The contribution of marine organics to the air quality of the western United States
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Abstract. The contribution of marine organic emissions to the air quality in coastal areas of the western United States is studied using the latest version of the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regional-scale Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQv4.7) modeling system. Emissions of marine isoprene, monoterpenes, and primary organic matter (POM) from the ocean are implemented into the model to provide a comprehensive view of the connection between ocean biology and atmospheric chemistry and air pollution. Model simulations show that marine organics can increase the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ by 0.1–0.3 µg m$^{-3}$ (up to 5%) in some coastal cities such as San Francisco, CA. This increase in the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is primarily attributed to the POM emissions, with small contributions from the marine isoprene and monoterpenes. When marine organic emissions are included, organic carbon (OC) concentrations over the remote ocean are increased by up to 50% (25% in coastal areas), values consistent with recent observational findings. This study is the first to quantify the air quality impacts from marine POM and monoterpenes for the United States, and it highlights the need for inclusion of marine organic emissions in air quality models.

1 Introduction

Several studies have shown that marine emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) and primary organic aerosols have the potential to affect coastal air quality (O'Dowd et al., 2004; Liakakou et al., 2007; Gantt et al., 2010). Observations of aerosol composition show that these emissions, which include both biogenic trace gases and particles, are strongly tied to the seasonal cycles in ocean biology (O’Dowd et al., 2004). Organic aerosols in the marine boundary layer are proposed to have different sources that can be broadly classified as primary, e.g., derived from the mechanical process of bubble bursting, and secondary, derived through ocean emission of BVOCs followed by chemical reaction and subsequent condensation of products into the aerosol phase (Ceburnis et al., 2008; Sellegrí et al., 2008). Starting with several contributions of Blanchard and Woodcock in the 1950s (e.g., Blanchard and Woodcock, 1957 and references therein), the bursting of bubbles entrained in ocean waters has been understood to present a significant source of primary organic matter (POM). Since then a large body of work, recently reviewed in great detail by Lewis and Schwartz (2004), has contributed to our understanding of the microphysical aerosol production mechanisms, aerosol mass and number fluxes, aerosol size distributions, aerosol chemical composition and the relationship of these with respect to wind speed, seawater temperature, ambient relative humidity and seawater composition in the bulk and at the surface. Many organic compounds accumulate at the seawater/air interface, either due to their low solubility or active transport by sub-millimeter size bubbles to the surface. Bubbles that burst in the presence of the microlayer can become considerably enriched with organic compounds in the aerosol relative to bulk seawater concentrations (Hoffman and Duce, 1976; Donaldson and Vaida, 2006) which is often observed over the productive waters of the ocean (O’Dowd et al., 2004; Facchini et al., 2008a). The observed chemical compositions of marine-derived organic compounds are diverse, containing exopolymer secretions (Bigg, 2007; Bigg and Leck, 2008; Leck and Bigg, 2008), oxygenated carbohydrate-like molecules (Hawkins et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2010), and alkylammonium salts (Facchini et al., 2008b) that are water insoluble in some (O’Dowd et al., 2004; Sciare et al., 2009) but not all cases reported so far (Facchini et al., 2008; Rinaldi
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et al., 2010). Since organic carbon enrichment of marine aerosols generally increases with decreases in aerosol size (Keene et al., 2007; Facchini et al., 2008a), such aerosols can be easily transported to the coastal areas, contributing to the organic aerosol load.

Production of secondary organic aerosols (SOA) from phytoplankton-generated reactive trace gases is a topic of considerable debates in the recent literature. Formation of SOA with a marine source begins with the oxidation of BVOCs emitted by phytoplankton. After oxidation through gas and aqueous-phase reactions, the lower volatility products can condense on pre-existing particles or form new particles over marine areas (O’Dowd et al., 2007 and references therein). Several VOCs with the potential to form aerosols have been measured in the marine boundary layer, including dimethyl sulfide (DMS), iodine species, isoprene, monoterpenes, and amines (Shaw et al., 1983; O’Dowd et al., 2002; Bonsang et al., 1992; Yassaa et al., 2008; Facchini et al., 2008b). Currently, “bottom-up” and “top-down” global estimates of oceanic emissions of isoprene and α-pinene differ by over a factor of 30 and 2000, respectively (Luo and Yu, 2010). Simulations suggest that the oceanic α-pinene emission based on the bottom-up value has little effect on OC aerosol formation; however, emissions derived from the top-down approach can enhance the zonally-averaged total condensable secondary organic matter by more than 100 ng C m$^{-3}$ in the lower troposphere of the Southern Hemisphere (40°S–60°S) (Luo and Yu, 2010). Due to such large uncertainty, the contribution of marine isoprene and monoterpenes to OC aerosol concentrations are examined in this study.

Several recent studies have implemented emission of marine isoprene and primary organic aerosols into 3-dimensional photochemical models to determine the air quality impacts. O’Dowd et al. (2008) implemented North Atlantic primary emissions into the REMOTE climate model by using a relationship between the organic fraction of submicron sea spray and [Chl-α]. The model-predicted aerosol concentration of between 0.2–0.3 µg m$^{-3}$ were similar to observations at Mace Head, Ireland, for both the summer and winter months. Marine isoprene emissions were implemented in the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model to determine the impacts on air quality in the United States (Gantt et al., 2010). They show that marine isoprene can add up to 0.004 µg m$^{-3}$ to the SOA concentration in coastal areas, a minor contribution (< 0.5%) when compared to the terrestrial sources (Gantt et al., 2010). The effect of marine isoprene on ozone (O$_3$) formation in urban coastal areas was also small, with enhancement of maximum 8-h average O$_3$ only by 0.2 ppb. In this study, we expand upon Gantt et al. (2010) by examining the impact of both POM and SOA from marine sources on the air quality of the western US.

2 Method

2.1 CMAQ model description

We have conducted three summertime (June–August) simulations with CMAQ model (Version 4.7) using a 12×12 km$^2$ spatial resolution in a domain comprising the western US and parts of the Pacific Ocean. The model simulations are conducted using meteorological data generated offline by the Pennsylvania State University/National Center for Atmospheric Research Mesoscale Modeling System Generation 5 (MM5) version 3.7.4 (Grell et al., 1994). Simulations start on 1 June 2005 using the initial conditions from the 31 May output from year-long CMAQ simulations performed by the EPA. The boundary conditions are set every hour from a global chemical transport model, the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS)-Chem (Park et al., 2004). Emissions of anthropogenic gaseous and aerosol species are based on the 2005 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) version 1, while biogenic emissions are based on the Biogenic Emissions Inventory System (BEIS) version 3.13. Gas-phase chemistry is computed using the Carbon-Bond Mechanism version 5 (CB05) reactions, with SOA formation simulated using an advanced module including processes such as acid catalyzation, oligomerization, and in-cloud processing (Carlton et al., 2010).

Using this model configuration, three different summertime simulations were performed. The first is a baseline simulation in which no marine emissions are added. The second, referred hereto as the bottom-up simulation, includes emissions of marine isoprene based on laboratory measurements of Gantt et al. (2009) and marine POM based on O’Dowd et al. (2008). Marine monoterpenes were not included in bottom-up simulations due to the lack of well established and constrained laboratory-based emission rates (Yassaa et al., 2008; Luo and Yu, 2010). The third simulation, referred as the top-down, is similar to the bottom-up approach except both marine isoprene and monoterpane emissions are calculated based on marine boundary layer (MBL) concentration measurements reported for the Southern Ocean (Colomb et al., 2009). Marine monoterpenes are included in the top-down approach due to reported ambient concentrations comparable to phytoplankton-produced isoprene (Yassaa et al., 2008; Colomb et al., 2009). A detailed description of the method used to create these emissions is found in Sects. 2.2 and 2.3. The summertime period of this model simulation was chosen due to the high solar radiation which potentially results in the highest emissions of marine BVOCs and rapid photochemistry.

The selected model domain includes nearly the entire coastal upwelling region; therefore it is well suited to study the impact of marine organic emissions on air quality in the western United States. However, outside this area, boundary conditions that are derived from outputs of global models did not include marine OC aerosol and trace gas emissions. Due
to relatively close (∼150 km) proximity of some parts of the coast to the model boundary, we have carefully examined the effects of boundary conditions on marine primary and secondary aerosol concentration. Over the western portion of our model area it was found that surface concentrations of marine aerosols in grid cells directly adjacent to the domain boundary were over 80% of the average background concentration. Furthermore, the sharp concentration gradients of marine aerosols (between ocean and terrestrial areas, for example) were much smaller (∼2–3 grid cells) than the distance from the domain boundary to the coast (>12 grid cells). Therefore, the boundary conditions are expected to have minor effect on the surface concentration of aerosols and ozone over the coastal US.

2.2 Marine VOC emissions

In both the bottom-up and top-down simulations, marine VOC emissions were added offline to the existing terrestrial emissions files. For the bottom-up simulations, marine isoprene emissions were created based on laboratory measurements of isoprene production from diatoms under a range of light conditions from Gantt et al. (2009). Diatoms were chosen in this study because they are one of the dominant summer phytoplankton classes for Pacific coast of the US (Chavez et al., 1991), despite considerable variation in species dominance with latitude and seasonality. The creation and implementation of marine isoprene emissions followed the same method of Gantt et al. (2010), with the main difference being the use of monthly-averaged Level 3 MODIS/Aqua-derived [Chl-a] and $k_{490}$ coefficient at ∼4 km resolution for the three months of simulations. These data were regridded to the 12×12 km² spatial resolution and projected into the Lambert Conformal Conic coordinates of the model domain. The ambient solar radiation, 10 m winds, and skin temperature (a proxy for sea surface temperature (SST)) were obtained from the MM5 meteorological fields to calculate the emission rates. Detailed description of the bottom-up marine isoprene emission calculations and implementation into the CMAQ model are given in Gantt et al. (2009) and (2010), respectively.

Marine isoprene and monoterpane emissions in our top-down approach are based on average surface concentration measurements of Colomb et al. (2009) in the remote MBL of the Southern Indian Ocean using a method similar to Luo and Yu (2010). In this approach, surface VOC concentration measurements from a region of maximum biological activity and little influence from terrestrial emissions are used to estimate the surface fluxes. The averaged VOC emissions over the Southern Indian Ocean (normalized by chlorophyll abundance, surface wind speed and SST) are then used to calculate marine isoprene and monoterpane emissions over the model domain. The emissions of marine isoprene and monoterpenes are estimated using the following equation of Guenther et al. (1996), suggested for terrestrial isoprene emissions calculations: $E_{\text{SIO}} = C \cdot H \cdot \tau^{-1}$. In this formulation, $E_{\text{SIO}}$ is the emission rate (molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹) at the Southern Indian Ocean site, $C$ is the average MBL concentration (molecules cm⁻³), $H$ is the MBL height (cm), and $\tau$ is the lifetime of the gas (sec). We assume a $\tau$ of 2 hr (7200 sec) for isoprene and 3.75 h (13 500 s) for monoterpenes, values adapted from Atkinson and Arey (1998) and Galbally et al. (2007). The lifetime of monoterpenes is based on the lifetime of $\alpha$-pinene, the most common monoterpane measured in the MBL (Yassaee et al., 2008). Because Colomb et al. (2009) report the surface VOC concentrations and meteorological/ocean measurements as ∼12-h averages over the surface ocean waters of variable biological productivity, the flux footprint is very complex and hard to interpret. Parameters affecting VOC emission rates/ambient concentrations such as phytoplankton abundance and speciation, surface solar radiation, and wind speed/direction are not well constrained. The very short atmospheric lifetime of isoprene also leads to strongly decaying vertical mixing ratios that further complicate emission rate calculations. As a result of these uncertainties, to convert the reported surface concentrations to $C$ values used in the above equation, we employ MBL vertical profiles of isoprene predicted by the bottom-up CMAQ simulation in remote ocean regions off the coast of Baja California, northern California, and Washington. Isoprene and monoterpane emissions derived for the Southern Indian Ocean are then normalized by gas exchange coefficients and [Chl-a] values and applied to the CMAQ domain. The emission rate in CMAQ ($E_{\text{CMAQ}}$) can be calculated using the following equation:

$$E_{\text{CMAQ}} = \frac{k_{\text{CMAQ}}}{k_{\text{SIO}}} \cdot E_{\text{SIO}} \cdot \frac{[\text{Chl-a}]_{\text{CMAQ}}}{[\text{Chl-a}]_{\text{SIO}}} \cdot SA$$

where $E_{\text{CMAQ}}$ is in units of moles s⁻¹, $SA$ denotes $12 \times 12$ km² CMAQ grid, $k_{\text{SIO}}$, $k_{\text{CMAQ}}$, and [Chl-a]_{SIO}, [Chl-a]_{CMAQ} are the gas exchange coefficients (m s⁻¹) and surface chlorophyll concentration (mg m⁻³) at the Southern Indian Ocean measurement site and CMAQ domain, respectively. The gas exchange coefficient is given by the equation: $k = 0.31 U_{10}^2 \cdot (\frac{660}{Sc})^{0.5}$ (Wanninkhof, 1992), with $U_{10}$ taken from the measurements of Colomb et al. (2009) and the MM5 hourly 10 m wind speed for Southern Indian Ocean and CMAQ domain, respectively. Schmidt number (Sc) is calculated using De Bruyn and Saltzman (1997) formulation.

2.3 Marine Primary Organic Emissions

Emissions of marine primary organic aerosols were added to the model online in both the bottom-up and top-down simulations. The emission rate of marine primary organic aerosols was determined using the relationship between [Chl-a] and the sub-micron organic mass fraction of sea spray mass concentration from O’Dowd et al. (2008). The marine primary organic aerosol emissions in CMAQ are added to the existing Aitken (0.1% by mass) and accumulation mode (99.9%
Table 1. Comparison between simulated and observed organic carbon aerosol concentrations for two coastal IMPROVE stations during the simulation period and the period from 1 June to 15 July 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Mean Obs. (µg m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 June to 31 Aug</td>
<td>1 June to 15 July</td>
<td>1 June to 31 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Reyes, CA</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top-down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood NP, CA</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top-down</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Locations of the IMPROVE and PAMS stations used in this study and the seasonally-averaged chlorophyll-\(\alpha\) concentrations for the summer of 2005.

by mass) primary organic carbon emissions, which were previously restricted exclusively to terrestrial regions. Using the sub-micron sea spray function to calculate the organic fraction of the CMAQ accumulation mode sea spray mass is justified, as the majority of the CMAQ accumulation mode particle mass is less than 1 µm in diameter. The [Chl-\(\alpha\)] for each model grid is determined from the monthly-averaged Level 3 MODIS/Aqua-derived [Chl-\(\alpha\)], and the sea spray mass emissions are calculated from the existing accumulation mode CMAQ sea salt emissions. The CMAQ sea salt fluxes are driven by the MM5 meteorological variables using the Gong-Monahan (Gong, 2003) and the deLeeuw (deLeeuw et al., 2000) emission functions for the open ocean and the surf zone, respectively. A complete description of the sea salt emission model in this version of CMAQ, including relative humidity and white cap coverage dependence, is found in Kelly et al. (2009). The sea salt emissions were converted into sea spray emissions by calculating the apparent density of the sea spray aerosol as a function of organic fraction. In the model results, the sum of the Aitken and accumulation mode constitutes particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 µm. For model-predicted changes in OC\(_{2.5}\) concentrations due to marine organics, an OM/OC mass ratio of 1.4 is used for marine primary organic aerosols (Decesari et al., 2007).

Fig. 2. Time series of hourly top-down model-predicted surface OC\(_{2.5}\), marine primary and secondary OC\(_{2.5}\) and 24-h average observed OC\(_{2.5}\) for the (a) Point Reyes and (b) Redwood IMPROVE sites. The concentration values above 5 µg m\(^{-3}\) are not shown.
3 Results

3.1 Comparison with observations

To test the effect of the marine emissions on model-predicted atmospheric concentrations of OC, the simulated values were compared to ambient measurements at several different monitoring stations on the coast of California. OC concentration observations were obtained from Point Reyes National Seashore (38.12° N, 122.91° W) and Redwood National Park (41.56° N, 124.09° W) as part of the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) network (http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/). Both IMPROVE stations, whose locations are shown in Fig. 1, are less than 5 km distance from the ocean, and typically experience onshore winds. The IMPROVE stations sample organic carbon particles < 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter (OC_{2.5}) on filters for 24 h every 3 days to give the average daily concentration in μg m^{-3}. In the model, OC_{2.5} is the sum of the accumulation mode secondary organic carbon from biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs and the Aitken/accumulation mode primary OC. Table 1 shows that for the entire simulation period (1 June to 31 August 2005) the model tends to overpredict surface OC_{2.5} concentration for the two coastal sites, and the addition of marine OC_{2.5} aerosol brings the simulated mean further from the observations. However, careful examination of time series revealed considerable differences between roughly two halves of the simulation period where the first half (1 June to 15 July) was characterized by greater contribution of marine OC_{2.5} to total OC_{2.5} (Fig. 2). Table 1 shows that during this period with less anthropogenic influence, the inclusion of marine organic emissions improves magnitude and correlation (Redwood only) of the model-predicted OC_{2.5} concentration for the Point Reyes and Redwood sites. Additional analysis of OC_{2.5} observations at these sites showed little seasonal variation, which when combined with the relatively steady emission source of marine primary organic aerosol off the Pacific coast indicates that the model improvements from the inclusion of marine organic emissions may occur throughout the year. Our model-predicted marine OC_{2.5} values over the ocean agree relatively well with summertime OC measurements in areas influenced by open ocean air masses such as Amsterdam Island (Sciacre et al., 2009), Mace Head, Ireland (Yoon et al., 2007), the Azores (Pio et al., 2007), and the Northern Atlantic (Russell et al., 2010) which have reported average concentrations of ~0.2, 0.4, 0.5, and 0.25 μg m^{-3}, respectively.

To assess the accuracy of marine isoprene emissions, the model-predicted ambient isoprene concentrations were compared to observations taken from Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS) network (http://www.epa.gov/air/oao/gps/pams/index.html) located in Ventura, CA (34.28° N, 119.31° W) and Oceanside, CA (33.22° N, 117.40° W) on the southern California coast (see Fig. 1). The PAMS stations use gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) to measure the instantaneous isoprene concentrations in units of ppb every 6 days several times during the day. The two PAMS stations used for comparison are within 1 km of the Pacific Ocean. The bottom-up and top-down approaches slightly improve the dramatic underprediction of isoprene concentrations in the baseline simulation at both sites shown in Table 2. However, the addition of marine isoprene emissions does not improve correlations between model predicted and observed concentrations. This is likely due to the uncertainty in the magnitude and mechanisms of marine isoprene emissions and the high detection limit (0.05 ppbC) of isoprene with the GC-FID. Additional uncertainties and potential differences between stations arise from inability to isolate the relatively small marine isoprene emissions from the much larger terrestrial background (Palmer and Shaw, 2005) in the observations, and from the previously-documented consistent disagreement between CMAQ predictions and the PAMS network measurements (Carlton and Reff, 2009).

3.2 Changes in OC_{2.5} and PM_{2.5}

The most substantial air quality effect of marine organic emissions was the increase in OC_{2.5} concentration. Figure 3 shows that, over the remote ocean, up to 50% of the OC_{2.5} concentration can be attributed to the marine sources. This value is consistent with the findings of Russell et al. (2010) who show that 50% of the Northern Atlantic MBL submicron organic mass (OM_{1}) has a marine origin. Figure 3 also demonstrates that, at the coastal areas, a considerable fraction (up to 25% in certain locations) of OC_{2.5} aerosol mass can have marine origin. There are also episodic periods where marine sources contribute nearly the entire model-predicted OC_{2.5} at the coastal IMPROVE sites (Fig. 2). This is important because the age and chemical evolution of organic aerosols affects their reactivity, hygroscopicity, volatility, and optical properties which can influence both climate forcing and human health (Andreae et al., 2009; Jimenez et al., 2009). The periods of highest marine contribution to model-predicted OC typically occur when concentrations are low, which means that marine organics mainly impact the

### Table 2. Comparison of isoprene observations and simulations for two coastal PAMS stations from 1 June to 31 August 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Mean Obs. (ppb)</th>
<th>Simulation Mean Sim. (ppb)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ventura, CA</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>Bottom-up 0.05</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top-down 0.08</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanside, CA</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Baseline 0.02</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom-up 0.03</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top-down 0.03</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
background aerosol concentration. However, even with such large contribution to MBL OC$_{2.5}$ aerosol mass, marine organic emissions seem to have modest influence on model-predicted marine PM$_{2.5}$ which is mostly composed of sea salt. Figure 4a shows that the greatest increase in PM$_{2.5}$ (over 0.3 µg m$^{-3}$) occurs over the ocean near the northern California coast where both 10 m wind speed (not shown) and ocean biological productivity (see Fig. 1) are high. The spatial distribution of the percentage contribution of marine organic aerosols to average surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (Fig. 4b) is similar to that of the total change in PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 4a), with values up to 10% near the northern California coast where concentration increases were the highest. The modeled increases and percentage contribution of marine OC to PM$_{2.5}$ rapidly decrease with distance from the coast to near zero within ~100 km. The gradient of marine contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ is especially sharp in areas with steep topography. Despite lesser (up to 5%) percentage contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ in terrestrial areas with higher concentrations of anthropogenic and biogenic aerosols, marine organic aerosol emissions may still be important for regulatory purposes for the coastal cities like San Francisco, CA that are in nonattainment for the 2006 National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) (http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk/pm25_2006_designations_20091113.pdf).

3.3 Comparison of bottom-up and top-down simulations

The primary difference between the bottom-up and top-down simulation is the introduction of marine monoterpenes into the model and increased emissions of marine isoprene. These additional emissions result in noticeable changes in the O$_3$ concentration when compared to the bottom-up simulation. Figure 5a shows that for the top-down approach model simulations predict moderate enhancement (0.5%) of average surface O$_3$ concentration in coastal urban areas and slight decrease (~0.1%) in concentration over the remote ocean. The differences between top-down and bottom-up simulations shown on Figs. 5a and b can be viewed as the high and low estimates of the potential role that marine isoprene and monoterpenes can play in O$_3$ formation near coastal areas. Figures 5c and d display surface SOA concentration changes due to emissions of marine isoprene and monoterpenes for the top-down and bottom-up simulations, respectively with the maximum increases of < 0.04 µg m$^{-3}$ occurring along the northern California coast where emissions are high. Compared to marine primary organic aerosols, there is a larger inland extent of the marine SOA into areas like the San Joaquin Valley in central California due to the time required for gas-to-particle conversion. These figures show that while the simulated SOA change in top-down approach is considerably higher than that of the bottom-up simulation, the contribution of marine sources of SOA to the total PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations is an order of magnitude lower compared to the POM marine biogenic sources. The time series of source-resolved OC$_{2.5}$ at the IMPROVE sites in Fig. 2 confirms that only at times of very low marine primary OC concentrations are secondary OC concentrations similar in magnitude. Therefore, our model simulations suggest that marine isoprene and monoterpenes contribute a minor fraction of marine OC aerosol mass concentration in near coastal regions. This result is consistent with the modeling and observational studies of Arnold et al. (2009) and Claeys et al. (2009) who show that marine isoprene-SOA makes up a minor portion of fine mode marine OC aerosol mass. It is worth noting that oceanic sources of sulfur (principally DMS) and marine sources of ammonia and amines are not treated by the version of CMAQ model used in the simulations. As marine sources of sulfur, ammonia and alkylamines were shown to be potentially significant contributors to sub-micrometer sized marine aerosol (Charlson et al., 1987; Facchini et al., 2008b; Smith and Mueller, 2010), the reported SOA from marine isoprene and monoterpenes likely represent a low estimate of the total marine secondary OC aerosol.
prediction by the model is slightly improved by the additional marine isoprene emissions. This study suggests that marine organic aerosols account for a considerable portion of the aerosol mass over the remote ocean and some near-coastal regions and, therefore needs to be considered in future air quality models. The subsequent health impacts of marine organics may be underestimated by these results, as studies have shown that the organic mass fraction of marine aerosols is particularly large for particles <0.125 µm in diameter (O’Dowd et al., 2004). Furthermore, organic vapors from marine sources of VOC have been implicated to aid nucleation events and growth of ultrafine particles in coastal environments, potentially producing large numbers of particles at sizes problematic for human health (Vaattovaara et al., 2006; Modini et al., 2009). While this modeling study shows a small contribution of marine isoprene and monoterpenes to total OC aerosol mass over the ocean, a number of important sources of marine-SOA from biogenic amines and methanesulfonate were not included in the current CMAQ simulations. Additional field measurements and model simulations with improved aerosol size/chemical characterization and emission source functions may be necessary to fully explore the significance of marine organic aerosol and biogenic trace gas emissions on coastal air quality and human health.
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4 Conclusions

This is the first study to quantify the combined contribution of marine primary organic aerosol, isoprene, and monoterpenes emissions to the air quality of a coastal region. Building on a previous modeling study examining the air quality effect of marine isoprene (Gantt et al., 2010), emissions of marine isoprene, monoterpenes, and primary organic aerosols were implemented in the US EPA’s CMAQ model with a domain over the Pacific Ocean and western US. The terrestrial impact of marine organic emissions is strongest at the coast, with average surface PM$_{2.5}$ and O$_3$ concentrations increasing by up to 5% and 0.5%, respectively. Further inland, the contribution of marine organic emissions quickly diminishes within ~100 km of the coast. Over the remote ocean, marine organics contributed over 50% of the surface OC$_{2.5}$ and resulted in a 10% change in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The source of additional PM$_{2.5}$ in the simulations is dominated by POM, with the SOA source from isoprene and monoterpenes making up a much smaller portion of the PM$_{2.5}$ mass. Model calculations indicate that during periods of high marine organic aerosol contribution, the inclusion of marine organic emissions can yield improved model predictions of surface OC$_{2.5}$ concentration at the California coast. The addition of isoprene and monoterpenes from marine sources did not have considerable effect on O$_3$ or SOA surface concentration in coastal areas. For surface isoprene concentrations measured at the southern California coast, the large under-

Fig. 5. Average percentage change in surface O$_3$ for the top-down (a) and bottom-up (b) simulations and average increase in surface SOA$_{2.5}$ concentrations for the top-down (c) and bottom-up (d) simulations due to marine emissions from 1 June to 31 August 2005.

References


