Will climate change increase ozone depletion from low-energy-electron precipitation?
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Abstract. We investigate the effects of a strengthened stratospheric/mesospheric residual circulation on the transport of nitric oxide (NO) produced by energetic particle precipitation. During periods of high geomagnetic activity, energetic electron precipitation (EEP) is responsible for winter time ozone loss in the polar middle atmosphere between 1 and 6 hPa. However, as climate change is expected to increase the strength of the Brewer-Dobson circulation including extratropical downwelling, the enhancements of EEP NOₓ concentrations are expected to be transported to lower altitudes in extratropical regions, becoming more significant in the ozone budget. Changes in the mesospheric residual circulation are also considered. We use simulations with the chemistry climate model system EMAC to compare present day effects of EEP NOₓ with expected effects in a climate change scenario for the year 2100. In years of strong geomagnetic activity, similar to that observed in 2003, an additional polar ozone loss of up to 0.4 µmol/mol at 5 hPa is found in the Southern Hemisphere. However, this would be approximately compensated by an ozone enhancement originating from a stronger poleward transport of ozone from lower latitudes caused by a strengthened Brewer-Dobson circulation, as well as by slower photochemical ozone loss reactions in a stratosphere cooled by risen greenhouse gas concentrations. In the Northern Hemisphere the EEP NOₓ effect appears to lose importance due to the different nature of the climate-change induced circulation changes.

1 Introduction

The Earth’s middle and upper atmosphere are strongly influenced by solar variability. Changes in the solar spectral irradiance as well as in the solar wind can lead to significant perturbations. Solar wind disturbances have been shown to lead to geomagnetic activity variations, which can result in magnetospheric loss of electrons (e.g. Clilverd et al., 2006). These electrons precipitate into the atmosphere at high geomagnetic latitudes where they lead to the production of NOₓ, termed energetic electron precipitation (EEP) NOₓ, through dissociation and ionisation processes. Downward transport in the dark polar winter can lead to significant enhancements of NOₓ in the stratosphere. Because NOₓ can catalytically destroy ozone, such NOₓ enhancements lead to ozone depletion in the upper stratosphere as has been shown e.g. by Callis et al. (1998), Brasseur and Solomon (2005), Jackman et al. (2008), or Baumgaertner et al. (2009). In the mesosphere, the mean meridional circulation transports air from the summer to the winter hemisphere driven by gravity wave energy and momentum deposition as well as radiative heating and cooling (Brasseur and Solomon, 2005). In the polar winter, this circulation can transport air, including EEP induced NOₓ enhancements, from the mesosphere into the stratosphere. In the polar stratosphere, further downward transport is controlled by the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC). The BDC is responsible for the meridional transport of air in the stratosphere: It mainly consists of poleward transport in the middle and upper stratosphere, with rising air in the tropics and downwelling air in the polar regions. Horizontal mixing and mixing barriers can also be important factors for the meridional distribution of trace gases.

Model studies have reported that climate change leads to a strengthening of the BDC. One of the first model predictions...
of increased tropical upwelling was published by Butchart and Scaife (2001), who attributed their findings to changes in planetary wave driving. Further modelling studies of this phenomenon were conducted e.g. by Butchart et al. (2006), Butchart et al. (2010), Deckert and Dameris (2008), Garcia and Randel (2008), and Garny et al. (2009). McLandress and Shepherd (2009) also studied the BDC response to climate change at high-latitudes, and only found an increase in Arctic downwelling in winter, whereas in Antarctic spring downwelling decreased. A full picture of the mechanisms that could strengthen the BDC has not yet been established. However, there is some evidence for a strengthening of the subtropical jets due to greenhouse warming, leading to changes in the transient Rossby wave drag (T. Shepherd, personal communication, 2009). Note, however, that so far no clear evidence for an acceleration of the BDC has been found from measurements (e.g. Engel et al., 2009).

The residual circulation in the mesosphere might also be subject to changes in a modified climate. Such changes could for example be caused by modified filtering conditions for gravity waves due to circulation changes in the stratosphere. However, such effects are still under discussion. Schmidt et al. (2006) found a weakening in the meridional circulation using model simulations, but long-term radar measurements have not yet been able to unambiguously identify a trend (Baumgaertner et al., 2005; Keuer et al., 2007).

If climate change leads to a modified residual circulation in the stratosphere or mesosphere, EEP NO$_x$ and its effect on ozone could be different in the future. For example, increased downwelling at high-latitudes would transport EEP NO$_x$ to lower altitudes, where it can become more important for the ozone budget due to the availability of ozone. However, this is limited by the fact that at lower stratospheric altitudes, where lower temperatures prevail, ozone loss through NO$_x$ cycles is slower and thus less efficient.

Here, we investigate the impact of middle atmosphere circulation changes caused by increased greenhouse gas concentrations on EEP NO$_x$ and polar stratospheric ozone using the ECHAM5/MESy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) climate model. The climate change scenario SRES A2 (Nakicenovic et al., 2000), the most extreme scenario in terms of climate change, is used for the year 2100 in order to drive simulations with a stronger BDC. All simulations for present day and year-2100 conditions have repeating boundary conditions, meaning that sea surface temperatures (SST), emissions, etc. were repeated on a yearly basis to minimise inter-annual variability induced by these boundary conditions. The model and the model setup are described in Sect. 2, the results are discussed in Sect. 3, and conclusions are presented in Sect. 4.

2 Model description, configuration, and setup

2.1 The EMAC model

The ECHAM/MESy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model is a numerical chemistry and climate simulation system that includes sub-models describing tropospheric and middle atmosphere processes and their interaction with oceans, land and human influences (Jöckel et al., 2006). It uses the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) to link multi-institutional computer codes. The core atmospheric model is the 5th generation European Centre Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5, Roeckner et al., 2006). The model has been shown to consistently simulate key atmospheric tracers such as ozone (Jöckel et al., 2006), water vapour (Lelieveld et al., 2007), and lower and middle stratospheric NO$_x$ (Brühl et al., 2007). For the present study we applied EMAC (ECHAM5 version 5.3.02, MESSy version 1.8+) in the T42L90MA-resolution, i.e. with a spherical triangular truncation of T42 (corresponding to a quadratic Gaussian grid of approximately 2.8$^\circ$ by 2.8$^\circ$ in latitude and longitude) with 90 vertical hybrid pressure levels up to 0.01 hPa.

A list of employed submodels and related references can be found in the Appendix. The chosen chemistry scheme for the configuration of the chemistry submodel MECCA is simpler compared to the configuration in Jöckel et al. (2006). For example, the NMHC (non-methane hydrocarbon) chemistry is not treated at the same level of detail. The complete mechanism is documented in the Supplement.

2.2 Model setup for present day simulations

Simulations were performed for present day and for year-2100 conditions. The concentrations of long-lived trace gases (CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O, and SF$_6$, as well as Chlorine and Bromine containing substances) are prescribed by Newtonian relaxation to present day values at the surface. Finally, present day emissions of short-lived trace gases from the surface and the boundary layer (NO$_x$, NMHCs, CO, SO$_2$, NH$_3$), and aircraft (NO$_x$) were applied similar to Jöckel et al. (2006). The present-day simulations use the AMIPIIb sea ice and sea surface temperature (SST) data set. The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) can lead to large-scale deviations of tropical SSTs from the long-term mean (see e.g. Harrison and Larkin, 1998; Enfield, 1989). During the El Niño phase, SSTs in the tropical Pacific rise by more than two Kelvin, during La Niña events this area is colder than normal. Therefore, we used climatological SSTs from AMIPIIb where neither El Niño nor La Niña events occur (see the additional figures in the Supplement).
2.3 Model setup for year-2100 simulations

For the simulations with year-2100 conditions in a climate change scenario, the SRES A2 scenario (IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, Nakicenovic et al., 2000) was chosen. This is the most drastic scenario, with a near doubling of CO$_2$ resulting in a surface temperature increase of approx. 4 K depending on the model (IPCC, 2007). We expect that this scenario also causes the strongest circulation changes, so that effects on EEP NO$_x$ and polar ozone can be clearly distinguished from other sources of variability. The following modifications of the model setup were implemented in order to reach a climate close to that obtained from IPCC model simulations using the SRES A2 scenario.

SSTs and sea ice coverage as well as the concentrations of greenhouse gases are the most important boundary conditions that are required to simulate a future climate. SST and sea ice coverage data were taken from an IPCC AR4 simulation including an interactive ocean model, ECHAM5/MPI-OM (Jungclaus, 2006). A description of MPI-OM is provided by Marsland et al. (2003), which also discusses some of the shortcomings of the model. While there is a good overall agreement between model SSTs and observations, Marsland et al. (2003) found a too weak North Atlantic poleward heat transport and differences in the observed and modelled Gulf Stream, which leads to the North Atlantic Ocean SSTs probably being too cold. Also note that in a warmer climate ECHAM5/MPI-OM shows a larger ENSO amplitude increase than most other models (Müller and Roeckner, 2008). However, these deficiencies are unlikely to adversely affect the results presented here.

Analogously to the year 2000, we have analysed the employed SSTs for El Niño or La Niña events. In the tropical Pacific, anomalies are generally smaller than 1.5 K and do not show the typical El Niño or La Niña pattern (see the additional figures in the Supplement).

Figure 1 depicts the difference between the SSTs from the year 2099 as predicted by ECHAM5/MPI-OM (averaged over January to December 2099), and present day (averaged over the 12 climatological months from AMIPIIb, see above). Most areas show a marked increase of several Kelvin as expected. The temperature decrease in the North Atlantic is potentially linked to the poor performance of MPI-OM in this area as mentioned above.

For CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O the initial concentrations as well as the prescribed surface concentrations were scaled to the expected concentrations of the trace gases in the year 2100 (SRES A2 scenario), using the information provided in Nakicenovic et al. (2000) and IPCC (2007). This yields mean surface mixing ratios of 850 µmol/mol for CO$_2$, 3400 nmol/mol for CH$_4$, and 450 nmol/mol for N$_2$O.

Chlorine and bromine containing substances as well as ozone precursors were left unchanged compared to the present-day simulation. While this is unlikely to be realistic, it would be very difficult to distinguish the effects of e.g. changed halogen loading and circulation changes.

As discussed above, the most important external factors that distinguish a future atmosphere from today’s atmosphere are the SSTs, sea ice and the concentrations of radiatively active gases. For the re-initialisation of the dynamics with these variables we have chosen a spinup period of three years. Since the chemical initialisation of long-lived trace gases directly affected by climate change was scaled consistently with the prescribed surface concentrations, the spinup period of three years is sufficiently long enough for short-lived trace gases to adjust to the new chemical background.

2.4 Solar and geomagnetic variability

The model contains most mechanisms of solar variability that are known to influence the lower and middle atmosphere. This includes effects from solar shortwave flux variability on radiative heating and photolysis, NO$_x$ formation by Galactic Cosmic Rays, HO$_x$ and NO$_x$ production by Solar Proton Events, and NO$_x$ production in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere through energetic electron precipitation (EEP). As discussed in Sect. 1, the latter process can lead to NO$_x$ enhancements (EEP NO$_x$) that are transported down into the stratosphere. The model implementation of this process is described by Baumgaertner et al. (2009).

In order to explicitly eliminate the influence of variability in the solar shortwave flux and SSTs, the shortwave flux was kept constant and SSTs were repeated on a 12-month basis. Solar Proton Events were not included in the model simulations because of their sporadic occurrence. The EEP strength for production of NO$_x$ in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere was set to 2003 with repeating monthly $A_p$ values as input, shown in Fig. 2. The $A_p$ index is a commonly used measure of global geomagnetic activity and is derived from magnetic field component measurements at 13 subauroral stations.
geomagnetic observatories (Mayaud, 1980). The Southern Hemisphere winter 2003 experienced strong enhancements of EEP NO\textsubscript{x} (Funke et al., 2005; Randall et al., 2007), the May–July average A\textsubscript{p} value of 23.1 exceeds that of all other years since 1958 except for 1991. The Halloween storm period from October to December 2003, relevant for the Northern Hemisphere winter, was characterised by even stronger geomagnetic activity. These large perturbations, which represent a “worst case” scenario, will make it possible to identify most clearly the effects focused on in this study. A further advantage of using A\textsubscript{p} values from the year 2003 is that in Baumgaertner et al. (2009) the parameterisation of EEP NO\textsubscript{x} in the EMAC model was evaluated with a focus on the year 2003, which had been chosen because on the one hand, exceptionally high geomagnetic activity prevailed, and on the other hand high-resolution data was available from MIPAS/ENVISAT making a thorough evaluation possible.

### 3 Results

In order to evaluate the effects of climate change on the extent and the properties of EEP NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements, several simulations have to be performed and compared. We cannot simply compare two simulations, one for present day conditions and one for the year 2100, to analyse these effects. This is because in the simulation for the year 2100 climate change has affected the mean state of the atmosphere such that the induced EEP NO\textsubscript{x} changes are difficult to distinguish from other changes in the NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone distributions. Therefore, four simulations were carried out:

**Simulation S-PRESENT-EEP.** Model setup as described in Sect. 2.2. The EEP NO\textsubscript{x} source submodel was switched on.

**Simulation S-PRESENT.** As S-PRESENT-EEP but with the EEP NO\textsubscript{x} source submodel switched off.

**Simulation S-Y2100-EEP.** Model setup as described in Sect. 2.3 for year 2100 conditions. The EEP NO\textsubscript{x} source submodel was switched on.

**Simulation S-Y2100.** As S-Y2100-EEP but with the EEP NO\textsubscript{x} source submodel switched off.

The simulations S-PRESENT and S-Y2100 were integrated for a spin-up period of three years as discussed above. The resulting model states were used as the starting point for the four simulations described above. Each of these simulations was performed for nine model years.

To obtain the climate-change induced EEP NO\textsubscript{x} changes the following procedure is adopted, which is independent of the quantity of interest, i.e. NO\textsubscript{x} or ozone: In a first step the basic EEP related changes are calculated separately for both year-2100 conditions and present conditions. Then, the result obtained for present day is subtracted from the year-2100 result, yielding only the changes in EEP effects due to climate change. Note that this could include a BDC acceleration, but also temperature and background ozone mixing ratio changes, and it is difficult with the available set of simulations to distinguish these effects. This will be discussed in more detail below. A diagram of the processing procedure is presented in Fig. 3.

Since the model setup similar to the one used here has been evaluated extensively in several studies (Jöckel et al., 2006; Lelieveld et al., 2007; Brühl et al., 2007; Baumgaertner et al., 2009), we do not present an evaluation of the model. However, an evaluation of the circulation changes in the year-2100 simulations is required. In the following, we analyse the changes in the zonal mean zonal wind as well as the trace gas distribution of CO with respect to the present day simulation.

Figure 4 depicts the climatological changes in zonal mean zonal wind for June–September in the Southern Hemisphere, hereafter referred to as SH winter (Fig. 4 left), and for December–March in the Northern Hemisphere, hereafter referred to as NH winter (Fig. 4 right). A paired t-test of the null hypothesis that data in the difference \((U^{S-Y2100} - U^{S-PRESENT})\) is a random sample from a normal distribution with mean 0 was performed. Areas, where the test fails at the 1% significance level, i.e. where the changes are statistically significant, are shaded. In both the SH and NH winters a strengthening of the subtropical westerly jets by 10 m/s is found, consistent with the results of e.g. McLandress and Shepherd (2009). While there is hardly any response in the stratosphere at latitudes poleward of 70° S and 70° N, in the

![Fig. 2. Monthly average A\textsubscript{p} index for the year 2003 which is used as input for the EEP parametrisation.](image)

![Fig. 3. Overview of the performed simulations and the performed processing.](image)
Fig. 4. Climatological change of SH winter (June–September, left) and NH winter (December–March, right) zonal mean zonal wind (m/s) in the year 2100 with respect to present day conditions ($U_{S-Y2100} - U_{S-PRESENT}$). Shaded areas indicate statistical significance at the 1% level.

Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 4 but for CO mixing ratios (%).

In the Southern Hemisphere, it is evident that the polar vortex strength has increased by up to 18 m/s. This is likely to reduce the strength of horizontal mixing of air across the vortex boundary, allowing less exchange of air between mid- and high latitudes. EEP NO\textsubscript{x} dilution is therefore likely to decrease, potentially leading to stronger EEP NO\textsubscript{x} effect in the year 2100. This will be discussed in detail below.

In the Northern Hemisphere, the situation appears to be reversed. In the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere the polar vortex has weakened by 10 m/s. Note, however, that only for a very limited height/latitude region this change is significant, which results from the large intrinsic variability in the Northern Hemisphere polar middle atmosphere. Therefore, conclusions drawn from this region can only be tentative and longer simulations will be needed to study this effect on a sound statistical basis. For the short simulations presented here, the overall weakening of the vortex will on average lead to an increased mixing of air between mid and high latitudes, which will likely have consequences on the dilution of EEP NO\textsubscript{x}.

The changes of the mean zonal wind at low latitudes are related to phase changes of the QBO. Due to the fact that only nine years are available for the analysis, no attempt is made to separate the results according to the phase of the QBO. This will be subject of future work.

Properties of CO (carbon monoxide) as a tracer for transport are described in Minschwaner et al. (2010). Its main characteristic is a continuously increasing volume mixing ratio from the tropopause to the thermosphere, thus, local enhancements of CO are a result of downward transport of air. Fig. 5 depicts the climatological changes of CO in SH (left) and NH (right) winter between the present day and year-2100 simulation in percent. It has to be noted that the overall increase of CO in the mesosphere of approximately 10% is likely a result of the increased production of CO from photolysis of CO\textsubscript{2}, which is more abundant in the S-Y2100
simulation. For SH winter (left) enhancements of up to 80% are found in the high latitude middle atmosphere. Additional CO-rich air descends from higher altitudes, which is very likely a result of a modified circulation including the decrease of horizontal mixing by the strengthened vortex as discussed above. This can explain the 10–20% decrease in CO in the mid-latitude stratosphere, and the corresponding increase at high latitudes. Since the high-latitude enhancements exceed the mid-latitude decrease, the enhancements are likely to be caused additionally by stronger downwelling, i.e., an accelerated BDC or mesospheric residual circulation.

During NH winter (Fig. 5, right) enhancements reach 60% in the high-latitude stratosphere. In the lower mesosphere, at mid-latitudes CO increases by up to 40%, but at high latitudes CO mixing ratios actually decrease by up to 10%. Because of the overall expected increase of CO by CO2 photolysis, this decrease would probably be even stronger without the increased photochemical production. Recalling that a weakened vortex, i.e., a more permeable region, was diagnosed from Fig. 4, this shift of CO from high to mid-latitudes can be explained by an increased horizontal mixing.

Having shown indications for the expected strengthening of the BDC as well as residual circulation changes in the mesosphere, we now analyse changes in NOx and ozone using the four simulations and the processing technique described above. Fig. 6 (left) depicts the climatological NOx change due to EEP (NOxS-PRESENT−EEP − NOxS-PRESENT) in the present day simulations, while Fig. 6 (middle) shows the same for the S-Y2100 simulations (NOxS−Y2100−EEP − NOxS−Y2100). The 5 nmol/mol contour line of the polar winter NOx enhancements that have descended from the mesosphere reach down to 9 and 10 hPa at present day and in the year 2100, respectively. The differences are more clearly identifiable if the present day changes are subtracted from the changes in the year 2100 (see also Fig. 3). The resulting change of EEP NOx due to climate change is shown in Fig. 6 (right). In the upper stratosphere, there is a significant enhancement of up to 4.7 nmol/mol, which is likely to be related to the circulation changes discussed above. A decrease of EEP NOx enhancements is found in the mesosphere north of 70° S. This can probably be attributed to the decrease in horizontal mixing found above.

Analogously, Fig. 7 depicts results for the Northern Hemisphere. A decrease of EEP NOx enhancements is already evident when comparing the present day effects Fig. 7 (left) with the effects in the year 2100 (middle), but becomes even clearer in Fig. 7 (right), where the difference between the present day and year-2100 EEP effect is shown. A decrease of up to 25 nmol/mol NOx is found. Note, however, that this is only significant in the mesosphere, confirming that the variability in the Northern Hemisphere stratosphere in winter is large and only allows tentative conclusions to be drawn with the presented set of simulations. The decrease in the mesosphere is likely due to the weakened subsidence in the mesosphere found above and the increased meridional transport to lower latitudes by means of horizontal mixing. Note that several authors have recently discussed extreme meteorological conditions as a strong source for EEP NOx in the Northern Hemisphere (see e.g. Randall et al., 2006).

Finally, we present the effects of climate change and associated EEP NOx changes on ozone. Fig. 8 (left) shows the climatological difference of SH winter ozone mixing ratios between the S-Y2100 and the S-PRESENT simulation, so no EEP effect is considered here. A decrease of up to 0.5 µmol/mol is found in the tropical and subtropical lower stratosphere. These changes are consistent with a strengthening of the BDC and similar changes have been reported by Li et al. (2009), see their Fig. 2, who compared differences between 2060–2069 and 1975–1984. In the upper stratosphere, centred around 40°, ozone increased by up to 1.6 µmol/mol, also similar to the results from Li et al. (2009). This is due to the increase of greenhouse gas concentrations, which leads to a cooling of the stratosphere (not shown, see e.g. Jonsson et al., 2004), which in turn slows down the temperature dependent photochemical ozone loss reactions (e.g. Barnett et al., 1975; Haigh and Pyle, 1982).

Figure 8 (middle) also depicts the ozone change in the year 2100 compared to present day, but including the EEP effect (O3S−Y2100−EEP − O3S-PRESENT−EEP). In contrast to Fig. 8 (left) the enhancement in the upper stratosphere at high southern latitudes is smaller. As for NOx, the change of the EEP effect due to climate change can be evaluated quantitatively with the processing shown in Fig. 3. This is shown in Fig. 8 (right), which displays a high-latitude decrease of ozone exceeding 0.4 µmol/mol, approximately reflecting the NOx changes found in Fig. 6 (right) in areas where sunlight and thus atomic oxygen is present, which allows the catalytic destruction of ozone to proceed. Overall, it can be concluded that in the Southern Hemisphere the EEP NOx effect on ozone in the presented climate change scenario is approximately compensated by the increase of ozone caused by the climate change induced stratospheric cooling.

During NH winter the overall ozone enhancements in the upper stratosphere discussed above (Fig. 8, left) are also present, as shown in Fig. 9 (left). In the EEP NOx simulations, Fig. 9 (right) shows the difference in analogy to the analysis for the Southern Hemisphere. Significant enhancements are found in the upper stratosphere and in the lower stratosphere exceeding 0.4 µmol/mol. Since there were no significant NOx changes found below approximately 3 hPa, only the upper stratospheric enhancements can be directly attributed to the decrease of NOx seen in Fig. 7 (right). However, the low significance of the circulation changes (Fig. 4, right) means that these results are to be treated with care.
4 Conclusions

As predicted by other model simulations (e.g. Butchart and Scaife, 2001), climate change leads to a stronger BDC in the presented EMAC simulations. Additionally, the strength of the residual circulation in the mesosphere is modified. In the Southern Hemisphere, the circulation changes and associated changes in horizontal mixing lead to a stronger downward transport of EEP NO$_x$ in the polar winter stratosphere, yielding a surplus of up to 4.7 nmol/mol in the upper stratosphere. Note that it is difficult to distinguish between changes in downwelling and mixing changes, but if we assume that the model captures the dynamics in this region correctly, under present and future conditions, this effect is contained in the simulations and therefore does not adversely affect the results. Quantification of such dilution and therefore a more accurate attribution of EEP effect changes is subject to future work.

The EEP NO$_x$ enhancements in turn cause some additional ozone loss of up to 0.4 µmol/mol in this area. However, the ozone loss is approximately compensated for by upper stratosphere ozone enhancements in the year 2100. Two processes related to climate change lead to this effect. First, a strengthened BDC also transports more low- and mid-latitude ozone to the polar area. Second, cooling of the stratosphere due to enhanced greenhouse gas concentrations leads to slower photochemical ozone loss reactions, globally enhancing ozone mixing ratios in the upper stratosphere.

In the Northern Hemisphere, a weaker mesospheric residual circulation and associated increase in horizontal mixing lead to less NO$_x$ entering the stratosphere. Therefore, the impact of EEP NO$_x$ on ozone is weaker than in the present day simulations, yielding up to 0.4 µmol/mol more ozone in the year 2100. Together with the expected increase of ozone from the BDC strengthening and the slower photochemical loss an additional 1.5 µmol/mol of ozone are found.

Note that the BDC acceleration has not been experimentally confirmed yet. Equally, measurements of the mesospheric residual circulation over the past three decades do not yet give an unambiguous picture of long-term trends. Extracting information on circulation changes due to greenhouse-gas related climate change from measurements is particularly challenging because of CFC-related ozone depletion, which could also cause changes in the residual circulation.

To date, changes in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) circulation due to climate change have not been as thoroughly investigated as BDC changes. The current version of EMAC does not fully capture the MLT region,
so there is significant uncertainty towards the MLT circulation changes. Development of a whole atmosphere model with a more complete representation of the MLT is underway; this will include the middle and upper atmosphere model CMAT2 (UCL London, see e.g Yigit et al., 2009) into MESSy allowing for a better representation of upper atmospheric NO$_x$ and thus make is possible to much more accurately study EEP NO$_x$ transport and effects.

Appendix A

List of employed MESSy submodels:

- CLOUD (large scale condensation, based on ECHAM5 subroutines),
- CONVECT (convection parametrisation, see Tost et al., 2006b),
- CVTRANS (convective tracer transport, see Tost et al., 2010),
- DRYDEP (dry deposition of gas phase species and aerosols, see Kerkweg et al., 2006a),
- H2O (consistent feedback of the chemically modified water vapour to the specific humidity of the base model),
- JVAL (photolysis rate calculations, based on Landgraf and Crutzen, 1998),
- LNOX (lightning NO$_x$ production, see Tost et al., 2007),
- MECCA (atmospheric chemistry submodel, see Sander et al., 2005),
- MSBM (polar stratospheric clouds, see Jöckel et al., 2010),
- OFFLEM and ONLEM (offline emission and online calculated emission of trace gases, see Kerkweg et al., 2006b),
- RAD4ALL (radiative calculations, based on ECHAM5 subroutines),
- SCAV (scavenging and liquid phase chemistry in clouds and precipitation, see Tost et al., 2006a),
- SEDI (particle sedimentation, see Kerkweg et al., 2006a),
- SPACENOX (NO$_x$ production by Energetic electron precipitation, see Baumgaertner et al., 2009),
- TNUDGE (Newtonian relaxation of long-lived trace gases at the surface, see Kerkweg et al., 2006b),
- TROPOP (diagnostics submodel).
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