the residuals for all wavelength intervals are given. There are small structures in the SO₂ residual, caused by an imperfect O₃ fit. However as the SO₂ absorptions are strong, these structures do not affect the observed SO₂ SCD. The observed O₄ column densities in the nadir spectra are small. For consistency the CARIBIC DOAS spectra were also analysed in the same wavelength intervals as the GOME-2 spectra. The differences between the two retrieval settings were found to be
within their errors. Also the BrO fit interval was extended towards shorter wavelengths, to check for the influence of the imperfect O₃ fit (Fig. 1 SO₂ window). Until ≈320 nm no significant change in the BrO SCDs was observed.

As the slant column density is highly dependent on factors like viewing geometry, solar position, altitude profile of the trace gas, cloud coverage and aerosol content (Sect. 3.2), the vertical column density is introduced to compare the observation with other data e.g. satellite observations. The vertical column density (VCD) is defined as the height integral of the concentration:

\[ \text{VCD} = \int_0^{\text{TOA}} c(z) \cdot dz \]  

(3)

\[ \text{AMF} = \frac{\text{SCD}}{\text{VCD}} \]  

(4)

For the conversion of measured SCD to VCD an Air Mass Factor (AMF) is introduced as the ratio between SCD and VCD (Eq. 4). The AMF is numerically simulated under consideration of all above mentioned parameters. We used the radiative transfer model McArtim (Deutschmann, 2009), which is a full spherical Monte Carlo radiative transfer model, to retrieve the AMFs. Because of the Monte Carlo algorithm, the AMF has a statistical error. Together with the simulated AMF the 1σ variance is estimated by the program. For our study the statistical error in the AMFs is below 7%. The error caused by wrong assumptions concerning cloud cover, plume altitude, aerosols and other parameters can be much higher, therefore in Sect. 3.2 the best estimates for these parameter are discussed.

The typical fit error for BrO for 10 co-added CARIBIC spectra was around 1 × 10¹⁵ molec/cm², which is similar or slightly higher than depicted in Fig. 1. For SO₂ the error in the SCD is in most cases less than 4 × 10¹⁵ molec/cm² for the averaged nadir data. Due to the low optical density of BrO the relative measurement errors are higher. For the individual spectra the typical errors for both slant and vertical columns are listed in Table 2, for a better comparison the errors of the GOME-2 retrieval are included.

2.3 DOAS on CARIBIC

The CARIBIC DOAS instrument is described in detail in Dix et al. (2009) and Heue et al. (2010). It observes scattered sunlight under three different elevation angles (−82° named nadir, −10°, and +10° relative to the horizon). The three small telescopes (opening angle = 1.9°) present in the
CARIBIC-pylons are connected to the three spectrometers mounted in the container via three quartz fibre bundles. In Winter 2009/2010 the existing Ocean Optic USB2000 spectrometers were replaced by new CTF60 spectrometers from OMT (Optische Messtechnik, Ulm, Germany). The wavelength ranges of all spectrometers cover the interval from 300 to 400 nm with a spectral resolution of 0.5 nm (full width at half maximum). Hence it allows us to retrieve sulphur dioxide from all 3 lines of sight, in contrast to the old DOAS system (Heue et al., 2010). Moreover the time resolution could be reduced from 30 to 8 s (corresponding to 7.5 km and 2 km horizontal resolution, respectively) without increasing the measurement errors.

Unfortunately during the same period the quartz fibres in the bundle connecting the +10° telescope with its spectrometer were damaged and could not be replaced before the volcanic flights. Hence, for this study, only two viewing directions were active. Moreover since these were the first data recorded with the new system (immediately after the recertification of the CARIBIC container following the upgrades) some parameter settings were still suboptimal. Particularly the intensity detected with the −10° spectrometer was far below that of nadir and some spectra reached oversaturation since the determination of the integration time had to be optimized under real flight conditions. Apart from the high temporal resolution analyses of all spectra, occasionally up to ten spectra were co-added (excluding the oversaturated ones) to reduce noise and improve the detection limit.

### 2.4 GOME-2 on MetOp-A

GOME-2 (Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment) is the first of a series of three identical instruments. It’s platform, MetOp-A, was launched into a sun-synchronous polar orbit at 800 km altitude in October 2006 and crosses the equator at 09:30 LT (local time). The GOME-2 instrument is a 4 channel UV/Vis grating spectrometer, which covers the wavelength region of 240–790 nm with a spectral resolution of 0.2–0.4 nm. Besides the backscattered and reflected radiances from the Earth it also observes direct sunlight. The ground pixel size is roughly 80 x 40 km² and the total swath width is 1920 km (24 pixels wide), thereby achieving daily coverage at mid latitudes (http://www.esa.int/esaLP/LPmetop).

![Forward (black) and back scans (red) of the GOME-2 instrument north of Ireland (16 May 2010 10:09 UTC). For both scanning modes one pixel is hatched to emphasise the size of the individual pixels and to illustrate the overlapping areas. The numbers in the forward pixels indicate the pixel number, while the swath number is written to the left.](image)

**Table 2.** Error estimates for BrO and SO₂ vertical column densities for an individual CARIBIC spectrum (-10° and nadir) and GOME-2 during the observation of the plume (Sect. 4.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔAMF [%]</th>
<th>BrO SCD [10¹³ molec/cm²]</th>
<th>ΔVCD</th>
<th>ΔAMF [%]</th>
<th>SO₂ SCD [10¹⁰ molec/cm²]</th>
<th>ΔVCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−10°</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nadir</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOME-2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with ozone or imperfect fitting of the Ring effect. In the case of BrO, the observed volcanic signal is superimposed on the strongly latitudinal-dependent stratospheric BrO distribution. The correction process was only applied to a pre-selected area of interest (38° W–15° E, 35° N–72° N), including 3 adjacent GOME-2 orbits. To account for the different viewing directions of the individual pixel, the SO$_2$ and BrO SCDs are converted to VCD$_{geom}$ by applying the geometric AMF:

$$AMF_{geom} = \frac{1}{\sin(-LOS)} + \frac{1}{\cos(SZA)} \quad (5)$$

Here, LOS and SZA are the line of sight (nadir = −90°) and the solar zenith angle, respectively. As the VCD$_{geom}$ is independent of the LOS, the background can now be estimated as a smooth function of the pixels outside the plume. Therefore, a 2-dimensional 3rd order polynomial fit was applied to the VCD of all pixels, whose SO$_2$ VCD does not exceed the 2σ variation (and therefore are supposed not to be part of the volcanic plume).

The corresponding BrO VCD$_{geom}$ were fitted to the same background pixels using a 2-dimensional 4th order polynomial. By subtracting the resulting polynomial from all VCDs (including the VCDs from the presumed volcanic plume pixels) we obtained the offset corrected (normalised) vertical column densities VCD$_{norm}$. These data products were used for the overview plots (Fig. 9).

During the plume observation the SZA was ≈44°, hence the geometrical AMF varied between 2.4 (nadir) and 2.8 (LOS = −135° and −45°). For the direct comparison to the CARIBIC DOAS observation (Sect. 4.2) radiative transfer simulations with McArtim (Sect. 2.2 Deutschmann, 2009) were performed including the same cloud and aerosol settings as for CARIBIC DOAS (Sect. 3.2). Beforehand the corrected VCD$_{norm}$ is multiplied with the geometric AMF to calculate a corrected slant column density SCD$_{norm}$.

### 3 Observations

#### 3.1 Measurement flights

Three special measurement flights were performed to help validate the volcanic ash forecasts. Several forecast models (e.g. Volcanic Ash Advisory Centre (VAAC), British Met Office (Fig. 3), Eurad (Universität Köln, Germany), Flexpart (Norsk Institutt for Luftforskning (NILU), Norway)) were compared to get the best possible estimate on the plume’s position and movement. Obviously no-fly zones as stipulated by the VAAC London had to be avoided at all times. According to the forecasts (Fig. 3) for 16 May 2010 the optimal areas to observe particle mass concentrations close to the given aviation safety threshold of 2000 µg/m$^3$ were over Ireland and the Irish Sea and accordingly these areas were probed, with a first leg over Ireland and a second one over the Irish Sea.

The actual flight pattern is shown in Fig. 4 and 5. As the airspace north of the Isle of Man (≈54° N) was closed, the aeroplane had to turn south at this point. During the plume observation the flight altitude varied between 2 and 7 km. This altitude range was chosen because above flight level 200 (≈6 km) the models predicted low concentrations. On the same day the DLR Falcon also performed measurements over the British Isles and its lidar observed the maximum plume altitude at 7 km (Schumann et al., 2011) only a few hundred kilometres east over the North Sea close to the British coast (54.6° N, 0.2° W).

The TRAJKS back trajectories (http://www.knmi.nl/samenew/campaign_support/CARIBIC/, January 2011) calculated for various positions along the CARIBIC flight track indicate that the air masses showing the highest volcanic signals (Sect. 3.2 - north of Ireland) had passed over southern Iceland 34–53 h before the observation (Fig. 4).

#### 3.2 CARIBIC DOAS observation

The DOAS instrument observed three major enhancements of SO$_2$ (Fig. 5). Two of these were very close together (10:05–10:25) and a third, weaker one was measured about 2 hours later over the Irish Sea. The first two SO$_2$ peaks can be attributed to the same plume (Fig. 5). It was observed north of Ireland (Fig. 5) just before and after the u-turn. At the same time enhanced BrO was observed as shown in Figures 1 and 5.

We are confident that the SO$_2$ third peak is just the edge of the large plume that extended further north (Fig. 9), although the CARIBIC aeroplane could not fly further north due to the aviation safety rules. At the plume’s edge no BrO was observed. Based on the elemental composition of aerosol sample No 6 taken between 11:38 and 12:27 (Fig. 5), the minor peak south of the Isle of Man can also be attributed to the volcanic plume (Sect. 3.3.1).

The oxygen dimer O$_4$ provides a standard tool for passive DOAS observations to estimate the aerosol optical thickness along the light path (e.g. Wagner et al., 2004; Frieß et al., 2006; Heue, 2005). Therefore the observed O$_4$ slant column densities are compared to calculated SCDs (Sect. 2.3) based on radiative transfer simulations. The parametrisation of the aerosol extinction in the model is adapted to the measurement. Other parameters e.g. cloud cover (discussed below), solar zenith angle, and viewing geometry are included in the model. In Fig. 6 the decrease in the O$_4$ SCD and the simultaneous increase in the SO$_2$ column densities are shown. The coincidence with the SO$_2$ peaks indicates convincingly that volcanic aerosols caused the observed reductions in the light path.

Because there was nearly complete cloud cover below the aeroplane, the cloud top height and optical thickness have to be included in the radiative transfer simulation. The video
Fig. 3. The volcanic ash forecast from the British Met Office for 16 May 2010, 06:00 UTC (left) and 12:00 UTC (right) for the flight levels 0 to 200 (surface to 6.1 km). The centre of the plume was expected to be south of Iceland and expanding over Ireland with a small filament reaching from northern England almost back to Iceland. Comparing the two panels shows that the plume was expected to move south-east.

Fig. 4. TRAJKS backward trajectories, for the time of the CARIBIC plume observation. The dots along the trajectories label 12 hours intervals. With the DOAS the plume was observed twice, as the aeroplane crossed over the plume and subsequently descended to the plume altitude (Sect. 3.2 and 3.3). The trajectories for the period during which many other instruments showed influence of volcanic ash masses are illustrated in red, the blue lines show clean air, and the black lines stand for the periods of enhanced DOAS SO$_2$ signal without additional indicators for volcanic influences. The origin of the enhanced SO$_2$ values can be traced back to the Eyjafjallajökull volcano on Iceland, which the air masses had passed 34–53 hours before CARIBIC sampled the plume (16 May 2010 10:20 UTC).

camera in the pylon has an elevation of $-13.2^\circ$ and a field of view of 36°. When a cloud is first observed in the centre of the video image and a few seconds later at its lower edge, this information can be used to estimate the cloud top height (1500 m $\pm$ 500 m). The low resolution of the video images however limits the accuracy of this method. Nevertheless the results agree well with independent observations (MODIS – http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/, August 2010) of the cloud top height. Hence in the radiative transfer simulation the cloud top height was fixed to 1.5 km. The geometrical and optical thickness (COT) of the cloud were set to 1 km and 10 (Fig. 7), respectively. The horizontal variability in the COT seems to be small (Fig. 7), hence we assumed the same cloud parameters for the plume as well as for the reference.

The geometrical thickness of the plume was assumed to be constant, with its bottom at 3 and its top at 6 km altitude (U. Schumann, personal communication, 2010). For simulating the optical properties of the volcanic ash cloud, the aerosol optical thickness and the single scattering albedo were varied in the range from 0.3 km$^{-1}$ to 2.5 km$^{-1}$ and from 0.8 to 0.99, respectively. Figure 6 shows an example of the simulated O$_4$ column densities for three different values of the single scattering albedo (SSA). For the $-10^\circ$ telescope O$_4$ data a SSA between 0.95 and 0.99 would be best, however also the nadir data and the intensity of the spectra are considered for the aerosol retrieval. As the algorithm to calculate the exposure time of the spectrometers still had to be optimised, the intensity ratios were weighted by only 10% compared to the O$_4$ columns. An aerosol extinction of 0.8 km$^{-1}$ combined with a single scattering albedo of 0.95 leads to the best agreement between simulations and observations. The retrieved SSA also agrees well with the values (0.96 at 330nm) retrieved from the OPC observations during the third CARIBIC volcano flight (19 May 2010). Compared to the MODIS observations the Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT $\approx$ 1) is much higher, which can at least partly be explained with the higher spatial resolution of the CARIBIC DOAS instrument (2 km to 15 km) and the slight temporal mismatch (10:20 UTC for CARIBIC and 10:56 UTC for MODIS) combined with the strong variability of the volcanic ash cloud.
The first aerosol peak appears 2989. The light path through and in the plume is strongly reduced, resulting in 4 flight altitude during the observation of enhanced SO2. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2973–2989.

K.-P. Heue et al.: SO2 and BrO in Eyjafjallajökull’s plume

Fig. 5. Time series of the SO2 and the BrO CARIBIC DOAS SCDs for nadir and −10° and the spatial SO2 distribution (right) for nadir. The two strong enhancements can clearly be attributed to the same plume which was crossed twice just before and after the u-turn north of Ireland. The boxes indicate the two time intervals during which the collected aerosol samples showed clear evidence of volcanic ash (samples No 4 and No 6).

3.3 Other CARIBIC data

As the aeroplane flew over the ash cloud first and went through it after the u-turn, most instruments observed the plume during the second leg. However, just before the first SO2 peak a small aerosol peak was detected, perhaps remains of an older plume at higher altitude, or a small streak that reached slightly higher. During the flight on 16 May 2010 the optical particle counter (Sec. 2.1) failed. Therefore no information about the size distribution in the range from 125 nm to 1 µm inside the volcanic ash plume is available. The CPCs (condensation particle counters) measure small particles and cover the size range of 4 nm to 2 µm providing three size bins of 4–12 nm, 12–18 nm and above 18 nm. The respective results are illustrated in Fig. 8. The first aerosol peak appears not to correlate with DOAS O4 or SO2 data. This indicates that the condensation nuclei number density is dominated by small particles, which have only a minor influence on the O4 SCD. The second and third peak were observed just before the SO2 column densities peak. In contrast to the third peak the aerosol number concentration of the second one reaches background levels before the DOAS instrument observes the plume’s maximum. The aerosol data indicate that the aeroplane passed through the main plume during the period when
Moreover, during the plume observations aerosol samples were collected from 09:59 to 10:49 and 11:38 to 12:27 (Fig. 5). Based on the particle-induced X-ray emission (PIXE) and particle elastic scattering (PESA) analyses the aerosols were clearly identified as being of volcanic origin by their enhanced concentration of several elements such as silicon, potassium, calcium and iron (samples 4 and 6). Hence we found a similar elemental composition as for volcanic ash sample from Iceland Sigmundsson et al. (2010). Flentje et al. (2010) reported a similar composition for rain water probes containing washed out particles at Hohenpeissenberg. In addition to these crustal elements, the CARIBIC aerosol samples contained enhanced concentrations sulphur and carbon, in agreement with observations from the 2008 eruption of the Kasatochi volcano (Martinsson et al., 2009).

During the observation of the second SO$_2$ peak an increase in CO is observed, while for the first peak a stratospheric signal (a decrease) is found. The increase of 70 ppb is not a specific, but in this case clear indicator for the volcanic plume (e.g. Mori and Notsu, 1997). Schumann et al. (2011) reported lower enhancements ($\approx$8 ppb and 13 ppb) for measurements on 16 May and 17 May 2010, respectively in the same region.

These in situ measurements give evidence for volcanic influence during the DOAS observation of the second SO$_2$ peak. During, or rather prior to the first observation only an increase in aerosol was found, preceding the SO$_2$ and O$_3$ column density increase. We conclude that the aeroplane crossed above the plume before it turned around, descended and subsequently crossed the plume. This assumption is also supported by a decrease in some NMHCs (not shown) caused by chlorine chemistry in the plume. Details are given in Baker et al. (2011).

Also mercury and ozone are measured using the CARIBIC flying laboratory. However, in these data no direct evidence of the volcanic plume was found. One would expect a decrease in O$_3$ caused by the reaction with BrO (Reactions R4 to R6) and an increase in total gaseous mercury due to the volcanic emission. But such effects were not found for the Eyjafjallajökull plumes, discussed here.

### 3.4 Satellite data

Satellite instruments e.g. OMI (Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Levelt et al., 2006) on EOS-Aura and GOME-2 on MetOp-A (Sect. 2.4) observed the evolution of Eyjafjallajökull’s plume right from the beginning (http://www.Temis.nl, August 2010). The SO$_2$ columns were astonishingly low during the first phase of the eruption until 18 April 2010, after which substantial SO$_2$ column densities were observed. Figure 9 shows the SO$_2$ and BrO distribution over Western Europe on 16 May 2010. A plume appears to be moving from southern Iceland towards the British Isles, where the remainders of an older plume are located.

The EOS-Aura satellite, carrying also the OMI instrument, passed over Europe around noon (12:51 UTC). To
minimize time differences the CARIBIC data is compared to the GOME-2 data (Fig. 9) where the maximum difference in the overpass times is less than 15 minutes. In Fig. 9 only the forward scans are considered, in the overlap regions (Sect. 2.4) the averages are shown. The SO₂ data show a compact plume situated over northern England, with the BrO plume being patchy. The main reason for the high spatial variation in the BrO is likely to be instrumental noise (Sect. 2.4). For both SO₂ and BrO a slight enhancement is also observed north of Ireland where the CARIBIC flying laboratory observed the plume (6.67° W/56.07° N).

4 Discussion

4.1 CARIBIC DOAS data

Both viewing directions of the DOAS instrument observed the plume twice north of Ireland with the horizontal distance between the two observations being approximately 15 km. Especially in the −10° line of sight the differences between the SO₂ slant column densities are quite obvious (Fig. 5). However, besides variations of the SO₂ concentrations inside the plume also the different sensitivity for the SO₂ layer, caused by the change in flight altitude, is very important for the understanding of the SCDs.

The AMF for SO₂ (315 nm – Fig. 10) and BrO (340 nm) were simulated using the aerosol properties (κ = 0.8 km⁻¹, SSA = 0.95) as retrieved from the O₄ column densities (Sect. 3.2). Inside the plume the visibility is strongly reduced by the volcanic aerosol, therefore the Box AMFs decrease very fast with distance from the aeroplane. To convert the Box-AMF to total AMF the same altitude range as for the aerosol was assumed for BrO and SO₂, i.e. the plume was between 3 and 6 km altitude.

The SO₂ vertical column densities (Eqs. 3 and 4) for −10° and nadir agree quite well (Fig. 11), with only the first observation of the plume with the −10° telescope being slightly smaller than the respective nadir observation. This might be caused by some local variations in the SO₂ concentration, both horizontally and vertically. For BrO this effect is smaller, however as the noise in the −10° data is high, we assume the relative distributions of BrO and SO₂ to be the same. Because the viewing direction changed rapidly during the turn no AMFs are calculated for this part of the flight.

If we assume a constant mixing ratio for the complete layer from 3 to 6 km we retrieve roughly 40 ppb for SO₂ and 5 ppt BrO (Table 3). The values in Table 3 are calculated with the maximum observations of the 80 s averages, the individual 8 seconds spectra result in similar mixing ratios with a 1-σ variation of 1.8 ppt BrO and 1.2 ppb SO₂ during the observation of the maximum in the nadir data. A better agreement between the vertical columns or the mixing ratios of the four individual observations cannot be expected, since different air masses were observed. The difference of 10 min. corresponds to a 6 km shift of the plume according to the ruling wind speed (20 knots≈10 m/s), which is not enough to compensate for the difference of 15 km between the two observation points. In situ SO₂ measurements on the DLR Falcon over the British east coast reached values over 30 ppb on the same day but a few hours later (Schumann et al., 2011). Considering that a different part of the plume was probed, this is in good agreement with our data.

Depending on the volcano, the BrO to SO₂ ratio is typically in the range between ≈0.5 and 10×10⁻⁴ (e.g. Bobrowski and Platt, 2007). Other important factors are the distance to the crater and the position in the plume (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007). At the position of our measurements the ratio is around 1.3×10⁻⁴ (Fig. 12) and hence well within the typical range. Both the ratio \( \frac{\text{SO}_2}{\text{BrO}} \) and the linear fit (1st peak: 1.32±0.16×10⁻⁴; \( R^2=0.57 \) and 2nd peak: 1.18±0.09×10⁻⁴; \( R^2=0.78 \)) resulted in lower ratios for the second peak observation. Considering the facts that the total distance to the volcano is about 44 h, that the noise in the BrO data is quite high and that the observed air masses are not the same, this does not contradict the increase in the BrO to SO₂ ratio as predicted and observed by Bobrowski et al. (2007).

In contrast to other observations made close to a volcanic crater (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007), we observe no increase in the BrO to SO₂ ratio towards the plume’s edges (Fig. 12). This was to be expected as the O₃ mixing ratio in the plume centre (≈25 to 50 ppb) is still high enough for the oxidation of bromine (R5). According to von Glasow (2010) between 10 and 30% of the total bromine in the plume is BrO. Consequently the retrieved BrO mixing ratio (Table 3) of 5 ppt therefore results in a total bromine content of 15 to 50 ppt, which is still much lower than the observed ozone mixing ratio of 25–50 ppb.

The horizontal extension of the plume is estimated based on the width of the SO₂ peaks. The fact that two peaks can be distinguished in the time series of the SO₂ and the BrO column densities proofs that for the short period in between the aeroplane did neither sample inside the plume nor flew over it. If this short period was due to the fact that the aeroplane
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However, besides variations of the SO$_2$ concentrations inside the plume also the different sensitivity for the SO$_2$ layer, caused by the change in flight altitude, is very important for the understanding of the SCDs.

The AMF for SO$_2$ (315 nm – Figure 10) and BrO

briefly left the plume to return later, we calculate that the plume was 60 km wide. Compared to the model prediction (Fig. 3) the observed SO$_2$ plume is further north and smaller. The fact that the O$_4$ time series (Fig. 6) show a similar pattern indicates that the SO$_2$ and the aerosol plume coincide.

4.2 Comparison with GOME-2 satellite data

Comparing airborne DOAS observations with those from satellites always faces two questions:

- What influence has the spatial distribution of the trace gases on the columns observed by the two instruments with different resolution?

- If the spatial variation is small enough, do the instruments agree when the same air masses are observed?

Based on previous comparisons (Heue et al., 2010) we know that both observations can agree very well if the AMFs are calculated using the correct cloud and aerosol description. Therefore for GOME-2 new AMFs were simulated with the same settings (clouds and aerosols) as previously used for CARIBIC (Sect. 3.2). Moreover the datasets have to be corrected for differences in overpass times. In this study the measurements were almost simultaneously i.e. 10:05 to 10:25 UTC for CARIBIC and 10:09 for GOME-2. Therefore large parts of the observed differences are most probably caused by the different spatial resolution of the two instruments in combination with the distribution of the trace gases.
Together with the CARIBIC time series, the BrO/SO$_2$ ratio inside the plume for the CARIBIC nadir data. Beside the BrO to SO$_2$ ratio the slope of the linear fit for the two observations is shown, (1.31 ± 0.16) × 10$^{-4}$ and (1.17 ± 0.09) × 10$^{-4}$ for the first and the second peak respectively. The SO$_2$ vertical column density is also included in the picture.

A comparison of the SO$_2$ columns, measured by CARIBIC (nadir) and GOME-2. For each CARIBIC DOAS measurement the pixels crossed by the aeroplane (forward and backward scans) are shown. Because the aeroplane made a u-turn just north of the plume, the GOME-2 pixels are the same before and after the turn, resulting in the observed symmetry. During the turn the viewing geometry of CARIBIC changed very rapidly, and therefore no AMFs were calculated here.

The location of the SO$_2$ maximum agrees well with the CARIBIC observation for both forward and back scan. Also the minimum to the north of the plume (10:15 UTC) can be seen in the GOME-2 dataset. Due to the smaller pixel size the maxima are more pronounced in the forward scan, compared to the back scan, where additional parts of the plume might be observed further east and west. Therefore it is surprising that the better quantitative agreement with respect to
The vertical column density is found for the backscan. This finding can be understood when taking into account the local distribution of the SO$_2$ relative to the GOME-2 pixels.

According to Figure 9, the SO$_2$ plume is almost parallel to the GOME-2 scan direction. If the plume is located in the centre of the back scan, and extends parallel to the pixel orientation, this might explain why the large back scan observes a higher column density than the smaller forward scan. At least at the position of the CARIBIC observation the plume was located in the centre of the back scan (Fig. 14). An alternative explanation for higher back scan columns would be that the maximum is further east or west i.e. there is a second SO$_2$ maximum covered by the back scan but not observed by the respective forward scan. This implies that it is observed by any other forward scan overlapping with this back scan.

The vertical column density for the back scan (swath 27) is $1.49 \times 10^{17}$ molec/cm$^2$, the VCDs for the respective forward scans are listed in Table 4. Only pixel 19 of swath 28 (not shown in Fig. 2) has a VCD that is higher than the backward scan, due to its small overlap ($\approx 390$ km$^2$) it contributes less than 4% to the back scan VCD.

80% of the area of the forward pixel 21 (swath 27) is also covered by the back scan. Therefore the contribution of the back scan signal to this forward scan can easily be estimated. If the plume was homogeneously distributed inside the back scan, then the signal of this forward pixel would be higher than $1.2 \times 10^{17}$ molec/cm$^2$, even if the VCD vanished in the other 20% of the pixel. Therefore the SO$_2$ column density cannot have been homogeneously distributed in the back scan. Of course this was not to be expected, especially as the CARIBIC data already showed the local variability.

A combination of the CARIBIC and GOME-2 data might be used to estimate the size of the part of the plume which was observed by CARIBIC. The plume is about 60 km wide (Fig. 14) and the distance between the two CARIBIC observations is about 15 km. If we assume this part of the plume to be $60 \times 40$ km$^2$ with an average VCD of $2 \times 10^{17}$ molec/cm$^2$ (Fig. 14) then it contributes about 98% to the column density observed by GOME-2 forward scan (pixel 21 of swath 27), and 49% to the VCD of the pixel 21 of swath 28. The assumption that the plume expands over 80 km i.e. that it stretches over the complete pixel results in a VCD of $1.7 \times 10^{17}$ molec/cm$^2$ and hence contradicts the observed VCD for pixel 21, swath 27. The same assumption however might explain about 98% of the observed VCD of the same pixel in the next swath (28). The plume was weighted with 75% and 50% as it is not completely covered by the pixel 21 of swath 27 and 28 respectively.

Hence the local variability of the SO$_2$ concentration or vertical column density must have been higher than expected from CARIBIC and the GOME-2 observations. In this estimate we assumed that outside the plume the vertical column vanishes, but even with this simple approximation we partly overestimated the GOME-2 signal.

To conclude, the plume we observed here with CARIBIC and GOME-2 was about 60 km wide. For the northern GOME-2 pixel 21 (swath 27) the length inside the pixel was roughly 30 km or slightly more. For the southern pixel 21 (swath 28) the estimated length is close to 80 km (covering the entire length of the pixel). Hence locally the plume did not extend parallel to the GOME-2 pixels but turns south. The shift according to the wind and time is insignificant, since 12 km to the west (15 min) is not enough to transport the observed part of the plume to a different GOME-2 pixel (Fig. 14).

### 4.2.2 Bromine monoxide vertical column densities

In the CARIBIC DOAS observations SO$_2$ and BrO do coincide (Fig. 5), indicating that the position of the maxima in
the plume are the same. In contrast the BrO maxima in the GOME-2 data are shifted north compared to SO$_2$ (Fig. 9). Despite this spatial shift in the GOME-2 BrO data, the BrO VCDs of CARIBIC and GOME-2 agree quite well (Fig. 15). Compared to SO$_2$ (Fig. 14) both data sets are noisier, therefore a detailed study of the local distribution including forward and back scan as well as CARIBIC data cannot be accurate. Nevertheless one interesting case shall be mentioned: at the southern edge of the plume (10:08 and 10:24 UTC) the forward scan observes the background level, whereas the overlapping back scan agrees quite well with maximum of the CARIBIC DOAS measurement. But this agreement is likely caused by higher BrO values observed further east (Fig. 9, at 5°W, over the British coast).

As both BrO and SO$_2$ observations for CARIBIC DOAS and GOME-2 agree well, also the BrO to SO$_2$ ratio at this position should be similar. However, as the BrO data are close to the detection limit, the calculated ratio of the VCDs inside the plume varies between $-0.2$ and $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$. The linear regression of the plot BrO over SO$_2$ for the GOME-2 in the area of the CARIBIC measurements (swath 26–30, Pixel 20–22+27, Table 4) has a slope of $(1.3 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-4}$ with a correlation of $R^2 = 0.16$. This demonstrates that BrO to SO$_2$ ratio can only be calculated when both column densities are well above the detection limit. For future satellite studies on this topic larger areas and higher column densities should be used.

5 Conclusions

The Eyjafjallajökull plume was observed by several instruments of the CARIBIC flying observatory during the special volcanic mission flight on 16 May 2010. While the remote sensing DOAS instrument observed the plume twice north of Ireland, many in situ instruments observed the plume only in the second case. This shows that the aeroplane first flew over the plume, turned around to subsequently cross it. Moreover, it highlights the importance of the remote sensing aspect of the DOAS instrument as a part of CARIBIC. Additional information from the aerosol counters and carbon monoxide instrument is used to determine whether or not the aeroplane was inside the plume, because this is essential for the description of the atmosphere during the AMF calculation. Unfortunately the optical particle counter, which would have given useful additional information on the aerosol size distribution failed during this flight. Chemical confirmation that the observed plume originated from the Eyjafjallajökull volcano was given by comparing elemental composition of collected aerosol samples with that reported for volcanic ash from Iceland. The video camera was for the first time in the CARIBIC project used to give a rough approximation of the cloud top height, which is however limited by the coarse resolution of the video images.

Based on the O$_4$ column density, the aerosol extinction and single scattering albedo were retrieved. Compared to MODIS satellite data, the retrieved total aerosol optical depth is higher by a factor of 2. The retrieved information was used to calculate the local SO$_2$ and BrO mixing ratios inside the plume to 40 ppb (29–49) and 5 ppt (4.3–6.0), respectively. The observed BrO to SO$_2$ ratio of $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ is well within the range typically observed at other volcanoes. The SO$_2$ mixing ratio agrees well with in situ observation from the DLR Falcon further east. For the small part of the plume sampled during this flight, the MetOffice dispersion model predicted the plume further south and wider than it actually was.

A good agreement is observed between GOME-2 and CARIBIC DOAS for the sulphur dioxide vertical columns, provided the cloud altitude and the aerosol extinction in the plume are considered in the AMF calculation. The GOME-2 BrO columns are close to the detection limit, however, a reasonable agreement was observed here as well. A more detailed study on the column densities observed by the GOME-2 forward scan and the back scan showed that the local variability of SO$_2$ concentration is very high. By combining the CARIBIC and the GOME-2 vertical columns we estimated that the observed part plume was 60 km wide and did not cover the entire length (80 km) of the GOME-2 pixels. While the length of the plume in the northern pixel was roughly 30 to 40 km, in the southern part the length almost reached the length of the whole pixel. The BrO to SO$_2$ ratio could not be calculated for the GOME-2 data in the area around the CARIBIC observation, as the measurement noise for the BrO data was too high. So for future satellite studies we recommend to use larger parts of the plume.
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