Table SI-1. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{OH}}$, a, F, and SOA yield values for VOCs. Not all VOCs are listed. Yields are for $M_{0}=5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m} 3$. "(E)" indicates that the values are estimated.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{OH}}\left(\times 10^{12} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right. \\ & \text { molecules } \left.{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | a | F | Y (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO | 0.24 | 1.0 | 1 | 0 |
| methane | 0.0063 | 2.0 | 1 | 0 |
| ethane | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.98 | 0 |
| propane | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.96 | 0 |
| n-butane | 2.4 | 2.85 | 0.92 | 0 |
| n -pentane | 4.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.90 | 0 |
| n -hexane | 5.5 | 2.85 (E) | 0.86 | 0 |
| n -heptane | 7.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.82 | 0 |
| n-octane | 8.7 | 2.85 (E) | 0.77 | 0 |
| n -nonane | 10 | 2.85 (E) | 0.75 (E) | 0.3 |
| n -decane | 11.2 | 2.85 (E) | 0.7 (E) | 0.7 |
| n -undecane | 12.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.7 (E) | 1.6 |
| n -dodecane | 13.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.7 (E) | 2.8 |
| isobutane | 2.2 | 2.85 (E) | 0.93 | 0 |
| isopentane | 3.7 | 2.85 (E) | 0.93 (E) | 0 |
| 2-methylpentane | 5.4 | 2.85 (E) | 0.86 (E) | 0 |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane | 9.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.86 (E) | 0.1 |
| cyclopentane | 5.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.9 (E) | 0 |
| methylcyclopentane | 5.7 | 2.85 (E) | 0.85 (E) | 0 |
| cyclohexane | 7.2 | 2.85 (E) | 0.83 (E) | 0 |
| methylcyclohexane | 10.0 | 2.85 (E) | 0.83 (E) | 0 |
| ethene | 9 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0 |
| propene | 26 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 0 |
| 1-butene | 31 | 2.0 | 0.98 | 0 |
| trans-2-butene | 64 | 2.0 | 0.97 (E) | 0 |
| cis-2-butene | 56 | 2.0 | 0.96 (E) | 0 |
| 1-pentene | 31 | 2.0 | 0.95 (E) | 0 |
| trans-2-pentene | 67 | 2.0 | 0.95 (E) | 0 |
| cis-2-pentene | 65 | 2.0 | 0.95 (E) | 0 |


| 1-hexene | 37 | 2.0 | 0.93 (E) | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trans-2-hexene | 62 | 2.0 | 0.93 (E) | 0 |
| 1-heptene | 42 | 2.0 | 0.93 (E) | 0 |
| 1,3-butadiene | 67 | 2.0 | 0.93 | 0 |
| benzene | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 10.6 |
| toluene | 6 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 6.1 |
| o-xylene | 13.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 3.6 |
| m - and p -xylene | 19 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 3.6 |
| ethylbenzene | 7.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 2.9 |
| m - and p -ethyltoluene | 15.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 1.4 |
| o ethyltoluene | 12.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 1.4 |
| 1,2,3 trimethylbenzene | 58 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 1.4 |
| 1,2,4 trimethylbenzene | 58 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 1.4 |
| 1,3,5 trimethylbenzene | 33 | 2.0 | 0.9 (E) | 1.4 |
| isoprene | 101 | 2.0 | 0.96 | 0.2 <br> (Carlton) |
| limonene | 171 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 6.1 <br> (Griffin) |
| a-pinene | 53.7 | 2.85 | 0.82 | 2.4 |
| Formaldehyde | 8 | 1.0 | 1 | 0 |
| Acetaldehyde | 16 | 3.0 | 1 | 0 |
| Acetone | 0.2 | 2.85 (E) | 1 | 0 |

All species listed above were measured in both La Porte and Mexico City, with the exception of $n$-undecane and n-dodecane, which were only measured in Mexico City. Additional compounds that were measured but not included in Table SI-1 are listed below. (M) means the compound was only measured in Mexico City and (T) means the compound was only measured in La Porte.

2,2-dimethylbutane
2,3-dimethylbutane
ethylpentane (M)
3-methylpentane
2,4-dimethylpentane
2-methylhexane (T)
3-methylhexane (T)
2,2,4-trymethylpentane

```
2,5-dimethylhexane (M)
2,4-dimethylhexane (M)
2,3,4-trimethylpentane (M)
2,3-dimethylhexane (M)
2,3-methylheptane (M)
3-ethylhexane (M)
1-octene (M)
trans-4-octene (M)
cis-2-hexene (M)
1-decene (M)
3-methyl-1-butene
2-methyl-1-butene
2-methyl-2butene
butyne
ethyne
propyne
cyclooctene
cumene (T)
1,2,3,4 tetramethylbenzene (M)
3-methyl 2-butanone (T)
propanal (T)
methylethylketone (T)
```

Table SI-2. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ calculations for VOCs measured at 06:30, 29 March 2006 at the T0 supersite in Mexico City. For the sake of producing atmospherically realistic absolute values for $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}), \mathrm{OH}$ concentrations of $10^{6}$ and $6 \times 10^{6}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ were used for the Mexico City (T0) and La Porte calculations, respectively, though the calculated ratio from eq. 9 is not affected by the choice of $[\mathrm{OH}]$. SOA yields are based on $M_{o}=5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Not all VOCs are listed. Aerosol yields are rounded to the nearest $0.1 \%$.

| Species | Mixing ratio (ppbv) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{P ( \mathbf { O } _ { \mathrm { x } } )} \\ & \mathrm{pptv} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P(SOA) } \\ & 10^{-6} \mathrm{\mu g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO | 3500 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 |
| ethane | 27.3 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| propane | 205 | 0.44 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 |
| n-butane | 20.8 | 0.55 | 5.3 | 0 | 0 |
| $n$-pentane | 12.7 | 0.13 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| n -hexane | 10.0 | 0.14 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| n -decane | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| n -undecane | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| n-dodecane | 0.78 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Alkanes Total |  | 2.9 | 27.3 | 10.5 | 5.3 |
| ethene | 40.3 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 0 | 0 |
| propene | 9.40 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Alkenes total |  | 4.0 | 38.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| benzene | 16 | 0.04 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 3.5 |
| toluene | 40 | 0.43 | 4.1 | 60.2 | 30.3 |
| C2 benzenes | 23.2 | 0.71 | 6.8 | 66.3 | 33.3 |
| C3-benzenes | 13.2 | 0.55 | 5.2 | 23.9 | 12.0 |
| Aromatics total |  | 1.9 | 18.2 | 167 | 84.2 |
| Formaldehyde | 8 | 0.06 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Acetaldehyde | 8.4 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Acetone | 16 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| OVOC total |  | 0.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 |


| isoprene | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| limonene | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\alpha$-pinene | 2.6 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 20.4 | 10.3 |
| Biogenics total |  | 0.4 | 3.7 | 20.6 | 10.3 |
| Total |  | 10.5 | 100 | 197 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{ug} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{ppmv}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | 19 |  |  |  |

Table SI-3. $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ calculations for selected VOCs (not all) measured at La Porte at 14:00, 30 August 2000. An $[\mathrm{OH}]$ value of $6 \times 10^{6}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and an $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{o}}$ value of 5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ was used for the calculations.

| Species | Mixing ratio (ppbv) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \\ & \mathrm{pptv} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P(SOA) } \\ & 10^{-6} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO | 210 | 0.30 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 |
| ethane | 13.1 | 0.05 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| propane | 5.2 | 0.07 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| n-butane | 2.6 | 0.10 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| n-pentane | 4.3 | 0.27 | 2.2 | 0.01 | 0 |
| n-hexane | 1.0 | 0.08 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 0 |
| n -decane | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 |
| Alkanes Total |  | 1.4 | 12.1 | 0.64 | 2.6 |
| ethene | 25.7 | 2.75 | 23.2 | 0 | 0 |
| propene | 6.2 | 1.91 | 16.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Alkenes total |  | 5.8 | 48.4 | 0.04 | 0.1 |
| benzene | 1.2 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 12.5 |
| toluene | 1.0 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 8.6 | 34.9 |
| xylenes | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 24.0 |
| ethyl-benzenes | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| isopropyl- <br> benzene | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 6.1 |
| trimethylbenzenes | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| Aromatics total |  | 0.19 | 1.6 | 20.8 | 84.6 |
| formaldehyde | 28 | 1.34 | 11.3 | 0 | 0 |
| acetaldehyde | 7.1 | 2.04 | 17.1 | 0 | 0 |
| acetone | 12.3 | 0.05 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| OVOC total |  | 3.9 | 33.1 | 0.04 | 0.2 |


| isoprene | 0.19 | 0.22 | 2.1 | 0.53 | 2.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| limonene | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 4.6 |
| $\alpha$-pinene | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 1.41 | 5.7 |
| Biogenic VOCs |  | 0.25 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 12.5 |
| Total |  | 11.9 | 100 | 24.6 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ |  | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| (ug m ${ }^{-3} / \mathrm{ppmv}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Justification of assumptions used for eq. 9

$$
P\left(O_{X}\right)=\sum_{i} k_{i}[O H]\left[V O C_{i}\right]\left(a_{i} F_{i}\right)
$$

One approximation needed to quantify $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ using eq. 9 (above) is that $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ reacts solely with NO. This is a reasonable approximation for most periods of the day in both Mexico City and La Porte. Two example time periods are examined quantitatively. Typical NO and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentrations at T0 in Mexico City at 09:00 are 100 ppbv and $2 \times 10^{7}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, respectively (Dusanter et al., 2009). Using the rate constants from Sander et al. (Sander et al., 2006) and a water vapor mixing ratio $0.7 \%$, we calculate that the ratio $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{HO} 2+\mathrm{HO} 2}\left[\mathrm{HO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{HO}_{2}\right]\right) /\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{HO} 2+\mathrm{NO}}\left[\mathrm{HO}_{2}\right][\mathrm{NO}]\right)$ is $5 \times 10^{-6}$. At La Porte, a typical NO mixing ratio at 15:00 was 0.7 ppbv . For a water vapor mixing ratio of $2 \%$ and an estimated $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ mixing ratio of 30 pptv , the ratio is 0.03 , indicating that this approximation is valid.

## Constraints on the product of the SOA yield and the molecular weight of "missing" organic compounds based on $\mathbf{O H}$ reactivity measurements and calculations

We use the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ calculations from the morning of 29 March 2006 (table SI-2) as an example. Using a value of $10^{6}$ molecules $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ for $[\mathrm{OH}]$ (this does not affect the results but is useful for creating "meaningful" values) we calculate that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA})=2 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=10.5 \mathrm{pptv} / \mathrm{s}$, and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ox})=19 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}$ the $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ value is accurate (as described in section 4.3), then we must increase $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA})$ by a factor of $\sim 6$ to have $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SOA}) / \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ reflect an $\Delta[\mathrm{OOA}] / \Delta\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]$ value of $120 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}{ }^{-}$ $3 / \mathrm{ppmv}$. We add an additional term (with subscript " m ") to the numerator of eq. 11 and set the sum equal to $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} k_{i}[O H]\left[V O C_{i}\right] y_{i}+k_{m}[O H]\left[V O C_{m}\right] y_{m}=1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s} \tag{SI-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k_{m}\left[V O C_{m}\right] y_{m}=10^{-3} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} / \mathrm{s}$

With unit conversions added, the equation is
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}\left[\mathrm{VOC}_{\mathrm{m}}\right][\mathrm{OH}] \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}\left(10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}\right)\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=10^{-3} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
where the units for the first five terms are $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right.$ molecule ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), (molecules $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ), (molecules $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ), (unitless), and ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), respectively, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is Avogadro's number ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). The value of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}\left[\mathrm{VOC}_{\mathrm{m}}\right]$ is constrained by the agreement (within $\sim 20 \%$ to $30 \%$ ) between direct measurements of the total OH reactivity (Shirley et al., 2006) and those calculated using individual VOC measurements. Using the VOC measurements from 28 March at 06:30, we calculate the OH reactivity ( $\sum \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{VOC}}[\mathrm{VOC}]$ ) as $155 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ - well within the range of values measured in Mexico City during the early morning in 2003 (Shirley et al., 2006). If we assume that the missing SOA precursor organic compounds account for $30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ( $19 \%$ of the total OH reactivity), then we can solve for the product of $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in
eq. SI-3 to obtain $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{m}}=20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. For example, if the average molecular weight of the missing SOA precursors is 378 , then the SOA yield would be $5.3 \%$.
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